

MINISTRY OF REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT
National Coordination Authority

Feedback on peer-review service from the Helpdesk in Czechia

Jana Drlíková, M.A.

11. 10. 2019, EVALUATION NETWORK MEETING

Peer Review - background info

- Prague 29 May 2019
- 2 ex- post evaluations:
 - » Ex-post evaluation of R&D programmes 2007-2013
 - » Ex-post evaluation of corporate research, development and innovation programmes 2007-2013

Peer Review - background info

- In advance
 - » ToR
 - » Winning bid
 - » Final report (without technical part)
- Machine translation by Applica
- Feedback from experts – 1 day in advance

Peer Review – at the meeting

- Co-operation with relevant MA
- Without suppliers
- Subject of the meeting
 - » TOR
 - » Offer of evaluator
 - » Final report

Main conclusions - ToR

- Selection criteria - price is still too high (40%)
- The specification of methods in ToR are limited
- Data for the analysis were lacking
- Overall low price

Main conclusions – Final Report

- Recommendations are very general and limited
- Rather monitoring then impact
- Methodological problems → undermine the reliability of the results

Usefulness

- Inspirational
- We have been aware of some problems
- Limited possibilities to apply recommendations in practice:
 - » Budget
 - » Law, tendering practices
 - » Expertize on suppliers side
 - » Data availability

Inspiration for the future

- Earlier feedback from expert (more than 1 day)
- Longer cooperation during whole process
- Cooperation with the supplier (external expert) during the Peer Review – separate session
- Adjust the recommendation to the reality and situation in MS
- Evaluation of institutionalization of evaluation in MS

Thank you
jana.drlikova@mmr.cz



EUROPEAN UNION
Cohesion Fund
Operational Programme Technical Assistance



MINISTRY
OF REGIONAL
DEVELOPMENT CZ