1. **Basic Information**

1.1 **CRIS Number:** 2003/004-379/05.15
1.2. **Title:** Lubuskie network of business activity areas – investor-friendly towns – 2nd edition
1.3. **Sector:** Economic and Social Cohesion
1.4. **Location:** Poland, Lubuskie Voivodship, towns of: Nowa Sól, Wschowa, Kozuchów

2. **Objectives**

2.1. **Overall Objective**
Improvement of the investment attractiveness of the region via offering land with utilities for investment.

2.2. **Project Purpose/Immediate Objective**
Establishment of new companies in the sector of SME
Reduction of unemployment rate in the region

2.3. **Accession Partnership and NPAA Priority**
The project is designed to address issues related to the AP priority in economic and social cohesion policy regarding the preparation for the implementation of regional programmes and Community Initiatives. The project in particular responds to the economic criterion identified in the AP concerning improving the competitiveness of the Polish economy. The project corresponds also to the NPAA priority "Regional policy and co-ordination of structural instruments"

2.4. **Contribution to Preliminary National Development Plan**
The project is in line with the sixth axis of the Preliminary NDP, which is “Strengthening development potential of regions and countering marginalisation of certain areas”. The priorities of this axis will be implemented by means of several measures including development and modernisation of infrastructure serving to strengthen competitiveness.
The project is compliant with the priorities of the Operational Programme of the Voivodship.

2.5. **Cross-Border Impact:** N/A

3. **Description**

3.1. **Background and Justification**
The southeast part of Lubuskie Voivodship, i.e. the area of the Project location, has struggled with economic problems of structural nature for many years. Among other things, the problems include the highest unemployment rate that has been exceeding 150% of the national average (the unemployment rate for both counties together was 28.5% at the end of 2001). The economic situation of the sub-region is also illustrated by the lowest salary before tax among other counties of Lubuskie Voivodship, as it is 77.2% of the national average whereas it is 87.0% of the national average for Lubuskie Voivodship.
The main reasons for such a situation are related to the closure of the largest industrial and construction enterprises. This pertains mainly to Nowa Sól but the problem exists also in the remaining two towns that participate in the Project.
Preparation of land with all utilities for quick location of new production and service facilities requires taking the risk related to the so-called “future investments” which does not guarantee early return on the investment.
A chance for elimination of the above development limitations is the Project elaborated in cooperation with the regional self-government that provides for creating circumstances to further investments and entrepreneurship development.

**Nowa Sól**
The most serious problem for the Town of Nowa Sól is the lack of areas available for investors and, thus, a vivid inhibition of development and rapid growth of unemployment. This is even more painful as the town was thus far the motive power for the development of the neighboring communes. The problems resulted in a rapid drop in employment rates and growth of social tensions. The Town of Nowa Sól has prepared the “Strategic Development Plan for the Town of Nowa Sól” which is a plan of implementation
of the priority goals of the town development for the years 2000 – 2010 to create perspective foundations for economic growth, which will have firmer far bases than so far. The subject matter of the investment is to build technical infrastructure in the industrial area of Nowa Sól. The area of 70 hectares is located in the northern part of the town and adjoins the trunk line that links Wroclaw and Szczecin, and the railroad line Nowa Sól – Wolsztyn which is now closed. The area is located along the national road No. 3 (E65) and approximately 80 – 100 km from A4 highway and from the border-crossing points in Olszyna, Swiecko and Gubin. The Nowa Sól ring-road construction will begin in 2003. The ring-road is designed as an express road. The location of the town on the River Oder provides for possibilities to transport cargo along the river. The Commune of Nowa Sól owns or is entitled to decisions on the use of investment areas. In the direct vicinity of the industrial areas there is located a bulk electric source of the power reserve of 28 MW, and a medium-pressure reduction plant for natural gas with large reserves. The town is also equipped with telecommunication network owned by Telekomunikacja Polska S.A. and local telephone operator “DIgnAL” S.A. Nowa Sól lies within the reach of all the GSM operators. Investments in the area were taken into account by automotive industry entrepreneurs who sought an area of some 50 hectares, but also entrepreneurs of insulation materials industry, the industry of non-ferrous metals and foundry engineering. However, due to the lack of the utilities in the area, the implementation of the projects failed. Currently, entrepreneurs of insulation materials industry and automotive industry are interested in investments in the area. Discussions with investors of furniture manufacturing and electro technical industry are in course. New investment areas to be available after the Project has been completed shall provide location for some 30 industrial plants (depending on their size), which will create about 500 new jobs. In 2000, the Town Council of Nowa Sól passed a resolution on tax exemption for the entities implementing new investments that will create new jobs. The tax exemption is of 50 to 100% for the period of 3 – 7 years depending on the number of the employed.

Wschowa
One of the main reasons for which investments in the commune have been limited is the lack of available financial resources caused by the growing current expenditures. Investments implemented first respond to the most urgent social needs. The implementation of this Project combined with the previous infrastructure investments shall allow eliminating the infrastructure barrier that limits entrepreneurship development in the commune. This Project aims at providing basic technical infrastructure for the area of 47 hectares that is designed for business activities. The area is located in the southeast part of the town, at regional road No. 305 and in the vicinity of two-line railroad Leszno – Glogów. In the immediate neighborhood of the area there is a bulk electric source of 11/20 kV, a high-pressure gas pipeline and a water treatment plant. The area is a property of the Commune of Wschowa. The interest of investors may indicate the future lines of metal industry (steel structures), plastic manufacture (casing tubes), production of cardboard packaging, construction materials, and recycling of automotive tires. Many years of tradition of consumer goods industry, machinery manufacture, metal and wood processing (furniture manufacture) in Wschowa may facilitate location of such activities in the town which has specialists educated in these lines. The possibilities are also supported by the developed secondary school system that suits the requirements of the local market. Apart from offering appropriate land with utilities, we think investors may be attracted to our commune by the system of discounts and preferences in real estate taxes that was introduced in 2000. The discounts and preferences depend on the number of new jobs created by a given investment. Opening new businesses in the area would allow combating the serious problem of the commune, i.e. the high unemployment rate that reaches 25% at present. It is estimated that some 300 new jobs will be created and the number does not include the multiplier effects of such a scale of employment. The presented Project is a part of the program of revitalizing of town areas in Lubuskie Voivodship, and it is considered as one of the most important elements of the regional strategy. The strategy is directed to entrepreneurship development and improving competitiveness of the areas that are threatened by marginalizing and structural unemployment.

Kozuchów
A clutch factory, a subsidiary of the Passenger Car Factory in Warsaw, used to be the largest employer of Kozuchów (population 9,800). Due to its restructuring and changes in ownership structure, the factory has dramatically reduced the number of employees, and even the reduced numbers do not seem to be maintained in the factory facing its current problems. Another important employer and service buyer was
a large military unit that used to operate in the town. The unit was liquidated in 2000. The problem was
even more severe as the garrison was also liquidated.
The main reason for the limitation of investments, which depend on the regional self-government, is the
lack of investment areas with infrastructure that would allow new production and service facilities to be
located quickly in the town.
The investment aims at building technical infrastructure in the area taken over from the former military
unit, and at adopting the area to industrial purposes. The projected lines of activities in the area are
construction materials production, metal and wood products.
The total area of the new investment land available after the Project has been completed will reach 100
hectares, and it will allow for location of some 30 new enterprises, i.e. about 250 new jobs.
The presented Project is the first part of the program of the Commune area revitalizing and it shall affect
the growth of entrepreneurship and improvement of competitiveness of the areas that are now
threatened by marginalizing and structural unemployment.

3.2. Linked activities
In the areas of the Project works have been done that allow using its effects properly.

**Nowa Sól**
In the area of the industrial zone the national road No. 3 has been modernized, the sewage treatment
plant in Nowa Sól was enlarged and modernized in 2000. A plan of the management of the industrial
zone of Nowa Sól was developed. In May 2000, the Town Council of Nowa Sól passed the Resolution
XXII/157/2000 on tax exemptions for the entities that implement new investments to create new jobs.
The exemptions pertain to the entities that will create the following numbers of new jobs:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Jobs</th>
<th>Exemption</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 to 25</td>
<td>50% discount for 3 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 to 50</td>
<td>75% discount for 5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 50</td>
<td>100% exemption for 7 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Wschowa**
First of all it was the construction of a new, modern, mechanical-biological sewage treatment plant of the
capacity of 3500 m$^3$/d, 10% of the cost of which was financed by the Program Phare CBC Poland –
Germany, modernization of the Water Treatment Plant that raised its capacity by 50%, and
commencement of the investment process related to the complex management of waste in the
commune.

**Kozuchów**
The Commune permanently modernizes water intakes and builds sanitary sewer system. At present, in
order to improve the sewage disposal management, action has been taken to build a sewage treatment
plant that includes building a sewer system in the town so that the whole town may be connected to the
planned treatment plant. The design stage of modernization of the road Kozuchów – Zagan has been
commenced. The road shall be equipped with sanitary and rainfall sewage systems. The work shall start
in 2003. The distance of the road Zielona Góra – Szprotawa located within the Town of Kozuchów is
being modernized now. The Commune has a modernized and enlarged municipal waste dumpsite that
meets the requirements for such facilities. The area in which the Project is to be implemented is located
on the regional road Zielona Góra – Kozuchów – Głogów.

3.3 Results

**Nowa Sól**
The Project shall result in providing utilities in the industrial zone of more than 70 hectares (water-pipe
network, sewage and stormwater network, access road).

**Wschowa**
The results of the Project shall include the utilities in industrial areas of 47 hectares (sewage system,
water-pipe network, modernized road).

**Kozuchów**
The Project shall result in utilities in 34 hectares of land designed for industry and supplementary
facilities (bases, storage buildings, etc.).

3.4 Activities
The following activities are projected:

**Nowa Sól**
Construction of the following facilities in the industrial zone:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility Type</th>
<th>Specifications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>gravity- and pump sewage system</td>
<td>of the total length of about 1,700 meters,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>water-pipe network</td>
<td>about 1,400 meters long,</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
stormwater system of about 2,350 meters,
access road (7.0 meters wide) with sidewalks and lighting; length about 1,700 meters.

All the projected works are included in one contract for construction works of the value of 1.600 MEUR. The projected Phare SEC 2003 contribution – 950,000 EUR.

Wschowa

Construction of a sewage system including sanitary gravity collector made of sewage PVC pipes Ø 500 mm, length about 2,100 meters
sanitary pump interceptor of sewage PVC pipes Ø 200 mm, length about 2,100 meters, two sewage-pump stations
construction of water-pipe network of PVC pressure pipes Ø 225 mm, length about 3,020 meters
Renovation of about 4,800 square meters of the communal road so that it provides a convenient access to particular parcels of the industrial complex

All the projected works are included in one contract for construction work of 0.935 EUR. The projected contribution of Phare SEC 2003 – 700,000 EUR.

Kozuchów

The following constructions in the industrial zone:
distribution water-pipe network PE Ø 110 –160, length 4,000 meters
gravity sewage system, length 3,000 meters
fully equipped sewage-pump station
pump sewage system, length 350 meters
rainfall sewerage system, length 1,500 meters

All the projected works are included in one contract for construction work of 0.500 EUR. The projected contribution of Phare SEC 2003 – 350,000 EUR.

3.5. Lessons learned

Under the Final Assessment Report for Assistance funded under PL-9808 Special Preparatory Programme (SPP) and PL-9909 Regional Policy and Cohesion, prepared by OMAS, some recommendations have been made. They are also relevant to the above-mentioned project. Therefore the Ministry of Economy together with the IA and Office of the Committee for European Integration have established stronger institutional co-operation between those organizations (responsible for the programming and implementation of the Phare – ESC assistance).

LPM (Logical Planning Matrix) methodology is applied correctly and contains clear, quantifiable indicators of achievement of the project’s objectives.

The implementation of the Phare 2003 ESC Programme should be based on the experience gained during the implementation of previous Phare programmes, especially ESC 2000, 2001 and 2002 ESC Programmes. Therefore documents concerning land acquisition and conformity with the local spatial development plans are required during the current programming exercise. For this purpose the Polish authorities (Ministry of Economy and Office of the Committee for European Integration) have established a scrutiny system (in form of a checklist) that should be used at the early stage of programming Phare 2003 ESC assistance, eliminating proposals which do not meet the above-mentioned criteria.

4. INSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK

4.1. The Agreement of Communes shall be the Beneficiary and the Desiree of the Project. The Agreement has been concluded for the time of work by and between the Communes of Nowa Sól, Wschowa and Kozuchów.

4.2. The Engineer/Manager of the project: will be selected through tender pursuant to the Polish Act on Public Procurement.

4.3. The communes participating in the Project shall be the owners of the investment after the Project has been completed.

4.4. The investment will be implemented pursuant to the regulations of the Decentralised Implementation System – “Practical Guide to Phare, Ispa and Sapard Contract Procedures”.

4.5. The implementation of the project will not cause any changes to the institutional framework described above.

5. DETAILED BUDGET
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Investment Support</th>
<th>Institution Building</th>
<th>Total Phare &amp; National co-financing</th>
<th>International &amp; Financial Institutions</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>€</td>
<td>€</td>
<td>€</td>
<td>€</td>
<td>€</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nowa Sól Construction work contract</td>
<td>950 000</td>
<td>950 000</td>
<td>650 000</td>
<td>1 600 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wschowa Construction work contract</td>
<td>700 000</td>
<td>700 000</td>
<td>235 000</td>
<td>935 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kozuchów Construction work contract</td>
<td>350 000</td>
<td>350 000</td>
<td>150 000</td>
<td>500 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>2 000 000</strong></td>
<td><strong>2 000 000</strong></td>
<td><strong>1 035 000</strong></td>
<td><strong>3 035 000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The overall value of the investment including employment of the Project Manager will amount to 3.035 MEUR. The cost of the employment of the Project Manager will be borne by the beneficiary in the amount of 0.15 MEUR. Co-financing will be available.

### 6. IMPLEMENTATION ARRANGEMENTS

#### 6.1. Implementing Agency

- **PAO:** Ms. Krystyna Gurbiel, Undersecretary Of State In The Ministry Of Economy, Labour and Social Policy, Pl. Trzech Krzyzy 3/5, 00-507 Warsaw, Poland, Phone: +48.22.693.56.28, 629.31.47, Fax: +48.22.693.40.05
- Implementing Authority for Phare Cross Border Co-operation Programme, Contact person: Ms. Grazyna Weclewska, Krucza 36 Street, 00-522 Warsaw, Poland, Phone +48.22.695.99.10-11, fax +48.22.695.99.12-13

#### 6.2. Twinning: N/A

#### 6.3. Non-standard aspects: N/A

#### 6.4. Contracts

- Contract 1 (works): 3.035 MEUR, (joint co-financing: Phare 2 MEUR, beneficiary 1,035 MEUR)
- Contract 2 (engineer): 0.15 MEUR (Financed by beneficiary)

### 7. IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE

- Start of tendering/call for proposals: fourth quarter 2003
- Start of project activity: second quarter 2004
- Project completion: fourth quarter 2006

### 8. EQUAL OPPORTUNITIES

Procedures related to the implementation of the project will be implemented according to the law and will respect equal opportunities for all interested institutions and natural persons in spite of their sex, race and nationality. The employment of men and women will be based on applicable EU standards with reference to EOE (Equal Opportunity of Employment), which will be announced in official press advertisements during the recruitment procedures.

### 9. ENVIRONMENT

All the components have been appropriately assessed for their impact upon the environment. The impact upon the natural environment has been assessed. The assessment showed that the Project should bring advantageous changes to the sewage management, which shall reduce the sewage direct impact on the underground and flowing waters. Closed sewage tanks shall be liquidated. The construction of the system and disposal of the sewage to a modern biological-mechanical sewage treatment plant are the a most necessary solution in this part of the town.

EIA is available at the beneficiaries headquarters (Wschowa, Kozuchów, Nowa Sól Municipalities)

### 10. RATES OF RETURN

Rates of return on the investment for the components implemented in individual towns:

- **Nowa Sól**
  - Internal rate of return IRR = 19.0%
  - Economic rate of return ERR = 23.0%
- **Wschowa**
  - Internal rate of return IRR = 13.2%
  - Economic rate of return ERR = 27.0%
- **Kozuchów**
  - Internal rate of return IRR = 9.4%
  - Economic rate of return ERR = 21.2%

The value of the financial rate of return is related to the projected, relatively high value of revenue pertaining to the use of the network by numerous investors. The projected growth in the number of jobs and other social advantages are decisive for the value of the economic rate of return.
FS is available at the beneficiaries headquarters (Wschowa, Kozuchów, Nowa Sól Municipalities).

11. **INVESTMENT CRITERIA**

11.1. **Catalytic effect**

The Phare support will be conducive to achieving economic and social cohesion goals in Lubuskie voivodship, which otherwise could be attained only in a more distant future and on a more modest and less efficient scale.

11.2. **Co-financing**

The project is co-financed by the Polish sources. The national contribution amounts to 1.035 MEUR (34.1 %) of the total project cost. Particular towns shall make the following contributions:

- Nowa Sól: 0.65 MEUR
- Wschowa: 0.235 MEUR
- Kozuchów: 0.150 MEUR

11.3. **Additionality**

The financial means transferred to the Beneficiary for the project implementation, covering the investment costs as they are planned within the project do not displace other financing sources, especially from the private sector and the IFI system; it is solely the co-financing of identified priorities and does not replace national resources.

11.4. **Project readiness and size**

The project will be ready for implementation, contracting and disbursement in accordance with requirements of Phare 2003 ESC programme. The feasibility study and a report on environmental impact assessment have been prepared. The beneficiary is the owner of the land for the investment. The building permit will have been obtained by 2nd quarter, 2003. The Polish co-financing has been included in the budget of the beneficiary.

11.5. **Sustainability**

The project will contribute to the long-term sustainable development of the region, as described in the Operational Programme for Lubuskie Voivodship. After implementation, all maintenance costs related to the investment will be covered by the beneficiary.

11.6. **Compliance with state aids provisions**

All aspects of the project will be developed with respect to the state aids provisions of the European Agreement.

11.7. **Contribution to draft National Development Plan**

The project is in line with the fifth axis of the draft NDP, which is “Strengthening development potential of regions and countering marginalisation of certain areas”. This axis will be implemented by means of several priorities including development and modernisation of infrastructure serving to strengthen competitiveness.

12. **CONDITIONALITY AND SEQUENCING**

Shared funds for particular activities shall depend on:

- observance of the timetable set forth for the program
- fulfillment of all the requirements of the tendering process, contract, reporting and monitoring procedures
- selection of contractors able to carry out the work appropriately

**Important terms:**

- concluding agreement of the towns for the Project implementation: third quarter 2003
- selection of the Contract Manager: third quarter 2003
- preparation of the tendering documentation: fourth quarter 2003
- tendering for work and selection of the contractors: first quarter 2004
- Project implementation/construction work: second quarter 2004 till fourth quarter 2006
- completion of work and Project budget settlement: fourth quarter 2006

**ANNEXES**

- Annex 1 – Logic matrix
- Annex 2-4 – Timetable of implementation, contracting and disbursements
- Annexes EIA and Habitat
**ANNEX 1 - PROJECT LOGICAL FRAMEWORK MATRIX**

**PROJECT TITLE: LUBUSKIE NETWORK OF BUSINESS ACTIVITY AREA – INVESTOR-FRIENDLY TOWNS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Programme number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Date of drafting:**

Planning period:

End contracting: 30/06/2005

End disbursement: 30/06/2006

Total budget: 3,035,000 €

Phare budget: 2,000,000 €

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall objective</th>
<th>Objectively verifiable indicators</th>
<th>Sources of verification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Improving the investment attractiveness of the region through provision of investment areas with utilities</td>
<td>Creation of about 900 new jobs in the three towns</td>
<td>Regional Office of Statistics – 5 years after Project completion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Regional Labor Office – 5 years after Project completion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project purpose</th>
<th>Objectively verifiable indicators</th>
<th>Sources of verification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>establishment of new companies of SME sector; unemployment reduction in the region.</td>
<td>Issuance of about 30 decisions on building for production and service companies within project areas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Creation of about 900 new jobs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Offices of the towns participating in the Project – 1 year after Project completion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Town Offices and Labour Offices – 4 years after Project completion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Objectively verifiable indicators</th>
<th>Sources of verification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nowa Sól</strong> – The Project will result in utilities for industrial land of 70 hectares (water-pipe network, sewage and rainfall systems, access road). <strong>Wschowa</strong> – The results will be utilities for industrial land of 47 hectares (sewage system, water-pipe network, modernized road). <strong>Kozuchów</strong> - The results will be utilities for industrial land of 34 hectares (with bases, storage rooms, etc.).</td>
<td>Providing investors with approximately 150 hectares of land</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Offices of the towns participating in the Project – 1 year after Project completion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assumptions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increased interest of investors in border areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Further worsening of the economic situation in Poland.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activities</th>
<th>Means</th>
<th>Assumptions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nowa Sól</strong> construction of: gravity and pump sewage network 1,700m water-pipe network 1,400m rainfall network 2,350 m access road (width 7m) with sidewalks and lighting 1,700m <strong>Wschowa</strong> Construction of sewage system including: gravity sanitary interceptor 4.200m two intermediary sewage pump stations water-pipe network 3,020 m modernization of the communal road of 4,800 square meters to provide access to individual parcels of the industrial complex <strong>Kozuchów</strong></td>
<td>Building of all the facilities covered by the Project within one contract for construction work to the value of 3,485 MEUR</td>
<td>Project cost: Total cost: 3,035 MEUR Phare contribution: 2.00 MEUR Local contribution: 1.035 MEUR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assumptions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Extending the scale of effectiveness of other SME support programs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
construction of:
distribution water-pipe network PE Ø 110-160 4,000m
gravity sewage system 3,000m
fully equipped intermediary sewage pump station
pump sewage system 350m
rainfall sewage system 1,500m

Preconditions
Decision on implementation of the Province Development Strategy for improvement of the region competitiveness

ANNEXES 2-3-4: DETAILED IMPLEMENTATION CHART, CONTRACTING AND DISBURSEMENT SCHEDULE OF THE PROJECT

PROJECT TITLE:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PLANNED IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE PER QUARTERS</th>
<th>Budget allocation Cost estimate M€</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONTRACTING</td>
<td>D/C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DISBURSEMENT (PAYMENT)</td>
<td>2,0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend: D = design of sub-projects; C = tendering and contracting; I = contract implementation and payment.
1. Development consent

Has development consent\(^1\) already been given to this project?

Yes ☐ No ☒

If yes, on which date /___/___/___/

If no, when was the formal request for the development consent introduced /___/___/___/ and by which date is the final decision expected? /___/___/___/

Specify the competent authority or authorities, which has given or will give the development consent

………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

Is the above authority considered to be the competent authority responsible for performing the duties of Directive 85/337/EEC as amended by 97/11/EEC on Environmental Impact Assessment?

Yes ☐ No ☒

- If no, please specify for this project who is designated as competent authority for the purposes of the EIA Directive:

………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………


2.1. Is the project a class of development covered by:

Annex I of Directive 85/337/EEC, as amended by Directive 91/11/EC (go to question 2.2)

Annex II of Directive 85/337/EEC, as amended by Directive 91/11/EC (go to question 2.4)

Not covered by Directive 85/337/EEC, as amended by Directive 91/11/EC (only the section on nature conservation needs to be completed)

2.2. Has an EIA already been carried out?

Yes ☐ No ☒

---

\(^1\) i.e. decision of the competent authority or authorities which entitle the developer to proceed with the project

If yes, has the EIA been carried out before development consent has been given?

Yes ☐ No ☐

On which date has it been finalised   /_____/_____/____/

If no, provide an estimation of the date when the procedure will be finalised /_____/_____/____/

2.3. When covered by Annex I of the EIA Directive a similar procedure as the one described in the EIA directive will need to be applied and the following documents included.

Necessary documents are:

a) the non-technical summary of the Environmental Impact Study carried out for the project. A non-technical summary shall include at least:

The aim of the project is to make up full equipment of land on area 70 ha (water, sewage and rain system) and to build access road with width 7 metres with pavements and light. Project is situated on this area because there is no other area to make new investments in Nowa Sól town. Area is situated out of areas with zones of underground water and other protected under national nature conservation legislation. The project is not strenuous during realization and later exploitation. The range of influence on natural and technical environment is actual just for local area (place of location and areas in direct neighbourhood). There is no influence on way of using forest, agriculture, nature objects and plant vestment areas. There is no influence on resources of technical and cultural environment. Provided technical-technological solutions will guarantee suitable protection of ground water, superficial water and air. There will be no worse life conditions on housing areas but only their improvement. There is no cross-border influence on natural environment.

b) the results of consultations with the competent environmental authorities; indicating in what way the concerns of the designated consults have been taken into account.

c) the results of consultations with the public concerned. The information provided should cover the following:

Based on Nowa Sól Local Board resolution local urban plan has been changed in 1999 and created northern industrial zone. According to the Polish Law the project was given to consultation with the public through advertisements in local newspapers and show to the inspection of habitants. The project has positive opinion of Zielona Góra Voivode, Protection Environment Department and Administrative District Sanitary Inspection. We were open for any kind of suggestions about usefulness and location of industrial zone but local community did not make any suggestions.

Note: In relation to b), c) and d) these may be represented in the form of a statement, conclusion or certification by the competent environmental authorities describing and testifying that all obligation as described in the intends above have been followed.

2.4. When covered by Annex II of the EIA Directive has an Environmental Impact Assessment been carried out for this project?

3 A guidance document describing the minimum criteria to ensure that a similar procedure has been applied can be found on http://www.inforegio.cec.eu.int
Yes ☐ No ☐

- If yes include the necessary documents (see question 2.3.).

- If no explain the reasons\(^4\) and give the thresholds, criteria or case by case examination carried out to reach the conclusion that the project has no significant environmental effects:
  ………………………………………………………………………………………………………
  ………………………………………………………………………………………………………
  ………………………………………………………………………………………………………
  ………………………………………………………………………………………………………

Use more space if necessary

Have the results of the determination whether a project listed in Annex II of the Directive requires a formal EIA or not (made by the competent authority) made available to the public?

Yes ☐ No ☐

If yes, on which date /___/__/__/
1. Assessment of effects on sites of nature conservation importance.

1.1. Is the project likely to affect sites of nature conservation importance (i.e. potential Natura 2000 sites)\(^5\)?

Yes ☐ No ☒

- If yes - please go to question 1.2.
- If no - please fill out Annex I (Declaration by the authority responsible for sites of nature conservation importance = potential future Natura 2000 sites)

1.2 In this case an appropriate assessment according to art. 6(3) of the directive 92/43/EEC ("Habitats Directive") is obligatory.

1.2.1 Have this appropriate assessment been carried out?

Yes ☐ No ☒

This appropriate assessment can take the form of an EIA according to Directive 85/337/EEC as amended by 97/11/EC. If this is not the case, please describe briefly the procedure carried out and include a non-technical summary of the impact study.

……………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………
……………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………
……………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

1.2.2 Based on the results of the above appropriate assessment, will the project have a significant negative impact on a site of nature conservation importance?

Yes ☐ No ☒

- If yes, please fill out Annex II (Information to the Commission according to Article 6(4) of the Habitats Directive. This Annex has to be signed by the authority responsible for sites of nature conservation importance = potential future Natura 2000 sites)
- If no, please fill out Annex I (Declaration by the authority responsible for sites of nature conservation importance = potential future Natura 2000 sites)

---

\(^5\) For the purpose of the Pre-Accession instruments a site of nature conservation importance (= potential future Natura 2000 site) in candidate countries is a site falling under one or more of the following categories:

(a) sites, which have been identified by the competent national authorities as sites to be proposed for the Natura 2000 network as laid down in the Birds Directive (79/409/EEC) and Habitats Directive (92/43/EEC)
(b) sites listed in the latest inventory of Important Bird Areas (IBA 2000) for candidate countries or (if available) equivalent more detailed scientific inventories endorsed by national authorities
(c) wetlands of international importance designated under the Ramsar Convention or qualifying for such protection
(d) areas to which the Bern convention on the conservation of European Wildlife and Natural Habitats (Art. 4) applies, in particular sites meeting the criteria of the Emerald network
(e) areas protected under national nature conservation legislation
ANNEX I - Declaration by the authority responsible for sites of nature conservation importance (= potential future Natura 2000 sites)

Responsible authority..................................................................................................................

Having examined the project application .................................................................................

(title) prepare equipment of industrial land in Nowa Sól

which is to be located at ............NOWA SÓL...............................................................................................

we declare that (tick the appropriate box):

☐ The project is not likely to have significant effects on a site of nature conservation importance on the following grounds:

conformable to the report about environmental impact assessment « The area of work is situated out of the areas of underground water and other protected under national nature conservation legislation

Therefore an appropriate assessment required by Article 6 (3) was not deemed necessary.

☐ Following an appropriate assessment, according to Art. 6(3) of Directive 92/43/EEC, the project will not have significant negative effects on a site of nature conservation importance.

Signed: ........................................

(Authority responsible for monitoring sites of nature conservation importance)

Official Seal:

---
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### ANNEX II - Information to the European Commission according to Article 6(4) of the Habitats Directive (92/43/EEC) for Candidate Countries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate Country:</th>
<th>Date:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Competent national authority:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact person:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tel., fax, e-mail:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 1. PROJECT

Name of the site affected:

This site is (please tick):
- [ ] a site identified by the national competent authority as qualifying under Art. 4(1) and (2) of the Birds directive (79/409/EEC)
- [ ] a site identified by the national competent authority as qualifying under Art. 4 (1) of the Habitats directive (92/43/EEC)
- [ ] a site listed in the latest inventory on Important Bird Areas (IBA 2000) or (if available) in an equivalent more detailed scientific inventories endorsed by national authorities
- [ ] a wetland of international importance designated under the Ramsar Convention or qualifying for such protection
- [ ] a site to which the Bern convention on the conservation of European Wildlife and Natural Habitats (Art. 4) applies, in particular a site meeting the criteria of the Emerald network
- [ ] areas protected under national nature conservation legislation

Summary of the project having an effect on the site:

#### 2. NEGATIVE EFFECTS

Summary of the assessment of the negative effects on the site:

N.B.: this summary should focus on the adverse effect expected on the conservation value of the site, include the appropriate maps and describe the already decided mitigation measures.

#### 3. ALTERNATIVE SOLUTIONS

Summary of alternative solutions studied by the candidate country:

Reasons why the competent national authorities have concluded that there is absence of alternative solutions:

#### 4. IMPERATIVE REASONS

Reason to nevertheless carry out this plan or project:
- [ ] Imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature (in the absence of priority habitat/species)
- [ ] Human health
- [ ] Public safety
- [ ] Beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment
- [ ] Other imperative reasons of overriding public interest

Short description of the reason:

#### 5. COMPENSATION MEASURES

Foreseen compensatory measures and timetable:
ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT - Kozuchów

(Please complete this section for each project in case of grouped applications)

1. Development consent

Has development consent\(^7\) already been given to this project?

Yes [ ] No [X]

If yes, on which date /____/____/

If no, when was the formal request for the development consent introduced /__10___09___2002__/ and by which date is the final decision expected? /__15___10___2002__/

Specify the competent authority or authorities, which has given or will give the development consent

LOCAL OFFICE in KÖZUCHÓW

.................................................................

Is the above authority considered to be the competent authority responsible for performing the duties of Directive 85/337/EEC as amended by 97/11/EEC on Environmental Impact Assessment?

Yes [X] No [ ]

- If no, please specify for this project who is designated as competent authority for the purposes of the EIA Directive:

.................................................................

2. Application of Directive on Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA)\(^8\)

2.1. Is the project a class of development covered by:


- Not covered by Directive 85/337/EEC, as amended by Directive 91/11/EC [X] (only the section on nature conservation needs to be completed)

2.2. Has an EIA already been carried out?

Yes [X] No [ ]

---

\(^7\) i.e. decision of the competent authority or authorities which entitle the developer to proceed with the project

If yes, has the EIA been carried out before development consent has been given?

Yes  [X]  No  []

On which date has it been finalised  /_30__/._09__/._2002__/.

If no, provide an estimation of the date when the procedure will be finalised  /___/././_./.

2.3. When covered by Annex I of the EIA Directive a similar⁹ procedure as the one described in the EIA directive will need to be applied and the following documents included.

Necessary documents are:

a) the non-technical summary of the Environmental Impact Study carried out for the project. A non-technical summary shall include at least:

- a description of the project comprising information on the site, design and size of the project,
- a description of the measures envisaged in order to avoid, reduce and, if possible, remedy significant adverse effects,
- the data required to identify and assess the main (direct and indirect effects) which the project is likely to have on the environment on the following factors:
- human beings, fauna and flora (including those environmentally sensitive areas which might fall in future under the protection of the Birds (79/409/EEC) and Habitats (92/43/EEC) Directives);
- soil, water, air, climate and the landscape;
- material assets and the cultural heritage;
- the interaction between the factors mentioned in the first, second and third indents
- and any further information which might derive from any of the obligations deriving from Annex IV of the EIA Directive.

b) the results of consultations with the competent environmental authorities; indicating in what way the concerns of the designated consultees have been taken into account.

c) the results of consultations with the public concerned. The information provided should cover the following:

- the concerned public which has been consulted,
- the places where the information has been consulted,
- the time which has been given to the public in order to express its opinion,
- the way in which the public has been informed (for example, by bill-posting within a certain radius, publication in local newspapers, organisations of exhibitions with plans, drawings, tables, graphs, models, etc.),
- the manner in which the public has been consulted (for example, by written submissions, by public enquiry, etc.)
- the way in which the concerns of the public have been taken into account.

d) in case a project is likely to have significant effects on the environment in another state the results of the transboundary consultation with those states effected by the project needs to be provided demonstrating that the procedure of article 7 of the EIA Directive. In addition, information indicating in what way the concerns of the designated consultees and concerned public have been taken into account will also need to be provided.

e) Evidence that the decision to grant or refuse development consent has been made available to the public by the competent authority, including the

---

⁹ A guidance document describing the minimum criteria to ensure that a similar procedure has been applied can be found on http://www.inforegio.cec.eu.int
- the content of the decision and conditions attached thereto,
- the main reasons and considerations on which the decision has been based,
- a description, where necessary, of the main measures to avoid, reduce and, if possible, offset the major adverse effects.

Note: In relation to b), c) and d) these may be represented in the form of a statement, conclusion or certification by the competent environmental authorities describing and testifying that all obligation as described in the intends above have been followed.

2.4. When covered by Annex II of the EIA Directive has an Environmental Impact Assessment been carried out for this project?

Yes ☐ No ☑

- If yes include the necessary documents (see question 2.3.).

- If no explain the reasons\(^{10}\) and give the thresholds, criteria or case by case examination carried out to reach the conclusion that the project has no significant environmental effects:

According to the Directive 85/337/EEC, and the supplement Directive 91/11/EC execution of such type of investment doesn't require any evaluation of influence on environment, because it is the execution of water-supply and plumbing system on wastelands, what leads to improve of existing state of the ground, it is therefore a regenerating investment.

Use more space if necessary

Have the results of the determination whether a project listed in Annex II of the Directive requires a formal EIA or not (made by the competent authority) made available to the public?

Yes ☐ No ☑

If yes, on which date \(__/__/____\)

---

\(^{10}\) The decision needs to be based on the procedure described in article 4.2, 4.3 and 4.4 and the screening criteria of Annex III of Council Directive 97/11/EC amending Directive 85/337/EEC.
NATURE CONSERVATION

1. Assessment of effects on sites of nature conservation importance.

1.1. Is the project likely to affect sites of nature conservation importance (i.e. potential Natura 2000 sites)\(^\text{11}\)?

- Yes □
- No □
- If yes - please go to question 1.2.
- If no - please fill out Annex I (Declaration by the authority responsible for sites of nature conservation importance = potential future Natura 2000 sites)

1.2 In this case an appropriate assessment according to art. 6(3) of the directive 92/43/EEC ("Habitats Directive") is obligatory.

1.2.1 Have this appropriate assessment been carried out?

- Yes □
- No □

This appropriate assessment can take the form of an EIA according to Directive 85/337/EEC as amended by 97/11/EC. If this is not the case, please describe briefly the procedure carried out and include a non-technical summary of the impact study.

………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

1.2.2 Based on the results of the above appropriate assessment, will the project have a significant negative impact on a site of nature conservation importance?

- Yes □
- No □
- If yes, please fill out Annex II (Information to the Commission according to Article 6(4) of the Habitats Directive. This Annex has to be signed by the authority responsible for sites of nature conservation importance = potential future Natura 2000 sites )
- If no, please fill out Annex I (Declaration by the authority responsible for sites of nature conservation importance = potential future Natura 2000 sites)

\(^{11}\) For the purpose of the Pre-Accession instruments a site of nature conservation importance (= potential future Natura 2000 site) in candidate countries is a site falling under one or more of the following categories:

(f) sites, which have been identified by the competent national authorities as sites to be proposed for the Natura 2000 network as laid down in the Birds Directive (79/409/EEC) and Habitats Directive (92/43/EEC)

(g) sites listed in the latest inventory of Important Bird Areas (IBA 2000) for candidate countries or (if available) equivalent more detailed scientific inventories endorsed by national authorities

(h) wetlands of international importance designated under the Ramsar Convention or qualifying for such protection

(i) areas to which the Bern convention on the conservation of European Wildlife and Natural Habitats (Art. 4) applies, in particular sites meeting the criteria of the Emerald network

(j) areas protected under national nature conservation legislation
ANNEX I - Declaration by the authority responsible for sites of nature conservation importance (= potential future Natura 2000 sites)

Responsible authority: The Head of the Environmental Protection Office in Gorzow Wlkp.

Having examined the project application:
The Lubuska network of the industrial activities - towns friendly for the investors - Kozuchów, which is to be located at Kozuchów.
we declare that (tick the appropriate box):
☑ The project is not likely to have significant effects on a site of nature conservation importance on the following grounds:
The project comprises the construction of the water and sewage system. The fact of correct and right installation works, according to the agreed technological and technical schedule and fulfilling the agreed conditions will dramatically eliminate the negative influence of the investment project on the natural environment. During the period of taking in and passing on the sanitary wastes the system completed according to the assumptions and required safety procedures protecting the natural environment should work and function without any faults and according to the safety regulations not causing any problems for the environment. We do not plan any nature parks in the boundaries of the Kozuchów Community. Therefore an appropriate assessment required by Article 6 (3) was not deemed necessary.

☐ Following an appropriate assessment, according to Art. 6(3) of Directive 92/43/EEC, the project will not have significant negative effects on a site of nature conservation importance.

Signed: ...........................
(Authority responsible for monitoring sites of nature conservation importance)
Official Seal:
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1. PROJECT

Name of the site affected:

This site is (please tick):

- a site identified by the national competent authority as qualifying under Art. 4(1) and (2) of the Birds directive (79/409/EEC)
- a site identified by the national competent authority as qualifying under Art. 4 (1) of the Habitats directive (92/43/EEC)
- a site listed in the latest inventory on Important Bird Areas (IBA 2000) or (if available) in an equivalent more detailed scientific inventories endorsed by national authorities
- a wetland of international importance designated under the Ramsar Convention or qualifying for such protection
- a site to which the Bern convention on the conservation of European Wildlife and Natural Habitats (Art. 4) applies, in particular a site meeting the criteria of the Emerald network
- areas protected under national nature conservation legislation

Summary of the project having an effect on the site:

2. NEGATIVE EFFECTS

Summary of the assessment of the negative effects on the site:

N.B.: this summary should focus on the adverse effect expected on the conservation value of the site, include the appropriate maps and describe the already decided mitigation measures.

3. ALTERNATIVE SOLUTIONS

Summary of alternative solutions studied by the candidate country:

Reasons why the competent national authorities have concluded that there is absence of alternative solutions:

4. IMPERATIVE REASONS

Reason to nevertheless carry out this plan or project:

- Imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature (in the absence of priority habitat/species)
- Human health
- Public safety
- Beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment
- Other imperative reasons of overriding public interest

Short description of the reason:

5. COMPENSATION MEASURES

Foreseen compensatory measures and timetable:
(Please complete this section for each project in case of grouped applications)

1. Development consent

Has development consent\(^{13}\) already been given to this project?

Yes [ ] No [X]

If yes, on which date /___/___/___/

If no, when was the formal request for the development consent introduced / 18__07__2002/ and by which date is the final decision expected? / 12__10__2002/

Specify the competent authority or authorities, which has given or will give the development consent:

0 Urzad Miasta i Gminy Wschowa / Town and District Administration Office Wschowa

Is the above authority considered to be the competent authority responsible for performing the duties of Directive 85/337/EEC as amended by 97/11/EEC on Environmental Impact Assessment?

Yes [X] No [ ]

- If no, please specify for this project who is designated as competent authority for the purposes of the EIA Directive:

1 Starostwo Powiatowe we Wschowie / Wschowa County Office

2. Application of Directive on Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA)\(^{14}\)

2.1. Is the project a class of development covered by:

Annex I of Directive 85/337/EEC, as amended by Directive 91/11/EC [ ] (go to question 2.2)

Annex II of Directive 85/337/EEC, as amended by Directive 91/11/EC [ ] (go to question 2.4)

Not covered by Directive 85/337/EEC, as amended by Directive 91/11/EC [X] (only the section on nature conservation needs to be completed)

2.2. Has an EIA already been carried out?

Yes [X] No [ ]

\(^{13}\) i.e. decision of the competent authority or authorities which entitle the developer to proceed with the project

If yes, has the EIA been carried out before development consent has been given?

Yes [X]  No

On which date has it been finalised /___/___/___/

If no, provide an estimation of the date when the procedure will be finalised /___/___/___/

2.3. When covered by Annex I of the EIA Directive a similar\(^{15}\) procedure as the one described in the EIA directive will need to be applied and the following documents included.

Necessary documents are:

a) the non-technical summary of the Environmental Impact Study carried out for the project. A non-technical summary shall include at least:

- a description of the project comprising information on the site, design and size of the project,
- a description of the measures envisaged in order to avoid, reduce and, if possible, remedy significant adverse effects,
- the data required to identify and assess the main (direct and indirect effects) which the project is likely to have on the environment on the following factors:
  - human beings, fauna and flora (including those environmentally sensitive areas which might fall in future under the protection of the Birds (79/409/EEC) and Habitats (92/43/EEC) Directives);
  - soil, water, air, climate and the landscape;
  - material assets and the cultural heritage;
  - the interaction between the factors mentioned in the first, second and third indents
  - and any further information which might derive from any of the obligations deriving from Annex IV of the EIA Directive.

b) the results of consultations with the competent environmental authorities; indicating in what way the concerns of the designated consultees have been taken into account.

c) the results of consultations with the public concerned. The information provided should cover the following:

- the concerned public which has been consulted,
- the places where the information has been consulted,
- the time which has been given to the public in order to express its opinion,
- the way in which the public has been informed (for example, by bill-posting within a certain radius, publication in local newspapers, organisations of exhibitions with plans, drawings, tables, graphs, models, etc.),
- the manner in which the public has been consulted (for example, by written submissions, by public enquiry, etc.)
- the way in which the concerns of the public have been taken into account.

d) in case a project is likely to have significant effects on the environment in another state the results of the transboundary consultation with those states effected by the project needs to be provided demonstrating that the procedure of article 7 of the EIA Directive. In addition, information indicating in what way the concerns of the designated consultees and concerned public have been taken into account will also need to be provided.

e) Evidence that the decision to grant or refuse development consent has been made available to the public by the competent authority, including the

---

\(^{15}\) A guidance document describing the minimum criteria to ensure that a similar procedure has been applied can be found on http://www.inforegio.cec.eu.int
2.4. When covered by Annex II of the EIA Directive has an Environmental Impact Assessment been carried out for this project?

- If yes include the necessary documents (see question 2.3.).
- If no explain the reasons16 and give the thresholds, criteria or case by case examination carried out to reach the conclusion that the project has no significant environmental effects:

  …………………………………………………………………………………………………………………
  …………………………………………………………………………………………………………………
  …………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

Use more space if necessary

Have the results of the determination whether a project listed in Annex II of the Directive requires a formal EIA or not (made by the competent authority) made available to the public?

- If yes, on which date ___/___/___

---

16 The decision needs to be based on the procedure described in article 4.2, 4.3 and 4.4 and the screening criteria of Annex III of Council Directive 97/11/EC amending Directive 85/337/EEC.
1. **Assessment of effects on sites of nature conservation importance.**

1.1. Is the project likely to affect sites of nature conservation importance (i.e. potential Natura 2000 sites)?

Yes ☐ No ☒

- If yes - please go to question 1.2.
- If no - please fill out Annex I (Declaration by the authority responsible for sites of nature conservation importance = potential future Natura 2000 sites)

1.2 In this case an appropriate assessment according to art. 6(3) of the directive 92/43/EEC ("Habitats Directive") is obligatory.

1.2.1 Have this appropriate assessment been carried out?

Yes ☐ No ☒

This appropriate assessment can take the form of an EIA according to Directive 85/337/EEC as amended by 97/11/EC. If this is not the case, please describe briefly the procedure carried out and include a non-technical summary of the impact study.

…………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………
…………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………
…………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

1.2.2 Based on the results of the above appropriate assessment, will the project have a significant negative impact on a site of nature conservation importance?

Yes ☐ No ☒

- If yes, please fill out Annex II (Information to the Commission according to Article 6(4) of the Habitats Directive. This Annex has to be signed by the authority responsible for sites of nature conservation importance = potential future Natura 2000 sites)
- If no, please fill out Annex I (Declaration by the authority responsible for sites of nature conservation importance = potential future Natura 2000 sites)

---

17 For the purpose of the Pre-Accession instruments a **site of nature conservation importance** (= potential future Natura 2000 site) in candidate countries is a site falling under one or more of the following categories:

(k) sites, which have been identified by the competent national authorities as sites to be proposed for the Natura 2000 network as laid down in the Birds Directive (79/409/EEC) and Habitats Directive (92/43/EEC)

(l) sites listed in the latest inventory of Important Bird Areas (IBA 2000) for candidate countries or (if available) equivalent more detailed scientific inventories endorsed by national authorities

(m) wetlands of international importance designated under the Ramsar Convention or qualifying for such protection

(n) areas to which the Bern convention on the conservation of European Wildlife and Natural Habitats (Art. 4) applies, in particular sites meeting the criteria of the Emerald network

(o) areas protected under national nature conservation legislation
ANNEX I - Declaration by the authority responsible for sites of nature conservation importance (= potential future Natura 2000 sites)

(EQUIVALENT TO Annex I(a) of the Cohesion Fund form)

Responsible authority: The Head of the Environmental Protection Office in Gorzow Wlkp.

Having examined\(^\text{18}\) the project application:
The Lubuska network of the industrial activities - towns friendly for the investors - Wschowa,
which is to be located at Wschowa.
we declare that (tick the appropriate box):
\[\checkmark\] The project is not likely to have significant effects on a site of nature conservation importance
on the following grounds:
The project comprises the construction of the water and sewage system. The fact of correct and right
installation works, according to the agreed technological and technical schedule and fulfilling the agreed
conditions will dramatically eliminate the negative influence of the investment project on the natural
environment. During the period of taking in and passing on the sanitary wastes the system completed
according to the assumptions and required safety procedures protecting the natural environment should
work and function without any faults and according to the safety regulations not causing any problems
for the environment. We do not plan any nature parks in the boundaries of the Wschowa Community.
Therefore an appropriate assessment required by Article 6 (3) was not deemed necessary.

\[\square\] Following an appropriate assessment, according to Art. 6(3) of Directive 92/43/EEC, the project
will not have significant negative effects on a site of nature conservation importance.

Signed: ..................................

(Authority responsible for monitoring sites of nature conservation importance)

Official Seal:

\(^{18}\) taking into account the requirements of Art. 6(3) of Directive 92/43/EEC
## ANNEX II - Information to the European Commission according to Article 6(4) of the Habitats Directive (92/43/EEC) for Candidate Countries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candidate Country:</th>
<th>Date:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Competent national authority:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact person:</td>
<td>Tel., fax, e-mail:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 1. PROJECT

**Name of the site affected:**

This site is (please tick):

- a site identified by the national competent authority as qualifying under Art. 4(1) and (2) of the Birds directive (79/409/EEC)
- a site identified by the national competent authority as qualifying under Art. 4 (1) of the Habitats directive (92/43/EEC)
- a site listed in the latest inventory on Important Bird Areas (IBA 2000) or (if available) in an equivalent more detailed scientific inventories endorsed by national authorities
- a wetland of international importance designated under the Ramsar Convention or qualifying for such protection
- a site to which the Bern convention on the conservation of European Wildlife and Natural Habitats (Art. 4) applies, in particular a site meeting the criteria of the Emerald network
- areas protected under national nature conservation legislation

**Summary of the project having an effect on the site:**

### 2. NEGATIVE EFFECTS

**Summary of the assessment of the negative effects on the site:**

N.B.: this summary should focus on the adverse effect expected on the conservation value of the site, include the appropriate maps and describe the already decided mitigation measures.

### 3. ALTERNATIVE SOLUTIONS

**Summary of alternative solutions studied by the candidate country:**

**Reasons why the competent national authorities have concluded that there is absence of alternative solutions:**

### 4. IMPERATIVE REASONS

**Reason to nevertheless carry out this plan or project:**

- Imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature (in the absence of priority habitat/species)
- Human health
- Public safety
- Beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment
- Other imperative reasons of overriding public interest

**Short description of the reason:**

### 5. COMPENSATION MEASURES

**Foreseen compensatory measures and timetable:**