1.1 BASIC INFORMATION

1.1. CRIS number: 2003/004-379/05.08
1.2. Title: Improvement of the accessibility to investment areas in Ciechocinek.
1.3. Sector: Economic and Social Cohesion
1.4. Localisation: Poland, Kujawsko - Pomorskie Voivodship, town of Ciechocinek

2. OBJECTIVES

2.1. Overall objectives
The strategic objective of the project is the development of SMEs in the tourism sector.

2.2. Project Purpose/Immediate Objective
Creating access to new investment areas and preparing new investment sites.

2.3. Accession Partnership and NPAA priorities
The project is designed to address issues related to the AP priority in economic and social cohesion policy regarding the preparation for the implementation of regional programmes and Community Initiatives. The project in particular responds to the economic criterion identified in the AP concerning improving the competitiveness of the Polish economy. The project corresponds also to the NPAA priority "Regional policy and co-ordination of structural instruments".

2.4. Contribution to Preliminary National Development Plan
The project is in line with the sixth axis of the preliminary NDP, which is “Strengthening development potential of regions and counteracting marginalisation of certain areas”. The priorities of this axis will be implemented by means of several measures including development and modernisation of the infrastructure serving to strengthen the competitiveness of regions. The project is compliant with the priorities of the Operational Programme of the Kujawsko – Pomorskie Voivodship.

3. DESCRIPTION

3.1. Background and justification
Ciechocinek, a health resort with 150 years’ tradition, is the second biggest in Poland and the biggest in Kujawsko-Pomorskie Voivodship health resort town. The city is located in a central point between Wloclawek (30 km) and Torun (25 km) – voivodship capital. It is easily accessible for transport: Ciechocinek is located 3 km from international highway no. 1, and in direct vicinity of planned junction of A-1 “North – South” motorway, and Warszawa-Kutno-Torun-Bydgoszcz-Gdansk railway line. Its proximity to regional centres allows for development of weekend tourism.

The core of the town’s economy are exclusively small and medium companies active in the tourist sector, which results from the health resort function of the town. It is a location of spa and rehabilitation centres that offer a total of 4120 places of accommodation. The night lodgings base is extended by hotels and pensions that offer 750 places. These centres are also equipped with the infrastructure witch allows to organize seminars, conferences and training. The total number of persons visiting spa centres is about 60,000 per year, whereas further 300,000 persons visit the town as tourists each year. The percentage of foreign tourists is 5% of the total. Foreign tourists come mainly from: Germany, England, France, Holland, Israel, USA, Canada, and Scandinavia. It has been estimated that the existing lodgings base should be extended by some 20-25%.

The project envisages modernisation of a building in which Tourist Services Centre will be placed, the creation of a tourist route that will be named the “Salt Route” and the construction of a “small ring-road” for the town. The ring road will be 1.50 km long and will connect the entry into the town from A-1 motorway with Woluszewska street. It will allow to lead out the traffic from the city centre and to convert streets into walking lanes.

As a result of the construction of the small ring-road, a 9.76 ha, investment area will be made available for SMEs. Moving out traffic from the city centre will make possible building the tract, because its area will be closed for road-traffic. The area is located along the planned road and destined for the service sector: hotels, pensions and catering. In Ciechocinek, there is a deficit of investment areas equipped with infrastructure, which is an obstacle for development of SMEs sector. Areas which would be
available after the construction of the ring-road are attractive for investors who had sent letters of interest concerning the possibilities to locate their enterprises in Ciechocinek. These areas will be equipped with necessary infrastructure within this project.

The town undertakes multiple projects closely connected with this project, for example, a site that is currently occupied by public transport will be released and there are plans to build a multi-level parking lot with 200 places in that area. These parking places will be located in direct closeness from the city centre, what will make possible to create parking places for these cars which were parking in the centre so far. Such plan is necessary to close the centre of Ciechocinek for road traffic. The town has a letter of intent concerning this issue. In addition, it is planned to build a car parking lot for 300 cars including 40 buses in this area. The parking lots shall provide parking place for vehicles, which will not be able to reach the town’s centre closed for vehicle traffic. In the future there will also be a possibility to adapt the former railway station for SMEs, because spatial development plan for the town stipulates that the station should be moved to the suburbs, close to the planned ring road. The existing railway line will be shortened and the current railway station will be moved outside the city centre. This way, a transport centre connecting public railway and road transport will be set up outside of the heart of city.

The creation of the “Salt Route” tourist path will be joined with building a 5 km pedestrian lane connecting the parts of the city that are the most important for tourism. In town’s centre, there are park complexes of 47 ha area (total area of parks in the town - 140 ha), where numerous antique objects, pedestrian lanes and salt-water twig towers are located. It is also the location of the biggest Polish antique salt-water twig towers that are still operational. They are one of the greatest tourist attractions (unique on European scale).

The “Salt Route” will be a continuous lane along which an additional 9 ha investment area shall be made available for SMEs sector, of which 4.8 ha will be equipped with infrastructure as a result of this project. Enterprises from tourism sector such as hotels, pensions and catering facilities will be localised here. The town has gathered investment offers that already cover the whole area which will be made available as a result of this project. The town – as an action complementary to this project – shall assign a large area (made available due to the construction of the “Salt Route”) for recreation-leisure investments, i.e. a water park and golf fields which will be localised in north-eastern direction from antique twig towers. Currently, negotiations with potential investors are being carried out.

The town possesses facilities for the handicapped and in this field gained a status of friendly town. The construction of the “Salt Route” will facilitate to the handicapped access to all attractive parts of Ciechocinek.

All available areas belong to the beneficiary and are earmarked for service activity in spatial development plan. The Tourist Services Centre will be an element opening the tourist route. It will carry out activities promoting the town, will keep a database of night lodgings and of tourist events organised in Ciechocinek and in its region.

All who will be granted the possibility of investing in new areas will have to be registered as carrying out economic activity conforming with the functions for which the areas were assigned. As a result of this project, at least 15 new SMEs from services sector and at least 95 permanent places of employment will be created. Moreover, season employment will increase by 20% due to greater influx of tourists.

### 3.2. Linked activities

The realisation of the project is connected with the development of infrastructure in the region, mainly with the realisation of A-1 motorway and S-10 express highway investment projects. As a result, the accessibility of the region for transport will increase. In connection to the project, the investments co-financed from PHARE 2001 ESC “Construction of southern ring-road for Torun” within the course of the road no. S –10 are being realised.

### 3.3. Results

There will be results of the Project as follows:

- adequate road access to 9,76 of new investment area (through constructing of the small ring-road and tourist route “Salt Route”)
- new investment sites (through equipment of 4,8 ha investment areas with necessary infrastructure) and favourable conditions for new SME investments

### 3.4. Activities

There are projected the following activities:

- construction of ca.1.50 km of new road, 7 m wide, with shoulders on both sides 2.5 m wide, designed for 115 kN/axle load
construction of ca 5.0 km tourist route, 3 m wide (Salt Route - surfacing and lighting)

modernisation of a building of ca 541 m2 area assigned for a Tourist Services Centre

construction of ca 1.20 km sewage system, PVC pipe diameter 300 mm

construction of ca 1.20 km water supply network, PE pipe 110 mm diameter

construction of ca 1.00 km electrical grid for 15 kV and 380 / 220 V

construction of ca 1.20 km storm water disposal system, PVC pipe 500mm diameter

3.5. **Lessons learned**

Under the Final Assessment Report for Assistance funded under PL-9808 Special Preparatory Programme (SPP) and PL-9909 Regional Policy and Cohesion, prepared by OMAS, some recommendations have been made. They are also relevant to the above-mentioned project. Therefore the Ministry of Economy, Labour and Social Policy together with the IA and Office of the Committee for European Integration have established stronger institutional co-operation between those organizations (responsible for the programming and implementation of the Phare – ESC assistance).

LPM (Logical Planning Matrix) methodology is applied correctly and contains clear, quantifiable indicators of achievement of the project’s objectives.

The implementation of the Phare 2003 ESC Programme should be based on the experience gained during the implementation of previous Phare programmes, especially ESC 2000, 2001 and 2002 ESC Programmes. Therefore documents concerning land acquisition and conformity with the local spatial development plans are required during the current programming exercise. For this purpose the Polish authorities (Ministry of Economy, Labour and Social Policy and Office of the Committee for European Integration) have established a detailed system (in form of a checklist) that should be used at the early stage of programming Phare 2003 ESC assistance, eliminating proposals which do not meet the above-mentioned criteria.

4. **INSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK**

The owner of the object and the beneficiary will be the Town of Ciechocinek. The beneficiary shall hold tenders in order to appoint a contractor and an engineer. The Town of Ciechocinek will be the Employer. The investment shall be realised in accordance with the regulations of Decentralised Implementation System – “A Practical Guide to Phare, Ispa & Sapard Contract Procedures”. The implementation of the project shall not bring about any changes to the institutional framework above mentioned.

5. **DETAILED BUDGET**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Investment Support</th>
<th>Institution Building</th>
<th>Total Phare</th>
<th>National co-financing</th>
<th>International &amp; Financial Institutions</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>€</td>
<td>€</td>
<td>€</td>
<td>€</td>
<td>€</td>
<td>€</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project</td>
<td>2 310 000</td>
<td>2 310 000</td>
<td>1 300 000</td>
<td>3 610 000</td>
<td>3 610 000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>2 310 000</td>
<td>2 310 000</td>
<td>1 300 000</td>
<td>3 610 000</td>
<td>3 610 000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Co-financing from Polish sources includes administration costs connected with the project management, including costs of the tender documentation and the contract with an independent Engineer. National co-financing will be available. The cost of the project manager is 0.144 MEUR.

6. **IMPLEMENTATION ARRANGEMENTS**

6.1. **Implementing Agency**

PAO: Ms. Krystyna Gurbiel, Undersecretary Of State In The Ministry Of Economy, Labour and Social Policy, Pl. Trzech Krzyzy 3/5, 00-507 Warsaw, Poland, Phone: + 48.22.693.56.28, 629.31.47, Fax: + 48.22.693.40.05

Implementing Authority for Phare Cross Border Co-operation Programme, Contact person: Ms. Grazyna Weclew ska, Kruca 36 Street, 00-522 Warsaw, Poland, Phone +48.22.695.99.10-11, fax +48.22.695.99.12-13

6.2. **Twinning**: N/A

6.3. **Non-standard aspects**: N/A
6.4. **Contracts**

- **Contract 1** (building work): € 3.466 mln: joint co-financing: 2,31 MEUR- Phare, 1,156 MEUR Beneficiary own funds,
- **Contract 2** (engineer): 0.144 MEUR- Beneficiary own funds

7. **IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE**

7.1 **Initiation of contracting process**: I quarter of 2004
7.2 **Initiation of the project**: II quarter of 2004
7.3 **Completion of the project**: III quarter 2006

8. **EQUAL OPPORTUNITIES**

Procedures related to the implementation of the project will be implemented according to the law and will respect equal opportunities for all interested institutions and natural persons in spite of their sex, race and nationality. The employment of men and women will be based on applicable EU standards with reference to EOE (Equal Opportunity of Employment), which will be announced in official press advertisements during the recruitment procedures.

9. **ENVIRONMENT**

A report concerning the environmental impact of the investment has been prepared, in accordance with Council Directive 85/337/EEC. After analysis of the report it can be stated, that the project shall not have negative influence on the environment. The report can be found in the seat of the beneficiary. The project shall be adapted to the local conditions regarding the natural and socio-cultural resources. Poor quality of soils in the region excludes any agricultural activities. Conformability of the project with 92/43/EEC (Habitats) and 79/409/EEC (Birds) directives was described in detail in “Nature Conservation” annex. The specification of the project does not require the use of new pro-ecological technologies on a large scale. According to the assumptions of the plan, only the activities that do not have negative environmental impact shall be allowed.

10. **RATES OF RETURN**

According to economic analysis, with 8% discount rate, ENPV (updated net value) equals € 265.100 , whereas EIRR indicator is 8.1%. The indicators are within average values for projects of this type. The complete feasibility analysis is available in beneficiary’s seat.

11. **INVESTMENT CRITERIA**

11.1. **Catalytic effect**

PHARE support shall aid in realising socio-economic integrity objectives in Kujawsko-Pomorskie Voivodship, otherwise, the objectives would have been realised in a later stage on a much smaller scale.

11.2. **Co-financing**

The project is co-financed by Polish partners, ie. Town of Ciechocinek.

11.3. **Additionality**

PHARE project does not involve displacement of other sources of financing, particularly from the private sector or the IFI system, it is a co-financing of selected priority tasks and does not substitute national funds.

11.4. **Project readiness for realisation and its size**

The project will be ready as regards contracts and expenses before implementation of Phare 2003 programme begins. Feasibility study and Environmental impact analysis are completed and delivered. Tender materials will be ready in December 2003.

11.5. **Sustainability**

The project shall contribute to long term constant development of the region, as stated in Operational Programme for Kujawsko – Pomorskie Voivodship. The investment is permanent and does not require further expenses, apart from indispensable expenses for technical maintenance, financed by the beneficiary.

11.6. **Compliance with state aids provisions**
All aspects of the project shall be implemented in accordance with the conditions for the state support, as defined in the European Agreement.

11.7. **Contribution to draft National Development Plan**
The project is in line with the fifth axis of the draft NDP, which is “Strengthening development potential of regions and counteracting marginalisation of certain areas”. This axis will be implemented by means of several priorities including development and modernisation of infrastructure serving to strengthen competitiveness.

### 12. **CONDITIONALITY AND SEQUENCING**

- preparing tender documents: third quarter 2003
- running a tender: fourth quarter 2003
- signing the contract: first quarter 2004
- completing the work: third quarter 2006

**Annexes**
Annex 1. The Logframe matrix
Annex 2 – 3. Detailed implementation, contracting and disbursement schedule
EIA and Habitat
## Annex 1 - Project Logical Framework Matrix

### Project Title: Improvement of the Accessibility to Investment Areas in Ciechocinek

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Programme Number</th>
<th>Date of Drafting:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planning Period:</th>
<th>End Contracting: 30/06/2005</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>End Disbursement: 30/06/2006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Budget:</th>
<th>Phare Budget: 2 310 000 €</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Overall Objective

The development of SMEs in the tourism sector

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objectively Verifiable Indicators</th>
<th>Sources of Verification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6% increase in number of night lodgings, 20% increase in number of visiting tourists, average duration of visit increased by 10%</td>
<td>Statistical data published by Statistics Office 5 years after project completion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Project Purpose

Creating access to new investment areas and preparing new investment sites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objectively Verifiable Indicators</th>
<th>Sources of Verification</th>
<th>Assumptions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15 new firms established in the project area</td>
<td>Statistical data published by Statistics Office, Beneficiary reports</td>
<td>Meeting all work execution, data presenting and activity monitoring conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95 new jobs created in the project area</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tourist services centre operational (40 000 clients served yearly)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Results

Implementation of the project will provide adequate road access to 9.76 ha of new investment area out of this area 4.8 ha will be provided with utilities creating favourable conditions for new SME investment adequate road access to 9.76 of new investment area (through constructing of the small ring-road and tourist route “Salt Route”), new investment sites (through equipment of 4.8 ha investment areas with necessary infrastructure) and favourable conditions for new SME investments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objectively Verifiable Indicators</th>
<th>Sources of Verification</th>
<th>Assumptions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Direct measurements of infrastructure elements constructed</td>
<td>Take Over Certificate of Contract Engineer</td>
<td>Co-financing of the project from national and foreign sources; Keeping the schedule provided in the programme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Activities

Implementation of works contract for: (Phare co-financing + commune’s own funds):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Means/Resources</th>
<th>Assumptions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Construction of 1.50 km of new road</td>
<td>Preliminary conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>construction of a 5.0 km tourist route (Salt Route - surface and lighting)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>modernisation of a building of 541 m2 area assigned for a Tourist Services Centre</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>construction of 1.20 km sewage system</td>
<td>A legal document approving realisation such activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>construction of 1.20 km water supply network</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>construction of 1.00 km electrical grid</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>construction of 1.20 km storm water disposal system</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Finance input: Euro 3.61 mln, of which Euro 2.31 mln from PHARE, Euro 1.30 mln from beneficiary
## ANNEXES 2-3-4: DETAILED IMPLEMENTATION CHART, CONTRACTING AND DISBURSEMENT SCHEDULE OF THE PROJECT

### PROJECT TITLE: DEVELOPMENT OF TOURIST INFRASTRUCTURE IN CIECHOCINEK

Date of Drafting: July 2002  

### PLANNED IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE PER QUARTERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>I</th>
<th>II</th>
<th>III</th>
<th>IV</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>VI</th>
<th>VII</th>
<th>VIII</th>
<th>IX</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>XI</th>
<th>XII</th>
<th>XIII</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IMPLEMENTATION</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D/C</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONTRACTING</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DISBURSEMENT (PAYMENT)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend:  
D = design of sub-projects;  
C = tendering and contracting;  
I = contract implementation and payment.
ANNEX 5 - ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

(Please complete this section for each project in case of grouped applications)

1. Development consent

Has development consent\(^1\) already been given to this project?

Yes [ ] No [x]

If yes, on which date __/__/___

If no, when was the formal request for the development consent introduced __/__/___ and by which date is the final decision expected? __/__/___

Specify the competent authority or authorities, which has given or will give the development consent

Town council in Ciechocinek- Decision about building and land development conditions.
District Starosty in Aleksandrów Kujawski- building permission

Is the above authority considered to be the competent authority responsible for performing the duties of Directive 85/337/EEC as amended by 97/11/EEC on Environmental Impact Assessment?

Yes [ ] No [x]

If no, please specify for this project who is designated as competent authority for the purposes of the EIA Directive:

………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………..
………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………..


2.1. Is the project a class of development covered by:

Annex I of Directive 85/337/EEC, as amended by Directive 91/11/EC [ ] (go to question 2.2)
Annex II of Directive 85/337/EEC, as amended by Directive 91/11/EC [ ] (go to question 2.4)
Not covered by Directive 85/337/EEC, as amended by Directive 91/11/EC [x] (only the section on nature conservation needs to be completed)

2.2. Has an EIA already been carried out?

Yes [x] No [ ]

If yes, has the EIA been carried out before development consent has been given?

Yes [x] No [ ]

On which date has it been finalised __/__/___

If no, provide an estimation of the date when the procedure will be finalised __/__/___

\(^1\) i.e. decision of the competent authority or authorities which entitle the developer to proceed with the project

2.3. When covered by Annex I of the EIA Directive a similar procedure as the one described in the EIA directive will need to be applied and the following documents included.

Necessary documents are:

a) the **non-technical summary of the Environmental Impact Study** carried out for the project. A non-technical summary shall include at least:

- a description of the project comprising information on the site, design and size of the project,
- a description of the measures envisaged in order to avoid, reduce and, if possible, remedy significant adverse effects,
- the data required to identify and assess the main (direct and indirect effects) which the project is likely to have on the environment on the following factors:
  - human beings, fauna and flora (including those environmentally sensitive areas which might fall in future under the protection of the Birds (79/409/EEC) and Habitats (92/43/EEC) Directives);
  - soil, water, air, climate and the landscape;
  - material assets and the cultural heritage;
  - the interaction between the factors mentioned in the first, second and third indents
- and any further information which might derive from any of the obligations deriving from Annex IV of the EIA Directive.

b) the results of **consultations with the competent environmental authorities**; indicating in what way the concerns of the designated consultees have been taken into account. The results of consultations, with the administration and self-governed management being engaged in environment protection – both natural and cultural environment protection, found reflection in the appropriate settlement records:

- development plan of city of Ciechocinek and its change
- building and land development conditions

The project “DEVELOPMENT OF TOURISTIC INFRASTRUCTURE IN CIECHOCINEK” was the subject of the municipality of the 26.06.2002, 04.07.2002, 23.07.2002, 07.08.2002

c) the results of **consultations with the public** concerned. The information provided should cover the following: Social consultations and informing the local community about the project is being realised:

A. Within the valid procedures during which the development plan was being made, in this, among others, through:

- press advertising: about the beginning of realising the plan; about the exposure to the public view; about the time limit of the session of the town council which is to pass eventually change the plan.
- Notification of the interested parties- among whom property owners.
- Consideration of the submitted deductions.
- The exposure of the project of the plan to the public view together with prognosis of its influence on the environment.

B. Informing the electorate during the meeting of the councillors of the town council with them about the undertaking

C. Informing the public opinion about the planned undertaking through out the local media (Zdroj Ciechocinski, Nowosci, Gazeta Pomorska, Gazeta Wyborcza )

D. Information of the undertaking in the internet

E. The project Trakt Solny (The Salt Road) is a consequence of many years preparations and exertions of the local social organisations (Zdrojowa Committee- an association gathering the directors of the sanatoria’s ) and social workers

F. About building “ A small ring road “ in order to eliminate the traffic from the centre of the city. which the Town Hall has been run for years.

G. At the stage of elaborating the strategy of development of the city of Ciechocinek which syntactic elements are among others, tasks contained in the project “Development of touristic infrastructure in Ciechocinek “ within the social consultations:

- There have been meetings with the inhabitants of the city within sets for economic development affairs, set for social development affairs, set for technical infrastructure affairs, and set for the strategy development affairs
- By a vote of the town councillors No.XXXIII/425/02 of the25.02.2002 the strategy was passed to realization.

---

3 A guidance document describing the minimum criteria to ensure that a similar procedure has been applied can be found on [http://www.inforegio.cec.eu.int](http://www.inforegio.cec.eu.int)
d) in case a project is likely to have significant effects on the environment in another state the results of the **transboundary consultation** with those states effected by the project needs to be provided demonstrating that the procedure of article 7 of the EIA Directive. In addition, information indicating in what way the concerns of the designated consultees and concerned public have been taken into account will also need to be provided.

e) Evidence that the **decision to grant or refuse development consent** has been made **available to the public** by the competent authority, including the

? the content of the decision and conditions attached thereto,

? the main reasons and considerations on which the decision has been based,

? a description, where necessary, of the main measures to avoid, reduce and, if possible, offset the major adverse effects.

**Note**: In relation to b), c) and d) these may be represented in the form of a statement, conclusion or certification by the competent environmental authorities describing and testifying that all obligation as described in the intends above have been followed.

**2.4. When covered by Annex II of the EIA Directive has an Environmental Impact Assessment been carried out for this project?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

− If **yes** include the necessary documents (see question 2.3.).

− If **no** explain the reasons\(^4\) and give the thresholds, criteria or case by case examination carried out to reach the conclusion that the project has no significant environmental effects:

|…………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………|…………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………|
|…………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………|…………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………|
|…………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………|…………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………|

*Use more space if necessary*

Have the results of the determination whether a project listed in Annex II of the Directive requires a formal EIA or not (made by the competent authority) made available to the public?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**If yes**, on which date _/___/____

---

\(^4\) The decision needs to be based on the procedure described in article 4.2, 4.3 and 4.4 and the screening criteria of Annex III of Council Directive 97/11/EC amending Directive 85/337/EEC.
1. Assessment of effects on sites of nature conservation importance

1.1. Is the project likely to affect sites of nature conservation importance (i.e. potential Natura 2000 sites)?

- [ ] Yes
- [ ] No

If yes - please go to question 1.2.

If no - please fill out Annex I (Declaration by the authority responsible for sites of nature conservation importance = potential future Natura 2000 sites)

1.2 In this case an appropriate assessment according to art. 6(3) of the directive 92/43/EEC ("Habitats Directive") is obligatory.

1.2.1 Have this appropriate assessment been carried out?

- [ ] Yes
- [ ] No

This appropriate assessment can take the form of an EIA according to Directive 85/337/EEC as amended by 97/11/EC. If this is not the case, please describe briefly the procedure carried out and include a non-technical summary of the impact study.

…………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………
…………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………
…………………………………………………………………………………………………………………………

1.2.2 Based on the results of the above appropriate assessment, will the project have a significant negative impact on a site of nature conservation importance?

- [ ] Yes
- [ ] No

- **If yes**, please fill out Annex II (Information to the Commission according to Article 6(4) of the Habitats Directive. This Annex has to be signed by the authority responsible for sites of nature conservation importance = potential future Natura 2000 sites)

- **If no**, please fill out Annex I (Declaration by the authority responsible for sites of nature conservation importance = potential future Natura 2000 sites)

---

5 For the purpose of the Pre-Accession instruments a **site of nature conservation importance** (= potential future Natura 2000 site) in candidate countries **is a site falling under one or more of the following categories**:

(a) sites, which have been identified by the competent national authorities as sites to be proposed for the Natura 2000 network as laid down in the Birds Directive (79/409/EEC) and Habitats Directive (92/43/EEC)

(b) sites listed in the latest inventory of Important Bird Areas (IBA 2000) for candidate countries or (if available) equivalent more detailed scientific inventories endorsed by national authorities

(c) wetlands of international importance designated under the Ramsar Convention or qualifying for such protection

(d) areas to which the Bern convention on the conservation of European Wildlife and Natural Habitats (Art. 4) applies, in particular sites meeting the criteria of the Emerald network

(e) areas protected under national nature conservation legislation
ANNEX I - Declaration by the authority responsible for sites of nature conservation importance
(= potential future Natura 2000 sites)

Responsible authority: The Provincial Office in Bydgoszcz in the District of Kujawsko-Pomorskie
The Provincial Conservator of Nature.

Having examined the project application « The Development of touristic infrastructure in Ciechocinek »
which is to be located at Ciechocinek, administrative district of Aleksandrów Kujawski in the province of Kujawsko-Pomorskie
we declare that (tick the appropriate box):

☒ The project is not likely to have significant effects on a site of nature conservation importance
on the following grounds:
……………………………………………………………………………………
……………………………………………………………………………………

Therefore an appropriate assessment required by Article 6 (3) was not deemed necessary.
☐ Following an appropriate assessment, according to Art. 6(3) of Directive 92/43/EEC, the project
will not have significant negative effects on a site of nature conservation importance.

Signed: ..................................
(Authority responsible for monitoring sites of nature conservation importance)
Official Seal:

ANNEX II - Information to the European Commission according to Article 6(4) of the Habitats Directive (92/43/EEC) for Candidate Countries

Candidate Country: Date:
Competent national authority:
Address:
Contact person:
Tel., fax, e-mail:

1. PROJECT

Name of the site affected:

This site is (please tick):
☐ a site identified by the national competent authority as qualifying under Art. 4(1) and (2) of the Birds directive (79/409/EEC)
☐ a site identified by the national competent authority as qualifying under Art. 4 (1) of the Habitats directive (92/43/EEC)
☐ a site listed in the latest inventory on Important Bird Areas (IBA 2000) or (if available) in an equivalent more detailed scientific inventories endorsed by national authorities
☐ a wetland of international importance designated under the Ramsar Convention or qualifying for such protection
☐ a site to which the Bern convention on the conservation of European Wildlife and Natural Habitats (Art. 4) applies, in particular a site meeting the criteria of the Emerald network
☐ areas protected under national nature conservation legislation

Summary of the project having an effect on the site:

2. NEGATIVE EFFECTS

Summary of the assessment of the negative effects on the site:

N.B.: this summary should focus on the adverse effect expected on the conservation value of the site, include the appropriate maps and describe the already decided mitigation measures.
3. ALTERNATIVE SOLUTIONS
Summary of alternative solutions studied by the candidate country:

Reasons why the competent national authorities have concluded that there is absence of alternative solutions:

4. IMPERATIVE REASONS
Reason to nevertheless carry out this plan or project:

- Imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature (in the absence of priority habitat/species)
- Human health
- Public safety
- Beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment
- Other imperative reasons of overriding public interest

Short description of the reason:

5. COMPENSATION MEASURES
Foreseen compensatory measures and timetable:

---

6 taking into account the requirements of Art. 6(3) of Directive 92/43/EEC