Invitation to tender MOVE/D1/355-1/2012 (contract notice JO S 063-104532) concerning

'Fact-finding study to in support of the development of an
EU strategy for freight transport logistics'

CORRIGENDUM N° 1

1. In the invitation to tender:

Chapter 2.3.2. a.

INSTEAD OF:

The tenderer must prove experience in the field of the respective lot (logistics, combined transport, carbon footprint, ex-ante evaluations) with at least one project delivered in this field in the last five
years with a minimum value of € 50.000.

READ:

The tenderer must prove experience in the field of the respective lot with at least one project delivered in this field in the last three years with a minimum value of € 50.000.

2. In the invitation to tender:

2.4. Award criteria

INSTEAD OF:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N°</th>
<th>Award Criteria</th>
<th>Weighting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1   | Quality of the proposed methodology, taking into account the variety of tasks, both technique and content related;  
- Overall approach (25 points – minimum threshold 50%)  
- Data collection techniques (15 points – minimum threshold 50%)  
- Relevant coverage (e.g. geographical, stakeholders) (10 points – minimum threshold 50%) | 60        |
| 2   | Organisation of the work: This criterion will assess how the roles and responsibilities of the proposed team and of the economic operators (in case of joint tenders, including subcontractors if applicable) are | 25        |
Organisation of the work: This criterion will assess how the roles and responsibilities of the proposed team and of the economic operators (in case of joint tenders, including subcontractors if applicable) are distributed for each task. It also assesses the global allocation of time and financial and human resources to the project and to each task and/or deliverable, explains the rationale behind the proposed allocation and whether this allocation is adequate for the work.

Quality control measures: This criterion will assess the quality control system applied to the service foreseen in this tender specification concerning the management and meeting of commitments, quality of the deliverables, the language quality check, and continuity of the service in case of absence of the member of the team or other difficulties. The quality system should be detailed in the tender and specific to the tasks at hand.

Total number of points

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N°</th>
<th>Award Criteria</th>
<th>Weighting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1  | Quality of the proposed methodology, taking into account the variety of tasks, both technique and content related;  
  - Overall approach (30 points – minimum threshold 50%)  
  - Data collection techniques (15 points – minimum threshold 50%)  
  - Relevant coverage (e.g. geographical, stakeholders) (15 points – minimum threshold 50%) | 60 |
| 2  | Organisation of the work: This criterion will assess how the roles and responsibilities of the proposed team and of the economic operators (in case of joint tenders, including subcontractors if applicable) are distributed for each task. It also assesses the global allocation of time and financial and human resources to the project and to each task and/or deliverable, explains the rationale behind the proposed allocation and whether this allocation is adequate for the work. | 25 |
| 3  | Quality control measures: This criterion will assess the quality control system applied to the service foreseen in this tender specification concerning the management and meeting of commitments, quality of the deliverables, the language quality check, and continuity of the service in case of absence of the member of the team or other difficulties. The quality system should be detailed in the tender and specific to the tasks at hand. | 15 |

Total number of points 100
3. In the invitation to tender:

Chapter 3: Section L4.6

INSTEAD OF:

3.4 Assessing the possibility to incorporate the ones which are considered most suitable for possible uptake at European level in the initial set of options (listed under section A.10.2.4).

3.5 Developing additional policy options and analyse them in accordance with criteria stipulated under Task 8.

READ:

3.4 Assessing the possibility to incorporate the ones which are considered most suitable for possible uptake at European level in the initial set of options (listed under section L4.5).

3.5 Developing additional policy options and analyse them in accordance with criteria stipulated under Task 7.

4. In the invitation to tender:

Chapter 3: Section L4.6

INSTEAD OF:

Task 8: Analysis of options – assessment of impacts

8.1 ......

8.2 ......

8.3 ......

8.4 ......

8.5 ......

8.6 ......

8.7 ......

8.8 ......

8.9 ......
READ:

Task 7: Analysis of options – assessment of impacts

7.1 ......

7.2 ......

7.3 ......

7.4 ......

7.5 ......

7.6

7.7

7.8

7.9

5. In the invitation to tender:

Chapter 3: Section L4.6

INSTEAD OF:

Existing documentation and information, monitoring system

List of available background material and administrative and technical files is provided in Annex. All other data necessary for completing the study shall be gathered by the contractor.

READ:

Existing documentation and information

An indicative list of available background material is provided hereafter. All other data necessary for completing the study shall be gathered by the contractor.

Indicative list of background material:

- Results of the PACT programme, SEC(2002) 91
- Evaluation de l’application de la réglementation 2196/98 (PACT) du Conseil
- Commission Proposal for the Marco Polo I Regulation, COM(2002)54;

• Commission Proposal for the Marco Polo II Regulation, COM(2004) 478


• Commission Proposal for amendment of the Marco Polo II Regulation, SEC(2008) 3021


• Evaluation of the Marco Polo I Programme (2003-2006); ECORYS 2007

• Evaluation of the Marco Polo Programme 2003 – 2010, Europe Economics, April 2011


• CBA study on externalisation of the MP programme to the EACI, ECORYS 2007

• Evaluation of the Executive Agency for Competitiveness and Innovation, CSES 2011

• White paper "European transport policy for 2010: time to decide"

• Communication "Keep Europe moving - Sustainable mobility for our continent" - Mid-term review of the European Commission’s 2001 Transport White paper


• Communication "A sustainable future for transport: Towards an integrated, technology-led and user friendly system

• Greening Transport: new Commission package to drive the market towards sustainability

• GREEN PAPER TEN-T: A policy review – Towards a better integrated Transeuropean Transport Network at the service of the common transport policy


• Proposal for a Regulation on Union guidelines for the development of the trans-European transport network, COM(2011) 650/2

• Communication "Marco Polo: results and outlook" (publication expected for May 2013)

• Mobility and Transport website:
http://ec.europa.eu/transport/index_en.htm

• Marco Polo website:
  http://ec.europa.eu/transport/marcopolo/home/home_en.htm

• Motorways of the Sea websites:
  http://ec.europa.eu/transport/maritime/motorways_sea/motorways_sea_en.htm,
  http://www.mos-helpdesk.eu/