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EULF-ISA Integration: methodology

**Phase 1: Prioritisation**
- Define Prioritisation criteria
- Desk research and interviews
- Determine integration benefits and metrics
- Analysis of ISA Actions
- Prioritised list of ISA Actions
- Validation by ISA Project Officers
- Integration workshops

**Phase 2: Analysis**
- Product-level analysis
- Detailed change requests and new tasks
- Validation by ISA Project Officers
- Integration workshops

**Phase 3: Planning**
- Integration plan
- Validation by ISA Project Officers
EULF-ISA Integration

- **Integration** entails adapting the *products* of one Action of the ISA Programme to the products of another Action
  - **Product referral**: e.g. the EULF Blueprint refers to the ‘Core Vocabulary Handbook’ as a good practice for data modelling.
  - **Product use**: e.g. CISE is using the modelling conventions and syntax (GML) of the INSPIRE data specifications.
  - **Product alignment**: e.g. the EULF Blueprint is changed to align the views and architectural building blocks of the European Interoperability Reference Architecture (EIRA).

- **Prioritisation criteria** *(granularity: ISA Action)*:
  - Relevance
  - Expected use
  - Timing
  - Integration cost
ISA Actions to integrate with

- Action 1.1 SEMIC;
- Action 1.2 Base Registries;
- Action 1.3 Catalogue of Services
- Action 1.16 CISE;
- Action 1.17 ARE3NA;
- Action 2.1 EIA;
- Action 2.2 CAMSS;
- Action 2.14 TES;
- Action 3.1 ICT implications of EU legislation;
- Action 4.2.3 NIFO;
- Action 4.2.4 EFIR;
- Action 4.2.5 Sharing and Reuse; and
- Action 5.2 EIS Governance support.
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7 Integration opportunities were analysed in detail

1. Align the EULF with the EIF interoperability levels, principles, and recommendations
2. Align with the EIRA
3. Contribute to the EFIR and EIC
4. Refer to and provide input to the ICT implications of EU legislation impact assessment
5. Elaborate recommendations on access to base registries
6. Elaborate guidelines on spatial data standards
7. Share expertise and ensure cross-referencing
### Integration plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Type of task</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EULF to refer to the EIF principles</td>
<td>Change request</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EULF to refer to the EIF recommendations</td>
<td>Change request</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EULF to refer to the EIF interoperability levels</td>
<td>Change request</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EULF to participate in the EIF revision</td>
<td>New task</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EULF to participate in the EC Communication</td>
<td>New task</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Align the EULF with the EIF interoperability levels, principles, and recommendations
1. Align the EULF with the EIF interoperability levels, principles, and recommendations

Example: EULF to refer to the EIF interoperability levels

- Standardisation and interoperability
- Policy and strategy alignment
- Return on investment
- E-Government integration
- Effective governance and partnerships

EIF Interoperability levels

Political context

- Legal View
- Organisational View
- Semantic View
- Technical View – Application
- Technical View – Infrastructure
## Integration plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Type of task</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EULF to participate in the review of the EIRA</td>
<td>New task</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EULF to define a Location Information Reference Architecture that extends the EIRA</td>
<td>New task</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EULF to identify patterns of public service design / process design involving spatial data</td>
<td>New task</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. Align with the EIRA

Example: documenting INSPIRE with EIRA.

- **Legal view:**
  - Public policy
  - Binding legal instrument
  - Non-binding legal instrument

- **Organisational view (missing):**
  - Interoperability governance model
  - Organisational structure
  - Public Service
  - Business Information

- **Interoperability specs view:**
  - Interoperability specs
  - Data model

- **Semantic view:**
  - Data model
  - Reference data
  - Descriptive metadata
  - Datasets

- **Technical view:**
  - Content management service
  - Data exchange
  - Data transformation
  - Application services
  - Access management service
  - Metadata management service
  - …
Example: Define a Location Information Reference Architecture that extends the EIRA

An analogy with the United States can be made, where a Geospatial Interoperability Reference Architecture (GIRA) was developed extending among others the United States Federal Enterprise Architecture Framework (FEA).
## Integration plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Type of task</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EULF to document reusable spatial data infrastructures to be included in the European Interoperability Cartography (EIC)</td>
<td>New task</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EULF to contribute standards and interoperability specifications to the European Interoperability Cartography (EICart)</td>
<td>New task</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Contribute to the EFIR and EIC

Example: EULF to document reusable spatial data infrastructures to be included in the European Interoperability Cartography (EIC)

EULF Transportation Pilot – Semantic view (data)
Example: EULF to document reusable spatial data infrastructures to be included in the European Interoperability Cartography (EIC)

EULF Transportation Pilot – Organisational view
## Integration plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Type of task</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EULF to refer to the ICT implications assessment method</td>
<td>Change request</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action 3.1 to include a geospatial question to the ICT implications of EU legislation questionnaire</td>
<td>Change request</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EULF to support ‘ICT implications of EU legislation’ service on request</td>
<td>New task</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Example: EULF to refer to the ICT implications assessment method

ICT implications of EU legislation - The process
## Integration plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Type of task</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EULF Blueprint to include a recommendation on base registries</td>
<td>Change request</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action 1.2 to identify barriers to the adoption of INSPIRE</td>
<td>New task</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EULF to support the establishment of BRIS</td>
<td>New task</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A base register is a trusted, authentic source of information

- A base register is a trusted, authentic source of information under the control of an appointed public administration or organisation appointed by government. (registry is the system...)
- Base registries provide basic information on items such as persons, companies, vehicles, licences, buildings, locations and roads.
- Base registers are the cornerstone of public services
INSPIRE data can serve as spatial master data for e-Government

Examples:

• Czech Republic, COSMC/CÚZK – Base register of territorial identification, addresses, and real estates (RÚIAN) complies with INSPIRE
• Denmark, basic data for everyone, Danish Geodata Agency
• Netherlands, Buildings and Addresses (BAG) base register
• UK – publication of land registration data and use of INSPIRE
• Netherlands/Belgium, KLIC – cables and pipelines, IMKL specification
• INSPIRE National Implementation Webinars
• Norway/Sweden: TN-ITS specification: extension of INSPIRE TN for static road data exchange
• Core Location Pilot, http://location.testproject.eu
INSPIRE data can potentially be used in many public services

**Examples:**

- Law enforcement
- (Environmental) licensing
- Building permits
- Postal services
- Emergency services
- Property tax
- Cadastre
- Utilities
- Urban planning
- ...
INSPIRE... does not provide the entire solution

- **eGovernment use cases** still have to be validated and proven
- INSPIRE is **not yet well known** by eGov units
- INSPIRE **data specifications** may need to be extended to fit e-Government requirements (but there are guidelines on how to do this)
- INSPIRE requires **read-only** network services, it formulates no specifications for **data extraction, real-time processing, data replication, change notification** ... (but this can be added)
Proposed recommendation on location base registries

• When implementing EIF Recommendation 12 on harmonising interfaces to authentic sources of information, public administrations should consider using the INSPIRE Directive, INSPIRE Regulations, and INSPIRE technical guidelines documents with regard to the interoperability of spatial data, network services, and spatial data services. In particular the latter provide stable semantic and technical specifications for interfaces to base registries containing spatial information.

• The INSPIRE legal requirements regarding interoperability of spatial data, network services, and spatial data services must be implemented by public administrations having data sets that fit within the INSPIRE data themes in the period 2010 - 2020. When implementing these, it is a good practice for the public sector to leverage on it investments by making sure that the same spatial data can be used in the context many public services such as civil registration, company registration, cadastre, law enforcement, environmental permits, building permits, postal services, utilities, or urban planning. In this way, spatial data in base registers has the potential of becoming interoperable master data for the public sector, reinforcing adherence to the "Once Only" principle for e-Government.

• At the level of semantic interoperability, the INSPIRE data specifications provide harmonised data models for 34 spatial data themes, including geographical names, administrative units, addresses, cadastral parcels, transport networks, buildings, utility and governmental services etc. These INSPIRE data specifications are based on international standards and can be extended to fit additional requirements; there are guidelines on how to do this. For technical interoperability, the INSPIRE technical guidelines on network services (discovery, view, download, transformation services) and spatial data services, also based on international standards, can provide a stable machine-to-machine interface. A known limitation is that the INSPIRE specifications provide read-only solutions and may need to be complemented with technical interfaces for data extraction, transaction processing, data replication, change notification, etc.
## Integration plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Type of task</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EULF Blueprint to include a guideline on spatial data modelling</td>
<td>Change request</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organisation of an EULF/SEMIC joint workshop on spatial data modelling</td>
<td>New task</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EULF to collaborate on guidelines on persistent identifiers and HTTP URIs</td>
<td>New task</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EULF to refer to guidelines on structural metadata management</td>
<td>Change request</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EULF to recommend and refer to guidelines on descriptive metadata management (dataset cataloguing)</td>
<td>Change request</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Example: guideline on spatial data modelling

Data modelling concepts
Levels of abstraction

- **Core data model**: a context-neutral data model that captures the fundamental characteristics of an entity.
- **Domain data model**: a conceptual view of a domain that identifies the entities involved and their relationships.
- **Information exchange data model**: a data model that defines and describes the structure and content of a specific information exchange context.
6. Elaborate guidelines on spatial data standards

How to extend INSPIRE data models?

Conceptual data model (UML)

INSPIRE Generic Conceptual Model
+ INSPIRE TN Data Specification
+ TN-ITS extension

Methodology for the development of data specifications:
http://inspire.ec.europa.eu/index.cfm/pageid/2

Tool: e.g. ShapeChange
Guidelines for the encoding of spatial data:
http://inspire.ec.europa.eu/index.cfm/pageid/2

Syntax binding
(XML Schema)

INSPIRE GML application schemas
ISO 19136 Geography Markup Language
ISO 19118 Geographic information - encoding

Automatic transformation
Example: guidelines on persistent identifiers and HTTP URIs

The combination \{namespace string\}/\{local id\} would also fit the INSPIRE object identifier, the INSPIRE recommendations on URIs, and support several governance models discussed in the ARE3NA PID study.

- **One central register of URI namespace strings:** the Persistent URI Service manages the URI namespace string and redirections.
- **Many local registers of resources (with PIDs):** the local registers contain the local identifier of resources for which information is kept in the register.
## Integration plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Type of task</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EULF to share expertise with the CBA measurement activities</td>
<td>New task</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EULF to align procurement guidelines with the Sharing &amp; Reuse Action</td>
<td>Change request</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EULF to collaborate with other EC initiatives on data licensing guidelines for spatial information</td>
<td>New task</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EULF to include recommendations on reusability</td>
<td>Change request</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EULF to contribute to the CISE handbook</td>
<td>New task</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EULF to refer to CAMSS in the ‘Standards for SDI &amp; e-Government’ guideline</td>
<td>Change request</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EULF to define key life-events allowing to classify and manage location-enabled PSs</td>
<td>New task</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Outline

Integration methodology

Detailed analysis + Integration plan

Conclusions
Conclusion: EULF-ISA integration will have the following outcome

1. Better alignment with the EIF;
2. Contributions to the EIRA and possible creation of a Location Information Reference Architecture;
3. Contributions to a European cartography of location-related interoperability solutions;
4. Policy alignment included in the ‘impact assessment’ phase of the policy lifecycle;
5. Inclusion of a recommendation on base registries;
6. Increased focus on spatial data standards; and
7. Various other synergies via sharing expertise and cross-referencing.
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