Policy Objective 5 ERDF/CF Indicators

#CohesionPolicy
#EUinmyRegion
#ESIFOpendata

Indicators in PO5

POLICY OBJECTIVE 5: A Europe closer to citizens by fostering the sustainable and integrated development of urban, rural and coastal areas and local initiatives	5.i Fostering the integrated social, economic and environmental development, cultural heritage and security in urban areas	**RCO74 - Population covered by strategies for integrated urban development** **RCO75 - Integrated strategies for urban development** **RCO76 - Collaborative projects** **RCO77 - Capacity of cultural and tourism infrastructure supported**	**RCR76 - Stakeholders involved in the preparation and implementation of strategies of urban development** **RCR77 - Tourists/ visits to supported sites** **RCR78 - Users benefiting from cultural infrastructure supported (users/ year)**
	5.ii Fostering the integrated social, economic and environmental local development, cultural heritage and security, including for rural and coastal areas through community-led local development	**RCO80 - Community-led local development strategies for local development**	

European Commission

Specific Objective 5.i

Fostering the integrated social, economic and environmental development, cultural heritage and security in urban areas

European Commission

RCO 74 – Population covered by strategies for integrated urban development

RELEVANT (3,4)	ACCEPTED (3,4)	CREDIBLE (3,4)	EASY (3,4)	ROBUST (3,4)
71%	79%	71%	79%	79%

Sample:14

- ❑ Scope: *need to use it for urban and rural, inner and coastal area development*

- ❑ Name:
    - integrated territorial development
    - population covered by strategies or by projects?

- ❑ Indicator type: *output or result?*

- ❑ Population: *residents? tourists? people benefiting from strategies?*

- ❑ Double counting

RCO 74 – Population covered by strategies for integrated urban development (*continued*)

❑ Definition
  ▪ *integrated urban development*
  ▪ *integrated municipal strategies*

❑ Method of calculation

❑ Data sources

❑ Time of measurement

RCO 75 - Integrated strategies for urban development

RELEVANT (3,4)	ACCEPTED (3,4)	CREDIBLE (3,4)	EASY (3,4)	ROBUST (3,4)
64%	79%	79%	86%	71%

Sample: 14

❑ Scope:
  ▪ *extend beyond PO5*
  ▪ *extend to PO5.ii*

❑ Target setting - *difficult*

❑ Reference document for strategies for integrated territorial development.

❑ Definition:
  ▪ *remove "with projects supported"*
  ▪ *studies included?*

❑ Double counting

European Commission

RCO 75 - Integrated strategies for urban development (continued)

❑ No dynamics, adverse incentives

❑ Data source

❑ Time of measurement

European Commission

RCO 76 – Collaborative projects

RELEVANT (3,4)	ACCEPTED (3,4)	CREDIBLE (3,4)	EASY (3,4)	ROBUST (3,4)
50%	64%	71%	71%	79%

Sample: 14

❏ Relevance:
- ▪ *all projects are collaborative*
- ▪ *not descriptive enough*

❏ Scope:
- ▪ *extend to PO5.ii*

❏ Definition:
- ▪ *stakeholders (same as in RCR76?)*
- ▪ *involvement of stakeholders*
- ▪ *multiple: two or more?*
- ▪ *collaborative projects or partnerships?*

❏ Data source

RCO 77 - Capacity of cultural and tourism infrastructure supported

RELEVANT (3,4)	ACCEPTED (3,4)	CREDIBLE (3,4)	EASY (3,4)	ROBUST (3,4)
80%	71%	79%	64%	71%
Sample: 14-15				

❑ Add an *

❑ Separate indicators for culture and tourism

❑ Scope: *extend to PO5.ii*

❑ Alternatives:
   ▪ *"cultural and tourism sites"*
   ▪ *"number of culture and tourism infrastructure"*
   ▪ *"cultural heritage artefacts/ attributes with increased attractiveness"*

❑ Target >=0

❑ Double counting

European Commission

RCR 76 – Stakeholders involved in the preparation and implementation of strategies of urban development

RELEVANT (3,4)	ACCEPTED (3,4)	CREDIBLE (3,4)	EASY (3,4)	ROBUST (3,4)
40%	57%	57%	71%	64%
Sample: 14-15				

- ❑ Indicator type: *output or result?*

- ❑ Scope: *extend to PO5.ii*

- ❑ Definition:
  - ▪ *share of stakeholders?*
  - ▪ *stakeholders involved in preparation and/or implementation?*
  - ▪ *strategy level indicator*

- ❑ Double counting

European Commission

RCR 77 – Tourists/ visits to supported sites

RELEVANT (3,4)	ACCEPTED (3,4)	CREDIBLE (3,4)	EASY (3,4)	ROBUST (3,4)
93%	86%	79%	50%	64%

Sample: 14

❑ Add an *

❑ Scope: *extend to PO5.ii*

❑ Demarcation between RCR77 and RCR78

❑ Name: *tourists, visits or users?*

❑ Method of calculation

❑ Comparison with CO09

❑ Baseline

❑ Double counting

RCR 78 – Users benefiting from cultural infrastructure supported (users/ year)

RELEVANT (3,4)	ACCEPTED (3,4)	CREDIBLE (3,4)	EASY (3,4)	ROBUST (3,4)
86%	79%	86%	57%	71%
Sample: 14				

❑ Include all cultural sites not just cultural heritage

❑ "Cultural service" according to the Common International Classification of Ecosystem Services (CICES)?

European Commission

New indicators proposed by Member States

1. Indicators on status / degree of implementation/ fulfilment of the strategy.
2. Indicator on long term sustainability (including environmental, knowledge or other) and diversification of supported activities/ projects in the field of tourism and cultural and natural heritage.
3. Indicator on development of sustainable public spaces.
4. Open space created or rehabilitated in urban areas (m2)
5. Public or commercial buildings newly built or renovated in urban areas (m2)

European Commission

Specific Objective 5.ii

Fostering the integrated social, economic and environmental local development, cultural heritage and security, including for rural and coastal areas through community-led local development

RCO 80 – Community-led local development strategies for local development

RELEVANT (3,4)	ACCEPTED (3,4)	CREDIBLE (3,4)	EASY (3,4)	ROBUST (3,4)
64%	71%	79%	86%	86%
Sample: 14				

❑ Overlap with RCO74

❑ Add a *?

❑ Definition:
  ▪ *strategies with at least one project supported*

Horizontal indicators ERDF/CF Indicators

#CohesionPolicy
#EUinmyRegion
#ESIFOpendata

Horizontal Indicators

Horizontal Indicators: implementation	RCO95 - Staff financed by ERDF and Cohesion Fund	RCR91 - Average time for launch of calls, selection of projects and signature of contracts RCR92 - Average time for tendering (from launch of procurement until signature of contracts) RCR93 - Average time for project implementation (from signature of contract to last payment) RCR94 - Single bidding for ERDF and Cohesion Fund interventions

General comments

❑ *Use of indicators for average time ?*

❑ *MS / programmes comparison ?*

❑ *EC mid-term evaluation or MS mid-term review?*

❑ *Administrative burden ?*

RCO 95 – Staff financed by ERDF and Cohesion Fund

RELEVANT (3,4)	ACCEPTED (3,4)	CREDIBLE (3,4)	EASY (3,4)	ROBUST (3,4)
62%	69%	69%	85%	69%

Sample: 13

❑ *Which staff is to be included?*

❑ *Level of reporting*

❑ *Target setting*

❑ *Use in case of flat rate?*

❑ *Method of calculation*

European Commission

RCR 91 - Average time for launch of calls, selection of projects and signature of contracts

RELEVANT (3,4)	ACCEPTED (3,4)	CREDIBLE (3,4)	EASY (3,4)	ROBUST (3,4)
50%	50%	50%	75%	67%

Sample: 12

✓ **Launch of calls**
✓ **Grant assignment**

✓ Intermediate body
✓ Project assignment

✓ Project implementation

Phase 0   *Phase 1*   *Phase 1*

❑ Indicator for phase 0

❑ Measurement unit: *months?*

❑ *Average or median?*

❑ *Constantly running calls? Direct assignment? Retrospective projects?*

European Commission

RCR 91 - Average time for launch of calls, selection of projects and signature of contracts *(continued)*

❑ *Adverse incentives* ?

❑ Consistency of name with definition

❑ *Selection of projects as starting point*?

❑ *Can the phases be adjusted according to internal management system*?

❑ *Reference value*?

❑ Reporting

❑ Source of data

RCR 92 – Average time for tendering (from launch of procurement until signature of contracts)

RELEVANT (3,4)	ACCEPTED (3,4)	CREDIBLE (3,4)	EASY (3,4)	ROBUST (3,4)
36%	36%	27%	45%	36%

Sample: 11

✓ Launch of calls
✓ Grant assignment

✓ **Intermediate body**
✓ **Project assignment**

✓ Project implementation

Phase 0

Phase 1

Phase 2

❑ *Retrospective projects*?

❑ Forecast values

European Commission

RCR 93 - Average time for project implementation (from signature of contract to last payment)

RELEVANT (3,4)	ACCEPTED (3,4)	CREDIBLE (3,4)	EASY (3,4)	ROBUST (3,4)
50%	42%	42%	83%	58%

Sample: 12

✓ Launch of calls
✓ Grant assignment

✓ Intermediate body
✓ Project assignment

✓ **Project implementation**

Phase 0 *Phase 1* *Phase 2*

❑ *Long projects?*

❑ *Last payment or last payment claim?*

❑ *Median time for payment?*

European Commission

RCR 94 – Single bidding for ERDF and Cohesion Fund interventions

RELEVANT (3,4)	ACCEPTED (3,4)	CREDIBLE (3,4)	EASY (3,4)	ROBUST (3,4)
25%	25%	42%	50%	33%
Sample: 12				

- ❑ Enabling condition for public procurement

- ❑ Indicator monitored at MS level

- ❑ *Target setting difficult*

- ❑ *Forecast difficult*

European Commission