

EUROPEAN COMMISSION

DIRECTORATE-GENERAL FOR ENERGY AND TRANSPORT

COMMUNITY FINANCIAL AID IN THE FIELD OF THE TRANS-EUROPEAN TRANSPORT NETWORK (TEN-T)

APPLICATION FORM FOR SUPPORT TO ELIGIBLE PROJECT (WORKS) (P - D04)

COUNCIL REGULATION (EC) N° 2236/95 OF 18 SEPTEMBER 1995 MODIFIED BY REGULATION N° 1655/1999 OF 19 JULY 1999

- THIS APPLICATION to be completed by the applicant ONLY for interest rate subsidies, fees for guarantees and direct grants FOR CONSTRUCTION WORKS.
- TO APPLY for SUPPORT for <u>STUDIES</u> RELATED TO PROJECTS, INCLUDING PREPARATORY, FEASIBILITY AND EVALUATION STUDIES PLEASE use the specific application form.

OTHER THAN WITH MAPS, PLEASE ANSWER ALL THE QUESTIONS DIRECTLY RATHER THAN REFERRING TO ANNEXED MATERIAL. THE APPLICATION MUST BE COMPLETED IN ALL ITS PARTS. IF A QUESTION IS NOT APPLICABLE PLEASE GIVE EXPLANATIONS.

TWO COPIES OF THE FORM (1 PAPER COPY AND 1 ELECTRONIC FORMAT COPY¹) HAVE TO BE SUBMITTED IN ENGLISH, GERMAN OR FRENCH TO:

DACE

THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION

DIRECTORATE GENERAL FOR TRANSPORT AND ENERGY

UNIT B3, TRANS-EUROPEAN NETWORKS: PROJECTS

RUE DE LA LOI, 200

B-1049 BRUSSELS

THIS APPLICATION FORM CONTAINS THE FOLLOWING SECTIONS:

	PAGE
1. REFERENCE SHEET	3
2. GENERAL INFORMATION ON THE PROJECT	4
3. PREPARATION, TRAFFIC AND OTHER EFFECTS	13
4. FINANCIAL AND ECONOMIC INFORMATION ON THE PROJECT	15
5. FINANCING AND MONOTORING OF THE PROJECT	17
6. DETAILED IDENTITY OF BENEFICIARY AND BANKING REF	19
7. COMPATIBILITY WITH OTHER COMMUNITY POLICIES	21

_

¹ With a diskette enclosed to the paper form or by e-mail to the address antonio.scala@cec.eu.int, possibly in Word or in RTF format.

DI		DI	TA	TEC
- K- H	нн	и н		- H -

NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE ORGANISATION RESPONSIBLE FOR THIS APPLICATION:

PERSON RESPONSIBLE FOR THE APPLICATION

NAME:
FUNCTION:
ADDRESS:
TELEPHONE:
FAX:
INTERNET E-MAIL:
DATE:

SIGNATURE AND STAMP:

GENERAL INFORMATION ON THE PROJECT

1.	PROJECT NO. (to be completed by Commission services): /04/ Is project part of MIP (to be completed by Commission services):
2.	TITLE OF THE PROJECT COVERED BY THIS APPLICATION ² :
	If the project has been previously supported under the TEN-T budget, please specify 3 : Decision N $^\circ$
3.	PROJECT OF COMMON INTEREST (Please refer only to articles or annexes of TEN-T guidelines ⁴)

Short and meaningful title of the project (e.g. Construction of a high-speed railway line between Valence and Marseille). It is not a technical description. If the project is part/stage of a bigger project, please indicate both name of main project and part/section

Specify any previous TEN-T support directly related to this project (e.g. previous grants to the same project or support to feasibility studies for the same project).

Decision No 1692/96/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 July 1996 on Community guidelines for the development of the trans-European transport network.

1	IOCAT	LION	OF THE	DDOILCT.
4.	LULA	HUNN	OF THE	PROJECT:

Member State(s):

Region(s):

Geographic co-ordinates:							
STADT DOINT	Long (X)	Lat (Y)	END POINT	Long (X)	Lat (Y)		
START POINT			ENDIONI				

5.	TECHNICAL DESCRIPTION OF THE WORKS COVERED BY THIS APPLICATION ⁵ :
6.	KEY INDICATOR TO BE USED FOR MONITORING AND ASSESSING THE PHYSICAL PROGRESS OF THE PROJECT. (e.g. kilometres built):
7.	MAIN OBJECTIVES ⁶ :
8.	DIVISION OF RESPONSIBILITIES:
8a.	Member State ⁷ responsible for using the financial assistance granted by the Commission (name and address of the Ministry concerned).
8b.	Authority ⁸ holding the bank account into which the financial assistance will be transferred (name and address):
5	e.g. motorway, railroad, new/upgrade/mixed, N° of lanes, bridges, tunnels, doubling, electrification, etc. Include physical indicators where appropriate for example number of km. Where several sub-activities are to be included provide a detailed description. The description has to be consistent with total cost (point 11) and timetable (point 12).
6	e.g. eliminating bottlenecks, establishing missing links, improving traffic management and safety, promoting interconnection and interoperability, linking peripheral regions.

The Member State directly responsible either for a project it has submitted itself, "the public or private undertakings or bodies directly concerned" (within the meaning of Article 8 of Regulation No 2236/95 as amended by Regulation 1655/99) in the case of a project submitted with its agreement, or the Member State responsible for a project it submitted on behalf of several Member States. In certain cases, the responsible authority is the organisation concerned (Eurocontrol, the Galileo Joint Undertaking, the European Space Agency, etc.).

The Member State (or the public authority it has designated to receive the funds) or "the public or private undertakings or bodies directly concerned" (within the meaning of Article 8 of the abovementioned Regulation), Eurocontrol, the Galileo Joint Undertaking, the European Space Agency, etc..

8c.	Authority9 in	charge of	implementing	the	project	and	managing	the	project	funds	(name	and
	address):											

9. DATE OF RECEIPT OF APPLICATION (to be completed by Commission Services):

10. INDICATIVE BREAKDOWN OF ESTIMATED ELIGIBLE COSTS **COVERED BY THIS APPLICATION**¹⁰ (Mio €): *Please refer to the list of non-eligible costs in Appendix*

DESCRIPTION OF THE ACTIVITIES	EXTERNAL CONTRACTS/ SUPPLIERS	INTERNAL COSTS ¹¹	COST PER ACTIVITY

The Member State (or the public authority it has designated to implement the project and manage the project funds) or "the public or private undertakings or bodies directly concerned" (within the meaning of Article 8 of the abovementioned Regulation), Eurocontrol, the Galileo Joint Undertaking, the European Space Agency, etc..

Costs only for the activities for which support is sought. Costs incurred before the date of application cannot be supported (unless the application is a continuation and refers to a study that has already been supported previously).

¹¹ Please indicate the nature of the beneficiary's internal (own) costs (in particular Personnel, Travel, Overheads,).

11.	INDICATIVE	BREAKDOWN	OF	ESTIMATED	ELIGIBLE	COSTS	OVER	THE
	IMPLEMENTA	ATION PERIOD						

Phase of Activity	Grand Total	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007+
Preparatory works						
Land acquisition/ expropriations						
Construction						
Others (specify)						
TOTAL COST						

12	TIMETABLE FOR	THE WORKS	COVERED	RY THIS A	APPLICATIO
L Z.				1) 1 1 1 1 1 1 7 /-	11

START MONTH AND YEAR:

COMPLETION MONTH AND YEAR:

13. REQUESTED SUPPORT FOR THE PROJECT:

Mio €

FORM OF REQUESTED SUPPORT	"X" as appropriate	AMOUNT (Mio €)
INTEREST RATE SUBSIDY		
LOAN GUARANTEE		
DIRECT GRANT		

14. SPECIFIC FACTORS OF UNCERTAINTY WHICH MAY AFFECT THE PROJECT

(e.g. calendar, budgetary, environmental, technical or administrative aspects):

15.	ASPECT POLICIES	OF THE PROJECT IN IN PARTICULAR I	ORDER TO SATISFY THE COMMUNITY LAW AND N RELATION TO ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RD OF PUBLIC CONTRACTS?
		Yes	
		No	
16.	•	please specify: R TENDER: tick box and	specify date where appropriate:
		Contract awarded	date:
		Already launched	date:
		To be launched	provisional date:
		Not required	please specify:

17. PROJECT VIABILITY

Has the pote	ntial economic viability of the project been demonstrated?	
	Yes (please make reference to or attach appropriate documentation)	
	No	
Is the project	t's financial viability sufficient at present (please attach appropriate documentation)	?
	Yes	
	No	
	Not applicable	

TABLE 2: INDICATIVE FINANCIAL DATA FOR PROJECT AS DEFINED IN POINT 2

	A. BREAKDOWN OF COST	Grand Total ¹²	Total 2001- 2006	Before 2004	2004	2005	2006	2007 +
1.	Studies/design							
2.	Preparatory works							
3.	Land acquisition/expropriations							
4.	Construction							
5.	Others (specify) ¹³							
TC	OTAL COST							

B. FINANCING SOURCES	Grand Total	Total 2001- 2006	Before 2004	2004	2005	2006	2007 +
1. State budget							
2. Regional / local budget ¹⁴							
3. Project promoter (Public or Private) ¹⁵							
4 EIB loan							
5. Other loans (indicate lender and beneficiary)							
TEN-T interest rebates on loan according to 4 or 5							
TEN-T guarantee for loan according to 4 or 5							
6. TEN-T direct grant							
7. Other EU funds (ERDF, Cohesion Fund, research) ¹⁶							
8. Other sources							
TOTAL							

14 Please specify the relevant budget; include additional rows if needed

15 Please specify the name of the public or private organisation concerned and the nature of the contribution

Please specify fund; include additional rows if needed

¹² If TEN financial aid was already granted to the project concerned prior to the MIP period, the total cost has to be counted from the first year of support on

Please specify the studies; add lines as appropriate

PREPARATION, TRAFFIC AND OTHER EFFECTS

This section of the questionnaire should give a perspective on: 1) How the project has attained the construction phase through technical studies and institutional preparation 2) The expected traffic impact of the project 3) Other important effects/aspects of the project (other than purely traffic).

proj	tect (other than purely traffic).
18.	STUDIES ALREADY UNDERTAKEN IN RELATION TO THE PROJECT ¹⁷ :
	MAIN RESULTS OF THESE STUDIES:
19.	FOR CROSS BORDER PROJECTS, DETAILS OF COORDINATION AND TIMING:
20.	IS THERE ANY AGREEMENT AT EUROPEAN OR EUROREGIONAL LEVEL ON THE PROJECT?
21.	IN WHICH WAY DOES THE PROJECT TAKE INTO ACCOUNT A MULTIMODAL PERSPECTIVE?

-

 $^{^{\}rm 17}$ Feasibility, impact, mobility/traffic, technical, financial, other

22.	CURRENT AND ESTIMATED TRAFFIC FLOWS BY USER CATEGORY AS APPROPRIATE; THE FORECAST MUST COVER BOTH THE TWO ALTERNATIVE SCENARIOS (WITH/WITHOUT PROJECT): PLEASE ATTACH DETAILS
23.	DESCRIBE IMPACT ON REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT INCLUDING LAND USE ¹⁸ :
24.	EMPLOYMENT Please estimation of the number of jobs in annual full-time equivalents.
	PERMANENT JOBS:
	JOBS DURING CONSTRUCTION:

 $^{^{18}\ \}mathrm{E.g.}$ improved accessibility, connection with local network, etc.

FINANCIAL AND ECONOMIC INFORMATION ON THE PROJECT

In this section the applicant should provide the main conclusions of the financial and socio-economic analysis of the project. The financial analysis provides information on the self-financing capabilities of the project. The socio-economic analysis provides information on the desirability of the project for society and justification for public support, if needed.

- 25. IF THE PROJECT IS EXPECTED TO GENERATE REVENUES (TOLLS, USER CHARGES) PLEASE GIVE DETAILS¹⁹:
- 26. IN THE CASE OF REVENUE GENERATING PROJECTS INDICATE THE ESTIMATED FINANCIAL RATE OF RETURN OF THE PROJECT²⁰:
- 27. DESCRIBE THE MAIN HYPOTHESES, PARAMETERS AND CONCLUSIONS OF THE COST-BENEFIT ANALYSIS (SOCIO-ECONOMIC COSTS AND BENEFITS)

28. PLEASE SHOW RESULTS OF ECONOMIC ANALYSIS IN TERMS OF AT LEAST ONE OF THE FOLLOWING INDICATORS:

INTERNAL RATE OF RETURN	IRR	
NET PRESENT VALUE	NPV	
BENEFIT/COST RATIO (B / C)		

15

¹⁹ Type and level of charges, principles on which the charges are based.

²⁰ Based on a discounted cash-flow analysis.

29.	PLEASE	GIVE	DETAILS	OF	MAIN	BENEFITS	IDENTIFIED	IN	THE
	ANALYS	IS TOG	ETHER WI	гн v	ALUES	ASSIGNED T	TO THEM 21 :		

BENEFIT	UNIT VALUE	TOTAL VALUE	% OF TOTAL
		(PV)	BENEFITS

²¹ Please describe the key assumptions of the analysis (e.g. project life, traffic growth, etc.)

FINANCING AND MONITORING OF THE PROJECT

(See also the indicative financial table)

The section should provide information on: 1) the solidity of the financial plan 2) total Community support sought (as well as EIB loans) 2) special monitoring arrangements for the project.

- 30. IF THE PROJECT HAS ALREADY STARTED PLEASE PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION OF ALL WORKS PREVIOUSLY COMPLETED. IN PARTICULAR SPECIFY PERIOD OF COMPLETION, COSTS AND EU FINANCIAL SUPPORT RECEIVED FOR THE PAST WORK:
- 31. ESTIMATED UNIT COST OF THE PROJECT AS APPROPRIATE, (E.G. Mio € PER KM):
- 32. IF UNIT COSTS ARE SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM THOSE OF SIMILAR PROJECTS IN THE AREA PLEASE EXPLAIN BRIEFLY WHY:
- 33. PUBLIC FUNDS COMMITED OR INCLUDED FOR THE PROJECT IN MEDIUM TERM NATIONAL INVESTMENT PLANS (specify legal basis):
- 34. HAVE POSSIBILITIES OF PUBLIC / PRIVATE FINANCING BEEN EXAMINED?
 - IF YES, WHAT ARE THE RESULTS

- IF NOT, WHY?

THIS PROJECT?
Yes No IIF YES, PLEASE GIVE DETAILS (financial instrument concerned, dates, amounts requested, amounts granted, reference n°, etc):
36. IF THIS ACTION IS NOT AWARDED TEN-T FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE, THIS WOULD HAVE THE FOLLOWING CONSEQUENCES: (Please describe)
37. DESCRIBE THE ARRANGEMENTS FOR MONITORING, FINANCIAL CONTROL AND EVALUATION WHICH APPLY TO THIS PROJECT PLEASE GIVE DETAILS ²² :
38. PLEASE INDICATE ANY ONGOING LEGAL PROCEDURES WHICH COULD AFFECT IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PROJECT:
39. GIVE DETAILS OF PUBLICITY TO BE GIVEN TO THE CONTRIBUTION OF THE TEN-T CO-FINANCING TO THE PROJECT (E.G. SITE NOTICES):

35. HAS AN APPLICATION BEEN MADE OR WILL BE MADE FOR SUPPORT FROM ANY OTHER COMMUNITY SOURCE, AS WELL AS THE EIB, FOR

²² E.g. Normal financial control of the Member States, regular reports to EIB or other lenders,

certification by an external public or private body, etc.

FINANCIAL IDENTIFICATION FORM

PROJECT/STUDY RE	FERENCE						
ACCOUNT HOLDER STATED IN ART. 6.b OF ANNEX I							
NAME							
ADDRESS							
TOWN/CITY				POSTCODE			
COUNTRY			VAT NUMI	BER			
CONTACT PERSON							
TELEPHONE [FAX			
E-MAIL [
AUTHORIT	Y MANAGING PRO	OJECT/STUD	OY FUNDS (A	ART. 6.c of Annex I)			
NAME [
ADDRESS							
TOWN/CITY			P	POSTCODE			
COUNTRY			VAT NUMI	BER SER			
CONTACT PERSON							
TELEPHONE				FAX			
E-MAIL [
		BANK					
BANK NAME							
BRANCH ADDRESS							
TOWN/CITY			P	OSTCODE			
COUNTRY							
SORT CODE		A	ACCOUNT NU	MBER			
IBAN [
REMARKS							
KEMPAKKO	<u>CANANUAN</u>						
BANK STAMP + SIGNAT	JRE BANK REPRESEN	ITATIVE		SIGNATURE ACCOUNT HOLDER			
			DATE				
(Both	mandatory !)		I DATE	(Mandatory !)			

COMPATIBILITY WITH OTHER COMMUNITY POLICIES:

PUBLIC PROCUREMENT

Has relevant EU legislation been met where required? (e.g Directives EC/93/36; EC/93/37; EC/93/38; EC/92/50, etc). Please give details of contract as follows. If not, please give reasons:

	Published in OJ (Yes/No)	Date of publication in OJ	Reference	Adjudication cost
1. Preparatory works				
2. Civil works				
3. Equipment				
4. Other				

COMPATIBILITY WITH COMMUNITY POLICY ON ENVIRONMENT FOR WORKS' PROJECTS

(INCLUDING PHYSICAL INTERVENTIONS IN THE FRAMEWORK OF STUDY PROJECTS)

1. Potential impact of the "works" project on the environment

Please briefly describe the likely potential impact of the project²³ ("works" project) on the environment:

2. Physical interventions in the framework of "study" projects²⁴ (only to be completed in the case of physical interventions in the framework of study projects, not however for "normal" works projects)

Please describe the nature and scope of physical interventions (such as exploratory tunnels, drillings etc.) that are carried out in the framework of a "study" project for which co-financing is sought. Please assess the likely potential impact of these activities on the environment:

All of the following questions need to be answered for both "works" projects and physical interventions in the framework of "study" projects. For the purpose of simplification, they are in the following referred to as *activities*.

3. Consultation of environmental authorities

	environmental nned activities		nature	conservation	bodies	been
Yes	S	No	,]		

²³ "Project" as referred to here means: the whole set of activities covered under the application for cofinancing concerned

A "study project" subject to an application for Community funding may consist of desk studies in the traditional sense and / or of "technical support measures" for these studies, such as geological explorations, which require physical interventions. For the part of the funding application that concerns physical interventions, Member States/promoters concerned have to supply the same environmental information as for works' projects, by using the environmental section of the application form foreseen for works' projects.

If yes, please give nai			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
If no, please give reas	ons				
EU environmental le	gislation				
Do the planned ac Directive 85/337/1 and private proje 97/11/EC), Directive 9 wild fauna and flo	EEC on the ects on the rive 79/409/2/43/EEC or	assessmer environm EEC on	nt of the entent, as a the conse	ffects of commended bervation of	ertain public by Directive wild birds
Directive 85/337/I	EEC				
Yes		No			
Directive 79/409/E	EEC and/or I	Directive 9	2/43/EEC		
Yes		No			

If at least one of your answers is no, please explain:

4.

If at least one of your answers is yes, please complete annex I.

Please note that annex I of this application form must include **all** EIA procedures and Natura 2000 site impact assessments which are required under the relevant national legislation for the project as it has been defined in the funding application concerned. In the case of very complex projects, or projects for which the relevant procedures are carried out in phases, it is recommended to submit separate annexes I, each of them grouping project parts of a relatively homogeneous structure and timing in terms of environmental procedures.

Compliance with the two nature directives is not limited to protection of Natura 2000 sites. It also covers obligations for site protection (linked to Natura 2000) and species protection (required by both the Habitats and Birds directives).

legal procedu				tivities subject to egislation?
	Yes		No	
If yes, please giv	ve details	:		

²⁶ I.e. under Articles 88, 226 or 228 of the Treaty;

1.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

(Full form to be completed for all parts of the works project or of the physical interventions in the context of a study, for which a separate EIA is required according to relevant national legislation)

Application of the Directive on Environmental Impact Assessment²⁷

1. Applicability of EIA Directive	
The activities fall under the categories of:	
• Annex I of Directive 85/337/EEC as amended by 97/11/EC	
• Annex II of Directive 85/337/EEC as amended by 97/11/EC	
Please explain:	
 Neither under Annex I nor Annex II of Directive 85/337/EEC as amended 97/11/EC 	d by
Please explain:	

²⁷ Directive 85/337/EEC on the assessment of the effects of certain public and private projects on the environment (OJ L 175 of 05/07.85) as amended by Directive 97/11/EC (OJ L 73 of 03.03.97)

• In case the activities fall under categories described in Annex II of Directive 85/337/EEC as amended by 97/11/EC, and no environmental impact assessment has been deemed necessary, explain this on the basis of nationally established criteria and/or thresholds and/or a case-by-case examination in the light of Annex III of Directive 85/337/EEC as amended by 97/11/EC:

2. Supporting documentation on EIA

In case the activities fall under the EIA Directive, provide a summary description²⁸ of the environmental impact, based on the assessments carried out in accordance with Directive 85/337/EEC, as amended by 97/11/EC, on the assessment of the effects of certain public and private projects on the environment²⁹.

•	Date upon	which p	public	consul	tation	started	

3. Development consent

Indicate whether the Devel	opment Conser	nt ³⁰ has b	een given:
Yes		No	
If yes on which date			

²⁸ This summary description must include the following documents:

a) the non-technical summary of the Environmental Impact Study carried out for the project;

b) the results of consultations of the competent environmental authorities;

c) the results of consultations with the public concerned.

d) in the case of projects where the formal request for the development consent (point 1 above) was introduced after 14 March 1999: the information referred to in article 9.1 of the Directive 85/337/EC as amended by article 11 of Directive 97/11/EC.

Note: In relation to b), c) and d) these may be represented in the form of a statement, conclusion or certification by the competent environmental authorities, indicating in what way the concerns of the designated consultees and concerned public have been taken into account.

In accordance with articles 7, 9 and 13 of Regulation 2236/95 EC, as amended by Regulation 1655/1999 EC, the Commission reserves the right to request from the Member State/project promoter concerned the submission of any relevant supplementary documentation, deemed necessary to verify full compliance with EU environmental legislation.

i.e. the decision of the competent authority or authorities which entitle the developer to proceed with the project

If no, when was the formal request for the Development Consent introduced, and by what date is the final decision expected?

Please specify the competent authority or authorities, which has/have given or will give the development consent

4. Incomplete EIA

In cases where an EIA is required but has not yet been completed, please

- give explanations (reasons, status of procedure, target time for completion etc.)
- give the date upon which consultation with the competent environmental authorities started
- give the date upon which public consultation started.

Member States / project promoters are obliged to submit missing documentation, by using this environmental section of the application form, as it has become available (ref: Articles 7, 9 and 13 of TENs Financial Regulation).

5. Other environmental measures

If yes, please specify:

Is it foreseen, apart from Environmental Impact, to undertake other assessments and/or environmental integration measures such as: environmental audit, environmental management, strategic environmental assessment, specific environmental monitoring)?

Yes	No	

EFFECTS ON NATURA 2000 SITES³¹

Are the activities likely to have a sign	significant negative effect on sites included or which
should be included in the relevant na	national Natura 2000 network? If appropriate, please
indicate also possible effects of the pr	project on sites in neighbouring countries.
Yes	No

If yes, please attach a copy of the completed form in Annex I-A³², including information on projects likely to have significant negative effect on Natura 2000 sites as notified to the Commission (DG Environment) under Directive 92/43/EEC.

If no, please complete the declaration in Annex I-B, and ensure that it is signed by the authority responsible for the implementation of Natura 2000.

³¹ These sites comprise:

a) Special Protection Areas classified or requiring classification under the "Birds" Directive $(79/409/EEC, OJ\ L\ 103\ of\ 25.04.79)$ and

b) Sites proposed or requiring proposal by Member States under Article 4 (1) of the "Habitats" Directive (92/43/EEC, OJ L206 of 22.07.92).

Document 99/7 rev.2 adopted by the Habitats Committee (Member States representatives established under Directive 92/43/EEC) at its meeting on 04.10.99.

ANNEX I-A

INFORMATION ON PROJECTS LIKELY TO HAVE SIGNIFICANT NEGATIVE EFFECT ON NATURA 2000 SITES AS NOTIFIED TO THE COMMISSION UNDER DIRECTIVE 92/43/EEC.

Document 99/7 rev.2 adopted by the Habitats Committee (Member States representatives established under Directive 92/43/EEC) at its meeting on 04.10.99.

Hab. 99/7 Rev. 2

Member State:		Date:
	mation to the Euro ng to Article 6 of th (Dir. 92/43/I	e Habitats Directive
Documentation sent for Competent national authorit Address:	☐ information/ (art. 6(4).1)	□ opinion/ (art. 6(4).2)
Contact person: Tel., fax, e-mail:		

1. PLAN OR PROJECT					
This site is					
□ a SPA under the Birds directive		a proposed SCI under the Habitat directive			
		hosting a priority habitat/species			
Summary of the plan or project having ar	n effect o	n the site:			

2. NEGATIVE EFFECTS
Summary of the assessment of the negative effects on the site :
N.B.: this summary should focus on the adverse effect expected on the habitats and species for which the site has been proposed for the Natura 2000 network, include the appropriate maps and describe the already decided mitigation measures

3. ALTERNATIVE SOLUTIONS	
Summary of alternative solutions studied by the Member State	•
Reasons why the competent national authorities have concluded that there is absence alternative solutions	of

	4. IMPERATIVE REASONS		
Reason to nevertheless carry out this plan or project :			
	Imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature (in the absence of priority habitat/species)		
	human health		
	public safety		
	beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment		
	other imperative reasons of overriding public interest		
Short description of the reason			

5. COMPENSATION MEASURES				
Foreseen compensatory measures and timetable:				

DECLARATION BY AUTHORITY RESPONSIBLE FOR NATURA 2000 SITES

Responsible Authority					
_	ined the project application ³³				
which is to be	e located at				
we declare th	at (tick the appropriate box):				
	the project is not likely to have significant effects on a Natura 2000 site on the following grounds:				
	Therefore an appropriate assessment required by Article 6 (3) was not deemed necessary.				
	following an appropriate assessment, according to Art. 6(3) of Directive 92/43/EEC, the project will not have significant negative effects on a Natura 2000 site.				
A map at scale of 1:100.000 (or the nearest possible scale) is attached, indicating the location of the project as well as the Natura 2000 sites concerned, if any.					
Signed:					
Official Seal:					

Taking into account the requirements of Art. 6(3) of Directive 92/43/EEC

COMPATIBILITY WITH OTHER COMMUNITY POLICIES:

INTEROPERABILITY (railway projects)

The competent authority,				
having considered the application for the project entitled:				
hereby declares that (tick appropriate box):				
	☐ the parts of the project conform to the essential requirements and the technical specifications for interoperability in force,			
	☐ prior notice of the intended derogation was served to the Commission in accordance with Article 7 of Directives 2001/16/EC and 1996/48/EC on			
	☐ neither of the above applies.			
	x: how do you justify this statement (study, assessment, independent expert tc.)? What process was used for the verification? Who was involved?			
specifica does not the proje economi	box: has the Commission been sent a dossier setting out the technical ations for interoperability (TSI) or the parts of the TSI which the Member State wish to have applied, the plans it intends to implement during the execution of ect to encourage interoperability over time, and the technical, administrative or c grounds which justify the derogation? If not, why not?			

<i>Third box:</i> for what technical, administration not served?	ve or economic reasons was prior notification
	Signature:
	Official stamp:

APPENDIX

Non Eligible Costs

The following costs are not eligible:

- indirect taxes and duties, including VAT,
- labour costs incurred by statutory employees of public administrations in the performance of normal administrative functions connected with the study or work, unless duly justified cases that may be accepted by the Commission,
- overhead costs, except duly justified cases that may be accepted by the Commission (in this case, for a maximum of 7%)
- interest paid, except in cases where the Community contribution takes the form of interest rate rebates in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 2236/95,
- provisions for possible future losses or charges,
- provisions for doubtful debts,
- resources made available to a beneficiary free of charge,
- the value of contributions in kind,
- marketing, sales and distribution costs for products and services,
- any cost incurred or reimbursed, in particular in respect of another Community, international or national project, costs that have been claimed for funding under previous Decisions or under other EC programmes
- entertainment expenses,
- excessive or reckless expenditure.
- maintenance costs
- operating costs
- contingencies