Regional Pubblica-Politica consultation inforegio. From the questions from proposed public consultation, we mean to clarify some. In the first place also in terms of communication with the European citizens, it would have to change the political term "of cohesion". We are convinced that the programs of politics, at whichever level, from the local level to communitarian level, can have succeeded if the citizens have perception of the contents and moreover protagonists of the development feel themselves. In action all the European programs pass through the institutional articulation, and the many cases come constructed "scato them Chinese" and new centralisms that are overlapped to those already existing. With reference to the question to the n. 2.3 we think that the citizens will have to decide of competences, before which is the acquaintance and the belongings to a territory in which they are protagonists are in the phase of plan that in the phase of verification of turns out obtained to you. Often the participation is asked for the citizens is made preliminary of the plans but the same participation is nonexistent in the moments of final verification of turns out to you caught up. Naturally the control of the citizens is various what of the controls of the societies of "auditor" or management control. These controls are inner to Public Administration but they are not known from the citizens and however they do not produce to useful effects to the acquaintance of the citizens of all the decisional row and opportunity of the action of cohesion. A nostro parere the citizens would have to perceive the "solidarity" rather than "the cohesion", the solidarity is a riconducibile perceptive fact to the intuition or the psychological spheres that lie persons esplicano of forehead to the great topics of the development and the sostenibilità we want ourselves to be stopped to point 2,1 of the questions, in order to say that if chip ax the principle of the solidarity, is necessary to change the optical of observation of the territory. At least four are the topics of the harmonious development, etc in the first place the discovery that the city cannot be one circumscribed geographic dimension from borders. The city is a great area on which the emotions and the life of persons pertaining to various local institutions are interlaced. For this it would have to start a search on the metropolitan areas of in Europe. Other element of attention would have above all to be constituted from the accompaniment of opportune measures regarding the migrations of population towards the areas with specialistici services but of the greater accessibility like the coastal bands. Moreover it would be opportune to verify the programs that preview the realization of structural armors and great connections, tiupo the international corridors. An example for all can be given from the resistances of localismi exasperates to you against the realization of the Bridge on the Strait of Messina or of the TAV in Val di Susa. Finally second we it must rethink the agricultural and rural relationship between zones of the metropolitan or coastal urbanità and spaces. The delle"ecumenopoli hypotheses" and della desertificazione and of the rural abandonment go of equal step and n the terms of sostenibilità it must increase the opportunities of perception also of these great phenomena from part of the citizens. With reference to point 3,4 we think to bring back the attention on the great migrations of clandestines in the Mediterranean. This migratory event cannot be ignored in cohesion politics. And it is obvious that it is necessary to study shapes of loyal collaboration with the Countries of north-Africa, at least with those Countries that are mainly sensitive to the cultuale and economic exchange with Europe.
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