

Tackling Online Disinformation: a European Approach

21 March 2019

Alberto Rabbachin
DG CONNECT
European Commission

Why take action?

- Open democratic societies depend on public debate that enables citizens to develop informed opinions
- Social media can be used to disseminate disinformation on a scale and with speed and precision of targeting that is unprecedented
- Disinformation erodes trust in institutions and in digital and traditional media
- Disinformation harms our democracies by hampering the ability of citizens to take informed decisions



Bird's eye view: What has the EU done so far?

Interlinked initiatives, with multiple actions:

- 1. Disinformation Communication (April 2018)
- 2. Elections Package (September 2018)
- 3. Action Plan (December 2018)



Communication on Tackling online disinformation: a European Approach COM(2018)236 final

- Adopted 26 April 2018
- Code of practice for online platforms & advertising industry
- 2. Support for **independent fact checking and academic research** on disinformation
- 3. Harnessing **new technologies**
- 4. Ensuring secure election processes
- 5. Fostering **media literacy**
- 6. Supporting quality journalism
- 7. Bolstering strategic communications



Elections Package

- Pres. Juncker SOTU Address, 12 September 2018
- 1. Communication on securing free and fair European elections COM (2018) 637 final
- 2. Recommendation on election cooperation networks, online transparency, protection against cybersecurity incidents and fighting disinformation campaigns C(2018) 5949 final
- 3. Guidance on application of EU data protection law COM (2018) 638 final
- **4. Amendment** to **Regulation** on European Political Parties and Foundations



Action Plan against Disinformation JOIN (2018) 36 final

- Adopted 5 December 2018 by Commission and High Representative (EEAS)
- Endorsed by European Council
- ➤ 4 "Pillars," outlining 10 Actions
- 1. Improving **EU Capabilities** to **Detect, Analyse, Expose**Disinformation
- 2. Strengthening **Coordinated and Joint Responses to**Disinformation
- 3. Mobilising Private Sector to Tackle Disinformation
- 4. Raising Awareness and Improving Societal Resilience



Disinformation Communication- The Process

30 Nov 2017

Member States' workshop

12 Dec 2017

Media Literacy

Expert Group

15 January – 7 March

HLEG

High-Level Expert Group on Fake News and Online Disinformation February, 2018

Eurobarometer

November 21 22, 2017

EP STOA:

Media in the age of Artificial Intelligence

Nov 2017 - Feb 2018

February, 2018

Public Consultation

- Legal Entities

- Citizens

13-14 November 2017 Multi-Stakeholder Conference On Fake News

An Inclusive Multistakeholder Approach 22 February 2018
EPSC High-Level

Hearing: Preserving
Democracy in the
Digital Age

24 April 2018

JRC Technical Report

24 April 2018

Communication – tackling Online Disinformation: the European Approach



Online Public Consultation: 2,986 entries

Which channels do you use for news?

Which channels do you trust?

Age: +50

- Social Media = 59%
- TV = 59%
- Radio = 52%
- Traditional press = 47%

Age: -50

- Social Media = 72 %
- TV = 30%
- Radio = 30%
- Traditional press = 30%

- Radio = 70%
- TV = 66%
- Printed newspapers and news magazines
 = 63%
- Online newspapers and news magazines
 = 47%
- Video hosting websites and podcasts = 27%
- Online social networks and messaging apps= 26%

Eurobarometer: 28 Member States - 26,576 interviews

Some key findings:

- 80 % of EU citizens come across "fake news" regularly from every day to monthly
- At least half in all EU 28 encounter "fake news" at least once a week
- 85 % perceive "fake news" to be a problem in their country
- 83% perceive "fake news" to be a problem for democracy in general



High-Level Expert Group

- HLEG Report: "The threat is disinformation, not 'fake news."
- "Disinformation," as defined in the Communication:

Verifiably false or misleading information that is created, presented and disseminated for economic gain or to intentionally deceive the public, and may cause public harm.

- > Excluded:
 - Reporting error
 - Satire and parody
 - Partisan news and commentary



General Approach

- 1. Overarching objectives to improve the transparency, diversity and credibility of information, and to fashion inclusive solutions
- 2. Disinformation a multi-dimensional phenomenon
- 3. Responses require multi-stakeholder engagement public authorities, platforms, media, fact-checkers, academics, teachers, civil society
- 4. Responses should be based on evidence and adapt as the phenomenon evolves
- 5. Responses must respect freedom of expression and other European/international human rights standards
- Focus on positive measures to enable freedom of expression and empower citizens

Closer look: Code of Practice

- A <u>self-regulatory</u> Code for **online platforms** and the advertising sector
- > 5 Chapters, 15 Commitments
- 1. Scrutiny of ad placements disrupting revenues to websites that spread disinformation
- **2. Transparency of political advertising -** and issuebased advertising
- Integrity of services addressing fake accounts and online bots
- 4. Empowering consumers to report disinformation, access different news sources, while improving the visibility and findability of authoritative content
- **5. Empowering the research community** through, e.g., privacy-compliant access to data; platforms to support/not hinder research efforts

Closer look: Code of Practice

Subscribers

- ➤ The major online platforms Facebook, Google, Twitter and the trade association for online platforms (EDIMA), plus Mozilla
- Trade associations representing the advertising industry: IAB Europe and EACA (plus some national EACA associations)
- Trade associations representing the advertisers: WFA (plus the Belgian national association)

Implementation

- Code signatories have submitted first on implementation
- Results were published at the end of January
- A closer monitoring on a monthly basis is ongoing until the EP elections
- Assessment in Fall 2019 at the Code's one year anniversary



Closer look: Support for independent factchecking and research

- ➤ 1st phase: Facilitate the creation of an independent European network of fact-checkers
 - ➤ Launch of the H2020 action **SOMA** provide a fact-checking platform for European fact-checkers to establish common working methods, exchange best practices, achieve broad coverage across the EU, and participate in joint fact-checking and related activities.
 - IFCN and the EJTA have started dedicated fact-checking projects around the EP elections https://factcheckeu.info/it/ and https://eufactcheck.eu/
- 2nd phase: strengthen capacities to identify and expose disinformation threats targeting national audiences and facilitate cross-border cooperation
 - ➤ **European online platform** for additional data gathering and analysis by fact-checkers and academic researchers with access to fact-checking tools, EU public data and online platform data. (CEF Work Programme 2019 European Platform on Disinformaiton)



























