

SWECO X





Framework Contract

Collecting solid evidence to assess the needs to be addressed by Interreg cross-border cooperation programmes

Alessandro Valenza (Sweco Consortium)

Brussels, 18th October









Overview of the presentation











Methodology













Overview of the methodology











What do we mean by needs?

- We retain as needs for the scope of the study:
 Obstacles: barriers hindering growth & development of border regions
 Ex: Languages barriers
 - **Potentials:** untapped resources for growth & development Ex: Shared natural and protected areas

• How did we identify these needs?







NORDREGIO

Nordic Centre for Spatial Develo



Identification of the needs: inventory of 37 needs

t33 sound policy

Cultural obstacles		Normative and institution	nal obstacles	Ve
Renewable energy Low capacity Low capacity Low capacity Low capacity contential (wind) Potential from shared	in environr	nental risks management		ss le des
/ulnerability to climate change Competitiveness potentia		of cross-border l ial and human capital	w awareness health rights	t acces attituc
Difficulty in recognition of foreign diplomas Market integration potential Low awareness of cross-border	Renewable en potential into voltaic pit bit bit bit bit bit bit bit bit bit b	Socio-economic disp ferences in social insurance ficulty in physical accessibil ential of maritime vities ces for CB functional urban s by bus, rail, light rail and r	titutional cap cooperation iculty with ri	to pension Internet Re-nationalization









Filtering the "suitable" obstacles for CBC programmes

Cultural obstacles

Normative and institutional obstacles

Vulnerability to climate change

Socio-economic disparities

Difficulty in physical accessibility

Lack of harmonisation of public transport services by bus, rail, light rail and metro

Obstacles **Potentials**









Filtering the "suitable" potentials for CBC programmes

Cultural obstacles

Normative and institutional obstacles

Low capacity in environmental risks management Potential from shared management of natural resources Low av

Vulnerability to climate change

Competitiveness potential

Market integration potential

Difficulty in recognition of foreign diplomas

Social and human capital

Socio-economic disparities

Differences in social insurance rights

Difficulty in physical accessibility

Potential of maritime activities

Potential of integrated services for CB functional urban areas Lack of harmonisation of public transport services by bus, rail, light rail and metro

Obstacles Potentials









Ranking the needs in terms of "affordability" for cross-border programmes

Cultural obstacles

Normative and institutional obstacles

Low capacity in environmental risks management tential (wind) Potential from shared management of natural resources

Vulnerability to climate change

Competitiveness potential

Market integration potential

Difficulty in recognition of foreign diplomas

Social and human capital

Socio-economic disparities

Differences in social insurance rights

Difficulty in physical accessibility

Potential of maritime activities

Potential of integrated services for CB functional urban areas









Set of indicators













Set of indicators









































Data sources for the indicators











Example: Physical obstacles

Perception



Objective data





Rivers

Mountains

16

GIS elaborations based on DG REGIO 2008 data

Eurobarometer survey 422 (2015)









Example: Human and social capital potential

Objective data



Internal trust index



Volunteerism index



Human capital index



EVS survey (2008-2009) *Elaborations on Eurostat data (2011)*









Example: Competitiveness potential

Objective data



Patent applications index



Trade marks applications index



Industrial activity index

Eurostat (2012)

Eurostat (2012)

Elaborations on Eurostat data (2015)

18









Geographical dimension: 62 border regions

NUTS 3 of the border regions

Area not included in the study



45 land border regions



17 maritime border regions









Geographical dimension: 62 border regions



45 land border regions









Methodology











Database











Maps: Number of cities in land borders





Cities within the buffer 25km of the border lines

- Maritime cities
- Land cities
- NUTS 3 of the border regions
- Area not included in the study
- Borders

23









Maps: Employment rate differences



Land border regions

Relevant position of the border region compared to EU border region average

- Less potential
- Average
- More potential
- NUTS 3 of the border regions
- Area not included in the study









Maps: Difficulty in physical accessibility (perceived)



Maritime border regions

Relevant position of the border region compared to EU border region average

- Less obstacle
- Average
- More obstacle
- N.A.

NUTS 3 of the border regions

Area not included in the study



















Focus on a specific land region

As a decision maker of the Bulgaria-Romania border, what are my obstacles and my potentials?

Open the databas	se	Physical obstacles					
Filter for my border region Focus on physical obstacles (for instance)			Difficulty in physical accessibility perceived as an obstacle to cross- border cooperation			River Mounta barriers barrie	
			I_4_value			I_5_value	I_6_value
Border region	Label 🚽	Type of border		Value	*	Value 🖵	Value 📮
L_BG_RO	Bulgaria- Romania	Land		32%		1	0

32% of population living in the area say accessibility is a barrier to cross-border cooperation. What does it mean? What is my position compared to other border regions?













Focus on a specific land region

As a decision maker of the Bulgaria-Romania border, what is my position as compared to other land borders in terms of physical accessibility?

28



Source for WIX upie: C Burdelegraphics for the administrative boundaries Difficulty in physical accessibility (perceived) Relevant position of the border region compared to EU border region average

Less obstacle

- Average
- More obstacle

NUTS 3 of the border regions Area not included in the study

Relative position compared to other BR

Austria - Liechtenstein Lithuania - Poland France United Kingdom France - Italy Germany - Poland Switzerland - Italy Bulgaria- Romania Austria - Italy Norway - Sweden Finland - Sweden Spain - France Bulgaria- Greece Austria - Hungary Lithuania - Latvia Hungary - Slovakia Croatia - Slovenia Estonia - Latvia Germany - Netherlands Germany - France Czech Republic - Germany Belgium - Netherlands Austria - Slovakia Austria - Germany

Less obstacle Average More obstacle









Average More potential

Focus on the industrial activity potential

Projection: ETRS49 / ETRS-LAFA Source for NUTS uplat: © EuroGeographics for the administrative boundaries Industrial activity index

1000

Relevant position of the border region compared to EU border region average

500 km

- Less potential
- Average
- More potential

NUTS 3 of the border regions
 Area not included in the study



United Kingdom-Netherlands Latvia - Sweden Italy-Malta Ireland - United Kingdom France - United Kingdom France - Italy Greece - Italy Estonia - Latvia Germany-Sweden Germany-Denmark Belgium - United Kingdom Croatia - Italy Denmark-Sweden Denmark-Poland Finland - Sweden Estonia - Finland Denmark-Norway Less potential

29

From a European

perspective, what is the potential of the maritime borders in terms of COOPERATION for industrial activity ?









Focus on a specific maritime region

From a European perspective, what are the obstacles and the potentials of the Italy-Malta border?

	Physical		Physical obstacles	Cultural obstacles	Normative and institutional obstacles	Competitiveness potential	Human and social capital	Shared management of natural resources	
			Difficulty in physical accessibility perceived as an obstacle to cross- border cooperation	Language barriers perceived as an obstacle to cross- border cooperation	Legal and administrative barriers perceived as an obstacle to CBC	Industrial activity index	Volunteerism index	Index of natural and protected areas in the border region	Natura 2000 sites in the 25 km buffer
			I_4_value	I_7_value	I_10_value	I_14_value	I_20_value	I_23_value	I_24_value
Border region 👻		Type of border 🔻	Value	Value	Value	Value	Value	Value	Value
M_IT_MT Ita	aly-Malta	Maritime	41%	54%	49%	87.34	28.32	78.73	n.a.









Analysis of the relevance of the needs

As a policy maker, what are the first needs to be addressed through CBC to better reach the Treaty objective of economic, social and territorial cohesion?













Analysis of the relevance of the needs

As a policy maker, what are the first needs to be addressed through CBC to better reach the Treaty objective of economic, social and territorial cohesion?













More relevant obstacles

As a policy maker, what are the first needs to be addressed through CBC to better reach the Treaty objective of economic, social and territorial cohesion?

Obstacles with high relevance are

- legal and normative obstacles
- cultural and language barriers



Overcoming these obstacles has an high impact on economic, social and territorial cohesion











More relevant potentials

As a policy maker, what are the first needs to be addressed through CBC to better reach the Treaty objective of economic, social and territorial cohesion?

Potentials with high relevance are

- **Competitiveness** (product innovation, development of industrial and cultural activities)
- Social and human capital (education level, training activities and level of social capital)

Investing in these potentials is expected to have a high impact on economic, social and territorial cohesion of border regions











the first needs to be

addressed through CBC to

Growth expected once borders are As a policy maker, what are removed – Example: Cultural activities





















How to improve the tool?



Extend/Adapt the existing indicators to all border regions

Define new indicators to quantify the needs













How to improve the tool?

Needs

Physical obstacles

Normative and institutional obstacles

Market integration potential (labour *market*)

Potential of integration services for cross border functional urban areas

Example of new indicators

- Number and types of roads crossing \square the border, using road network data
- Traffic bottlenecks in public transport \square along the border
- *Number of cross-border agreements* \square between institutions
- Number of searches for job offers \square across the border using data from web search engines
- Location of urban service with open \square source map software or commercial alternatives











Thank you for your attention

Alessandro Valenza