1. **Basic Information**

1.1. **CRIS Number**: 2003/004-379/05.37
1.2. **Title**: Improvement of the access to new investment areas in Ilawa
1.3. **Sector**: Economic and Social Cohesion
1.4. **Location**: Poland, Warmisko Mazurskie Voivodship, Ilawa Town

2. **Objectives**

2.1. **Overall objective**
Stimulation of Ilawa SME sector economic activity

2.2. **Project purpose/Immediate objectives**
Creation of new and development of existing SME in the areas made communicatively accessible

2.3. **Accession Partnership and NPAA priorities**
The project responds to the medium term priority identified in the AP: “Developing national policy for economic and social cohesion and preparing for the implementation of regional development programmes as well as Community Initiatives”. It corresponds also with the NPAA priority "Regional and cohesion policy".

2.4. **Contribution to Preliminary National Development Plan**
The project is in line with the sixth axis of the Preliminary NDP, which is “Strengthening development potential of regions and counteracting marginalisation of certain areas”. The priorities of this axis will be implemented by means of several measures including development and modernisation of infrastructure serving to strengthen competitiveness
The project is compliant with the priorities of the Operational Programme of the Warminsko Mazurskie Voivodship.

2.5. **Cross Border Impact**: N/A

3. **Description**

3.1. **Background and justification**
The town of Ilawa located over 22 square km is a poviat city situated by the Jeziorak lake in Warminsko-Mazurskie Voivodship. The biggest problem Ilawa town (34 000 inhabitants) and neighbouring countryside are facing at the moment is a very high unemployment rate - 24,7% with the tendency to rise. The town authorities see the chance for the creation of new workplaces in wood-processing, furniture industry, building and tourism development. The town of Ilawa has a long tradition in these trades, including well qualified staff and good training center. Companies present at the local market are interested in investing in the town of Ilawa.

The main obstacle in the development of entrepreneurship in Ilawa is lack of easily accessible, equipped with technical infrastructure areas prepared for industry.

The areas for investment activities are gradually developed with the town’s own funds and with the funds coming from European Union. In the area of Ostródzka and Piaskowa streets (in close vicinity to the project financed by Phare 2002 SSG) the power, water supply, sewage, telecommunication and gas networks were developed at the Town expense. Development of the part of the area in close vicinity to ring-road, which is the subject of this project will be realized as Small Infrastructure Project under Phare 2000 ESC. Realization of 2nd stage of inner ring-road in Ilawa is a continuation of the task “Modernisation of Road No 16 and building Eastern inner ring – road,” which is realized within the framework of Phare 2002 ESC. As a final result of realization of both stages, a new “small Eastern ring-road” - 1,85km long, will be built. Building the whole ring-road will make the traffic more efficient in the junction of national road no 15 (Olsztyn – Torun) with no 16 (Olsztyn – Grudziadz). At the same time it will improve the communication between the nearby railway siding and the new investment areas; areas where the existing business is placed (areas belong to PSS Spolem and Ilawskie Przedsiębiorstwo Budowlane) and the areas which are to be modernized. 66,65 ha of land are foreseen in the Urban Development Plan for the purpose of investment development and 9,84 ha which is currently being developed (it is the...
area, which will be developed within the framework of Small Infrastructure Projects under Phare 2000 ESC). Nearby 11 companies, employing approximately 250 people, operate on the 17.2 ha. Thanks to realization of only 2nd stage of ring-road, new investment areas of 11.3 ha will be created. The areas are mainly owned by the beneficiary (8.5 ha) and partly private property (2.8 ha). In the developed area, new construction industry, vulcanization company, wood processing company, shops will be situated. The town is planning to develop further areas starting in 2003. In the vicinity of these areas, in Zielona street there is main water-pipe line and sewage network. It will be necessary only to prepare the network leading to plots. This task will be financed from the Town Hall own resources and other funds.

All tasks to create technical infrastructure realized by the Town Authorities lead to creation of separate industrial district. This area will be considered as the economic activity zone, what will be expressed in some incentives for the investors starting new companies in this place. The town community will sell the investment sites on the discount prices, depending on the creation of the new workplaces in a certain period of time. Those investors who create new workplaces will not be charged with the property tax for the period of 3 years.

The new industrial zone will constitute the biggest industrial district of the town and designed ring-road will facilitate communication between existing industrial districts. Construction of new communication arrangements will also facilitate the operation of the companies, which are placed in Lubawska and Ostródzka streets.

The project is in line with the general development strategy of Warminsko-Mazurskie Voivodship to foster balanced sustainable economic growth by improving region attractiveness to private sector through providing access to improved transport infrastructure.

### 3.2. Linked activities

Modernization of the national road no16 in the city of Ilawa 1st stage. The task is being implemented by Ilawa Town Council in the framework of the Voivodship contract. Beginning of project – IV quarter 2001. Completion according to plan III quarter 2002.

Road no 16 modernization 1st stage includes rebuilding of section on a length of approximately 1km including underground services. Within the framework of PHARE, STRUDER and RAPID programs, from 1996 to 1998 the following projects were implemented: bank of Jeziorak lake development; service and commercial site development located at (Andersa and Wojska Polskiego streets junction)

In year 2002 the beneficiary took part in Phare 2002 ESC programme with project entitled “Modernisation of national road no16 and construction of Inner Eastern Ring-road in Ilawa to stimulate economic activity,” which is 1st stage of the project. This year a realisation of new task “Territorial development to prepare plots for running economic activities in Lubawska street.” is planned. This task will be implemented in the close vicinity of Ring-road.

### 3.3. Results

As a result of project realization the following infrastructure providing adequate access to new investment areas will be constructed:

- new and modernised ring road sections of the total length ca. 1.3 km
- new flyover bridge over the railway total length of ca.150 m
- storm water drainage of total length ca.1.8 km
- reconstructed underground services and utilities
- new road lighting system

Planned newly constructed and modernised road sections meet the European Union standards

### 3.4. Activities

- Employment of the Manager of the project
- Selection of the project contractor and execution of following building works:
  - construction of 0,55 km long road from flyover over the railroads to flyover along with infrastructure construction
  - construction of the flyover over Ilawka river – 0,15km long
  - construction and modernization of Skladowa street in the section from flyover to Lubawska street – 0,5km long
  - roundabout construction in the intersection of Lubawska and Skladowa streets and Lubawska street modernization in the section of 0,15km length
  - building of storm water drainage network of total length 1800 m and diameter from 160 to 630
  - construction of lightning system
rebuilding of power,
- reconstruction of water-pipe line and sewage,
- reconstruction of telecommunication and gas networks

3.5. Lessons learned

Under the Final Assessment Report for Assistance funded under PL-9808 Special Preparatory Programme (SPP) and PL-9909 Regional Policy and Cohesion, prepared by OMAS, some recommendations have been made. They are also relevant to the above-mentioned project. Therefore the Ministry of Economy together with the IA and Office of the Committee for European Integration have established stronger institutional co-operation between those organizations (responsible for the programming and implementation of the Phare – ESC assistance).

LPM (Logical Planning Matrix) methodology is applied correctly and contains clear, quantifiable indicators of achievement of the project’s objectives.

The implementation of the Phare 2003 ESC Programme should be based on the experience gained during the implementation of previous Phare programmes, especially ESC 2000, 2001 and 2002 ESC Programmes. Therefore documents concerning land acquisition and conformity with the local spatial development plans are required during the current programming exercise. For this purpose the Polish authorities (Ministry of Economy and Office of the Committee for European Integration) have established a scrutiny system (in form of a checklist) that should be used at the early stage of programming Phare 2003 ESC assistance, eliminating proposals which do not meet the above-mentioned criteria.

4. INSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK

4.1. The beneficiary of the project: Board of Ilawa Town
4.2. The Engineer/Manager of the project will be selected through tender pursuant to the Polish Public Procurement Act.
4.3. The owner of the investment after the implementation of the project: Board of Ilawa Town
4.4. The investment will be implemented pursuant to the regulations of the Decentralised Implementation System – "Practical Guide to Phare, Ispa and Sapard Contract Procedures"
4.5. The implementation of the project will not cause any changes to the institutional framework described above.

5. DETAILED BUDGET

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Investment Support</th>
<th>Institution Building</th>
<th>Total Phare National co-financing</th>
<th>International &amp; Financial Institutions</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>€</td>
<td>€</td>
<td>€</td>
<td>€</td>
<td>€</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project</td>
<td>3 389 000</td>
<td>3 389 000</td>
<td>1 770 000</td>
<td>5 159 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>3 389 000</td>
<td>3 389 000</td>
<td>1 770 000</td>
<td>5 159 000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The overall value of the investment including employment of the Project Manager will amount to 5,159 MEUR. The cost of the employment of the Project Manager will be borne by the beneficiary in the amount of 0,10 MEUR. Co-financing will be available.

6. IMPLEMENTATION ARRANGEMENTS

6.1. Implementing Agency

PAO: Ms. Krystyna Gurbieł, Undersecretary Of State In The Ministry Of Economy, Labour and Social Policy, Pl. Trzech Krzyzy 3/5, 00-507 Warsaw, Poland, Phone: + 48.22.693.56.28, 629.31.47, Fax: + 48.22.693.40.05

Implementing Authority for Phare Cross Border Co-operation Programme, Contact person: Ms. Grazyna Weclewiska, Kruca 36 Street, 00-522 Warsaw, Poland, Phone +48.22.695.99.10-11, fax +48.22.695.99.12-13

6.2. Twinning: N/A

6.3. Non-standard aspects: N/A
6.4. **Contracts**

- Contract 1: Work contract joint co-financing is foreseen as follows:
  a) 3,389 MEUR from Phare 2003
  b) 1,670 MEUR from Polish local funds

- Contract 2 (engineer/project manager): 0,10 MEUR. The contract financed only by Polish side.

7. **IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE**

- Start of tendering: preparation of tender documents: second quarter 2003
- Start of tendering: third quarter 2003
- Start of project activity: fourth quarter 2003
- Project completion: first quarter 2006

8. **EQUAL OPPORTUNITIES**

Procedures related to the implementation of the project will be implemented according to the law and will respect equal opportunities for all interested institutions and natural persons in spite of their sex, race and nationality. The employment of men and women will be based on applicable EU standards with reference to EOE (Equal Opportunity of Employment), which will be announced in official press advertisements during the recruitment procedures.

9. **ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT**

Environmental Impact Assessment for the planned project has been prepared by „Uslugi dotyczace ochrony powietrza Andrzej Jamiolkowski”. In the assessment, both the construction and service life stages have been examined and the following types of interaction has been taken into account:

- atmospheric air pollution
- noise pollution
- pollution of surface (Ilawka river) and underground waters
- pollution by increased waste production
- influence on natural plants and animals
- influence on living conditions and people existence
- changes in landscape
- soil pollution

During realisation of the investment the protection of present number of trees should be taken into account. The cutting out of the trees should be kept minimal; technical facilities of the investment should be placed in the areas creating additional dangers for the environment, rain waters coming from ring-road should be taken to separators and sediment trap; housing estates should be separated from noise with acoustic baffles. EIA is available in Polish language at the Municipal Office in Ilawa Town.

10. **RATES OF RETURN**

The Feasibility Study including economical and financial analysis of the project “Improvement of the access to new investment areas, situated in the industrial zone in Lubawska Street in Ilawa in order to stimulate economic activity” was prepared in May 2001 by the Design Office “DROMOS” from Olsztyn. FIRR for that type of projects has negative value, because the venture is non-commercial and does not bring any financial profit. However, because of big social advantages, the realisation of this venture is justified, what is presented in the positive values of EIRR and NPV.

EIRR - internal rate of capital return for this project amounts to 18.29%
NPV coefficient = 19.5 thousand PLN for the interest rate = 10%. FS is available in Polish language at the Municipal Office in Ilawa Town.

11. **INVESTMENT CRITERIA**

11.1. **Catalytic effect**

The Phare support will be conducive to achieving economic and social cohesion goals in Warminsko-Mazurskie Voivodship, which otherwise could be attained only in a more distant future and on a more modest and less efficient scale.
11.2. **Co-financing**  
The project is co-financed by the Polish sources. The national contribution amounts to 25.07% of the total project cost.

11.3. **Additionality**  
The financial means transferred to the Beneficiary for the project implementation, covering the investment costs as they are planned within the project do not displace other financing sources, especially from the private sector and the IFI system; it is solely the co-financing of identified priorities and does not replace national resources.

11.4. **Project readiness and size**  
The project will be ready for implementation, contracting and disbursement in accordance with requirements of Phare 2003 ESC programme. The feasibility study and a report on environmental impact assessment have been prepared. The beneficiary is the owner of the land for the investment. The building permit for the planned venture has been obtained. The Polish co-financing has been included in the budget of the beneficiary.

11.5. **Sustainability**  
The project will contribute to the long-term sustainable development of the region, as described in the Operational Programme for Warminsko - Mazurskie Voivodship. After implementation, all maintenance costs related to the investment will be covered by the beneficiary.

11.6. **Conformity with state aid provisions**  
All aspects of the project will be developed with respect to the state aids provisions of the European Agreement.

11.7. **Contribution to Draft National Development Plan**  
The project is in line with the fifth axis of the draft NDP, which is “Strengthening development potential of regions and counteracting marginalisation of certain areas”. This axis will be implemented by means of several priorities including development and modernisation of infrastructure serving to strengthen competitiveness.

**12. Conditionality and Sequencing**

Successful implementation of the project depends on the following conditions:
- Successful implementation of other strategies of Voivodship development
- Stable regional economy
- Proviat and town efficient promotion policy to foster industrial attractiveness

**Deadlines:**
- Beneficiary has construction and executive designs ready
- Beneficiary has obtained the building permit – No 18/2002 dated on 28.02.2002 and No – II/2/02 dated on 29.03.2002
- Start of contracting – III quarter 2003
- Start of project activity – IV quarter 2003
- Completion of the contract – I quarter 2006
# ANNEX 1 - PROJECT LOGICAL FRAMEWORK MATRIX

## PROJECT TITLE: IMPROVEMENT OF THE ACCESS TO NEW INVESTMENT AREAS IN ILAWA

### Programme number

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall objective</th>
<th>Objectively verifiable indicators</th>
<th>Sources of verification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stimulation of Ilawa SMEs sector economic activity</td>
<td>increase of turnovers of SME firms in Ilawa by 3.6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Project purpose (Immediate Objective)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project purpose (Immediate Objective)</th>
<th>Objectively verifiable indicators</th>
<th>Sources of verification</th>
<th>Assumptions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Creation of new and development of existing SME in the areas made communicatively accessible</td>
<td>increase in number of SME firms by 18 places – new and existing SME</td>
<td>Local authorities</td>
<td>Successful implementation of other strategies of Voivodship development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>increase of available work-places by 242 places – new and existing SME</td>
<td>2 years after project realization ending</td>
<td>Stable regional economy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Objectively verifiable indicators</th>
<th>Sources of verification</th>
<th>Assumptions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New section of road with flyover bridge over the railroad and accompanying technical infrastructure</td>
<td>road access to 11,3 ha for new investment, 1,3 km of new road, flyover of 0,15 km over the railroad accompanying infrastructure</td>
<td>the local authorities reports directly after project realization ending</td>
<td>Poviat and town efficient promotion policy to foster industrial attractiveness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>the Town Hall</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activities</th>
<th>Means</th>
<th>Assumptions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Employment of the Manager of the project. Selection of the project contractor and execution of following building works: roundabout construction in the intersection of Lubawska street building of storm water drainage network construction of lightning system rebuilding of power, water-pipe line, sewage, telecommunication and gas networks</td>
<td>one contract with the contractor on realisation of the task one contract with the project engineer/manager Phare funds 3,389 MEUR National co-financing 1,770 MEUR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Preconditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preconditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Town Board resolution concerning the implementation of the investment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## PLANNED IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE PER QUARTERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IMPLEMENTATION</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONTRACTING</td>
<td>3,389</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DISBURSEMENT (PAYMENT)</td>
<td>0,28</td>
<td>0,56</td>
<td>0,91</td>
<td>1,27</td>
<td>1,60</td>
<td>1,94</td>
<td>2,31</td>
<td>2,69</td>
<td>3,04</td>
<td>3,389</td>
<td>0,28</td>
<td>0,56</td>
<td>0,91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend:  
D = design of sub-projects;  
C = tendering and contracting;  
I = contract implementation and payment.
1. Development consent

Has development consent\(^1\) already been given to this project?

Yes [X] No [ ]

If yes, on which date 29.03.2002, 28.02.2002

If no, when was the formal request for the development consent introduced [ ] and by which date is the final decision expected? [ ]

Specify the competent authority or authorities, which has given or will give the development consent
Governor of Warminsko Mazurski Region - development consent No. Il/2/02 dated on 29.03.2002. and Starostwo Powiatowe in Ilawa development consent No. 18/2002 dated on 28.02.2002

Is the above authority considered to be the competent authority responsible for performing the duties of Directive 85/337/EEC as amended by 97/11/EEC on Environmental Impact Assessment?

Yes [X] No [ ]

- If no, please specify for this project who is designated as competent authority for the purposes of the EIA Directive:

………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………
………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………


2.1. Is the project a class of development covered by:

Annex I of Directive 85/337/EEC, as amended by Directive 91/11/EC (go to question 2.2)


Not covered by Directive 85/337/EEC, as amended by Directive 91/11/EC (only the section on nature conservation needs to be completed)

2.2. Has an EIA already been carried out?

Yes [X] No [ ]

If yes, has the EIA been carried out before development consent has been given?

Yes [X] No [ ]

On which date has it been finalised may 2001

If no, provide an estimation of the date when the procedure will be finalised [ ]

---

\(^1\) i.e. decision of the competent authority or authorities which entitle the developer to proceed with the project

2.3. When covered by Annex I of the EIA Directive a similar\textsuperscript{3} procedure as the one described in the EIA directive will need to be applied and the following documents included.

Necessary documents are:

\textbf{a) the non-technical summary of the Environmental Impact Study carried out for the project. A non-technical summary shall include at least:}

\begin{itemize}
  \item a description of the project comprising information on the site, design and size of the project, Ilawa is a town of 34 thousands of inhabitants and area of 22 km\textsuperscript{2}. Planned task is construction of the bypass to the industrial grounds, connecting Ostródzka Street (National Road) with Lubawska Street (Province road). Designed section of the road is 1,3 km long and will enable the access to the development grounds of 66,65 ha. First part of the road goes through existing housing estate, and farther, through undeveloped grounds, designed for industry and service.
  \item a description of the measures envisaged in order to avoid, reduce and, if possible, remedy significant adverse effects. There shouldn't be any serious adverse effects, during the execution of construction and use of the road. There are possible adverse effects and the remedies for them:
    \begin{itemize}
      \item emission of air pollution caused by traffic – protective green belts shall be executed,
      \item noise generated by the traffic can have impact on the houses – it should be eliminated by the acoustic screens.
      \item waste generated during the works shall be taken to the waste disposal or used again, according to the types of the material.
      \item storm water discharge will not have negative effect on the environment on condition that the separators will be executed.
      \item existing trees shall be protected during the works.
    \end{itemize}
  \item the data required to identify and assess the main (direct and indirect effects) which the project is likely to have on the environment on the following factors:
    \begin{itemize}
      \item fauna and flora (including those environmentally sensitive areas which might fall in future under the protection of the Birds (79/409/EEC) and Habitats (92/43/EEC) Directives) Investment will not have negative effect on fauna, but there might be some negative effect on flora – necessity of cutting out the trees, which is unavoidable. We have appropriate decisions and permits allowing cutting out of the trees.
      \item soil, – there might be soil and ground contamination with oil derivatives from fuel spillage of the road machinery.
      \item water – There is no contamination of the drinking water. There might be only the contamination of Ilawka river caused by the storm water drainage. To avoid this, the drainage system, separator and sediment trap has been designed.
      \item air – the NO\textsubscript{x} level might be exceeded. To decrease the air pollution, the protective green belts shall be executed, in a from of hedge of: minimum width 1,0 m and height 1,5 m.
      \item climate – Investment will not affect the climate.
      \item landscape. New elements of the landscape are designed. A meadow, which is playing the role of a non-organised playground, will be replaced by a double-lane road, illuminated at night. This element will be dominant in the local landscape. A serious damage to the landscape will undoubtedly be caused by clearance of the municipal forest. Planting the street with a multi-level green should improve the landscape visual values however only after a few years.
      \item material assets – planned road goes through the grounds not colliding with property ownership of neighbouring properties, not belonging to the Town.
      \item the cultural heritage – in the area of planned investment, there are no cultural values.
      \item the interaction between the factors mentioned in the first, second and third indents.
    \end{itemize}
\end{itemize}

\textbf{b) the results of consultations with the competent environmental authorities; indicating in what way the concerns of the designated consultees have been taken into account. Construction permit for that type of investment has been issued in accordance with binding Polish law. Investment has been given positive opinion by:}

\begin{itemize}
  \item A guidance document describing the minimum criteria to ensure that a similar procedure has been applied can be found on http://www.inforegio.cec.eu.int
\end{itemize}
Province Nature Conservator – the decision taking into account the necessity of cutting out the
trees,
Environment Protection Department of Ilawa Town Hall in Ilawa - concerning the stand of the
trees management
Regional Health Inspector,
Starostwo Powiatowe in Ilawa, Environment Protection Department – concerning storm water
drainage. The only permit connected with water law is the one concerning the storm water
drainage. It stated the conditions of execution of works and later use. All the conditions were
incorporated in the documentation or accepted by the Investor to be executed during the use of
the bypass.

c) the results of consultations with the public concerned. The information provided should cover
the following:
the concerned public which has been consulted
the places where the information has been consulted
the time which has been given to the public in order to express its opinion
the way in which the public has been informed (for example, by bill-posting within a certain
radius, publication in local newspapers, organisations of exhibitions with plans, drawings, tables,
graphs, models, etc.)
the manner in which the public has been consulted (for example, by written submissions, by
public enquiry, etc.)
the way in which the concerns of the public have been taken into account.
Administrative execution concerning the execution of this task until planned time of receipt of
construction permit, has been carried out in accordance with binding Polish law. Private people, who
were directly interested in the construction took part as parties. They were informed about particular
decisions, which were made during following steps of the execution. They could deal with
documentation displayed in Ilawa Town Hall as it came. At the stage of acquiring the construction
permit, announcements on the board and in internet were put. At the same time in local newspaper and
radio, short announcements about planned investment were presented. The inhabitants did not have
any remarks or reservations until now.
d) in case a project is likely to have significant effects on the environment in another state the results of
the transboundary consultation with those states effected by the project needs to be provided
demonstrating that the procedure of article 7 of the EIA Directive. In addition, execution of binformation
indicating in what way the concerns of the designated consultees and concerned public have been taken
into account will also need to be provided.
The project will not have the effect on the environment in other regions.
e) Evidence that the decision to grant or refuse development consent has been made available to
the public by the competent authority, including the
Two construction permits were issued (according to the jurisdiction extend):
Permit No II/2/02 dated on 29.03.2002 – issued by the Governor of Warminsko –
MazurskieProvince – “reconstruction of intersection of Ostródzka Street and Piaskowa Street and
section of Ostródzka Street in the course of National Road No. 16 from km 70+492,65 to km
70+715,51 plot No. 5-1/2 and intersection of Lubawska Street and Skladowa Street and the
section of Lubawska in the course of Province Road No. 536 km 0+000 to 0+147,92 (in local plan
plot No. 7-76) in Ilawa with technical infrastructure.” The permit has been issued on the basis of
the decision of Mayor of Ilawa Town No. TI-8331/D/59/01 dated on 23.05.2001 – about the terms
of building and area development and presented construction design.
Permit No. 18/2002 accepting the construction design and issuing the construction permit dated
on 28.02.2002 issued by Starostwo Powiatowe – for construction of road system in the section
from Ostródzka Street to Lubawska Street – Small Eastern Bypass in Ilawa. Announcements
were presented on the board and in internet.
The content of the decision and conditions attached thereto.
The construction permit contain following terms:
detailed conditions of securing the building site and works execution:
- building constructions shall be placed in the terrain by the means of geodesy measuring in
and after it, the post execution geodesy stocktaking.
- execution of works should meet the requirements of the accepted construction design, which is integral part of this decision and is appendix No 1; in accordance with the knowledge and building art., and binding law regulations (including health and safety at work regulations) and environment protection, under the supervision and management of the qualified people
- to inform all the owners of the net of technical fitting and the board of the road before commencement of the work execution. To obtain, if necessary the permit to block one part of the roadway.
- meet the terms of opinion of ZUDP Ilawa No. WGN 7442-14/2002 dated on 18.02.2002.

detailed requirements concerning site supervision:
- establishing the Project Manager and Investor Supervisor,
- promptly inform Starosta Ilawski about the change of the Supervisor or Project Manager

Investor can start using the object before execution of all construction works on the condition of obtaining the permit for use. Mentioned above terms will be met at the time of commencement of the investment execution.
The main reasons and considerations on which the decision has been based: a description, where necessary, of the main measures to avoid, reduce and, if possible, offset the major adverse effects.
To avoid the possible negative influence on the environment, those shall be executed:
- to decrease the noise level – execute acoustic screens
- to decrease gas emission – execute protective green belts
- carrying away the storm water to Ilawka river through separators

Note: In relation to b), c) and d) these may be represented in the form of a statement, conclusion or certification by the competent environmental authorities describing and testifying that all obligation as described in the intends above have been followed.

2.4. When covered by Annex II of the EIA Directive has an Environmental Impact Assessment been carried out for this project?

Yes [X] No

If yes include the necessary documents (see question 2.3.).

If no explain the reasons4 and give the thresholds, criteria or case by case examination carried out to reach the conclusion that the project has no significant environmental effects:

Use more space if necessary

Have the results of the determination whether a project listed in Annex II of the Directive requires a formal EIA or not (made by the competent authority) made available to the public?

Yes [ ] No [X]

If yes, on which date __/__/____

---

4 The decision needs to be based on the procedure described in article 4.2, 4.3 and 4.4 and the screening criteria of Annex III of Council Directive 97/11/EC amending Directive 85/337/EEC.
1. Assessment of effects on sites of nature conservation importance

1.1. Is the project likely to affect sites of nature conservation importance (i.e. potential Natura 2000 sites)?

- Yes [ ] No [X] [ ]

If yes - please go to question 1.2.

If no - please fill out Annex I (Declaration by the authority responsible for sites of nature conservation importance = potential future Natura 2000 sites)

1.2 In this case an appropriate assessment according to art. 6(3) of the directive 92/43/EEC ("Habitats Directive") is obligatory.

1.2.1 Have this appropriate assessment been carried out?

- Yes [ ] No [ ]

This appropriate assessment can take the form of an EIA according to Directive 85/337/EEC as amended by 97/11/EC. If this is not the case, please describe briefly the procedure carried out and include a non-technical summary of the impact study.

…………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………
…………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………
…………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

1.2.2 Based on the results of the above appropriate assessment, will the project have a significant negative impact on a site of nature conservation importance?

- Yes [ ] No [ ]

If yes, please fill out Annex II (Information to the Commission according to Article 6(4) of the Habitats Directive. This Annex has to be signed by the authority responsible for sites of nature conservation importance = potential future Natura 2000 sites)

If no, please fill out Annex I (Declaration by the authority responsible for sites of nature conservation importance = potential future Natura 2000 sites)

---

5 For the purpose of the Pre-Accession instruments a site of nature conservation importance (= potential future Natura 2000 site) in candidate countries is a site falling under one or more of the following categories:
(a) sites, which have been identified by the competent national authorities as sites to be proposed for the Natura 2000 network as laid down in the Birds Directive (79/409/EEC) and Habitats Directive (92/43/EEC)
(b) sites listed in the latest inventory of Important Bird Areas (IBA 2000) for candidate countries or (if available) equivalent more detailed scientific inventories endorsed by national authorities
(c) wetlands of international importance designated under the Ramsar Convention or qualifying for such protection
(d) areas to which the Bern convention on the conservation of European Wildlife and Natural Habitats (Art. 4) applies, in particular sites meeting the criteria of the Emerald network
(e) areas protected under national nature conservation legislation
ANNEX I - Declaration by the authority responsible for sites of nature conservation importance (= potential future Natura 2000 sites) (EQUIVALENT TO Annex I(a) of the Cohesion Fund form)

Responsible authority Warminsko Mazurskie Voivodship in Olsztyn

Having examined\(^6\) the project application ...........................……………………….

(title) « Improvement of the access to new investment areas in Ilawa»

which is to be located at Ilawa Town

we declare that (tick the appropriate box):

- The project is not likely to have significant effects on a site of nature conservation importance on the following grounds:
  - Air protection
  - Noise impact
  - storm water
  - waste
  - nature impact

Therefore an appropriate assessment required by Article 6 (3) was not deemed necessary.

- Following an appropriate assessment, according to Art. 6(3) of Directive 92/43/EEC, the project will not have significant negative effects on a site of nature conservation importance.

Signed: ............................

(Authority responsible for monitoring sites of nature conservation importance)

Official Seal:

---

\(^6\) taking into account the requirements of Art. 6(3) of Directive 92/43/EEC
ANNEX II - Information to the European Commission according to Article 6(4) of the Habitats Directive (92/43/EEC) for Candidate Countries

Candidate Country: Date:
Competent national authority: 
Address: 
Contact person: 
Tel., fax, e-mail: 

1. PROJECT

Name of the site affected:

This site is (please tick):
- a site identified by the national competent authority as qualifying under Art. 4(1) and (2) of the Birds directive (79/409/EEC)
- a site identified by the national competent authority as qualifying under Art. 4 (1) of the Habitats directive (92/43/EEC)
- a site listed in the latest inventory on Important Bird Areas (IBA 2000) or (if available) in an equivalent more detailed scientific inventories endorsed by national authorities
- a wetland of international importance designated under the Ramsar Convention or qualifying for such protection
- a site to which the Bern convention on the conservation of European Wildlife and Natural Habitats (Art. 4) applies, in particular a site meeting the criteria of the Emerald network
- areas protected under national nature conservation legislation

Summary of the project having an effect on the site:

2. NEGATIVE EFFECTS

Summary of the assessment of the negative effects on the site:

N.B.: this summary should focus on the adverse effect expected on the conservation value of the site, include the appropriate maps and describe the already decided mitigation measures.

3. ALTERNATIVE SOLUTIONS

Summary of alternative solutions studied by the candidate country:

Reasons why the competent national authorities have concluded that there is absence of alternative solutions:

4. IMPERATIVE REASONS

Reason to nevertheless carry out this plan or project:
- Imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature (in the absence of priority habitat/species)
- Human health
- Public safety
- Beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment
- Other imperative reasons of overriding public interest

Short description of the reason:

5. COMPENSATION MEASURES

Foreseen compensatory measures and timetable: