Perception Survey on the Quality of Life in European Cities 2019

Technical Report

EUROPEAN COMMISSION

Produced by on behalf of the Directorate-General for Regional and Urban Policy Unit B1 - Policy Development and Economic Analysis

E-mail: REGIO-B1-STUDIES@ec.europa.eu

European Commission

B-1000 Brussels

Table 1: Graz

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	0 (0%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	202.363
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	46.040
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	8.592
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	4,0
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	1.179 (2,3%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	209 (0,4%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	9,1%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	110
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	1,5%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	1,8%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	2,8%
Average length of complete interviews	12:38
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	3

Table 2: Wien

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	0 (0%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	213.711
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	49.494
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	9.647
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	4,0
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	889 (1,7%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	119 (0,2%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	10,3%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	73
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	1,4%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	1,6%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	1,7%
Average length of complete interviews	13:43
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	0

Table 3: Antwerpen

Target sample size	700

Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	0 (0%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	197.053
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	45.812
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	9.349
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	3,9
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	1.543 (3%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or	
residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of	655 (1,3%)
the contacts	
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	8,9%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	150
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	1,6%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	2,1%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	3,7%
Average length of complete interviews	13:38
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	0

Table 4: Bruxelles / Brussel

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	4 (0,6%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	208.315
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	48.990
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	14.979
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	3,7
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	1.488 (2,7%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	243 (0,4%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	15,8%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	149
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	1,4%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	1,6%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	3,1%
Average length of complete interviews	11:41
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	0

Table 5: Liège

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	0 (0%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	172.658
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	42.375
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	12.611
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	3,6
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	956 (2%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	451 (0,9%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	16,1%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	19
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	1,7%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	2,2%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	2,9%
Average length of complete interviews	12:18
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	0

Table 6: Burgas

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	0 (0%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	204.046
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	43.566
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	8.042
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	3,6
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	1.001 (1,8%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	218 (0,4%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	5,2%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	66
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	1,6%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	1,9%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	2,8%
Average length of complete interviews	12:31
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	0

Table 7: Sofia

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	0 (0%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	236.796
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	50.280
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	7.704
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	4,2
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	976 (1,7%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	205 (0,4%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	3,6%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	105
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	1,4%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	1,7%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	2,3%
Average length of complete interviews	12:01
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	0

Table 8: Zagreb

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	2 (0,3%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	377.849
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	78.334
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	12.694
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	4,5
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	1.248 (1,5%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	143 (0,2%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	2,9%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	144
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	0,9%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	1,0%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	1,8%
Average length of complete interviews	11:57
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	0

Table 9: Lefkosia

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	3 (0,4%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	214.018
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	48.763
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	11.796
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	4,2
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	1.145 (2,2%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	182 (0,4%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	8,0%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	164
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	1,4%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	1,6%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	2,5%
Average length of complete interviews	12:28
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	0

Table 10: Ostrava

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	0 (0%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	173.617
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	39.128
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	10.484
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	4,2
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	1.122 (2,7%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	194 (0,5%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	6,1%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	138
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	1,8%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	2,1%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	3,2%
Average length of complete interviews	11:10
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	0

Table 11: Praha

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	3 (0,4%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	167.970
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	37.285
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	11.011
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	4,2
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	1.239 (3,1%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	177 (0,4%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	6,6%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	209
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	1,9%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	2,1%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	3,5%
Average length of complete interviews	10:48
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	1

Table 12: Aalborg

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	0 (0%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	269.323
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	59.696
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	8.641
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	4,3
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	1.264 (2%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	271 (0,4%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	4,6%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	140
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	1,2%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	1,4%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	2,3%
Average length of complete interviews	10:43
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	3

Table 13: København

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	1 (0,1%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	226.851
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	50.401
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	10.022
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	4,3
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	1.168 (2,2%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	184 (0,3%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	5,7%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	110
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	1,4%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	1,6%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	2,4%
Average length of complete interviews	10:31
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	0

Table 14: Tallinn

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	0 (0%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	238.717
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	51.817
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	11.774
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	4,4
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	1.409 (2,6%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	105 (0,2%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	5,0%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	193
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	1,4%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	1,4%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	2,9%
Average length of complete interviews	11:27
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	0

Table 15: Helsinki / Helsingfors

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	0 (0%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	189.262
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	44.240
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	10.715
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	4,1
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	795 (1,7%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	584 (1,3%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	7,3%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	0
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	1,6%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	2,6%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	2,6%
Average length of complete interviews	13:42
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	1

Table 16: Oulu / Uleåborg

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	0 (0%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	254.665
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	60.394
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	14.530
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	4,0
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	1.171 (1,8%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	1.258 (2%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	9,2%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	0
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	1,2%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	2,2%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	2,6%
Average length of complete interviews	13:16
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	0

Table 17: Bordeaux

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	0 (0%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	245.781
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	56.413
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	18.360
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	3,9
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	1.182 (1,9%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	275 (0,4%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	12,9%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	243
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	1,2%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	1,5%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	2,4%
Average length of complete interviews	10:16
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	0

Table 18: Lille

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	0 (0%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	185.116
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	41.271
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	12.979
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	4,0
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	995 (2,1%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	150 (0,3%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	12,6%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	112
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	1,7%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	1,9%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	2,6%
Average length of complete interviews	11:35
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	0

Table 19: Marseille

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	0 (0%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	254.740
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	59.084
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	18.575
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	3,9
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	1.118 (1,7%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	211 (0,3%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	13,8%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	161
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	1,2%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	1,4%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	2,1%
Average length of complete interviews	11:00
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	0

Table 20: Rennes

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	0 (0%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	267.304
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	59.762
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	18.225
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	3,9
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	1.156 (1,7%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	139 (0,2%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	12,7%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	128
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	1,2%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	1,3%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	1,9%
Average length of complete interviews	11:04
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	0

Table 21: Strasbourg

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	2 (0,3%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	301.891
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	65.600
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	17.928
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	4,1
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	1.564 (2,1%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	205 (0,3%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	8,9%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	242
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	1,1%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	1,2%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	2,5%
Average length of complete interviews	10:23
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	1

Table 22: Paris

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	7 (1%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	310.036
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	69.895
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	18.814
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	4,1
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	1.498 (2%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	218 (0,3%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	11,5%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	262
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	1,0%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	1,1%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	2,2%
Average length of complete interviews	10:00
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	0

Table 23: Berlin

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	1 (0,1%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	176.575
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	40.865
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	13.140
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	3,3
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	1.211 (2,3%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	77 (0,1%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	15,8%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	69
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	1,7%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	1,8%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	2,9%
Average length of complete interviews	11:43
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	0

Table 24: Dortmund

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	0 (0%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	181.916
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	41.787
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	10.742
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	3,6
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	842 (1,7%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	212 (0,4%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	12,2%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	91
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	1,7%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	2,0%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	2,3%
Average length of complete interviews	11:39
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	0

Table 25: Essen

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	0 (0%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	200.724
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	46.597
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	11.352
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	3,9
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	1.061 (2%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	216 (0,4%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	11,3%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	124
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	1,5%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	1,8%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	2,7%
Average length of complete interviews	13:41
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	0

Table 26: Hamburg

Torget comple size	700
Target sample size	
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	1 (0,1%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	179.023
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	41.227
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	12.945
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	3,5
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	1.076 (2,1%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	172 (0,3%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	14,5%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	30
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	1,7%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	1,9%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	2,9%
Average length of complete interviews	11:55
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	0

Table 27: Leipzig

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	0 (0%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	190.282
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	43.992
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	13.080
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	3,6
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	1.098 (2,1%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	146 (0,3%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	14,8%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	99
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	1,6%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	1,8%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	2,6%
Average length of complete interviews	12:08
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	0

Table 28: München

To a decide the second	700
Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	0 (0%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	133.776
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	30.071
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	8.122
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	3,2
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	912 (2,2%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	149 (0,4%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	8,6%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	31
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	2,3%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	2,7%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	3,5%
Average length of complete interviews	11:57
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	0

Table 29: Rostock

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	0 (0%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	188.598
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	41.346
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	6.479
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	4,3
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	837 (1,9%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	157 (0,4%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	4,9%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	47
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	1,7%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	2,0%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	2,4%
Average length of complete interviews	13:36
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	3

Table 30: Athina

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	0 (0%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	289.091
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	64.063
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	12.166
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	4,3
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	1.436 (2,1%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	265 (0,4%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	5,8%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	264
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	1,1%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	1,3%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	2,6%
Average length of complete interviews	13:54
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	0

Table 31: Irakleio

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	0 (0%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	249.919
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	55.872
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	11.122
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	4,3
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	1.273 (2,2%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	195 (0,3%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	5,6%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	138
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	1,3%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	1,4%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	2,4%
Average length of complete interviews	10:39
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	0

Table 32: Budapest

To a decide the second	700
Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	0 (0%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	124.961
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	31.396
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	13.655
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	3,2
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	920 (2,3%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	174 (0,4%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	18,7%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	66
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	2,2%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	2,5%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	3,0%
Average length of complete interviews	10:52
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	0

Table 33: Miskolc

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	0 (0%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	115.516
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	26.985
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	9.713
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	3,6
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	1.151 (3,6%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	401 (1,2%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	9,9%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	52
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	2,6%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	3,4%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	3,6%
Average length of complete interviews	13:22
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	0

Table 34: Dublin

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	700 (100%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	180.321
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	39.120
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	11.511
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	3,7
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	970 (2%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	103 (0,2%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	11,6%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	0
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	1,8%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	2,0%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	2,5%
Average length of complete interviews	13:11
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	3

Table 35: Bologna

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	0 (0%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	127.240
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	36.763
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	22.478
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	3,1
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	1.090 (2,6%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	1.311 (3,2%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	26,9%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	146
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	2,0%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	3,1%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	4,8%
Average length of complete interviews	13:36
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	0

Table 36: Napoli

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	2 (0,3%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	111.866
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	29.278
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	19.879
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	3,4
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	2.280 (7%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	229 (0,7%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	22,6%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	127
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	2,4%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	2,6%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	8,2%
Average length of complete interviews	13:21
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	0

Table 37: Palermo

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	1 (0,1%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	119.659
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	31.428
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	23.480
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	3,2
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	1.349 (3,6%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	480 (1,3%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	21,8%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	185
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	2,3%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	2,8%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	5,3%
Average length of complete interviews	12:58
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	0

Table 38: Roma

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	2 (0,3%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	109.616
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	28.200
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	16.709
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	3,5
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	899 (2,8%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	525 (1,7%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	19,9%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	0
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	2,5%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	3,5%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	4,5%
Average length of complete interviews	12:03
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	0

Table 39: Torino

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	0 (0%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	148.560
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	42.720
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	29.415
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	3,1
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	1.275 (2,6%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	1.404 (2,9%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	27,2%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	87
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	1,7%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	2,6%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	4,5%
Average length of complete interviews	12:00
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	0

Table 40: Verona

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	0 (0%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	130.329
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	36.161
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	21.074
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	3,0
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	1.179 (2,8%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	1.408 (3,3%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	25,5%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	17
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	2,0%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	3,1%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	5,2%
Average length of complete interviews	12:28
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	0

Table 41: Vilnius

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	0 (0%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	228.927
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	51.099
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	13.992
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	4,2
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	1.601 (2,9%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	180 (0,3%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	8,0%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	237
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	1,4%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	1,5%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	3,5%
Average length of complete interviews	13:21
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	0

Table 42: Luxembourg

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	9 (1,3%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	222.212
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	48.197
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	12.920
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	3,7
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	1.181 (2%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	147 (0,2%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	6,4%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	132
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	1,5%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	1,6%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	2,7%
Average length of complete interviews	10:58
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	0

Table 43: Rīga

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	0 (0%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	163.321
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	37.481
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	11.467
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	4,1
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	1.083 (2,7%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	477 (1,2%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	6,7%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	123
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	1,9%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	2,6%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	4,0%
Average length of complete interviews	11:00
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	0

Table 44: Valletta

	700
Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	4 (0,6%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	164.051
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	37.908
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	5.906
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	3,9
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	978 (2,3%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	869 (2,1%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	2,9%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	29
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	1,9%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	3,4%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	4,3%
Average length of complete interviews	10:30
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	0

Table 45: Amsterdam

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	2 (0,3%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	220.560
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	53.116
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	11.628
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	4,0
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	1.509 (2,7%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	446 (0,8%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	8,2%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	277
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	1,3%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	1,7%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	3,6%
Average length of complete interviews	13:14
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	0

Table 46: Groningen

Target comple size	700
Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	1 (0,1%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	176.069
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	41.333
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	9.393
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	4,1
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	1.328 (3,1%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	277 (0,6%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	6,6%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	228
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	1,7%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	2,0%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	3,7%
Average length of complete interviews	11:28
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	0

Table 47: Rotterdam

700
700
3 (0,4%)
288.666
68.045
19.793
4,1
1.832 (2,6%)
372 (0,5%)
8,3%
368
1,0%
1,2%
2,7%
10:15
1

Table 48: Białystok

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	0 (0%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	104.638
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	26.185
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	8.871
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	3,4
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	853 (2,8%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	247 (0,8%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	18,9%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	61
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	2,7%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	3,2%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	3,9%
Average length of complete interviews	10:44
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	0

Table 49: Gdańsk

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	0 (0%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	96.874
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	23.329
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	8.244
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	3,5
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	818 (2,9%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	345 (1,2%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	16,7%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	34
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	3,0%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	3,9%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	4,6%
Average length of complete interviews	10:03
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	0

Table 50: Kraków

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	0 (0%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	114.077
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	28.297
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	10.251
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	3,5
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	942 (2,9%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	387 (1,2%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	19,7%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	135
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	2,5%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	3,2%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	4,1%
Average length of complete interviews	13:29
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	0

Table 51: Warszawa

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	0 (0%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	121.694
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	29.269
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	10.379
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	3,5
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	953 (2,8%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	305 (0,9%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	17,4%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	70
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	2,4%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	3,0%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	3,9%
Average length of complete interviews	13:17
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	0

Table 52: Braga

Tamak annula sia	700
Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	0 (0%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	142.224
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	33.414
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	16.331
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	3,8
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	1.512 (4%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	194 (0,5%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	13,1%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	69
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	2,1%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	2,3%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	4,8%
Average length of complete interviews	13:13
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	1

Table 53: Lisboa

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	0 (0%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	132.861
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	31.480
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	14.715
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	3,5
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	1.449 (3,8%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	45 (0,1%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	12,2%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	106
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	2,2%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	2,3%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	4,3%
Average length of complete interviews	11:45
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	1

Table 54: București

Target comple size	700
Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	0 (0%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	99.404
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	29.208
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	16.621
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	2,5
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	1.500 (3,8%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	513 (1,3%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	23,8%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	299
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	2,4%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	2,9%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	6,2%
Average length of complete interviews	12:45
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	0
Total Hamber of interviews removed due to low quality	

Table 55: Cluj-Napoca

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	0 (0%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	49.087
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	16.448
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	11.080
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	2,1
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	963 (4,1%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	1.596 (6,8%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	25,8%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	85
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	4,7%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	7,0%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	9,7%
Average length of complete interviews	13:45
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	0

Table 56: Piatra Neamţ

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	0 (0%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	39.768
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	9.700
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	5.520
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	2,0
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	871 (4,4%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	447 (2,2%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	15,0%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	123
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	7,6%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	9,4%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	11,3%
Average length of complete interviews	11:30
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	0

Table 57: Bratislava

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	1 (0,1%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	152.355
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	36.511
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	8.936
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	4,0
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	1.040 (2,7%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	228 (0,6%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	10,0%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	36
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	1,9%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	2,3%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	3,1%
Average length of complete interviews	13:38
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	0

Table 58: Košice

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	0 (0%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	231.474
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	50.813
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	9.491
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	4,3
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	1.171 (2,2%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	93 (0,2%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	5,4%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	68
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	1,4%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	1,5%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	2,5%
Average length of complete interviews	11:57
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	0

Table 59: Ljubljana

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	0 (0%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	120.870
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	35.789
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	20.735
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	3,1
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	877 (2,3%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	549 (1,4%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	26,0%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	40
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	2,0%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	2,7%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	3,2%
Average length of complete interviews	09:48
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	0

Table 60: Barcelona

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	1 (0,1%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	218.353
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	50.088
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	8.856
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	4,2
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	1.075 (2,1%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	407 (0,8%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	5,7%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	135
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	1,4%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	1,9%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	2,9%
Average length of complete interviews	11:47
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	0

Table 61: Madrid

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	0 (0%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	226.871
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	51.238
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	10.168
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	4,3
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	928 (1,7%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	610 (1,1%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	5,8%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	59
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	1,4%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	2,2%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	2,9%
Average length of complete interviews	12:02
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	3

Table 62: Málaga

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	0 (0%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	235.412
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	54.734
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	15.012
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	4,0
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	1.391 (2,4%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	511 (0,9%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	8,0%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	306
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	1,3%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	1,7%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	3,2%
Average length of complete interviews	10:37
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	0

Table 63: Oviedo

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	0 (0%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	213.871
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	51.207
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	15.141
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	4,0
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	1.337 (2,5%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	533 (1%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	8,9%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	200
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	1,4%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	1,8%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	3,5%
Average length of complete interviews	11:06
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	2

Table 64: Malmö

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	0 (0%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	190.580
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	42.990
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	10.069
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	4,0
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	1.033 (2,2%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	177 (0,4%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	7,6%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	91
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	1,6%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	1,9%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	2,6%
Average length of complete interviews	10:47
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	0

Table 65: Stockholm

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	1 (0,1%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	216.094
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	47.281
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	8.851
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	4,1
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	1.163 (2,2%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	156 (0,3%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	5,5%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	121
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	1,5%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	1,7%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	2,5%
Average length of complete interviews	10:56
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	0

Table 66: Belfast

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	700 (100%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	264.902
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	61.299
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	11.481
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	4,1
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	1.447 (2,3%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	244 (0,4%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	6,1%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	207
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	1,1%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	1,3%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	2,5%
Average length of complete interviews	10:48
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	0

Table 67: Cardiff

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	700 (100%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	249.162
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	55.525
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	9.883
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	4,2
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	1.152 (2%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	189 (0,3%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	5,3%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	167
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	1,3%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	1,5%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	2,3%
Average length of complete interviews	10:54
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	0
<u> </u>	

Table 68: Glasgow

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	700 (100%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	213.418
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	46.870
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	7.340
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	4,3
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	962 (1,9%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	116 (0,2%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	4,9%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	52
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	1,5%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	1,7%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	2,2%
Average length of complete interviews	11:11
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	0

Table 69: London

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	700 (100%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	234.590
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	52.459
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	11.887
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	4,2
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	1.264 (2,2%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	109 (0,2%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	6,2%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	232
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	1,3%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	1,4%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	2,6%
Average length of complete interviews	11:38
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	0

Table 70: Manchester

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	700 (100%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	234.667
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	52.927
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	8.892
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	4,1
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	1.167 (2,1%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	59 (0,1%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	5,0%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	165
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	1,3%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	1,4%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	2,2%
Average length of complete interviews	11:29
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	1

Table 71: Tyneside conurbation

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	700 (100%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	233.005
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	51.206
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	7.211
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	4,0
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	991 (1,7%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	109 (0,2%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	4,0%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	123
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	1,4%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	1,5%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	2,1%
Average length of complete interviews	11:06
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	0

Table 72: Reykjavík

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	0 (0%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	108.161
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	28.886
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	13.530
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	2,8
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	1.016 (2,6%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	45 (0,1%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	22,5%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	64
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	2,4%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	2,5%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	3,1%
Average length of complete interviews	10:22
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	1

Table 73: Oslo

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	0 (0%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	149.027
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	35.346
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	10.528
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	3,7
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	842 (2,1%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	197 (0,5%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	15,3%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	89
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	2,0%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	2,3%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	2,8%
Average length of complete interviews	13:19
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	0

Table 74: Genève

Target comple size	700
Target sample size	
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	0 (0%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	169.882
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	39.557
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	6.927
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	4,1
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	880 (2,1%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	76 (0,2%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	6,2%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	57
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	1,8%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	1,9%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	2,3%
Average length of complete interviews	11:49
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	4

Table 75: Zürich

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	0 (0%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	274.764
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	63.159
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	11.254
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	4,2
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	1.094 (1,7%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	72 (0,1%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	6,9%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	77
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	1,1%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	1,2%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	1,7%
Average length of complete interviews	11:11
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	2

Table 76: Tirana

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	0 (0%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	128.927
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	37.689
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	16.243
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	2,9
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	1.690 (3,8%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	2.186 (5%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	17,1%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	415
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	2,0%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	3,4%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	8,0%
Average length of complete interviews	12:29
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	0

Table 77: Skopje

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	0 (0%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	181.133
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	41.128
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	9.494
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	4,1
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	2.381 (5,4%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	554 (1,2%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	9,2%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	105
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	1,7%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	2,1%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	6,9%
Average length of complete interviews	12:34
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	0

Table 78: Podgorica

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	0 (0%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	182.987
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	44.264
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	13.167
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	3,1
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	1.034 (1,8%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	152 (0,3%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	10,5%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	0
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	1,6%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	1,8%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	1,8%
Average length of complete interviews	13:47
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	1

Table 79: Beograd

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	0 (0%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	125.276
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	30.597
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	10.271
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	3,6
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	1.796 (5,2%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	447 (1,3%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	14,1%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	429
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	2,3%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	2,8%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	6,9%
Average length of complete interviews	12:47
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	1

Table 80: Ankara

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	0 (0%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	85.818
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	25.146
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	18.104
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	2,5
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	1.946 (5,7%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	1.848 (5,4%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	20,5%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	79
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	3,0%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	4,3%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	11,5%
Average length of complete interviews	12:36
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	0

Table 81: Istanbul

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	0 (0%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	78.239
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	23.212
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	20.241
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	2,6
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	2.235 (7,4%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	1.026 (3,4%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	27,2%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	24
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	3,2%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	3,8%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	11,9%
Average length of complete interviews	13:11
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	0

Table 82: Antalya

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	0 (0%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	63.302
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	18.558
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	13.775
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	2,9
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	2.197 (10%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	203 (0,9%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	26,0%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	119
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	3,8%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	4,0%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	12,6%
Average length of complete interviews	12:23
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	0

Table 83: Diyarbakir

Target sample size	700
Total number of complete interviews	700
Total number of complete interviews conducted in English	0 (0%)
Total number of contact attempts made (over all sample units)	63.720
Total number of sample units used (i.e., telephone numbers used)	15.458
Total number of contacts made (i.e., telephone numbers reached)	7.472
Average number of contact attempts per sample unit	2,6
Total number of confirmed eligible respondents (count + percentage of contacts)	1.654 (6,7%)
Total number of confirmed ineligible respondents (due to too low age or residence outside of the targeted city regions), as count and as a percentage of the contacts	452 (1,8%)
Total number of refusals, as percentage of the total number of contacts made	11,4%
Total number of partial (interrupted) interviews	216
Response rate: AAPOR RR1	4,7%
Response rate: AAPOR RR3	5,5%
Response rate: AAPOR RR4	12,6%
Average length of complete interviews	12:56
Total number of interviews removed due to low quality	0

Annex 1 – response rate breakdown

The tables below give a more detailed look into the response rates per city, and what the outcome was from the contact attempts from the start (total sample drawn) until the final completed sample. It allows to monitor where along the way respondents are 'lost' (or never reached), and makes a distinction between 3 concepts:

- **Contact rate:** this is the percentage of respondents that was reached, from the total usable and used sample.
- Cooperation rate: this is the percentage of respondents that agreed and was capable to start the interview that is, excluding refusals from the total group of respondents that were reached. Note that respondents that agreed on an appointment but were never called back of never responded to a callback were, for the purposes of this response rate calculation, also counted as refusals. While this inflates the refusal rate (group E) somewhat, it is in any case correct to say that they never started the interview and thus do not belong in group G.
- **Completion rate:** this is the percentage of respondents that finished the interview once started. Here too, respondents that had to stop an interview halfway and set an appointment to finish the interview at a later point but never did so were counted as interruptions (group H).

The final response rate corresponds to the AAPOR RR1 given in the main technical report tables above.

Response rate breakdown	Aalborg	Amsterdam	Ankara	Antalya	Antwerpen	Athina	Barcelona
A. Total sample drawn	61985	55024	34412	21958	50612	67690	51460
B. Working numbers	59696	53116	24986	18329	44993	64063	50088
(including numbers where nobody could be reached)							
C. Households with no eligible	271	446	1848	203	655	265	407
respondents		110	1010	200	000	200	107
D. Respondents contacted	8370	11182	16256	13572	8694	11901	8449
I. CONTACT RATE (D/(B-C))	14.1%	21.2%	70.3%	74.9%	19.6%	18.7%	17.0%
E. Respondent refusals	6989	9365	14349	11331	7138	10230	7122
(including appointments that never resulted in an interview)							
F. Respondent incapable	61	87	17	0	193	86	52
(e.g., because of language problems)							
G. Agreed to interview and	1320	1730	1890	2241	1363	1585	1275
interview started							
II. COOPERATION RATE	15.8%	15.5%	11.6%	16.5%	15.7%	13.3%	15.1%
(G/D)		101070					
H. Interrupted interviews	617	1030	1190	1541	663	885	575
(including appointments to continue interview at later							
continue interview at later	1		l				

RESPONSE RATE (I*II*III)	1.2%	1.3%	3.0%	3.9%	1.6%	1.1%	1.4%
(J/G)							
III. COMPLETION RATE	53.0%	40.5%	37.0%	31.2%	51.4%	44.2%	54.9%
(excluding those with quality issues)	700	700	700	700	700	700	700
I. Interviews removed due to quality issues J. Completed interview	700	700	700	700	700	700	700
point that never resulted in a complete interview)							

Response rate breakdown	Belfast	Beograd	Berlin	Bialystok	Bologna	Bordeaux	Braga
A. Total sample drawn	63939	34555	52733	30608	41372	62510	37892
B. Working numbers (including numbers where nobody could be reached)	61299	30546	40710	26172	36675	56266	33328
C. Households with no eligible respondents	244	447	77	247	1311	275	194
D. Respondents contacted	11237	9824	13063	8624	21167	18085	16137
I. CONTACT RATE (D/(B-C))	18.4%	32.6%	32.1%	33.3%	59.9%	32.3%	48.7%
E. Respondent refusals (including appointments that never resulted in an interview)	9719	7980	11694	7713	19973	16845	14632
F. Respondent incapable (e.g., because of language problems)	47	0	79	0	0	16	7
G. Agreed to interview and interview started	1471	1844	1290	911	1194	1224	1498
II. COOPERATION RATE (G/D)	13.1%	18.8%	9.9%	10.6%	5.6%	6.8%	9.3%
H. Interrupted interviews (including appointments to continue interview at later point that never resulted in a complete interview)	771	1143	590	211	494	524	797
I. Interviews removed due to quality issues	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
J. Completed interview (excluding those with quality issues)	700	700	700	700	700	700	700
III. COMPLETION RATE (J/G)	47.6%	38.0%	54.3%	76.8%	58.6%	57.2%	46.7%
RESPONSE RATE (I*II*III)	1.1%	2.3%	1.7%	2.7%	2.0%	1.3%	2.1%

Response rate breakdown A. Total sample drawn	Bratislava 38000	Bruxelles / Brussel 56142	Bucuresti 39442	Budapest 39298	Burgas 55955	Cardiff 58648	Cluj- Napoca 23568
B. Working numbers (including numbers where nobody could be reached)	36511	48884	29161	31154	43566	55525	16315

C. Households with no eligible respondents	228	243	513	174	218	189	1596
D. Respondents contacted	8708	14736	16108	13481	7824	9694	9484
I. CONTACT RATE (D/(B-C))	24.0%	30.3%	56.2%	43.5%	18.0%	17.5%	64.4%
E. Respondent refusals (including appointments that never resulted in an interview)	7688	13064	14366	12601	6729	8419	8455
F. Respondent incapable (e.g., because of language problems)	6	264	78	11	33	22	13
G. Agreed to interview and interview started	1014	1408	1664	869	1062	1253	1016
II. COOPERATION RATE (G/D)	11.6%	9.6%	10.3%	6.4%	13.6%	12.9%	10.7%
H. Interrupted interviews (including appointments to continue interview at later point that never resulted in a complete interview)	314	708	964	169	362	553	316
I. Interviews removed due to quality issues	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
J. Completed interview (excluding those with quality issues)	700	700	700	700	700	700	700
III. COMPLETION RATE (J/G)	69.0%	49.7%	42.1%	80.6%	65.9%	55.9%	68.9%
RESPONSE RATE (I*II*III)	1.9%	1.4%	2.4%	2.3%	1.6%	1.3%	4.8%

Response rate breakdown	Diyarbakir	Dortmund	Dublin	Essen	Gdansk	Genève	Glasgow
A. Total sample drawn	24679	49880	49148	51778	27776	40980	49533
B. Working numbers (including numbers where nobody could be reached)	15458	41292	38967	46140	23316	39557	46870
C. Households with no eligible respondents	452	212	103	216	345	76	116
D. Respondents contacted	7020	10530	11408	11136	7899	6851	7224
I. CONTACT RATE (D/(B-C))	46.8%	25.6%	29.4%	24.2%	34.4%	17.4%	15.5%
E. Respondent refusals (including appointments that never resulted in an interview)	5381	9497	10485	9967	7049	5908	6150
F. Respondent incapable (e.g., because of language problems)	0	94	18	52	0	6	16
G. Agreed to interview and interview started	1639	939	905	1117	850	937	1058
II. COOPERATION RATE (G/D)	23.3%	8.9%	7.9%	10.0%	10.8%	13.7%	14.6%

H. Interrupted interviews (including appointments to continue interview at later point that never resulted in a complete interview)	939	239	202	417	150	233	358
I. Interviews removed due to quality issues	0	0	3	0	0	4	0
J. Completed interview (excluding those with quality issues)	700	700	700	700	700	700	700
III. COMPLETION RATE (J/G)	42.7%	74.5%	77.3%	62.7%	82.4%	74.7%	66.2%
RESPONSE RATE (I*II*III)	4.7%	1.7%	1.8%	1.5%	3.0%	1.8%	1.5%

RESPONSE RATE (I*II*III)	1.5%	1.7%	1.7%	1.6%	1.3%	3.2%	1.4%
(J/G)	44.0 /0	40.7 /0	J9.0 /0	01.370	J4.U /0	31.0 /	30.3 /6
III. COMPLETION RATE	44.8%	48.7%	59.8%	87.3%	54.6%	31.8%	58.3%
J. Completed interview (excluding those with quality issues)	700	700	700	700	700	700	700
I. Interviews removed due to quality issues	3	0	0	1	0	0	0
H. Interrupted interviews (including appointments to continue interview at later point that never resulted in a complete interview)	858	738	471	101	581	1503	500
II. COOPERATION RATE (G/D)	18.6%	15.8%	9.2%	7.9%	11.7%	11.5%	12.2%
interview started							
F. Respondent incapable (e.g., because of language problems) G. Agreed to interview and	22 1561	48 1438	1171	86	1281	23	58 1200
E. Respondent refusals (including appointments that never resulted in an interview)	6800	7630	11538	9243	9599	16989	8580
I. CONTACT RATE (D/(B-C))	18.3%	22.2%	31.4%	23.3%	19.6%	86.9%	19.6%
·					10021	10210	
C. Households with no eligible respondents D. Respondents contacted	209 8383	277 9116	172 12773	584 10131	195 10927	1026 19215	184 9838
B. Working numbers (including numbers where nobody could be reached)	45965	41333	40840	44135	55872	23129	50401
A. Total sample drawn	50822	42663	50882	46496	57808	30033	52911
breakdown	Graz	Groningen	Hamburg	Helsingfors	Irakleio	Istanbul	Københavr

Response rate breakdown	Košice	Kraków	Lefkosia	Leipzig	Liège	Lille	Lisboa
A. Total sample drawn	54014	32941	51483	53395	47826	46412	37652
B. Working numbers (including numbers where nobody could be reached)	50813	28297	48763	43629	42213	41226	31386
C. Households with no eligible respondents	93	387	182	146	451	150	45
D. Respondents contacted	9398	9864	11614	12934	12160	12829	14670
I. CONTACT RATE (D/(B-C))	18.5%	35.3%	23.9%	29.7%	29.1%	31.2%	46.8%
E. Respondent refusals (including appointments that never resulted in an interview)	8038	8935	10320	11773	10873	11840	13303
F. Respondent incapable (e.g., because of language problems)	42	30	48	25	358	16	0
G. Agreed to interview and interview started	1318	899	1246	1136	929	973	1367
II. COOPERATION RATE (G/D)	14.0%	9.1%	10.7%	8.8%	7.6%	7.6%	9.3%
H. Interrupted interviews (including appointments to continue interview at later point that never resulted in a complete interview)	618	199	546	436	229	273	666
I. Interviews removed due to quality issues	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
J. Completed interview (excluding those with quality issues)	700	700	700	700	700	700	700
III. COMPLETION RATE (J/G)	53.1%	77.9%	56.2%	61.6%	75.3%	71.9%	51.2%
RESPONSE RATE (I*II*III)	1.4%	2.5%	1.4%	1.6%	1.7%	1.7%	2.2%

Response rate breakdown	Ljubljana	London	Luxembourg	Madrid	Málaga	Malmö	Manchester
A. Total sample drawn	38453	56247	59361	53299	58534	47798	56872
B. Working numbers (including numbers where nobody could be reached)	35058	52459	48197	51238	54734	42990	52927
C. Households with no eligible respondents	549	109	147	610	511	177	59
D. Respondents contacted	20186	11778	12773	9558	14501	9892	8833
I. CONTACT RATE (D/(B-C))	58.5%	22.5%	26.6%	18.9%	26.7%	23.1%	16.7%
E. Respondent refusals (including appointments that never resulted in an interview)	19221	10313	11471	8481	12935	8820	7592
F. Respondent incapable (e.g., because of language problems)	0	48	24	23	78	27	21

G. Agreed to interview and interview started	965	1417	1278	1054	1488	1045	1220
II. COOPERATION RATE (G/D)	4.8%	12.0%	10.0%	11.0%	10.3%	10.6%	13.8%
H. Interrupted interviews (including appointments to continue interview at later point that never resulted in a complete interview)	265	717	578	351	788	345	519
I. Interviews removed due to quality issues	0	0	0	3	0	0	1
J. Completed interview (excluding those with quality issues)	700	700	700	700	700	700	700
III. COMPLETION RATE (J/G)	72.5%	49.4%	54.8%	66.4%	47.0%	67.0%	57.4%
RESPONSE RATE (I*II*III)	2.0%	1.3%	1.5%	1.4%	1.3%	1.6%	1.3%

_							Oulu /
Response rate breakdown	Marseille	Miskolc	München	Napoli	Oslo	Ostrava	Uleåborg
A. Total sample drawn	64855	32292	41822	32505	40636	40892	63522
B. Working numbers (including numbers where nobody could be reached)	58957	26900	29887	29206	35346	39128	59949
C. Households with no eligible respondents	211	401	149	229	197	194	1258
D. Respondents contacted	18364	9312	7973	19650	10331	10290	13272
I. CONTACT RATE (D/(B-C))	31.3%	35.1%	26.8%	67.8%	29.4%	26.4%	22.6%
E. Respondent refusals (including appointments that never resulted in an interview)	17216	8560	6883	17291	9394	9031	12348
F. Respondent incapable (e.g., because of language problems)	42	0	45	16	67	48	24
G. Agreed to interview and interview started	1106	752	1045	2343	870	1211	900
II. COOPERATION RATE (G/D)	6.0%	8.1%	13.1%	11.9%	8.4%	11.8%	6.8%
H. Interrupted interviews (including appointments to continue interview at later point that never resulted in a complete interview)	406	52	345	1643	170	511	200
I. Interviews removed due to quality issues	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
J. Completed interview (excluding those with quality issues)	700	700	700	700	700	700	700
III. COMPLETION RATE (J/G)	63.3%	93.1%	67.0%	29.9%	80.5%	57.8%	77.8%

RESPONSE RATE (I*II*III)	1.2%	2.6%	2.4%	2.4%	2.0%	1.8%	1.2%

				Piatra			
Response rate breakdown	Oviedo	Palermo	Paris	Neamt	Podgorica	Praha	Riga
A. Total sample drawn	53259	37099	75516	19898	58471	40017	40086
B. Working numbers (including numbers where nobody could be reached)	51207	31407	69751	9628	42944	37285	37481
C. Households with no eligible respondents	533	480	218	447	152	177	477
D. Respondents contacted	14608	23000	18596	5073	13015	10834	10990
I. CONTACT RATE (D/(B-C))	28.8%	74.4%	26.7%	55.3%	30.4%	29.2%	29.7%
E. Respondent refusals (including appointments that never resulted in an interview)	13043	21552	17138	4075	12099	9465	9524
F. Respondent incapable (e.g., because of language problems)	70	0	42	0	175	24	99
G. Agreed to interview and interview started	1495	1448	1416	998	741	1345	1367
II. COOPERATION RATE (G/D)	10.2%	6.3%	7.6%	19.7%	5.7%	12.4%	12.4%
H. Interrupted interviews (including appointments to continue interview at later point that never resulted in a complete interview)	793	748	716	298	40	644	667
I. Interviews removed due to quality issues	2	0	0	0	1	1	0
J. Completed interview (excluding those with quality issues)	700	700	700	700	700	700	700
III. COMPLETION RATE (J/G)	46.8%	48.3%	49.4%	70.1%	94.5%	52.0%	51.2%
RESPONSE RATE (I*II*III)	1.4%	2.3%	1.0%	7.6%	1.6%	1.9%	1.9%

Response rate breakdown	Rennes	Reykjavík	Roma	Rostock	Rotterdam	Skopje	Sofia
A. Total sample drawn	68274	38538	31572	44179	71251	44481	56219
B. Working numbers (including numbers where nobody could be reached)	59597	27481	28115	41012	68045	41076	50280
C. Households with no eligible respondents	139	45	525	157	372	554	205
D. Respondents contacted	18086	13485	16184	6322	19421	8940	7499
I. CONTACT RATE (D/(B-C))	30.4%	49.2%	58.7%	15.5%	28.7%	22.1%	15.0%
E. Respondent refusals (including appointments that never resulted in an interview)	16994	11802	15230	5456	17596	6410	6392

F. Respondent incapable (e.g., because of language problems)	15	761	7	29	104	0	26
G. Agreed to interview and interview started	1077	922	947	837	1721	2530	1081
II. COOPERATION RATE (G/D)	6.0%	6.8%	5.9%	13.2%	8.9%	28.3%	14.4%
H. Interrupted interviews (including	377	221	247	134	1020	1830	381
appointments to continue interview at later point that never resulted in a complete interview)							
I. Interviews removed due to quality issues	0	1	0	3	1	0	0
J. Completed interview (excluding those with quality issues)	700	700	700	700	700	700	700
III. COMPLETION RATE (J/G)	65.0%	75.9%	73.9%	83.6%	40.7%	27.7%	64.8%
RESPONSE RATE (I*II*III)	1.2%	2.6%	2.5%	1.7%	1.0%	1.7%	1.4%

						Tyneside	
Response rate breakdown	Stockholm	Strasbourg	Tallinn	Tirana	Torino	conurbation	Valletta
A. Total sample drawn	52700	74425	54519	44110	48273	57560	42042
B. Working numbers (including numbers where nobody could be reached)	47281	65444	51817	36782	42548	51206	37819
C. Households with no eligible respondents	156	205	105	2186	1404	109	869
D. Respondents contacted	8695	17723	11669	14057	28011	7102	5037
I. CONTACT RATE (D/(B-C))	18.5%	27.2%	22.6%	40.6%	68.1%	13.9%	13.6%
E. Respondent refusals (including appointments that never resulted in an interview)	7446	16161	10081	12370	26639	6014	4088
F. Respondent incapable (e.g., because of language problems)	53	55	52	0	32	24	49
G. Agreed to interview and interview started	1196	1507	1536	1687	1340	1064	900
II. COOPERATION RATE (G/D)	13.8%	8.5%	13.2%	12.0%	4.8%	15.0%	17.9%
H. Interrupted interviews (including appointments to continue interview at later point that never resulted in a complete interview)	496	806	836	987	640	364	200
I. Interviews removed due to quality issues	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
J. Completed interview (excluding those with quality issues)	700	700	700	700	700	700	700

III. COMPLETION RATE (J/G)	58.5%	46.4%	45.6%	41.5%	52.2%	65.8%	77.8%
RESPONSE RATE (I*II*III)	1.5%	1.1%	1.4%	2.0%	1.7%	1.4%	1.9%

Response rate breakdown	Verona	Vilnius	Warszawa	Wien	Zagreb	Zürich
A. Total sample drawn	42833	54428	34367	53667	84326	65447
B. Working numbers (including numbers where nobody could be reached)	36111	51099	29258	49381	78334	63159
C. Households with no eligible respondents	1408	180	305	119	143	72
D. Respondents contacted	19666	13812	10074	9528	12551	11182
I. CONTACT RATE (D/(B-C))	56.7%	27.1%	34.8%	19.3%	16.1%	17.7%
E. Respondent refusals (including appointments that never resulted in an interview)	18467	11967	9121	8494	11203	10108
F. Respondent incapable (e.g., because of language problems)	0	34	43	33	50	19
G. Agreed to interview and interview started	1199	1811	910	1001	1298	1055
II. COOPERATION RATE (G/D)	6.1%	13.1%	9.0%	10.5%	10.3%	9.4%
H. Interrupted interviews (including appointments to continue interview at later point that never resulted in a complete interview)	499	1111	210	301	598	353
I. Interviews removed due to quality issues	0	0	0	0	0	2
J. Completed interview (excluding those with quality issues)	700	700	700	700	700	700
III. COMPLETION RATE (J/G)	58.4%	38.7%	76.9%	69.9%	53.9%	66.4%
RESPONSE RATE (I*II*III)	2.0%	1.4%	2.4%	1.4%	0.9%	1.1%