

9. Availability of EXPENDITURE data at NUTS3 level by priority theme

Information from interviews with the MAs.

MS	CCI	OP Name	Availability of expenditure data at NUTS3 level by priority theme
			Feasible
AT	2007AT161PO001	Burgenland	X
AT	2007AT162PO001	Lower Austria	X
AT	2007AT162PO002	Upper Austria	X
AT	2007AT162PO003	Vorarlberg	X
AT	2007AT162PO004	Vienna	X
AT	2007AT162PO005	Kärnten	X
AT	2007AT162PO006	Salzburg	X
AT	2007AT162PO007	Styria	X
AT	2007AT162PO008	Tyrol	X
AT	2007CB163PO002	Austria - Czech Republic	X
AT	2007CB163PO003	European Territorial Cooperation Austria-Slovak Republic 2007-2013	X
AT	2007CB163PO004	Germany (Bavaria) - Austria	X
AT	2007CB163PO010	Austria - Hungary	X
AT	2007CB163PO014	Alpine Space	X
AT	2007CB163PO061	Central Europe	X
BE	2007BE161PO001	Wallonia (Hainaut)	X

BE	2007BE162PO001	Brussels Capital Region	X
BE	2007BE162PO002	Flanders	X
BE	2007BE162PO003	Wallonia (not including Hainaut)	X
BE	2007CB163PO063	Belgium - France	X
BE	2007CB163PO065	Belgium - Netherlands	X
BG	2007BG161PO001	Regional Development	X
BG	2007BG161PO002	Technical Assistance	
BG	2007BG161PO003	Development of the Competitiveness of the Bulgarian Economy	X
BG	2007BG161PO004	Transport	
BG	2007BG161PO005	Environment	X
CY	2007CY16UPO001	Sustainable Development and Competitiveness	
CZ	2007CB163PO025	Poland - Czech Republic	
CZ	2007CZ161PO001	South-East	X
CZ	2007CZ161PO002	Central Moravia	X
CZ	2007CZ161PO004	Enterprises and Innovations	X
CZ	2007CZ161PO005	North-East	X
CZ	2007CZ161PO006	Environment	X
CZ	2007CZ161PO007	Transport	

CZ	2007CZ161PO008	North-West	X
CZ	2007CZ161PO009	Central Bohemia	X
CZ	2007CZ161PO010	Moravia Silesia	X
CZ	2007CZ161PO012	Research and Development for Innovations	X
CZ	2007CZ161PO013	South-West	X
CZ	2007CZ162PO001	Prague	X
CZ	2007CZ16UPO001	Technical Assistance	X
CZ	2007CZ16UPO002	Integrated Operational Programme	X
DE	2007CB163PO009	Czech Republic - Germany	
DE	2007CB163PO017	Germany (Saxony) - Czech Republic	X
DE	2007CB163PO018	Poland - Germany (Saxony)	X
DE	2007CB163PO019	Ziel 3-Programm zur grenzüberschreitenden Zusammen	X
DE	2007CB163PO020	Baltic Sea Region Programme 2007-2013	X
DE	2007CB163PO023	Netherlands - Germany	X
DE	2007CB163PO024	Alpenrhein - Bodensee - Hochrhein	X
DE	2007DE161PO001	Thüringen	X
DE	2007DE161PO002	Brandenburg	X
DE	2007DE161PO003	Mecklenburg-Vorpommern	X

DE	2007DE161po004	Saxony	X
DE	2007DE161PO005	Transport infrastructure of the Federal Republic of Germany	
DE	2007DE161PO006	Regional for Lüneburg (Lower Saxony)	X
DE	2007DE161PO007	Saxony-Anhalt	X
DE	2007DE162PO001	Bavaria	X
DE	2007DE162PO002	Saarland	X
DE	2007DE162PO003	Schleswig-Holstein	X
DE	2007DE162PO004	Berlin	X
DE	2007DE162PO005	Hessen	X
DE	2007DE162PO006	Bremen	X
DE	2007DE162PO007	North Rhine-Westphalia	X
DE	2007DE162PO008	Baden-Württemberg	X
DE	2007DE162PO009	Hamburg	X
DE	2007DE162PO010	Lower Saxony (excluding Lüneburg)	X
DE	2007DE162PO011	Rhineland-Palatinate	X
DK	2007CB163PO055	North Sea Region	X
DK	2007CB163PO056	Syddanmark - Schleswig-K.E.R.N.	
DK	2007CB163PO057	Fehmarnbelt Region	X

DK	2007DK162PO001	Innovation and Knowledge	
EE	2007CB163PO050	Estonia - Latvia	X
EE	2007EE161PO001	Development of Economic Environment	X
EE	2007EE161PO002	Development of Living Environment	X
ES	2007CB163PO005	Spain - Portugal	X
ES	2007CB163PO006	France - Spain - Andorra	X
ES	2007CB163PO007	Madeira - Açores - Canarias	X
ES	2007CB163PO008	South West Europe	X
ES	2007ES161PO001	Murcia	X
ES	2007ES161PO002	Melilla	X
ES	2007ES161PO003	Ceuta	X
ES	2007ES161PO004	Asturias	X
ES	2007ES161PO005	Galicia	X
ES	2007ES161PO006	Extremadura	X
ES	2007ES161PO007	Castile–La Mancha	X
ES	2007ES161PO008	Andalusia	X
ES	2007ES161PO009	Cohesion Fund – ERDF	X
ES	2007ES162PO001	Cantabria	X

ES	2007ES162PO002	Basque Country	X
ES	2007ES162PO003	Navarre	X
ES	2007ES162PO004	Madrid	X
ES	2007ES162PO005	La Rioja	X
ES	2007ES162PO006	Catalonia	X
ES	2007ES162PO007	Balearic Islands	X
ES	2007ES162PO008	Aragon	X
ES	2007ES162PO009	Castilla y León	X
ES	2007ES162PO010	Valencia	X
ES	2007ES162PO011	Canary Islands	X
ES	2007ES16UPO001	Research, Development and Innovation for and by Enterprises - Technology Fund	X
ES	2007ES16UPO002	Technical Assistance	X
ES	2007ES16UPO003	Knowledge-based Economy	X
ES	2008CB163PO001	Spain - external borders 2008-2013 cross-border cooperation	X
FI	2007CB163PO066	Central Baltic	
FI	2007FI162PO001	Eastern Finland	X
FI	2007FI162PO002	Northern Finland	X
FI	2007FI162PO003	Western Finland	X

FI	2007FI162PO004	Southern Finland	X
FI	2007FI162PO005	Åland Islands	X
FR	2007CB163PO038	Two Seas	X
FR	2007CB163PO039	INTERREG IV Upper Rhine	
FR	2007CB163PO040	France (Channel) – England	X
FR	2007CB163PO041	France-Switzerland INTERREG IVA	
FR	2007CB163PO042	Indian Ocean	
FR	2007CB163PO043	Caribbean	
FR	2007CB163PO044	North West Europe (NWE)	X
FR	2007CB163PO045	Mediterranean Programme	X
FR	2007CB163PO046	Interregional co-operation programme: INTERREG IVC	X
FR	2007CB163PO048	Programme opérationnel URBACT	
FR	2007CB163PO051	Amazonia	
FR	2007CB163PO064	Grande Région	X
FR	2007FR161PO001	French Guiana	X
FR	2007FR161PO002	Guadeloupe	X
FR	2007FR161PO003	Martinique	X
FR	2007FR161PO004	Réunion	X

FR	2007FR162PO001	Aquitaine	X
FR	2007FR162PO002	Centre Region	X
FR	2007FR162PO003	Alsace	X
FR	2007FR162PO004	Auvergne	X
FR	2007FR162PO005	Basse-Normandie	X
FR	2007FR162PO006	Burgundy	X
FR	2007FR162PO007	Brittany	X
FR	2007FR162PO008	Champagne-Ardenne	X
FR	2007FR162PO009	Corsica	X
FR	2007FR162PO010	Franche-Comté	X
FR	2007FR162PO011	Upper Normandy	X
FR	2007FR162PO012	Ile-de-France	X
FR	2007FR162PO013	Languedoc-Roussillon	X
FR	2007FR162PO014	Limousin region	X
FR	2007FR162PO015	Lorraine	X
FR	2007FR162PO016	Loire	X
FR	2007FR162PO017	Nord-Pas-de-Calais	X
FR	2007FR162PO018	Picardy	X

FR	2007FR162PO019	Poitou-Charentes	X
FR	2007FR162PO020	Provence-Alpes-Côte dAzur	X
FR	2007FR162PO021	Midi-Pyrénées	X
FR	2007FR162PO022	Rhône-Alpes	X
FR	2007FR162PO023	Alps	X
FR	2007FR162PO024	Loire Basin	X
FR	2007FR162PO025	Massif Central	X
FR	2007FR162PO026	Rhône	X
FR	2007FR16UPO001	Europact	
GR	2007CB163PO058	Greece - Cyprus	X
GR	2007CB163PO059	Greece - Bulgaria	X
GR	2007CB163PO060	Programme opérationnel Grèce - Italie	X
GR	2007GR161PO001	Competitiveness and Entrepreneurship	X
GR	2007GR161PO002	Digital Convergence	X
GR	2007GR161PO003	Technical assistance	
GR	2007GR161PO004	Improvement of Accessibility	
GR	2007GR161PO005	Environment and Sustainable Development	X
GR	2007GR161PO006	Attica	X

GR	2007GR161PO007	Western Greece - Peloponnesus - Ionian Islands	X
GR	2007GR161PO008	Central Macedonia - Western Macedonia - Eastern Macedonia & Thrace	X
GR	2007GR16UPO001	Thessalia - Sterea Ellada - Ipiros	X
GR	2007GR16UPO002	Crete and the Aegean Islands	X
HR	2007HR16IPO001	#N/A	X
HR	2007HR16IPO002	#N/A	X
HR	2007HR16IPO003	#N/A	X
HU	2007CB163PO067	Hungary - Romania	X
HU	2007CB163PO068	Hungary - Slovak Republic	X
HU	2007CB163PO069	South East Europe (SEE)	X
HU	2007HU161PO001	Economic Development	X
HU	2007HU161PO002	Environment and Energy	X
HU	2007HU161PO003	West Pannon	X
HU	2007HU161PO004	South Great Plain	X
HU	2007HU161PO005	Central Transdanubia	X
HU	2007HU161PO006	North Hungary	X
HU	2007HU161PO007	Transport	X
HU	2007HU161PO008	Social Infrastructure	X

HU	2007HU161PO009	North Great Plain	X
HU	2007HU161PO010	Implementation	X
HU	2007HU161PO011	South Transdanubia	X
HU	2007HU162PO001	Central Hungary	X
HU	2007HU16UPO001	Electronic Public Administration	X
IE	2007CB163PO062	Ireland - Wales	X
IE	2007IE162PO001	Border, Midland and Western (BMW)	X
IE	2007IE162PO002	Southern and Eastern	X
IT	2007CB163PO033	Italy – Maritime France	X
IT	2007CB163PO034	Italy - France (Alps - ALCOTRA)	X
IT	2007CB163PO035	Italy-Switzerland	X
IT	2007CB163PO036	Italy - Slovenia	X
IT	2007CB163PO037	Italy-Malta	
IT	2007CB163PO052	Italy - Austria	
IT	2007IT161PO001	Poin Attrattori culturali, naturali e turismo	X
IT	2007IT161PO002	Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency	X
IT	2007IT161PO003	Governance and Technical Assistance	X
IT	2007IT161PO004	Learning Environments	X

IT	2007IT161PO005	Networks and Mobility	X
IT	2007IT161PO006	Research and Competitiveness	X
IT	2007IT161PO007	Security for development	X
IT	2007IT161PO008	Calabria	X
IT	2007IT161PO009	Campania	X
IT	2007IT161PO010	Puglia	X
IT	2007IT161PO011	Sicily	X
IT	2007IT161PO012	Basilicata	X
IT	2007IT162PO001	Abruzzo	X
IT	2007IT162PO002	Emilia-Romagna region	X
IT	2007IT162PO003	Friuli Venezia Giulia	X
IT	2007IT162PO004	Latium	X
IT	2007IT162PO005	Liguria	X
IT	2007IT162PO006	Lombardia	X
IT	2007IT162PO007	Marche	X
IT	2007IT162PO008	Molise	X
IT	2007IT162PO009	Autonomous Province of Bolzano	X
IT	2007IT162PO010	Autonomous Province of Trento	X

IT	2007IT162PO011	Piemonte	X
IT	2007IT162PO012	Tuscany	X
IT	2007IT162PO013	Umbria	X
IT	2007IT162PO014	Valle dAosta	X
IT	2007IT162PO015	Veneto	X
IT	2007IT162PO016	Sardinia	X
LT	2007CB163PO031	Lithuania - Poland	X
LT	2007LT161PO001	Promotion of Cohesion	X
LT	2007LT161PO002	Economic Growth	X
LU	2007CB163PO022	ESPON 2013 Programme	
LU	2007LU162PO001	Luxembourg	X
LV	2007CB163PO070	Latvia - Lithuania	X
LV	2007LV161PO001	Entrepreneurship and Innovation	X
LV	2007LV161PO002	Infrastructure and Services	X
MT	2007MT161PO001	Operational Programm Investing in Competitiveness for a Better Quality of Life	X
NL	2007CB163PO001	Euregio Maas-Rhein	X
NL	2007NL162PO001	North Netherlands	X
NL	2007NL162PO002	West Netherlands	X

NL	2007NL162PO003	South Netherlands	X
NL	2007NL162PO004	East Netherlands	X
PL	2007CB163PO011	Poland - Germany	X
PL	2007CB163PO012	Poland - Slovakia	X
PL	2007CB163PO013	South Baltic	X
PL	2007PL161PO001	Innovative economy	
PL	2007PL161PO002	Infrastructure and Environment	X
PL	2007PL161PO003	Development of Eastern Poland	X
PL	2007PL161PO004	Technical Assistance	
PL	2007PL161PO005	Lower Silesia	X
PL	2007PL161PO006	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	X
PL	2007PL161PO007	Lubelskie	X
PL	2007PL161PO008	Lubuskie	
PL	2007PL161PO009	Łódzkie	X
PL	2007PL161PO010	Lesser Poland	X
PL	2007PL161PO011	Mazovia	X
PL	2007PL161PO012	Opolskie	X
PL	2007PL161PO013	Podkarpackie	X

PL	2007PL161PO014	Podlaskie	X
PL	2007PL161PO015	Pomerania	X
PL	2007PL161PO016	Zachodniopomorskie	
PL	2007PL161PO017	Greater Poland	
PL	2007PL161PO018	Świętokrzyskie	X
PL	2007PL161PO019	Silesia	X
PL	2007PL161PO020	Warminsko-Mazurskie	X
PT	2007CB163PO029	Atlantic Area	X
PT	2007PT161PO001	Thematic Factors of Competitiveness	X
PT	2007PT161PO002	Norte	X
PT	2007PT161PO003	: Centro	X
PT	2007PT161PO004	Operational Programme: Alentejo	X
PT	2007PT161PO005	Algarve	X
PT	2007PT161PO006	Azores	X
PT	2007PT162PO001	Lisbon	X
PT	2007PT162PO002	Madeira	X
PT	2007PT16UPO001	Territorial Enhancement	X
PT	2007PT16UPO002	Operational Programme: Technical Assistance	

RO	2007CB163PO021	Romania-Bulgaria	X
RO	2007RO161PO001	Regional Operational Programme	X
RO	2007RO161PO002	Increase of Economic Competitiveness	X
RO	2007RO161PO003	Transport	
RO	2007RO161PO004	Environment	
RO	2007RO161PO005	Technical Assistance	X
SE	2007CB163PO016	Sweden - Norway	X
SE	2007CB163PO026	Öresund - Kattegat - Skagerrak	X
SE	2007CB163PO027	Northern Periphery	
SE	2007CB163PO028	Botnia - Atlantica	
SE	2007CB163PO032	North	X
SE	2007SE162PO001	Skåne-Blekinge	X
SE	2007SE162PO002	Småland and the Islands	X
SE	2007SE162PO003	West Sweden	X
SE	2007SE162PO004	East Mid-Sweden	X
SE	2007SE162PO005	Stockholm	X
SE	2007SE162PO006	North Mid-Sweden	X
SE	2007SE162PO007	Mid-North Sweden	X

SE	2007SE162PO008	North Sweden	X
SI	2007CB163PO053	Cross-Border Slovenia - Hungary	X
SI	2007CB163PO054	Slovenia - Austria	
SI	2007SI161PO001	Strengthening Regional Development Potentials	X
SI	2007SI161PO002	Development of environment and transport infrastructure	X
SK	2007CB163PO015	INTERACT 2007-2013 Good Governance of Territorial	
SK	2007CB163PO030	Slovakia - Czech Republic	X
SK	2007SK161PO001	Information Society	
SK	2007SK161PO002	Environment	X
SK	2007SK161PO003	Western Slovakia, Central Slovakia and Eastern Slovakia	X
SK	2007SK161PO004	Transport	X
SK	2007SK161PO005	Health	X
SK	2007SK161PO006	Competitiveness and Economic Growth	X
SK	2007SK161PO007	Technical Assistance	
SK	2007SK162PO001	for Bratislava region	X
SK	2007SK16UPO001	Research & Development	X
UK	2007CB163PO047	Northern Ireland, the Border Region of Ireland and Western Scotland	
UK	2007CB163PO049	United Kingdom - Ireland - (PEACE III)	

UK	2007UK161PO001	Highlands and Islands of Scotland	X
UK	2007UK161PO002	West Wales and the Valleys ERDF Convergence progra	X
UK	2007UK161po003	Cornwall and the Isles of Scilly	X
UK	2007UK162PO001	Lowlands and Uplands of Scotland	
UK	2007UK162po002	South-East of England	
UK	2007UK162PO003	Northern-Ireland	X
UK	2007UK162PO004	East of England	
UK	2007UK162PO005	North East of England	X
UK	2007UK162PO006	London	X
UK	2007UK162PO007	West Midlands	X
UK	2007UK162PO008	North West England	
UK	2007UK162PO009	Yorkshire and The Humber	X
UK	2007UK162PO010	East Midlands	X
UK	2007UK162PO011	South West England	
UK	2007UK162PO012	East Wales	X
UK	2007UK162PO013	Gibraltar	

Availability of ex Not possible to gather	NUTS3 detail (allocation data)
	No detail
	No detail
	No detail
	No detail
	No detail
	No detail
	No detail
	No detail
	No detail
	no detail
	no detail
	no detail
	no detail
	no detail
	no detail
X	Partial

	Complete
	Complete
	Partial
	Complete
	Complete
	Partial
	Complete
	No detail
X	no detail
	no detail
	no detail
	partial
	no detail
	no detail
	no detail
	No detail
	No detail
	No detail

	Partial
X	No detail
	Partial
	Complete
	Partial
	Complete
	Partial
	Complete
	Partial
	No detail
	No detail
	Complete
	Complete
	Partial
	Complete
	no detail
X	no detail
	no detail

	No detail
	No detail
	No detail
	No detail
	No detail
	No detail
	No detail
	No detail
	No detail
	No detail
	No detail
	No detail
	No detail
	No detail
	no detail
X	no detail
	No detail
	No detail
	No detail

	No detail
	No detail
	no detail
X	no detail
	no detail
X	no detail
X	no detail
X	no detail
	no detail
	no detail
	no detail
X	no detail
X	no detail
	no detail
	No detail
	Partial
	No detail
	No detail

	No detail
	No detail
	No detail
	No detail
	No detail
	No detail
	No detail
	No detail
	No detail
X	No detail
	no detail
	no detail
	no detail
	No detail
	No detail
X	No detail
X	No detail
	No detail
	No detail

	Partial
	No detail
	Partial
	Partial
	Partial
	no detail
	No detail
	No detail
	no detail
	no detail
	Partial
	no detail
X	no detail
X	no detail
	Partial
	Partial
	No detail
	Complete

	No detail
	Partial
	No detail
	Partial
	Partial
	Complete
	Partial
	Complete
	Complete
	Partial
	Partial
	Partial
	Partial
	Complete
	Complete
	Complete
	No detail
	Complete

	Complete
	Complete
	No detail
	No detail
	Complete
	Complete
	no detail
	No detail
	No detail
X	no detail
	No detail
	no detail
	Partial
	Partial
	Complete
	no detail
	Partial
	Partial

	No detail
	No detail
X	No detail
X	No detail
	No detail
	No detail
	No detail
	No detail
	No detail
	No detail
	Partial
	Partial
	Partial
	Complete
	Complete
	Partial
	Complete
	Partial
X	No detail

	No detail
	no detail
X	no detail
	No detail
	Partial
X	no detail
	no detail
X	Partial
	Complete
	Partial
	No detail
	Complete
	Complete
X	No detail
	Complete
	Partial
X	no detail
X	no detail

	Partial
	Partial
	No detail
X	No detail
X	No detail
	No detail
X	No detail
	Complete
	Partial
	Partial
X	No detail
	Partial
	No detail
X	Partial
	Partial
X	No detail

Comments

With registration of the projects in the monitoring system, the localization of the project recipient is collected. Data on expenditures (billing) and grant decisions can be linked to this reference data of the recipient (apart of those projects which touch OP-wide actions like TA.)

NUTS-3 data for the approved projects and the expenditures is just available following the structure of the programme (programme's priority fields, activity fields, etc.)

technically, the monitoring system ATMOS could provide this data

In the monitoring, the community - where the project is located - is identified; therefore it should be possible; at the moment expenditure is not available at NUTS3 level; data on NUTS3 level wasn't included and is not necessary due to the fact that the OP area is a NUTS3 region

Available, but not reported because not foreseen in the AIR template

technically feasible, but at the moment not possible

For the data clearly attributable to a NUTS3 level this data is available and reported. For the data not specifically linked to the NUTS3 level it is not possible to gather this data.

Data is available.

For priority 3 and 4 this is considered feasible

For the data clearly attributable to a NUTS3 level this data is available and reported. For the data not specifically linked to the NUTS3 level it is not possible to gather this data.

feasible but a lot of additional work, relevancy is questionable

It should be possible in principle to provide NUTS 3 level of detail for expenditure.

Partly, it should be not possible in principle to provide the NUTS 3 level of detail for expenditure. The direct beneficiaries and projects are identified in advance and are usually ministries and agencies located in the capital. Furthermore, conducted trainings are usually within the NUTS 2 regions. For some projects it is possible to report on NUTS3 level.

It should be possible to provide NUTS 3 level of detail for expenditure.

For 2007BG161PO004 Transport it is feasible to provide expenditure data at NUTS3 level, but these data will be broken down from a higher local level to the NUTS3 level according to the specific activities. The result will be an approximation.

It should be possible to provide the NUTS 3 level of detail for expenditure.

For state-aid projects data could be processed at NUTS 3 level, although not through the Management Information System but analysing the location of each particular project.

All information about projects available on NUTS 3 level

All data is available even on NUTS 4 level, there is no problem

No problems, all projects are assigned to specific NUTS3 region

NUTS2=NUTS3

No problems, programme is equivalent to NUTS3 level

at the national projects are difficult to determine, but it is a smaller part of the allocation

It is available but not reported in AIR since nobody is asking for it.

NUTS2=NUTS3

Information is published on NUTS2 level but available on NUTS3 level, however nobody is asking for it

available but reported on NUTS2 level

With above exception of network projects all projects are allocated to NUTS 3 level - here the location of the respective partner and its funding is crucial for the allocation

It is possible to extract such information from the Programme's database, as location at NUTS3 and expenditure of each of the project partners is recorded.

it is not possible for single projects (transport, geodata, tech-transfer) that are carried out on NUTS1 level, e.g.

Due to the nature of the programme - being a national transport programme, a break-down at NUTS3 level is not possible.

The programme covers one single NUTS3 region

Feasible.

No data on NUT3 regions of recipients have been collected

Data can be gathered, but would not be entirely correct. Reallocations of funds between project partners would not be taken into account.

Feasible, but as the location of recipients does not match the location of expenditures, the data does not reflect the reality.

Feasible, but not relevant.

The idea is to include this feature in 2014-2020 (in the electronic information system)

The idea is to include this feature in 2014-2020 (in the electronic information system)

The idea is to include this feature in 2014-2020 (in the electronic information system)

The idea is to include this feature in 2014-2020 (in the electronic information system)

The idea is to include this feature in 2014-2020 (in the electronic information system)

The idea is to include this feature in 2014-2020 (in the electronic information system)

The idea is to include this feature in 2014-2020 (in the electronic information system)

The idea is to include this feature in 2014-2020 (in the electronic information system)

The idea is to include this feature in 2014-2020 (in the electronic information system)

The idea is to include this feature in 2014-2020 (in the electronic information system)

The idea is to include this feature in 2014-2020 (in the electronic information system)

The idea is to include this feature in 2014-2020 (in the electronic information system)

The idea is to include this feature in 2014-2020 (in the electronic information system)

The idea is to include this feature in 2014-2020 (in the electronic information system)

The idea is to include this feature in 2014-2020 (in the electronic information system)

The idea is to include this feature in 2014-2020 (in the electronic information system)

The idea is to include this feature in 2014-2020 (in the electronic information system)

The idea is to include this feature in 2014-2020 (in the electronic information system)

The idea is to include this feature in 2014-2020 (in the electronic information system)

The idea is to include this feature in 2014-2020 (in the electronic information system)

The idea is to include this feature in 2014-2020 (in the electronic information system)

The idea is to include this feature in 2014-2020 (in the electronic information system)

The idea is to include this feature in 2014-2020 (in the electronic information system)

The idea is to include this feature in 2014-2020 (in the electronic information system)

The idea is to include this feature in 2014-2020 (in the electronic information system)

The idea is to include this feature in 2014-2020 (in the electronic information system)

The idea is to include this feature in 2014-2020 (in the electronic information system)

The idea is to include this feature in 214-22.

Allocation data at NUTS3-level is not relevant to the programme logic.

Åland has only one NUTS3 area which is the same as NUTS2-area

The MA declared. The localisation is at EU level because we have some difficulties in gather this level of information, especially for Germany. NUTS 3 analysis is easier for France. The application forms only provide the localisation of the beneficiary, not the localisation of the effects of the project. The issue should be dealt in a broader perspective. The question is: Is it really relevant for a Cooperation Programme to spread this

Projects application forms request information on the territory of the project

it's feasible, in the Application Form recipients are asked to provide information regarding the localisation of their activities. However, in general they select the entire area involved in their project

Feasible but not necessary

The MA declared that the information system used to manage the programme is not the French Presage but the Belgian "Euroges". This depends on the fact that, originally, the MA was Belgian.

we need to localise the activity; for doing it we need an agent for each project involving a regional authority which role shall be to localise each single activity carried out by the regional authority

They already collect data at NUTS3 level but they don't include them in the AIRs because it is not requested

It is feasible but there are some difficulties in reporting this level of analysis for regional projects.

The MA declared that "The information is not requested neither at EU level nor at a national level. However it is considered of interest by the MA". Using the Presage system the MA has the possibility to make expenditure data at NUTS3 level by priority theme available.

Already feasible but not used

The MA declared that "According to the territorial features, we do not analyse the NUTS 3 level". Using the Presage system, however, the MA has the possibility to make expenditure data at NUTS3 level by priority theme available. The region includes 3 departments.

The already collect data at NUTS3 level and they include them in the AIR. Availability of NUTS3 data broken down by priority themes

This is not presented in the AIR, but this is feasible; for doing this we only need to prepare since the beginning of the programming period application form which provide specific NUTS 3 territorial encoding with the limits mentioned above on regional operations et intermediaries that are not located where the projects take place

NUTS3 data analysis is presented in the AIR only for what concerns the localisation of the beneficiaries.

These data, which are not present in the AIR, are available. They are not shared at public level. The risk would be to give a simplified view of the programme, which does not correspond to the actual achievements.

NUTS3 data are available but they are used only for communication purposes

The MA declared that "Currently we don't dispose of NUTS 3 data concerning expenditures (because there is no localisation of the actions, but only of the beneficiaries)".

The difficulty is that some projects cannot be analysed at NUTS 3 level because they cover the entire region.

The MA does not provide this level of information because there are lot of regional projects. Using the Presage system, however, the MA has the possibility to make expenditure data at NUTS3 level by priority theme available.
Some data which refers to regional projects are not presented in a NUTS3.
Using the Presage system, however, the MA has the possibility to make expenditure data at NUTS3 level by priority theme available.
We do not have NUTS 3 localisation for each programme.
it's feasible and currently the MA has named an external expert for analysing the current projects and extrapolate NUTS 3 data
MA does not use Presage but a different programme to analyse the financial commitments at departments' level
Using the Presage system, the MA has the possibility to provide NUTS3 data for what concerns the localisation of the beneficiary.
Not applicable
Expenditure data at NUTS3 level per priority theme can be drawn from the MIS for some types of operations.
Expenditure data at NUTS3 level per priority theme can be drawn from the MIS for some types of operations.
Expenditure data at NUTS3 level per priority theme can be drawn from the MIS for some types of operations.
Expenditure data at NUTS3 level per priority theme can be drawn from the MIS for those operations (e.g. state-aid interventions) reliably monitored at this level. Currently, data at NUTS3 level are available for the majority of interventions of the OP, especially for state-aid operations where data can be available at even lower spatial level than NUTS3, as each individual investment is introduced in the MIS as a separate project. The availability
Expenditure data at NUTS3 level per priority theme can be drawn from the MIS only for those operations (e.g. state-aid interventions) reliably monitored at this level. Difficulties occur regarding horizontal projects and major projects.
There is no allocation to NUTS 3 level, as the relevant field is not included in the Technical Bulletins of Technical Assistance projects.
Difficult to gather due to the nature of the projects (mainly major projects). The majority of the projects are implemented in more than one NUTS 3 regions (TEN network, railroads etc.). Allocation of the financial data of some projects at NUTS3 level is feasible, as the Technical Bulletins include the relevant information, but there is not provision for the respective allocation of physical data.
Expenditure data at NUTS3 level per priority theme can be drawn from the MIS only for those operations reliably monitored at this level (apart from the horizontal projects). Difficulties occur regarding horizontal projects and major projects
Expenditure data at NUTS3 level per priority theme can be drawn from the MIS for those operations reliably monitored at this level (e.g. state-aid interventions and locally implemented projects).

Expenditure data at NUTS3 level per priority theme can be drawn from the MIS for those operations reliably monitored at this level (e.g. state-aid interventions and locally implemented projects).

Expenditure data at NUTS3 level per priority theme can be drawn from the MIS for those operations reliably monitored at this level (e.g. state-aid interventions and locally implemented projects).

Expenditure data at NUTS3 level per priority theme can be drawn from the MIS for those operations reliably monitored at this level (e.g. state-aid interventions and locally implemented projects).

Expenditure data at NUTS3 level per priority theme can be drawn from the MIS for those operations reliably monitored at this level (e.g. state-aid interventions and locally implemented projects).

MIS enables various combinations of reported data per categories, territory, etc.. Although not reported so far at NUTS 3 level except for one priority theme (waste), for the completed and reported operations in earlier reports (SAIR 2012), it is possible to report on NUTS 3 level due to existence of internal monitoring data at MA structures.

MIS enables various combinations of reported data per categories, territory, etc.. Although not reported so far at NUTS 3 level, even for the completed and reported operations in earlier reports (SAIR 2012), it is possible to report on NUTS 3 level due to existence of internal monitoring data at MA structures.

Mentioned MIS enables various combinations of reported data per categories, territory, etc.. Although not reported so far at NUTS 3 level, even for the completed and reported operations in earlier reports (SAIR 2012), it is possible to report on NUTS 3 level due to existence of internal monitoring data at MA structures.

IMIS could be developed towards this direction as these variables are included. A problem is, however, that the head of the office of the companies frequently differ from the real location of the project. Thus in subnational level this could provide distorted data.

IMIS could be developed towards this direction as these variables are included. A problem is, however, that the head of the office of the companies frequently differ from the real location of the project. Thus in subnational level this could provide distorted data.

IMIS could be developed towards this direction as these variables are included. A problem is, however, that the head of the office of the companies frequently differ from the real location of the project. Thus in subnational level this could provide distorted data.

Thanks to the EMIR monitoring and information system, data can be provided at NUTS3 level but they are not included in the AIRs because they are not requested.

mainly available if it is relevant

mainly available if it is relevant (e.g. not relevant for international public road)

if relevant, it is feasible

This OP covers only one NUTS3 region
The expenditure data are calculated at NUTS3 proportionally (which is due to the specific feature of this program and not to the lack of data)
Expenditure data is available at NUTS3 level. In most cases data are collected at country level which is more detailed than NUTS3.
MS are provided with a progress report template and asked to provide annual and cumulative details on physical progress and financial expenditure at County level. It seems that the data is therefore available and could be reported at NUTS III level if required.
While this may be feasible for most expenditure, it may not be possible to provide information for all indicators as there were policy decision at national level (National Strategic Reference Framework) to report at NUTS II. According to the MA much of the expenditure on the IT system is actually available at county level (NUTS IV). It may therefore be available at NUTS III at the final report stage. At present the MA are only required to report at NUTS II.
Feasible at Member State level. More detailed data would require extra work because, based on the financial system, payments are made to the lead partner and not to all partners.
In the next programming period a specific template will be provided to the recipients in order to collect information about the localisation of the expenditures
This would require some additional processing of the data, but is considered to be feasible.
Should be feasible, although MA could not be interviewed.
It would be feasible, but with difficulties

It should be feasible to provide the same breakdown using expenditure data.

Providing expenditure instead of allocation data may in principle even make it simpler to provide NUTS3 detail.

Should be feasible, although MA could not be interviewed.

Should be feasible, although MA could not be interviewed.

Should be feasible, although not confirmed by the MA.

Problems in the future if interventions have to be split across several categories of intervention. Using the prevailing category would be a more practical solution.

Although the MA declared the data to be already available, provision of the data would require some extra work.

The related data is available in the monitoring system.

The data is drawn from the monitoring system, which also includes expenditure information. It should be possible in principle to provide the same level of detail for expenditure also.

Possible by re-aggregating monitoring data.

In this case, it should be noted that the beneficiaries send information after completion of the reference period. Therefore, depending on the moment the exercise is carried out, different figures can be obtained about the situation at the end of the previous calendar year. This in case of public beneficiaries, where payments by the beneficiary entities are used as expenditure data. In the case of enterprises is not such a problem, as the

Grant decision and expenditure data are part of the same database. Therefore, there it would not be difficult to prepare the same table based on expenditure data.

Should be feasible, although not confirmed by the MA.

It should be feasible but needs verification.

However the respondents said that current reporting is not very precise. The location of expenditure is identified according to the invoices provided by the recipients. But the location of service provider and activity of the project is not always the same.

Expenditure data are available at NUTS3 level in the monitoring system of the OPs, however the data at NUTS 3 are not reported for the EC because there was no such requirement to report at NUTS 3 at the beginning of the implementation of the OPs.

Expenditure data are available at NUTS3 level in the monitoring system of the OPs, however the data at NUTS 3 are not reported for the EC because there was no such requirement to report at NUTS 3 at the beginning of the implementation of the OPs.

It would require additional effort, but in principle, it is possible to extract such information from db. As there are records kept on projects' partners location at NUTS3 level.

~~In principle it is feasible as NUTS3 location for the projects is being registered with some exceptions for projects for whom as „place of implementation” is registered the whole territory of Latvia (NUTS1&2 level). But although such type information had been gathered for informative purposes, it would be additional administrative burden for institutions.~~
In principle it is feasible as NUTS3 location for the projects is being registered, excluding projects for whom the territory of whole territory of Latvia (NUTS1&2 level) is indicated as place of implementation (for example, ICT projects or projects where the regions are overlapping - higher education establishments, schools, etc.). But although such type information has been gathered for informative purposes, it would be additional

NUTS3 level is available, although is based on the location of the recipients, not the specific spatial area's where the funding is spent

~~Technically feasible, but based on expenditure can give less relevant values because it has to be recalculated to ERDF only, would be still in the major urban areas, because there are the most firms. All projects are for this programme allocated at NUTS3 level except for the technical assistance and 1 project with a total value of 1,- Euro~~

feasible: yes, but no added value seen for NUTS3 level

but, more difficult for larger projects, prefers having the expenditure on the level where the projects take place, taking into consideration projects with more partners

it is possible but NUTS 3 in data collection national statistics less and less used

not applicable

If requests for information arise, each would be processed individually.

Projects are being implemented all over the region and there is no system for presenting the expenditure in breakdown on sub-regions(NUTS3).

Data can be acquired in relation to the place of project implementation, as stated in the grant agreement.

Breakdown of expenditure on NUTS3 is not provided within the AIR2012.

~~Expenditure is understood as the amount accounted for in the project. The problematic issue is "the place of the project". The beneficiary does not provide breakdown of costs of operations for each site of the project implementation. Methodology from the National Coordination is to split costs proportionally - if the project is implemented in two regions, the project costs are divided into two. Where there is no specified point of the~~

The OP have the ability to provide the data at NUTS 3, however it is not asked. The chosen criteria is the location of the head entity and the location of the first activity location.

~~The operation data is already prepared to identify the location at municipal scale in the candidature procedures. The location of the operation data according to the head office. Nevertheless we have to remark that this criteria don't allow a real reading of the expenditure distribution and the physical results. For future, is it possible to improve the process and better discriminate it in the beginning process of apply~~

Only non-region-able projects aren't identified at NUTS III. Some data which refers to regional projects are not presented in a NUTS3.

Only non-region-able projects aren't identified at NUTS III. Some data which refers to regional projects are not presented in a NUTS3.

Only non-region-able projects aren't identified at NUTS III. Some data which refers to regional projects are not presented in a NUTS3.

All data is linked to NUTS II due to national guiding obligations. However, the NUTS II Algarve is the same entity of NUTS III Algarve

The NUTS II Azores is the same entity of NUTS III Azores, so it's already available.

~~Feasible, but with the previously referred limitations. The expenditure is always allocated to the municipality of the headquarter entity, so it's possible to do this, but don't represent faithfully the distribution of actions and investment in the territory. For example, some major cities/municipalities have an exaggerated concentration of investment or results. One suggestion is to avoid the allocation of the operation only at the headquarter~~

The NUTS II Madeira is the same entity of NUTS III Madeira, so it's already available.

~~The operation data is already prepared to identify the location at municipal scale in the candidature procedures. The location of the operation data according to the head office. Nevertheless we have to remark that this criteria don't allow a real reading of the expenditure distribution and the physical results. For future, is it possible to improve the process and better discriminate it in the beginning process of apply~~

Due to the specificity of the operations and the non existent obligation by the national entity, the MA considers "Not possible to gather" this info.

Data available at project level.

Data in SMIS are broken down to the level of projects, so any territorial aggregation is available.

Impossible to organize technically for large transport infrastructures.

For the cases not already reported physically at NUTS 3, the nature of the operation makes it difficult to also obtain financial allocation values at NUTS 3. Theoretically it's possible, but only with a huge administrative burden, by assessing the cost details behind each invoice.

Data available at territorial level (based on the location where the beneficiary has its own premises)

Our areas are counties, thereby feasible.

Already available

Not possible, see question 6.

We are cross border = we do not report at NUTS3 level.

Feasible, but model based.

Already available.

Already available.

Already available.

Already available.

Already available.

Already available.

Already available.

Already available.

Expenditure data collection feasible, but is not done if there is no need to be done.

It is shown according to the priority themes. It is possible according internal database.

The territory involved here is whole Slovak Republic. Applicant has its seat in Bratislava, but it covers 7 regions. By certain projects (e.g.: e-cities) reporting at NUTS3 level is available.

Only for PA Technical assistance NUTS3 detail (allocation data) is not available.

Available at those that are possible to be categorised at NUTS 3 level. Projects of linear constructions can cross numerous NUTS3 regions (for assigned of these expenditures at NUTS3 level detailed information on project expenditures need to be search).

Data on expenditures are not available at NUTS3 level in the case of "national" projects. Only for PA Technical assistance NUTS3 detail (allocation data) is not available.

Data on expenditures are not available at NUTS3 level in the case of "national" projects. Only for PA Technical assistance NUTS3 detail (allocation data) is not available.

only according priority themes

It is regional (NUTS3) operational program.

Data on expenditures are not available at NUTS3 level in the case of "national" projects.

some available at NUTS 3 where matches local authority boundaries, other manual input occasionally if clearly mostly located in one NUTS 3,

where project fits into a NUTS 3 region this info is available

NUTS 2 and NUTS 3 boundaries the same

Geographical coverage of projects is an issue - some fit into NUTS 3, some have wider coverage e.g. F&I, capital normally easier than revenue to report at NUTS 3. also NUTS 3 doesn't match local authority boundaries which is the level applicants are invited to determine their geographical coverage by MIS. declarations for AIRs very prescribed - they have 124 combinations at the moment. B2/L more spatially targeted so likely to be

not recorded as not relevant to OP - all projects are pan-regional and not local, it is a selection criteria agreed by PMC

have project addresses etc. but not sure would show true picture of where benefits of funding going

could only do rough assessment at NUTS 2

not for pan-regional projects especially previously under RDA, but they do break down figures to NUTS 3. Complicated but worth it - they want lowest level of granularity. They do notional allocations of jobs created etc. based on split/% of SMEs in each of the 20 NUTS 3 areas. better data availability under ESF on beneficiaries by postcode - not so simple to determine project location under ERDF

available if obvious project location, not pan-regional; stated that MA claim SFC does not allow for NUTS 3 recording, but that England MCIS does allow reporting at that level

depends on project and its coverage, some are considered regional in approach

only social inclusion type activities occur or are recorded at NUTS 3 as other projects regional or sub-regional and they don't try and split these over the lower NUTS levels; could do more sophisticated breakdown but then would increasingly question accuracy of the data; it would be incredibly complicated to report at that level against all the different combinations

not possible this funding period as many projects are regional and not asked to report at a lower level, but some projects were revisited after RDA closed and match funding ended, so co-financed at lower level and there information would be available (i.e. regional project for SMEs funded by RDA, then co-financed subsequently by SME) even more difficult moving forward where LEP programme boundaries will not match

where projects can be determined to fall only into one NUTS3 this is available

Gibraltar has no NUTS 3 level established