



Horizon Europe Programme

Standard Application Form (HE Cofund top-up)

Application form (Part A)
Project proposal – Technical description (Part B)
Information on Financial Support to Third Parties

Version 1.0
12 December 2022



Application form (Part A)

Example, not to be completed



Horizon Europe Programme

Standard Application Form (HE RIA, IA)

Application form (Part A)

Version 2.0
21 January 2022

Disclaimer

This document is aimed at informing potential applicants for Horizon Europe funding. It serves only as an example. The actual Web forms and templates, provided in the online submission system under the Funding and Tenders Portal, might differ from this example.



Structure of the Proposal

The proposal contains two parts:

- **Part A** of the proposal is generated by the IT system. It is based on the information entered by the participants through the submission system in the Funding & Tenders Portal. The participants can update the information in the submission system at any time before final submission.
- **Part B** of the proposal is the narrative part that includes three sections that each correspond to an evaluation criterion. Part B needs to be uploaded as a PDF document following the templates downloaded by the applicants in the submission system for the specific call or topic. The templates for a specific call may slightly differ from the example provided in this document.

The electronic submission system is an online wizard that guides you step-by-step through the preparation of your proposal. The submission process consists of 6 steps:

- Step 1: Logging in the Portal
- Step 2: Select the call, topic and type of action in the Portal
- Step 3: Create a draft proposal: Title, acronym, summary, main organisation and contact details
- Step 4: Manage your parties and contact details: add your partner organisations and contact details.
- Step 5: Edit and complete web forms for proposal part A and upload proposal part B
- Step 6: Submit the proposal

- Instructions and footnotes in green will not appear in the text generated by the IT system.
- For options [in square brackets]: the option that applies will be automatically shown in the IT system (Part A) or included in the template of Part B offered by the IT system or you must select the appropriate value from a predefined list.
- For fields in [grey in square brackets] (even if they are part of an option as specified in the previous item): enter the appropriate data in the IT system.
- Data in coloured fields will be pre-filled by the IT tool.

HISTORY OF CHANGES		
Version	Publication date	Changes
1.0	10.03.2021	▪ Initial version
1.1	19.04.2021	▪ Formatting and alignment
2.0	21.01.2022	▪ Added definitions for role of participants

Please check our [wiki](#) for help on navigating the form.

Horizon Europe

Application forms (Part A)

Topic:

Type of action:

Type of Model Grant Agreement:

Proposal number:

Proposal acronym:

Table of contents

Section	Title	Action
1	General information	
2	Participants	
3	Budget	
4	Ethics and security	
5	Other questions	

The forms must be filled in for each proposal using the templates available in the Submission System. Some data fields in the forms are pre-filled based on the previous steps in the Submission wizard.

1 – General information

Section 1 provides basic data on the proposal. It can be filled in by contacts of the coordinator. Other participants may view this section only. Read-only parts are marked in blue.

Topic	Type of action
Call	Type of Model Grant Agreement

Acronym	<i>Acronym is mandatory</i>
Proposal title	<i>Max 200 characters (with spaces). Must be understandable for non-specialists in your field.</i>
	<i>Note that for technical reasons, the following characters are not accepted in the Proposal Title and will be removed: < > " &</i>
Duration in months	<i>Estimated duration of the project in full months.</i>
Fixed keyword	
Fixed keyword	
Free keywords	<i>Enter any words you think give extra detail of the scope of your proposal (max 200 characters with spaces).</i>

Abstract

The abstract should provide the reader with a clear understanding of the objectives of the proposal, how they will be achieved, and their relevance to the Work Programme. This summary will be used as the short description of the proposal in the evaluation process and in communications to the programme management committees and other interested parties. It must therefore be short and precise and should not contain confidential information. Use plain typed text, avoiding formulas and other special characters. If the proposal is written in a language other than English, please include an English version of this abstract in the Part B (technical description) of the proposal.

Example not to complete

Has this proposal (or a very similar one) been submitted in the past 2 years in response to a call for proposals under any EU programme, including the current call? <i>A 'similar' proposal or contract is one that differs from the current one in minor ways, and in which some of the present consortium members are involved.</i>	<input type="radio"/> Yes	<input type="radio"/> No
Please give the proposal reference or contract number	XXXXX-X	

Declarations

These declarations can be filled in by any coordinator contact(s). All declarations are mandatory.

1) We declare to have the explicit consent of all applicants on their participation and on the content of this proposal.	<input type="checkbox"/>
2) We confirm that the information contained in this proposal is correct and complete and that none of the project activities have started before the proposal was submitted (unless explicitly authorised in the call conditions).	<input type="checkbox"/>
3) We declare: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – to be fully compliant with the eligibility criteria set out in the call – not to be subject to any exclusion grounds under the EU Financial Regulation 2018/1046 – to have the financial and operational capacity to carry out the proposed project. 	<input type="checkbox"/>
4) We acknowledge that all communication will be made through the Funding & Tenders Portal electronic exchange system and that access and use of this system is subject to the Funding & Tenders Portal Terms & Conditions .	<input type="checkbox"/>
5) We have read, understood and accepted the Funding & Tenders Portal Terms & Conditions and Privacy Statement that set out the conditions of use of the Portal and the scope, purposes, retention periods, etc. for the processing of personal data of all data subjects whose data we communicate for the purpose of the application, evaluation, award and subsequent management of our grant, prizes and contracts (including financial transactions and audits).	<input type="checkbox"/>
6) We declare that the proposal complies with ethical principles (including the highest standards of research integrity as set out in the ALLEA European Code of Conduct for Research Integrity , as well as applicable international and national law, including the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union and the European Convention on Human Rights and its Supplementary Protocols. Appropriate procedures, policies and structures are in place to foster responsible research practices, to prevent questionable research practices and research misconduct, and to handle allegations of breaches of the principles and standards in the Code of Conduct.	<input type="checkbox"/>
7) We declare that the proposal has an exclusive focus on civil applications (activities intended to be used in military application or aiming to serve military purposes cannot be funded). If the project involves dual-use items in the sense of Regulation 2021/821 , or other items for which authorisation is required, we confirm that we will comply with the applicable regulatory framework (e.g. obtain export/import licences before these items are used).	<input type="checkbox"/>
8) We confirm that the activities proposed do not <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – aim at human cloning for reproductive purposes; – intend to modify the genetic heritage of human beings which could make such changes heritable (with the exception of research relating to cancer treatment of the gonads, which may be financed), or – intend to create human embryos solely for the purpose of research or for the purpose of stem cell procurement, including by means of somatic cell nuclear transfer. – lead to the destruction of human embryos (for example, for obtaining stem cells) <p>These activities are excluded from funding.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9) We confirm that for activities carried out outside the Union, the same activities would have been allowed in at least one EU Member State	<input type="checkbox"/>
10) <i>[Additional option for LUMP SUM Grants: For Lump Sum Grants with a detailed budget table: We understand and accept that the EU lump sum grants must be reliable proxies for the actual costs of a project and confirm that the detailed budget for the proposal has been established in accordance with our usual cost accounting practices and in compliance with the basic eligibility conditions for EU actual cost grants (see AGA — Annotated Grant Agreement, art 6) and exclude costs that are ineligible under the Programme. Purchases and subcontracting costs must be done taking into</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Application Forms

Proposal ID XXXXXXXXXX

Acronym XXXXXXXX

Participant short name: XXXX

account best value for money and must be free of conflict of interest.]

The coordinator is only responsible for the information relating to their own organisation. Each applicant remains responsible for the information declared for their organisation. If the proposal is retained for EU funding, they will all be required to sign a declaration of honour.

False statements or incorrect information may lead to administrative sanctions under the EU Financial Regulation.

Example, not to complete

2 – Participants

List of participating organisations

#	Participating Organisation Legal Name	Country
1		
2		
3		

Coordinator contacts have the rights to:

- add, delete, edit and re-order partners in the consortium
- add, delete, edit and re-order contact points for those organisations
- edit all sections of the administrative forms
- upload, delete, view and download Part B and Annexes (when required for the call)
- submit the proposal

Participant contacts may:

- view all the information in this screen, but not edit it
- edit only the section for their organisation in the administrative forms (including budget)
- view the entire administrative forms
- view/download the Part B and other Annexes

You can manage the list of organisations and access rights of persons at Step 4 of the submission process. You may identify and give access to as many contact persons of the selected organisations as you wish. The identification is based upon the e-mail address of the person. When you add a contact person, you will be prompted to supply the contact details: name, e-mail, phone.

Person in charge of the proposal (main contact person): Each organisation needs to have one main contact person identified; the main contact person will have to fill in full contact details in the administrative form. The 'Main Contact Person' for the coordinating organisation (Participant no. 1) will become the primary contact person for the Services. Other contact persons may also be identified and may receive read-only or full access rights. Contact persons with full access rights of the coordinator (Participant no. 1) will be called 'Coordinator contacts' in the Funding & Tenders Portal, while for the other participants 'Participant Contacts'; contact persons with read-only rights will be called 'Team Members'. Other contact persons are listed with basic details in the administrative form.

Access rights: The main contact person and contact persons of the coordinator with full access rights have the same level of rights: they can manage the list of participants and contacts, edit any part of the administrative part of the proposal and upload any attachments (eg. Part B - technical description), and submit the proposal. Contact persons with read-only rights can only view/download the information. Participant contacts with full access rights can only edit their section of the administrative form and view all proposal data.

Access rights can be revoked by the Coordinating Organisation contacts. The person who created the proposal cannot be deleted.

Invitation: All contacts will receive an e-mail and a notification to the Portal about the invitation to the proposal upon saving the data at Step 4 of the submission process.

Organisation data

The section shows the administrative data of the participating organisation as registered and/or validated in the central registry of organisations of the European Commission, linked to the given PIC number. Data in blue is read-only, modification is not possible in the proposal forms. For more information on how to modify this information, please visit the [online manual](#) on the participant register.

PIC	Legal name
<i>Short name</i>	
<i>Address of the organisation</i>	
Street	
Town	
Postcode	
Country	
Webpage	
<i>Specific legal statuses</i>	
Read more about legal statuses.	
Public	unknown
Legal person	unknown
Non-profit	unknown
International organisation.....	unknown
International organisation of European interest.....	unknown
Secondary or Higher education establishment.....	unknown
Research organisation	unknown
<i>SME status</i>	
<i>The enterprise data of the organisation is taken from the Participant Register. Changes to the self-declared or self-assessed SME data can be performed by the self-registrant or by the LEAR (Legal Entity Appointed Representative) in the Participant Register.</i>	
SME self declared status	unknown
SME self-assessment	unknown
SME validation sme	unknown
Based on the above details of the Participant Registry the organisation is not an SME (small- and medium-sized enterprise) for the call.	

Departments carrying out the proposed work

The information serves mainly statistical purposes. For determining the eligibility of the proposal, the official address of the organisation is taken into account.

Department 1

Department name

 not applicable

 Same as organisation address

Street

Please enter street name and number

Town

Postcode

Country

Links with other participants

Please indicate if there are dependencies with other participants of the proposal.

Two participants (legal entities) are dependent on each other where there is a controlling relationship between them:

* A legal entity is under the same direct or indirect control as another legal entity; or

* A legal entity directly or indirectly controls another legal entity; or

* A legal entity is directly or indirectly controlled by another legal entity. Control:

Legal entity A controls legal entity B if:

* A, directly or indirectly, holds more than 50% of the nominal value of the issued share capital or a majority of the voting rights of the shareholders or associates of B, or

* A, directly or indirectly, holds in fact or in law the decision-making powers in B.

The following relationships between legal entities shall not in themselves be deemed to constitute controlling relationships:

(a) the same public investment corporation, institutional investor or venture-capital company has a direct or indirect holding of more than 50 % of the nominal value of the issued share capital or a majority of voting rights of the shareholders or associates;

(b) the legal entities concerned are owned or supervised by the same public body.

Type of link	Participant
[Same group] [Controls] [Is controlled by]	Select one participant from the list of participants

Main contact person

This will be the person the EU services will contact concerning this proposal (e.g. for additional information, invitation to hearings, sending of evaluation results, convocation to start grant preparation). The data in blue is read-only. Details (name, first name and e-mail) of Main Contact persons should be edited in step 'Participants' of the submission wizard.

Title Gender Woman Man Non binary

First name Last name

E-mail

Position in org.

Department Same as organisation

Same as organisation address

Street

Town Post code

Country

Website

Phone 1 Phone 2

Other contact persons

First name	Last name	e-mail	Phone

Researchers involved in the proposal

Include only the researchers involved in the proposal, (see below definition of 'researcher'). You do not need to include in the table the identity of other persons involved in the proposal who are not researchers.

'Researchers are professionals engaged in the conception or creation of new knowledge. They conduct research and improve or develop concepts, theories, models, techniques instrumentation, software or operational methods. (Frascati Manual 2015)

Include also person in charge of the proposal if a researcher.

Title	First Name	Last Name	Gender	Nationality	E-mail	Career stage ¹	Role of researcher (in the project)	Reference Identifier	Type of identifier
			[Woman] [Man] [Non-binary]			[Category A – Top grade researcher] [Category B – Senior researcher] [Category C – Recognised researcher] [Category D – First stage researcher]	[Leading] [Team member]		[ORCID] [Researcher Id] [Other - specify]

¹ Career stages as defined in Frascati 2015 manual:

Category A – Top grade researcher: the single highest grade/post at which research is normally conducted. Example: 'Full professor' or 'Director of research'.

Category B – Senior researcher: Researchers working in positions not as senior as top position but more senior than newly qualified doctoral graduates (ISCED level 8). Examples: 'associate professor' or 'senior researcher' or 'principal investigator'.

Category C – Recognised researcher: the first grade/post into which a newly qualified doctoral graduate would normally be recruited. Examples: 'assistant professor', 'investigator' or 'post-doctoral fellow'.

Category D – First stage researcher: Either doctoral students at the ISCED level 8 who are engaged as researchers, or researchers working in posts that do not normally require a doctorate degree. Examples: 'PhD students' or 'junior researchers' (without a PhD).

<i>Role of participating organisation in the project</i> <i>Applicants may select more than one option.</i>		<i>Definitions</i>
Project management	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Click if your organisation will do project management activities (i.e. assigning the tasks, reporting and interface with the EC). These tasks are normally carried out by the coordinator, but other participants can also contribute.</i>
Communication, dissemination and engagement	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Click if your organisation will be in charge of communication, dissemination and engagement. This can be centralised by one partner or split across the partners.</i>
Provision of research and technology infrastructure	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Click if your organisation is providing a research facility or research equipment.</i>
Co-definition of research and market needs	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Click if your organisation will be involved in the co-defining the research and market needs. Usually it is a company that intends to later use the research results, or a NGO that will use the solution. This will help the project further tailor its results to respond to specific needs of the end user.</i>
Civil society representative	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Click if your organisation belongs to civil society (NGO, association, organisation, consumer group, community group, charity, etc.).</i>
Policy maker or regulator, incl. standardisation body	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Click if your organisation is a policy maker (local, regional, national, European level), regulator or a standardisation body.</i>
Research performer	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Click if your organisation is in charge of performing the research during the project.</i>
Technology developer	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Click if your organisation is in charge of developing the technology during or after the project.</i>
Testing/validation of approaches and ideas	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Click if your organisation is in charge of testing/validating the approach and ideas.</i>
Prototyping and demonstration	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Click if your organisation is in charge of developing the prototypes and performing demonstrations.</i>
IPR management incl. technology transfer	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Click if your organisation is in charge of IPR management including technology transfer at the end of the grant.</i>
Public procurer of results	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Click if your organisation (public authority, hospital, university, local government, etc) will be using the results afterwards.</i>
Private buyer of results	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Click if your organisation (from the private sector) will be using the results afterwards.</i>
Finance provider (public or private)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Click if your organisation will be providing the financing for the exploitation during or after the end of the project.</i>
Education and training	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Click if your organisation is in charge of educating and training researchers.</i>
Contributions from the social sciences or/and the humanities	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Click if your organisation is in charge of contributing to the social sciences or/and the humanities dimension to the research project.</i>
Other Specify (50 character limit):	<input type="checkbox"/>	

<i>List of up to 5 publications, widely-used datasets, software, goods, services, or any other achievements relevant to the call content.</i>	
Type of achievement	Short description
<div style="display: flex; flex-direction: column; gap: 5px;"> [Publication] [Dataset] [Software] [Good] [Service] </div>	<p>Key elements of the achievement, including a short qualitative assessment of its impact and (where available) its digital object identifier (DOI) or other type of persistent identifier (PID).</p> <p>Publications, in particular journal articles, are expected to be open access. Datasets are expected to be FAIR and ‘as open as possible, as closed as necessary’.</p>

[Other achievement]	

List of up to 5 most relevant previous projects or activities, connected to the subject of this proposal

Name of Project or Activity	Short description

Description of any significant infrastructure and/or any major items of technical equipment, relevant to the proposed work

Name of infrastructure or equipment	Short description

Gender equality plan

<p><i>Having a gender equality plan is an eligibility criterion for Public bodies, Higher education establishments and Research organisations from Member States and Associated Countries. Be aware that if the proposal is selected, having a Gender Equality Plan will be necessary before the grant agreement signature (applicable on calls with deadlines in 2022 and beyond).</i></p> <p>Does the organisation have a Gender Equality Plan (GEP) covering the elements listed below?</p> <p>Minimum process-related requirements (building blocks) for a GEP</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Publication: formal document published on the institution’s website and signed by the top management - Dedicated resources: commitment of human resources and gender expertise to implement it. - Data collection and monitoring: sex/gender disaggregated data on personnel (and students for establishments concerned) and annual reporting based on indicators. - Training: Awareness raising/trainings on gender equality and unconscious gender biases for staff and decision-makers. <p>Content-wise, recommended areas to be covered and addressed via concrete measures and targets are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o work-life balance and organisational culture; o gender balance in leadership and decision-making; o gender equality in recruitment and career progression; 	<input type="radio"/> Yes	<input type="radio"/> No
---	---------------------------	--------------------------

Application Forms

Proposal ID XXXXXXXXXX

Acronym XXXXXXXXX

Participant short name: XXXX

- integration of the gender dimension into research and teaching content;
- measures against gender-based violence including sexual harassment.

Example, not to complete

3 – Budget for the proposal

No	Participant name	Country	Estimated expenditure						Estimated income								
			Estimated eligible costs						Requested EU contribution			Revenues	Other sources of financing		Total estimated income (s)=(n) +(o)+(p)+ (q) + (r)		
			A. Personnel costs/€ (a1)	B. Subcontracting costs/€ (b)	C. Purchase costs			D. Other cost categories D.X [specific cost category] /€ (dx)	E. Indirect costs/€ (e) = 25% [(a1) + (c1) + (c2) + (c3) + (d7)]	Total eligible costs (h) = (a1) + (b) + (c1) + (c2) + (c3) + (d) + (e)	Funding rate (U)	Maximum EU contribution to eligible costs (l) = (U) * (h)	Requested EU contribution to eligible costs/€ (Requested grant amount) (m) (n)	Income generated by the action (o)		Other sources of financing	
					C.1 Travel and subsistence/€ (c1)	C.2 Equipment/€ (c2)	C.3 Other goods, works and services /€ (c3)									Financial contributions (q)	Own resources (r)
1	Participant 1	NL															
2	Participant 2	LB															
	Affiliated Entity	LB															
3	Participant 3	DE															
	Associated Partner	AR															
Total																	

Possible 'Other cost categories' for Horizon Europe

Application Forms

Proposal ID XXXXXXXXX

Acronym XXXXXXXX

Estimated project expenditure
Estimated eligible costs
D. Other cost categories

No	Participant name	Country	D.1 Financial support to third parties (Actual costs) (d1)	D.2 Internally invoiced goods and services (Unit costs - usual accounting practices) (d2)	D.3 Transnational access to research infrastructures (Unit costs) (d3)	D.4 Virtual access to research infrastructures (Unit costs) (d4)	D.5 PCP/PPI procurement costs (Actual costs) (d5)	D.6 Euratom Cofund staff mobility costs (Unit costs) (d6)	D.7 ERC additional funding (Actual costs) (d7)	D.8 ERC additional funding (subcontracting, FSTP and internally invoiced goods and services) (Actual costs) (d8)		
1	Participant 1	NL										
2	Participant 2	LB										
	Affiliated Entity	LB										
3	Participant 3	DE										
	Associated Partner	AR										
Total												

4 – Ethics and Security

Ethics issues table

This table should be completed as an essential part of your proposal. Please go through the table and indicate which elements concern your proposal by answering 'Yes' or 'No'. If you answer 'Yes' to any of the questions,

- indicate in the adjacent box at which page in your full proposal further information relating to that ethics issue can be found, and
- provide additional information on that ethics issue in the Ethics Self-Assessment section.

For more information on each of the ethics issues and how to address them, including detailed legal references, see the guidelines '[How to Complete your Ethics Self-Assessment](#)'.

1. HUMAN EMBRYONIC STEM CELLS AND HUMAN EMBRYOS			Page
Does this activity involve Human Embryonic Stem Cells (hESCs)?		<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
If YES:	Will they be directly derived from embryos within this project?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
	Are they previously established cells lines?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
	Are the cell lines registered in the European registry for human embryonic stem cell lines?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
Does this activity involve the use of human embryos?		<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
If YES:	Will the activity lead to their destruction?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
2. HUMANS			Page
Does this activity involve human participants?		<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
If YES:	Are they volunteers for nonmedical studies (e.g. social or human sciences research)?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
	Are they healthy volunteers for medical studies?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
	Are they patients for medical studies?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
	Are they potentially vulnerable individuals or groups?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
	Are they children/minors?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
	Are they other persons unable to give informed consent?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
Does this activity involve interventions (physical also including imaging technology, behavioural treatments, etc.) on the study participants?		<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
If YES:	Does it involve invasive techniques?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
	Does it involve collection of biological samples?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	

Application Forms

Proposal ID XXXXXXXXXX

Acronym XXXXXXXX

Does this activity involve conducting a clinical study as defined by the Clinical Trial Regulation (EU 536/2014) ? (using pharmaceuticals, biologicals, radiopharmaceuticals, or advanced therapy medicinal products)		<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
If YES:	Is it a clinical trial?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
	Is it a low-intervention clinical trial?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
3. HUMAN CELLS / TISSUES (not covered by section 1)			Page
Does this activity involve the use of human cells or tissues?		<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
If YES:	Are they human embryonic or foetal cells or tissues?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
	Are they available commercially?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
	Are they obtained within this project?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
	Are they obtained from another project, laboratory or institution?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
	Are they obtained from biobank?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
4. PERSONAL DATA			Page
Does this activity involve processing of personal data?		<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
If YES:	Does it involve the processing of special categories of personal data (e.g.: sexual lifestyle, ethnicity, genetic, biometric and health data, political opinion, religious or philosophical beliefs)?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
	If YES: Does it involve processing of genetic, biometric or health data?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
	Does it involve profiling, systematic monitoring of individuals, or processing of large scale of special categories of data or intrusive methods of data processing (such as, surveillance, geolocation tracking etc.)?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
Does this activity involve further processing of previously collected personal data (including use of preexisting data sets or sources, merging existing data sets)?		<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
Is it planned to export personal data from the EU to non-EU countries?		<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
If YES:	Specify the type of personal data and countries involved:		
Is it planned to import personal data from non-EU countries into the EU or from a non-EU country to another non-EU country?		<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
If YES:	Specify the type of personal data and countries involved		

Application Forms

Proposal ID XXXXXXXXXX

Acronym XXXXXXXX

Does this activity involve the processing of personal data related to criminal convictions or offences?		<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
5. ANIMALS			Page
Does this activity involve animals?		<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
If YES :	Are they vertebrates?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
	Are they non-human primates (NHP)?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
	Are they genetically modified?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
	Are they cloned farm animals?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
	Are they endangered species?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
6. NON-EU COUNTRIES			Page
Will some of the activities be carried out in non-EU countries?		<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
If YES :	Specify the countries:		
In case non-EU countries are involved, do the activities undertaken in these countries raise potential ethics issues?		<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
If YES :	Specify the countries:		
Is it planned to use local resources (e.g. animal and/or human tissue samples, genetic material, live animals, human remains, materials of historical value, endangered fauna or flora samples, etc.)?		<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
Is it planned to import any material (other than data) from non-EU countries into the EU or from a non-EU country to another non-EU country? For data imports, see section 4.		<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
If YES :	Specify material and countries involved:		
Is it planned to export any material (other than data) from the EU to non-EU countries? For data exports, see section 4.		<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
If YES :	Specify material and countries involved:		
Does this activity involves low and/or lower-middle income countries ? (if yes, detail the benefit-sharing actions planned in the self-assessment)		<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
Could the situation in the country put the individuals taking part in the activity at risk?		<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
7. ENVIRONMENT, HEALTH and SAFETY			Page

Application Forms

Proposal ID XXXXXXXXXX

Acronym XXXXXXXX

Does this activity involve the use of substances or processes that may cause harm to the environment, to animals or plants (during the implementation of the activity or further to the use of the results, as a possible impact)?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
Does this activity deal with endangered fauna and/or flora / protected areas?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
Does this activity involve the use of substances or processes that may cause harm to humans, including those performing the activity (during the implementation of the activity or further to the use of the results, as a possible impact)?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
8. ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE		Page
Does this activity involve the development, deployment and/or use of Artificial Intelligence based systems? (if yes, detail in the self-assessment whether that could raise ethical concerns related to human rights and values and detail how this will be addressed).	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
9. OTHER ETHICS ISSUES		Page
Are there any other ethics issues that should be taken into consideration?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
<i>Please specify: (Maximum number of characters allowed: 1000)</i>		

I confirm that I have taken into account all ethics issues above and that, if any ethics issues apply, I will complete the ethics self-assessment as described in the guidelines '[How to Complete your Ethics Self-Assessment](#)'.

Example, not to complete

ETHICS SELF-ASSESSMENT

If you have entered any issues in the ethics issue table, you must perform an ethics self-assessment in accordance with the guidelines "[How to Complete your Ethics Self-Assessment](#)" and complete the table below.

Ethical dimension of the objectives, methodology and likely impact

Explain in detail the identified issues in relation to:

- objectives of the activities (e.g. study of vulnerable populations, etc.)
- methodology (e.g. clinical trials, involvement of children, protection of personal data, etc.)
- the potential impact of the activities (e.g. environmental damage, stigmatisation of particular social groups, political or financial adverse consequences, misuse, etc.)

Compliance with ethical principles and relevant legislations

Describe how the issue(s) identified in the ethics issues table above will be addressed in order to adhere to the ethical principles and what will be done to ensure that the activities are compliant with the EU/national legal and ethical requirements of the country or countries where the tasks are to be carried out. It is reminded that for **activities performed in a non-EU countries**, they should also be allowed in at least one EU Member State.

Security issues table

Please go through the table and indicate which elements concern your proposal by answering YES or NO.

If you answer YES to any of the questions:

- indicate in the adjacent box at which page in your full proposal further information relating to that security issue can be found, and
- provide additional information on this security issue in the Security self-assessment section below.

For more information on potential security issues and how to address them, see the guidance [How to handle security-sensitive projects](#) and the programme-specific guidelines [Classification of information in Horizon Europe projects](#).

1. EU classified information (EUCI) ²			Page
Does this activity involve information and/or materials requiring protection against unauthorised disclosure (EUCI)?		<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
If YES:	Is the activity going to use classified information as background ³ information?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
	Is the activity going to generate EU classified foreground ⁴ information as results?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
Does this activity involve participants from non-EU countries which need to have access to EUCI?		<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
If YES:	Do the non-EU countries concerned have a security of information agreement with the EU?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
2. MISUSE			Page
Does this activity have the potential for misuse of results?		<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
If YES:	Does the activity provide knowledge, materials and technologies that could be channelled into crime and/or terrorism?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
	Could the activity result in the development of chemical, biological, radiological or nuclear (CBRN) weapons and the means for their delivery?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
3. OTHER SECURITY ISSUES			Page
Does this activity involve information and/or materials subject to national security restrictions?		<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
If yes, please specify: (Maximum number of characters allowed: 1000)			

² According to the Commission Decision (EU, Euratom) 2015/444 of 13 March 2015 on the security rules for protecting EU classified information, "European Union classified information (EUCI) means any information or material designated by an EU security classification, the unauthorised disclosure of which could cause varying degrees of prejudice to the interests of the European Union or of one or more of the Member States".

³ Classified background information is information that is already classified by a country and/or international organisation and/or the EU and is going to be used by the project. In this case, the project must have in advance the authorisation from the originator of the classified information, which is the entity (EU institution, EU Member State, third state or international organisation) under whose authority the classified information has been generated.

⁴ EU classified foreground information is information (documents/deliverables/materials) planned to be generated by the project and that needs to be protected from unauthorised disclosure. The originator of the EUCI generated by the project is the European Commission.

Application Forms

Proposal ID XXXXXXXXXX

Acronym XXXXXXXX

Are there any other security issues that should be taken into consideration?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	
<i>If yes, please specify: (Maximum number of characters allowed: 1000)</i>		

SECURITY SELF-ASSESSMENT

If you have answered YES for one or more of the questions indicated above, describe the measures you intend to take to solve/avoid them. For more information, see the guidelines [Classification of information in Horizon Europe projects](#), [Classification of information in Digital Europe projects](#), [Classification of information in EDF projects](#).

Please specify (Maximum number of characters allowed: 5000)

Example, not to complete

5 – Other questions

Two-stage calls

The full stage-2 proposal must be consistent with the short outline proposal submitted to the stage 1 – in particular with respect to the proposal characteristics addressing the concepts of excellence and impact.

Are there substantial differences compared to the stage-1 proposal?

Yes

No

Questions showed only in answer is Yes:

Please list the substantial differences, and indicate the reasons

<input type="checkbox"/>	Partnership	List the substantial differences and indicate the reasons
<input type="checkbox"/>	Budget	List the substantial differences and indicate the reasons
<input type="checkbox"/>	Approach	List the substantial differences and indicate the reasons

[Calls with clinical trials: Essential information to be provided for proposals including clinical trials / studies / investigations

Clinical study means, for the purpose of this document, any systematic prospective or retrospective collection and analysis of health data obtained from individual patients or healthy persons in order to address scientific questions related to the understanding, prevention, diagnosis, monitoring or treatment of a disease, mental illness, or physical condition. It includes but it is not limited to clinical studies as defined by [Regulation 536/2014](#) (on medicinal products), clinical investigation and clinical evaluation as defined by [Regulation 2017/745](#) (on medical devices), performance study and performance evaluation as defined by [Regulation 2017/746](#) (on in vitro diagnostic medical devices).

Are clinical studies / trials / investigations included in the work plan of this project?

Yes

No

Please upload the dedicated annex 'Essential information for clinical studies / trials / investigations' (a Word template is provided under 'download templates' in the up-load section for Part B and Annexes).

This document should include the relevant information of each clinical study / trial / investigation included in the work plan of this project.

Please give a short title, an acronym or a unique identifier to each clinical study / trial / investigation, to be used as a reference / identifier in the other parts of the proposal

Add

Remove

]

Project proposal – Technical description (Part B)

Example, not to complete



Horizon Europe Programme
Standard Application Form (HE Cofund Top-up)

Project proposal – Technical description (Part B)

Version 1.0
12 December 2022

Structure of the Proposal

The proposal contains two parts:

- **Part A** of the proposal is generated by the IT system. It is based on the information entered by the participants through the submission system in the Funding & Tenders Portal. The participants can update the information in the submission system at any time before final submission.
- **Part B** of the proposal is the narrative part that includes three sections that each correspond to an evaluation criterion. Part B needs to be uploaded as a PDF document following the templates downloaded by the applicants in the submission system for the specific call or topic. The templates for a specific call may slightly differ from the example provided in this document.

The electronic submission system is an online wizard that guides you step-by-step through the preparation of your proposal. The submission process consists of 6 steps:

- Step 1: Logging in the Portal
- Step 2: Select the call, topic and type of action in the Portal
- Step 3: Create a draft proposal: Title, acronym, summary, main organisation and contact details
- Step 4: Manage your parties and contact details: add your partner organisations and contact details.
- Step 5: Edit and complete web forms for proposal part A and upload proposal part B
- Step 6: Submit the proposal

HISTORY OF CHANGES		
Version	Publication date	Changes
1.0	12.12.2022	▪ Initial version
		▪

Proposal template Part B: technical description

(for full proposals: single stage submission procedure and 2nd stage of a two-stage submission procedure)

This template is to be used in a single-stage submission procedure or at the 2nd stage of a two-stage submission procedure.

The structure of this template must be followed when preparing your proposal. It has been designed to ensure that the important aspects of your planned work are presented in a way that will enable the experts to make an effective assessment against the evaluation criteria. Sections 1, 2 and 3 each correspond to an evaluation criterion.

Please be aware that proposals will be evaluated as they were submitted, rather than on their potential if certain changes were to be made. This means that only proposals that successfully address all the required aspects will have a chance of being funded. There will be no possibility for significant changes to content, budget and consortium composition during grant preparation.

⚠ Page limit: The title, list of participants and sections 1, 2 and 3, together, should not be longer than 70 pages. All tables, figures, references and any other element pertaining to these sections must be included as an integral part of these sections and are thus counted against this page limit.

The page limit will be applied automatically. **At the end of the template offered in the submission tool you will see the structure of the actual proposal that you need to submit, please remove all instruction pages that are watermarked.**

If you attempt to upload a proposal longer than the specified limit before the deadline, you will receive an automatic warning and will be advised to shorten and re-upload the proposal. After the deadline, excess pages (in over-long proposals/applications) will be automatically made invisible, and will not be taken into consideration by the experts. The proposal is a self-contained document. Experts will be instructed to ignore hyperlinks to information that is specifically designed to expand the proposal, thus circumventing the page limit.

Please, do not consider the page limit as a target! It is in your interest to keep your text as concise as possible, since experts rarely view unnecessarily long proposals in a positive light.

⚠ The following formatting conditions apply.

The reference font for the body text of proposals is Times New Roman (Windows platforms), Times/Times New Roman (Apple platforms) or Nimbus Roman No. 9 L (Linux distributions).

The use of a different font for the body text is not advised and is subject to the cumulative conditions that the font is legible and that its use does not significantly shorten the representation of the proposal in number of pages compared to using the reference font (for example with a view to bypass the page limit).

The minimum font size allowed is 11 points. Standard character spacing and a minimum of single line spacing is to be used. This applies to the body text, including text in tables.

Text elements other than the body text, such as headers, foot/end notes, captions, formula's, may deviate, but must be legible.

The page size is A4, and all margins (top, bottom, left, right) should be at least 15 mm (not including any footers or headers).

This document is tagged. Be careful not to delete the tags; they are needed for processing.

DEFINITIONS	
Critical risk	<p>A critical risk is a plausible event or issue that could have a high adverse impact on the ability of the project to achieve its objectives.</p> <p>Level of likelihood to occur (Low/medium/high): The likelihood is the estimated probability that the risk will materialise even after taking account of the mitigating measures put in place.</p> <p>Level of severity (Low/medium/high): The relative seriousness of the risk and the significance of its effect.</p>
Deliverable	A report that is sent to the Commission or Agency providing information to ensure effective monitoring of the project. There are different types of deliverables (e.g. a report on specific activities or results, data management plans, ethics or security requirements).
Impacts	<p>Wider long term effects on society (including the environment), the economy and science, enabled by the outcomes of R&I investments (long term). It refers to the specific contribution of the project to the work programme expected impacts described in the destination. Impacts generally occur some time after the end of the project.</p> <p><i>Example: The deployment of the advanced forecasting system enables each airport to increase maximum passenger capacity by 15% and passenger average throughput by 10%, leading to a 28% reduction in infrastructure expansion costs.</i></p>
Milestone	Control points in the project that help to chart progress. Milestones may correspond to the achievement of a key result, allowing the next phase of the work to begin. They may also be needed at intermediary points so that, if problems have arisen, corrective measures can be taken. A milestone may be a critical decision point in the project where, for example, the consortium must decide which of several technologies to adopt for further development. The achievement of a milestone should be verifiable.
Objectives	The goals of the work performed within the project, in terms of its research and innovation content. This will be translated into the project's results. These may range from tackling specific research questions, demonstrating the feasibility of an innovation, sharing knowledge among stakeholders on specific issues. The nature of the objectives will depend on the type of action, and the scope of the topic.
Outcomes	<p>The expected effects, over the medium term, of projects supported under a given topic. The results of a project should contribute to these outcomes, fostered in particular by the dissemination and exploitation measures. This may include the uptake, diffusion, deployment, and/or use of the project's results by direct target groups. Outcomes generally occur during or shortly after the end of the project.</p> <p><i>Example: 9 European airports adopt the advanced forecasting system demonstrated during the project.</i></p>
Pathway to impact	Logical steps towards the achievement of the expected impacts of the project over time, in particular beyond the duration of a project. A pathway begins with the projects' results, to their dissemination, exploitation and communication, contributing to the expected outcomes in the work programme topic, and ultimately to the wider scientific, economic and societal impacts of the work programme destination.
Research output	Results to which access can be given in the form of scientific publications, data or other engineered results and processes such as software, algorithms, protocols, models, workflows and electronic notebooks.

Results	<p>Any tangible or intangible effect of the action, such as data, know-how or information, whatever its form or nature, whether or not it can be protected, as well as any rights attached to it, including intellectual property rights</p> <p>This may include, for example, know-how, innovative solutions, algorithms, proof of feasibility, new business models, policy recommendations, guidelines, prototypes, demonstrators, databases and datasets, trained researchers, new infrastructures, networks, etc.</p> <p>Most project results (inventions, scientific works, etc.) are ‘Intellectual Property’, which may, if appropriate, be protected by formal ‘Intellectual Property Rights’.</p> <p>Example: <i>Successful large-scale demonstrator: trial with 3 airports of an advanced forecasting system for proactive airport passenger flow management.</i></p>
Technology Readiness Level	See Work Programme General Annex B

Example, not to complete

⚠ Fill in the title of your proposal below.

TITLE OF THE PROPOSAL

Acronym and ID of the ongoing Horizon Europe project	
--	--

⚠ The consortium members are listed in part A of the proposal (application forms). A summary list should also be provided in the table below.

[This document is tagged. Do not delete the tags; they are needed for processing.] #@APP-FORM-HECOFUND@#

List of participants

⚠ Insert the new partners (if any) as the last participants in the list of participants.

Participant No. *	Participant organisation name	Country
1 (Coordinator)		
2		
3		

* Please use the same participant numbering and name as that used in the administrative proposal forms.

1. Excellence #@REL-EVA-RE@#***Excellence – aspects to be taken into account.***

- Clarity and pertinence of the project’s objectives, and the extent to which the proposed work is ambitious and goes beyond the state of the art.
- Soundness of the proposed methodology, including the underlying concepts, models, assumptions, interdisciplinary approaches, appropriate consideration of the gender dimension in research and innovation content, and the quality of open science practices, including sharing and management of research outputs and engagement of citizens, civil society and end users where appropriate.

⚠ *The following aspects will be taken into account only to the extent that the proposed work is within the scope of the work programme topic and coherent with the existing grant agreement to implement the partnership.*

⚠ *The term “additional activities” should be understood as activities (which may include new partners) to be covered by the new funding in accordance with the scope of the work programme topic – and not strictly as activities which are different than those which already exist.*

1.1 Objectives and ambition of the Partnership #@PRJ-OBJ-PO@#

Provide a summary overview of the objectives and ambition of the partnership, concisely outlining key relevant aspects that are part of the existing grant agreement implementing the partnership.

For each aspect that will be updated in the context of the continuation of the existing partnership grant agreement, provide a clear explanation of, and justification for, what is to be updated. Address the following aspects:

- Outline how the additional activities will contribute to the common vision and ambition of the Partnership, including information and qualitative and quantitative data from socio-economic, environmental and industrial/technological studies, recent research results, policies and strategies, as well as data on identifiable business/investment plans, as appropriate.
- Describe how the additional activities will enhance the general, specific, and operational objectives of the Partnership, based on a clear intervention logic. Link the objectives to broader policy objectives, in particular priorities set by the Commission, including links with global strategies and agreements such as the Sustainable Development Goals where the EU has committed itself, as the Sustainable Development Goals where the EU has committed itself, where relevant. What is the expected timeframe to achieve the specific objectives?
- How are the objectives of the Partnership pertinent to the work programme topic (meaning the topic under the Horizon Europe work programme 2023-2024)? Are the objectives clear, realistic, measurable, achievable and verifiable?
- Describe how the additional activities have an impact on links and/or collaboration opportunities with other Partnerships and/or Partnership candidates and Union programmes, in particular if the Partnership is co-financed by other programmes, if co-financing of the Partnership by other programmes, or upstream use of other programmes is planned.
- Describe progress towards a clear and realistic exit-strategy and measures for phasing-out from the Framework Programme funding.

For those Partnerships where research and innovation activities are carried out directly by the consortium:

- Explain how the additional activities will advance the state of the art in terms of novel concepts and approaches, new products, services or business and organisational models. Where relevant, illustrate the advance by referring to products and services already available on the market. Refer to any patent or publication search carried out.
- Describe where the new proposed work is positioned in terms of R&I maturity (i.e. where it is situated in the spectrum from 'idea to application', or from 'lab to market'). Where applicable, provide an indication of the Technology Readiness Level.

⚠ *Please bear in mind that advances beyond the state of the art must be interpreted in the light of the positioning of the project.*

#\$PRJ-OBJ-POS#

1.2 Methodology #@CON-MET-CM@# #@COM-PLE-CP@#

Provide a summary overview of the methodology of the partnership, concisely outlining key relevant aspects that are part of the existing grant agreement implementing the partnership.

For each aspect that will be updated in the context of the continuation of the existing partnership grant agreement, provide a clear explanation of, and justification for, what is to be updated. Address the following aspects:

- Describe if and how the existing overall project methodology including the concepts, models and assumptions that underpin the work of the project, will change due to the addition of the additional activities. Explain the added value of these modifications. Refer to any important new challenges you may have identified in the chosen methodology and how you intend to overcome them.
- Describe the level of ambition in the collaboration and commitment to the additional activities by the participants in pooling national resources and coordinating their national/regional research programmes, in terms of budget, number of partners and participating countries.

⚠ *This section should be presented as a narrative. The detailed tasks and work packages are described below under 'Implementation'.*

⚠ *This section should be presented as a narrative. The detailed tasks and work packages are described below under 'Implementation'.*

⚠ *Where relevant, include how the project methodology complies with the 'do no significant harm' principle as per Article 17 of [Regulation \(EU\) No 2020/852](#) on the establishment of a framework to facilitate sustainable investment (i.e. the so-called 'EU Taxonomy Regulation'). This means that the methodology is designed in a way it is not significantly harming any of the six environmental objectives of the EU Taxonomy Regulation.*

⚠ *If you plan to use, develop and/or deploy artificial intelligence (AI) based systems and/or techniques you must demonstrate their technical robustness. AI-based systems or techniques should be, or be developed to become:*

- *technically robust, accurate and reproducible, and able to deal with and inform about possible failures, inaccuracies and errors, proportionate to the assessed risk they pose*
- *socially robust, in that they duly consider the context and environment in which they operate*
- *reliable and function as intended, minimizing unintentional and unexpected harm, preventing unacceptable harm and safeguarding the physical and mental integrity of humans*

- *able to provide a suitable explanation of their decision-making processes, whenever they can have a significant impact on people's lives.*
- Describe any new national or international research and innovation activities whose results will feed into the project, and how that link will be established.
- Explain (if applicable) how any new element (or modifications to the existing practices within the project) will bring together and integrate expertise and methods from different disciplines. If you consider that an inter-disciplinary approach is unnecessary in the context of the proposed work, please provide a justification.
- Describe (if applicable) any new elements (or modifications to the existing practices within the project) relating to the integration of social sciences and humanities, show the role of these disciplines in the project or provide a justification if you consider that these disciplines are not relevant to your proposed project.
- Describe (if applicable) any new elements (or modifications to the existing practices within the project) relating to how the gender dimension (i.e. sex and/or gender analysis) is taken into account in the project's research and innovation content. The description should include the content of cascading calls applying Horizon Europe award criteria. If you do not consider such a gender dimension to be relevant in your project, please provide a justification._
 - ⚠ *Note: This section is mandatory except for topics which have been identified in the work programme as not requiring the integration of the gender dimension into R&I content.*
 - ⚠ *Remember that that this question relates to the content of the planned research and innovation activities, and not to gender balance in the teams in charge of carrying out the project.*
 - ⚠ *Sex and gender analysis refers to biological characteristics and social/cultural factors respectively. For guidance on methods of sex / gender analysis and the issues to be taken into account, please refer to https://ec.europa.eu/info/news/gendered-innovations-2-2020-nov-24_en*
- Describe (if applicable) any new elements (or modifications to the existing practices within the project) relating to open science practices are implemented as an integral part of the proposed methodology. The description should include the content of cascading calls applying Horizon Europe award criteria. Show how the choice of practices and their implementation are adapted to the nature of your work, in a way that will increase the chances of the project delivering on its objectives. If you believe that none of these practices are appropriate for your project, please provide a justification here.
- Research **data management and management of other research outputs:** If any new partner will generate/collect data and/or other research outputs (except for publications) during the project, or any existing partner change their practices, explain how the data/ research outputs will be managed in line with the FAIR principles (Findable, Accessible, Interoperable, Reusable), addressing the following (the description should be specific to your project).

Types of data/research outputs (e.g. experimental, observational, images, text, numerical) and their estimated size; if applicable, combination with, and provenance of, existing data.

Findability of data/research outputs: Types of persistent and unique identifiers (e.g. digital object identifiers) and trusted repositories that will be used.

Accessibility of data/research outputs: IPR considerations and timeline for open access (if open access not provided, explain why); provisions for access to restricted data for verification purposes.

Interoperability of data/research outputs: Standards, formats and vocabularies for data and metadata.

Reusability of data/research outputs: Licenses for data sharing and re-use (e.g. Creative Commons, Open Data Commons); availability of tools/software/models for data generation and validation/interpretation /re-use.

Curation and storage/preservation costs: person/team responsible for data management and quality assurance.

⚠ *For guidance on open science practices and research data management, please refer to the relevant section of the [Horizon Europe Programme Guide](#) on the Funding & Tenders Portal.*

#§CON-MET-CM§# #§COM-PL-CP§#

2. Impact #@IMP-ACT-IA@#

Impact – aspects to be taken into account.

- Credibility of the pathways to achieve the expected outcomes and impacts specified in the work programme, and the likely scale and significance of the contributions due to the project.
- Suitability and quality of the measures to maximise expected outcomes and impacts, as set out in the dissemination and exploitation plan, including communication activities.

The results of your project should make a contribution to the expected outcomes set out for the work programme topic over the medium term, and to the wider expected impacts set out in the ‘destination’ over the longer term.

In this section you should show how your project could contribute to the outcomes and impacts described in the work programme, the likely scale and significance of this contribution, and the measures to maximise these impacts.

2.1 Project’s pathways towards impact

Provide a summary overview of the pathways towards the outcomes specified in this topic and wider impacts specified in the respective destinations in the work programme, concisely outlining key relevant aspects that are part of the existing grant agreement implementing the partnership.

For each aspect that will be updated in the context of the continuation of the existing partnership grant agreement, provide a clear explanation of, and justification for, what is to be updated. Address the following aspects:

- Please describe concisely how the current project’s results contribution to making a difference in terms of impact, beyond the immediate scope and duration of the project, will be affected by the additional activities and/or partners.
 - (a) Describe how the additional activities will enhance the contributions your project would make towards (1) the **outcomes** specified in this topic, and (2) the **wider impacts**, in the longer term, specified in the respective destinations in the work programme.

⚠ *Be specific, referring to the effects of your project, and not R&I in general in this field.*

⚠ *State the target groups that would benefit. Even if target groups are mentioned in general terms in the work programme, you should be specific here, breaking target groups into particular*

interest groups or segments of society relevant to this project.

⚠ *The outcomes and impacts of your project may be:*

- *Scientific, e.g. contributing to specific scientific advances, across and within disciplines, creating new knowledge, reinforcing scientific equipment and instruments, computing systems (i.e. research infrastructures);*
- *Economic/technological, e.g. bringing new products, services, business processes to the market, increasing efficiency, decreasing costs, increasing profits, contributing to standards' setting, etc;*
- *Societal, e.g. decreasing CO₂ emissions, decreasing avoidable mortality, improving policies and decision making, raising consumer awareness.*

Only include such outcomes and impacts where your project would make a significant and direct contribution. Avoid describing very tenuous links to wider impacts. However, include any potential negative environmental outcome or impact of the project including when expected results are brought at scale (such as at commercial level). Where relevant, explain how the potential harm can be managed.

(b) Give an indication of the scale and significance of the project's new contribution to the expected outcomes and impacts. Provide quantified estimates where possible and meaningful. Describe specifically the achievement of critical mass for the funding of trans-national projects by projects by pooling national/regional resources and contribution to establishing and strengthening a durable cooperation between the partners and their national/regional research programmes including (where applicable).

⚠ *'Scale' refers to how widespread the outcomes and impacts are likely to be. For example, in terms of the size of the target group, or the proportion of that group, that should benefit over time; 'Significance' refers to the importance, or value, of those benefits. For example, number of additional healthy life years; efficiency savings in energy supply.*

⚠ *Explain your baselines, benchmarks and assumptions used for those estimates. Wherever possible, quantify your estimation of the effects that you expect from your project. Explain assumptions that you make, referring for example to any relevant studies or statistics. Where appropriate, try to use only one methodology for calculating your estimates: not different methodologies for each partner, region or country (the extrapolation should preferably be prepared by one partner).*

⚠ *Your estimate must relate to this project only - the effect of other initiatives should not be taken into account.*

(c) Describe any new requirements and potential barriers - arising from factors beyond the scope and duration of the project - that may determine whether the desired outcomes and impacts are achieved. These may include, for example, other R&I work within and beyond Horizon Europe; regulatory environment; targeted markets; user behaviour. Indicate if these factors might evolve over time. Describe any mitigating measures you propose, within or beyond your project, that could be needed should your assumptions prove to be wrong, or to address identified barriers.

⚠ *Note that this does not include the critical risks inherent to the management of the project itself, which should be described below under 'Implementation'.*

(d) Demonstrate how the additional activities will contribute to trigger relevant transformational changes in the broader research and innovation ecosystem (qualitative impacts) at national and/or sectorial level.

Summarise your partnership's pathways to impact and the indicators that will be used to monitor progress in the following table:

- ⚠ *If the pathways towards impact remain unchanged since the existing grant agreement to implement the partnership you do not need to provide an updated summary table here.*
- ⚠ *If any aspects of the pathways to impact will be updated, please provide an updated table, indicating clearly where changes are made from the existing table.*

Example, not to complete

European Partnership [title]		Monitoring and evaluation framework, draft 1, [date]		
Overall vision: [max 500 characters]				
Objectives	What is a measure of success? Please use quantitative (Key Performance) and qualitative indicators, and link them to a point in time	Which is the data source and methodology used [project data, study,]	Who is responsible for monitoring and providing the data / information When will it be collected?	Baseline and target
General objectives (linked to impact indicators)	G01			
	G02			
	G03			
Specific objectives* (linked to outcome/result indicators)	SO1			
	SO2			
	SO3			
	SO4			
Operational objectives* (linked to output indicators)	OO1			
	OO2			
	OO3			
	OO4			

*add more lines, as needed.

If you have any **additional** comments/ issues, please address them in this box.

Please provide your intervention logic [linking expected impacts and objectives to activities, outputs and KPIs] as a one-pager graphic.

2.2 Measures to maximise impact - Dissemination, exploitation and communication #@COM-DIS-VIS-CDV@#

Provide a summary overview of the Dissemination, Exploitation and Communication Plan of the partnership, concisely outlining key relevant aspects from the below list that are part of the existing grant agreement implementing the partnership.

For any aspects that will be updated in the context of the continuation of the existing partnership grant agreement provide a clear explanation of, and justification for, what is to be updated. Address the following aspects:

- Describe the added value that the additional activities will bring to the communication, dissemination, and exploitation strategy of the project. Are new dissemination, exploitation and communication measures planned? Which are the target group(s) addressed? Describes the updates to your project's 'plan for the dissemination and exploitation, including communication activities'.
 - ⚠ *Communication¹ measures should promote the project throughout the full lifespan of the project. The aim is to inform and reach out to society and show the activities performed, and the use and the benefits the project will have for citizens. Activities must be strategically planned, with clear objectives, start at the outset and continue through the lifetime of the project. The description of the communication activities needs to state the main messages as well as the tools and channels that will be used to reach out to each of the chosen target groups.*
 - ⚠ *All measures should be proportionate to the scale of the project, and should contain concrete actions to be implemented both during and after the end of the project, e.g. standardisation activities. Your plan should give due consideration to the possible follow-up of your project, once it is finished. In the justification, explain why each measure chosen is best suited to reach the target group addressed. Where relevant, and for innovation actions, in particular, describe the measures for a plausible path to commercialise the innovations.*
 - ⚠ *If new exploitation measures are expected primarily in non-associated third countries, justify by explaining how that exploitation is still in the Union's interest.*
 - ⚠ *Describe possible new feedback to policy measures generated by the project that will contribute to designing, monitoring, reviewing and rectifying (if necessary) existing policy and programmatic measures or shaping and supporting the implementation of new policy initiatives and decisions.*
- Outline if the strategy for the management of intellectual property and foreseen protection measures (such as patents, design rights, copyright, trade secrets, etc.) will change with the introduction of additional activities and/or partners.

#§COM-DIS-VIS-CDV§#

¹ For further guidance on communicating EU research and innovation for project participants, please refer to the [Online Manual](#) on the Funding & Tenders Portal

2.3 Summary

If any aspects of the pathways to impact and/or measures to maximise its impact will be updated, please provide an updated summary, indicating clearly where changes are made from the existing grant agreement.

If the pathways towards impact remain unchanged since the existing grant agreement to implement the partnership you do not need to provide an updated summary graphic here.

KEY ELEMENT OF THE IMPACT SECTION

SPECIFIC NEEDS	EXPECTED RESULTS	D & E & C MEASURES
<p><i>What are the specific needs that triggered this project?</i></p> <p>Example 1 Most airports use process flow-oriented models based on static mathematical values limiting the optimal management of passenger flow and hampering the accurate use of the available resources to the actual demand of passengers.</p> <p>Example 2 Electronic components need to get smaller and lighter to match the expectations of the end-users. At the same time there is a problem of sourcing of raw materials that has an environmental impact.</p>	<p><i>What do you expect to generate by the end of the project?</i></p> <p>Example 1 Successful large-scale demonstrator: Trial with 3 airports of an advanced forecasting system for proactive airport passenger flow management.</p> <p>Algorithmic model: Novel algorithmic model for proactive airport passenger flow management.</p> <p>Example 2 Publication of a scientific discovery on transparent electronics.</p> <p>New product: More sustainable electronic circuits.</p> <p>Three PhD students trained.</p>	<p><i>What dissemination, exploitation and communication measures will you apply to the results?</i></p> <p>Example 1 Exploitation: Patenting the algorithmic model.</p> <p>Dissemination towards the scientific community and airports: Scientific publication with the results of the large-scale demonstration.</p> <p>Communication towards citizens: An event in a shopping mall to show how the outcomes of the action are relevant to our everyday lives.</p> <p>Example 2 Exploitation of the new product: Patenting the new product; Licencing to major electronic companies.</p> <p>Dissemination towards the scientific community and industry: Participating at conferences; Developing a platform of material compositions for industry; Participation at EC project portfolios to disseminate the results as part of a group and maximise the visibility vis-à-vis companies.</p>

TARGET GROUPS	OUTCOMES	IMPACTS
<p><i>Who will use or further up-take the results of the project? Who will benefit from the results of the project?</i></p> <p>Example 1 9 European airports: Schiphol, Brussels airport, etc.</p> <p>The European Union aviation safety agency.</p> <p>Air passengers (indirect).</p> <p>Example 2 End-users: consumers of electronic devices.</p> <p>Major electronic companies: Samsung, Apple, etc.</p> <p>Scientific community (field of transparent electronics).</p>	<p><i>What change do you expect to see after successful dissemination and exploitation of project results to the target group(s)?</i></p> <p>Example 1 Up-take by airports: 9 European airports adopt the advanced forecasting system demonstrated during the project.</p> <p>Example 2 High use of the scientific discovery published (measured with the relative rate of citation index of project publications).</p> <p>A major electronic company (Samsung or Apple) exploits/uses the new product in their manufacturing.</p>	<p><i>What are the expected wider scientific, economic and societal effects of the project contributing to the expected impacts outlined in the respective destination in the work programme?</i></p> <p>Example 1 Scientific: New breakthrough scientific discovery on passenger forecast modelling.</p> <p>Economic: Increased airport efficiency Size: 15% increase of maximum passenger capacity in European airports, leading to a 28% reduction in infrastructure expansion costs.</p> <p>Example 2 Scientific: New breakthrough scientific discovery on transparent electronics.</p> <p>Economic/Technological: A new market for touch enabled electronic devices.</p> <p>Societal: Lower climate impact of electronics manufacturing (including through material sourcing and waste management).</p>

#§IMP-ACT-IA§#

3. Quality and efficiency of the implementation #@QUA-LIT-QL@# #@WRK-PLA-WP@#**Quality and efficiency of the implementation – aspects to be taken into account**

- *Quality and effectiveness of the work plan, assessment of risks, and appropriateness of the effort assigned to work packages, and the resources overall.*
- *Capacity and role of each participant, and extent to which the consortium as a whole brings together the necessary expertise.*

3.1 Work plan and resources

Provide a summary overview of the Work Plan and Resources of the partnership, concisely outlining key relevant aspects that are part of the existing grant agreement implementing the partnership.

Add additional activities to existing work packages and/or add new work package(s) to encompass the additional activities.

Please provide the following:

- brief presentation of the modifications of the overall structure of the work plan due to the additional activities and/or new partners. Include a more detailed annual work plan for the first year of the additional activities in an annex to proposal part B following the template shown in annex. The annual work plan provides a detailed description of activities to be undertaken during the 12 months following the amendment of the existing grant agreement and is a key part of the proposal.
 - Timing of the new and/or updated work packages and their components (Gantt chart or similar);
 - ⚠ *If the timing of existing work packages is also updated compared to the exiting grant agreement ensure this is clearly indicated.*
 - Modifications (if any) of the graphical presentation of the components showing how they inter-relate (Pert chart or similar) due to the additional activities and/or new partners;
 - detailed work description, i.e.:
 - a list of the new and/or updated work packages covering the additional activities; (table 3.1a);
 - a description of the updates of the current work packages and a description of the new work packages (table 3.1b);
 - a list of new and/or updated deliverables due to the additional activities and/or new partners (table 3.1c);
- ⚠ Provide an explanation and justification for any updates.**
- ⚠ *Give full details. Base your account on the logical structure of the project and the stages in which it is to be carried out. The number of work packages should be proportionate to the scale and complexity of the project.*
 - ⚠ *Demonstrate how the Partnership will ensure coherence and synergies in relation to major national (sectorial) policies, programmes and activities.*
 - ⚠ *Please specify which types and levels of contributions from partners are necessary to achieve the objectives and impacts (financial contributions, in-kind contributions, activities/resources linked to market, regulatory, societal or policy uptake, broader investments) and provide qualitative and quantitative information on these. Explain how*

reaching these objectives could be monitored throughout the programme.

- ⚠ *Please specify which other investments or framework conditions are envisaged / relevant for the deployment.*
- ⚠ *You should give enough detail in each work package to justify the proposed resources to be allocated and also quantified information so that progress can be monitored, including by the Commission.*
- ⚠ *Resources assigned to work packages should be in line with their objectives and deliverables. You are advised to include a distinct work package on 'project management', and to give due visibility in the work plan to 'data management' 'dissemination and exploitation' and 'communication activities', either with distinct tasks or distinct work packages.*
- ⚠ *You will be required to update the 'plan for the dissemination and exploitation of results including communication activities', and a 'data management plan', (this does not apply to topics where a plan was not required.) This should include a record of activities related to dissemination and exploitation that have been undertaken and those still planned.*

- a list of new and/or updated milestones due to the additional activities and/or new partners (table 3.1d);
- a list of new and/or updated critical risks, relating to project implementation, that the stated project's objectives may not be achieved. Detail any risk mitigation measures. You will be able to update the list of critical risks and mitigation measures as the project progresses (table 3.1e);
- a table showing number of additional person months required (table 3.1f);
- a table showing description and justification of new subcontracting costs for each participant (table 3.1g);
- a table showing justifications for new 'purchase costs' (table 3.1h) for participants where those costs exceed 15% of the personnel costs (according to the budget table in proposal part A);
- if applicable, a table showing justifications for new cost under 'other costs categories' (table 3.1i).
- if applicable, a table showing new in-kind contributions from third parties (table 3.1j)

Please make sure the information in this section matches the costs as stated in the budget table in section 3 of the application forms, and the number of person months, shown in the detailed work package descriptions

3.2 Capacity of participants and consortium as a whole #@CON-SOR-CS@# #@PRJ-MGT-PM@#

- ⚠ *The individual participants of the consortium are described in a separate section under Part A. There is no need to repeat that information here.*

Provide a summary overview of the capacity of participants and consortium as a whole, concisely outlining key relevant aspects list that are part of the existing grant agreement implementing the partnership.

For any aspects that will be updated in the context of the continuation of the existing partnership grant agreement provide a clear explanation of, and justification for, what is to be updated. Address the following aspects:

- Describe the new members of the consortium (if any). Describe their role in the consortium, demonstrating the added value of their participation to the project. Show that the new partners have a valid role, and adequate resources in the project to fulfil that role. Describe how the new members complement the other members of the consortium (and cover the value chain where appropriate).

How will their participation contribute to the project's objectives and to bring together the necessary disciplinary and inter-disciplinary knowledge? Show how this includes expertise in social sciences and humanities, open science practices, and gender aspects of R&I, as appropriate.

- Demonstrate how the consortium will continue to develop a cooperation extending well beyond transnational joint calls and R&I projects, thus ensuring that structural and societal impacts contributing to the overarching policy objectives can be achieved.
- Demonstrate how the consortium will continue to establish a meaningful **collaboration with Member States /Associated Countries and their relevant national/regional authorities** and their respective **commitments** (e.g. by identifying and connecting with relevant national activities and programmes that allow addressing common challenges more effectively).
- Describe how the consortium will continue to build upon, strengthen and/or expand **collaboration networks and initiatives that are currently existing at the EU level**, beyond currently existing Partnerships, including where appropriate in Associated Countries, beyond currently existing Partnerships
- If relevant, explain how the type of the new partners (public, private, foundations etc.) is considered necessary for this partnership and describe the ambition to include new types of partners (in particular end-users), and to ensure the necessary thematic and geographical coverage to meet the objectives.
- Show how the new partners will have access to critical infrastructure needed to carry out the new project activities.
- If applicable, describe the new industrial/commercial involvement in the project to ensure exploitation of the results and explain why this is consistent with and will help to achieve the specific measures which are proposed for exploitation of the results of the project (see section 2.2).
- **Other countries and international organisations:** *Elaborate also on the international dimension and justify the EU-added value of including new international partners and stakeholders, and provide a justification when specific strategic needs at European level should restrict the international dimension.* If one or more of the new participants requesting EU funding is based in a country or is an international organisation that is not automatically eligible for such funding (entities from Member States of the EU, from Associated Countries and from one of the countries in the exhaustive list included in the Work Programme General Annexe B are automatically eligible for EU funding), explain why the participation of the entity in question is essential to successfully carry out the project.
- Outline the changes to the **governance and management of the Partnership** (if any), including advisory structures and mechanism to be established. Demonstrate how the governance and management of the Partnership helps to achieve the defined vision and objectives. Describe how it will contribute to ensuring coherence and synergies with the EU research and innovation landscape and demonstrate, as well as transparency and openness during the Partnership as regards the identification of its objectives, priorities, vision, Strategic Research and Innovation Agenda (SRIA) and work programmes.
- Provide a description of the **involvement of the Commission** in the implementation of the Partnership. In particular, describe the mechanisms for defining and defending the EU public interest in the framework of the Partnership.
- Demonstrate that the proposed Partnership will continue to be established in a **transparent way with no unjustified restriction in participation** and with a broad, open and transparent approach towards different sectors and geographical areas including international partners when relevant. Justify any restrictions for the openness of the Partnership where it is deemed absolutely necessary.
- Describe the strategies and plans throughout the lifetime of the Partnership to ensure **easy and non-**

discriminatory access to information about the initiative and dissemination of and access to results (in line with Horizon Europe provisions)², and to stimulate the participation of new partners and actors in the definition of common priorities and their participation in the partnerships itself or its activities (including eligibility for funding).

- Describe how the proposed Partnership will continue to conduct a **proactive recruitment policy** which is dynamic and agile to allow a membership constituency responding to the evolution of the sector and the needs of the partnership throughout its lifetime, across the Union and, where relevant beyond.
- Describe (if any) modifications to the process, during the implementation phase of the SRIA/roadmap, for establishing **annual work programmes**, and define measures to ensure an open and transparent methodology for consulting all constituent entities and relevant stakeholders for the identification of its priorities and the design of its activities.

#\$CON-SOR-CS\$# #\$PRJ-MGT-PM\$#

Example, not to complete

² Regulation (EU) 2021/695 of the European Parliament and the Council of 28 April 2021, Annex III

Tables for section 3.1

⚠ Use plain text for the tables in section 3.1. If the proposal is invited to start Grant Agreement preparation, these tables will have to be encoded in the grant management IT tool, where no graphics or special formats are supported.

Table 3.1a: List of work packages

List here only the new work packages and/or work packages encompassing additional activities. For new work packages, the start month is the month in which the additional activities will start.

Work package No	Work Package Title	Lead Participant No	Lead Participant Short Name	Person-Months	Start Month	End month

Example, not to complete

Table 3.1b: Work package description

For each new and/or updated work package:

Work package number	
Work package title	

⚠ *Participants involved in each WP and their efforts are shown in table 3.1f. Lead participant and starting and end date of each WP are shown in table 3.1a.)*

Objectives

Description of work (where appropriate, broken down into tasks), lead partner and role of participants. Deliverables linked to each WP are listed in table 3.1c (no need to repeat the information here).

Example, not to complete

Table 3.1c: List of Deliverables³

Only include deliverables that you consider essential for effective project monitoring. Only list new and/or updated deliverables. For the delivery date, month 1 must correspond to the starting month of the existing grant agreement.

Number	Deliverable name	Short description	Explanation of any updates to the existing GA	Work package number	Short name of lead participant	Type	Dissemination level	Delivery date (in months)

KEY

Deliverable numbers in order of delivery dates. Please use the numbering convention <WP number>.<number of deliverable within that WP>.

For example, deliverable 4.2 would be the second deliverable from work package 4.

Type:

Use one of the following codes:

- R: Document, report (excluding the periodic and final reports)
- DEM: Demonstrator, pilot, prototype, plan designs
- DEC: Websites, patents filing, press & media actions, videos, etc.
- DATA: Data sets, microdata, etc.
- DMP: Data management plan
- ETHICS: Deliverables related to ethics issues.
- SECURITY: Deliverables related to security issues
- OTHER: Software, technical diagram, algorithms, models, etc.

Dissemination level:

Use one of the following codes:

- PU – Public, fully open, e.g. web (Deliverables flagged as public will be automatically published in CORDIS project's page)
- SEN – Sensitive, limited under the conditions of the Grant Agreement
- Classified R-UE/EU-R – EU RESTRICTED under the Commission Decision No2015/444
- Classified C-UE/EU-C – EU CONFIDENTIAL under the Commission Decision No2015/444
- Classified S-UE/EU-S – EU SECRET under the Commission Decision No2015/444

Delivery date

Measured in months from the project start date (month 1)

³ You must include a data management plan (DMP) and a 'plan for dissemination and exploitation including communication activities as distinct deliverables within the first 6 months of the project. The DMP will evolve during the lifetime of the project in order to present the status of the project's reflections on data management. A template for such a plan is available in the [Online Manual](#) on the Funding & Tenders Portal.

Table 3.1d: List of milestones

Only list new and/or updated milestones. For the delivery date, month 1 must correspond to the starting month of the existing grant agreement.

Milestone number	Milestone name	Related work package(s)	Due date (in month)	Means of verification	Explanation of any updates to the existing GA

KEY**Due date**

Measured in months from the project start date (month 1)

Means of verification

Show how you will confirm that the milestone has been attained. Refer to indicators if appropriate. For example: a laboratory prototype that is 'up and running'; software released and validated by a user group; field survey complete and data quality validated.

Table 3.1e: Critical risks for implementation #@RSK-MGT-RM@#

Only list new/or updated critical risks.

Description of risk (indicate level of (i) likelihood, and (ii) severity: Low/Medium/High)	Work package(s) involved	Proposed risk-mitigation measures

Definition critical risk:

A critical risk is a plausible event or issue that could have a high adverse impact on the ability of the project to achieve its objectives.

Level of likelihood to occur: Low/medium/high

The likelihood is the estimated probability that the risk will materialise even after taking account of the mitigating measures put in place.

Level of severity: Low/medium/high

The relative seriousness of the risk and the significance of its effect.

#\$RSK-MGT-RM\$#

Table 3.1f: Summary of staff effort

Please indicate the number of person/months over the whole duration of the planned work for each participant, for each new and/or updated work package. For updated work packages, enter only the additional person-months required. Identify the work-package leader for each WP by showing the relevant person-month figure in bold.

	WPn	WPn+1	WPn+2	Total Person-Months per Participant
Participant Number/Short Name				
Participant Number/Short Name				
Participant Number/Short Name				
Total Person Months				

Table 3.1g: 'Subcontracting costs' items

Describe and justify the new tasks to be subcontracted (if any). Please note that core tasks of the project should not be sub-contracted.

Participant Number/Short Name		
	Cost (€)	Description of tasks and justification
Subcontracting		

Table 3.1h: 'Purchase costs' items (travel and subsistence, equipment and other goods, works and services)

Please complete the table below for each participant if the purchase costs (i.e. the sum of the costs for 'travel and subsistence', 'equipment', and 'other goods, works and services') exceeds 15% of the personnel costs for that participant (according to the budget table in proposal part A, meaning only the budget of the top-up action). The record must list cost items in order of costs and starting with the largest cost item, up to the level that the remaining costs are below 15% of personnel costs.

Participant Number/Short Name		
	Cost (€)	Justification
Travel and subsistence		
Equipment		
Other goods, works and services		
Remaining purchase costs (<15% of pers. Costs)		
Total		

Table 3.1i: 'Other costs categories' items (e.g. internally invoiced goods and services)

Please complete the table below for each participant that would like to declare new costs under other costs categories (e.g. internally invoiced goods and services), irrespective of the percentage of personnel costs.

Participant Number/Short Name		
	Cost (€)	Justification
Internally invoiced goods and services		
...		

Table 3.1j: 'In-kind contributions' provided by third parties

Please complete the table below for each participant that will make additional use of in-kind contributions (non-financial resources made available free of charge by third parties). In kind contributions provided by third parties free of charge are declared by the participants as eligible direct costs in the corresponding cost category (e.g. personnel costs or purchase costs for equipment).

Participant Number/Short Name			
Third party name	Category	Cost (€)	Justification
	Select between Seconded personnel Travel and subsistence Equipment Other goods, works and services Internally invoiced goods and services		

#\$QUA-LIT-QL\$# # \$WRK-PLA-WPS#

ANNEXES TO PROPOSAL PART B

Some calls may ask to upload annexes to proposal part B. The annexes must be uploaded as separate documents in the submission system. The most common annexes to be uploaded in Horizon Europe are (standard templates are published in the Funding & Tenders portal):

- **COFUND TOP-UP:**
 - The annual work programme for the first year of activities that will be covered by the amendment for which this proposal is made.
 - Part B of the Description of Action for the exiting grant agreement.
- **CLINICAL TRIALS:** Annex with information on clinical trials.
- **FINANCIAL SUPPORT TO THIRD PARTIES:** Annex with information on financial support to third parties.
- **CALLS FLAGGED AS SECURITY SENSITIVE :** Annex with information on security aspects.
- **ETHICS:** ethics self-assessment should be included in proposal part A. However, in calls where several serious ethics issues are expected, the character limited in this section of proposal part A may not be sufficient for participants to give all necessary information. In those cases, participants may include additional information in an annex to proposal part B.

Example, not to complete

Proposal template Part B: technical description

TITLE OF THE PROPOSAL

[This document is tagged. Do not delete the tags; they are needed for processing.] #@APP-FORM-HECOFUND@#

List of participants

Participant No. *	Participant organisation name	Country
1 (Coordinator)		
2		
3		

1 Excellence #@REL-EVA-RE@#

1.1 Objectives and ambition of the Partnership #@PRJ-OBJ-PO@#

Insert here text for your proposal

#\$PRJ-OBJ-POS\$#

1.2 Methodology #@CON-MET-CM@# #@COM-PLE-CP@#

Insert here text for your proposal

#\$CON-MET-CM\$# #\$COM-PLE-CP\$# #\$REL-EVA-RE\$#

2. Impact #@IMP-ACT-IA@#

2.1 Project's pathways towards impact

Insert here text for your proposal

European Partnership [title]		Monitoring and evaluation framework, draft 1, [date]			
Overall vision: [max 500 characters]					
Objectives	What is a measure of success? Please use quantitative (Key Performance) and qualitative indicators, and link them to a point in time	Which is the data source and methodology used [project data, study,]	Who is responsible for monitoring and providing the data / information When will it be collected?	Baseline and target	
General objectives (linked to impact indicators)	GO1				
	GO2				
	GO3				
Specific objectives* (linked to outcome/result indicators)	SO1				
	SO2				
	SO3				
	SO4				
Operational objectives* (linked to output indicators)	OO1				
	OO2				
	OO3				
	OO4				

*add more lines, as needed.

If you have any **additional** comments/ issues, please address them in this box.

2.2 Measures to maximise impact - Dissemination, exploitation and communication #@COM-DIS-VIS-CDV@#

Insert here text for your proposal

#§COM-DIS-VIS-CDV§#

Example, not to complete

2.3 Summary

KEY ELEMENT OF THE IMPACT SECTION

SPECIFIC NEEDS	EXPECTED RESULTS	D & E & C MEASURES
<p><i>What are the specific needs that triggered this project?</i></p> <p>Insert here text for your proposal</p>	<p>What do you expect to generate by the end of the project?</p> <p>Insert here text for your proposal</p>	<p>What dissemination, exploitation and communication measures will you apply to the results?</p> <p>Insert here text for your proposal</p>

TARGET GROUPS

Who will use or further up-take the results of the project? Who will benefit from the results of the project?

Insert here text for your proposal

#\$IMP-ACT-IA\$#

OUTCOMES

What change do you expect to see after successful dissemination and exploitation of project results to the target group(s)?

Insert here text for your proposal

IMPACTS

What are the expected wider scientific, economic and societal effects of the project contributing to the expected impacts outlined in the respective destination in the work programme?

Insert here text for your proposal

Example, not to complete

3. Quality and efficiency of the implementation #@QUA-LIT-QL@# #@WRK-PLA-WP@#

3.1 Work plan and resources

Insert here text for your proposal

3.2 Capacity of participants and consortium as a whole #@CON-SOR-CS@# #@PRJ-MGT-PM@#

Insert here text for your proposal

Tables for section 3.1

Table 3.1a: List of work packages

Work package No	Work Package Title	Lead Participant No	Lead Participant Short Name	Person-Months	Start Month	End month

Example, not to complete

Table 3.1b: Work package description

For each work package:

Work package number	
Work package title	

Objectives**Description of work**

Example, not to complete

Table 3.1c: List of Deliverables

Number	Deliverable name	Short description	Explanation of any updates to the existing GA	Work package number	Short name of lead participant	Type	Dissemination level	Delivery date (in months)

Table 3.1d: List of milestones

Milestone number	Milestone name	Related work package(s)	Due date (in month)	Means of verification	Explanation of any updates to the existing GA

Table 3.1e: Critical risks for implementation #@RSK-MGT-RM@#

Description of risk (indicate level of (i) likelihood, and (ii) severity: Low/Medium/High)	Work package(s) involved	Proposed risk-mitigation measures

#\$RSK-MGT-RM\$#

Table 3.1f: Summary of staff effort

	WPn	WPn+1	WPn+2	Total Person-Months per Participant
Participant Number/Short Name				
Participant Number/Short Name				
Participant Number/Short Name				
Total Person Months				

Table 3.1g: ‘Subcontracting costs’ items

Participant Number/Short Name		
	Cost (€)	Description of tasks and justification
Subcontracting		

Table 3.1h: ‘Purchase costs’ items (travel and subsistence, equipment and other goods, works and services)

Participant Number/Short Name		
	Cost (€)	Justification
Travel and subsistence		
Equipment		
Other goods, works and services		
Remaining purchase costs (<15% of pers. Costs)		
Total		

Table 3.1i: ‘Other costs categories’ items (e.g. internally invoiced goods and services)

Participant Number/Short Name		
	Cost (€)	Justification
Internally invoiced goods and services		
...		

Table 3.1j: ‘In-kind contributions’ provided by third parties

Participant Number/Short Name			
Third party name	Category	Cost (€)	Justification
	Select between Seconded personnel Travel and subsistence Equipment Other goods, works and services Internally invoiced goods and services		

#\$QUA-LIT-QL\$# # \$WRK-PLA-WP\$#

INFORMATION ON FINANCIAL SUPPORT TO THIRD PARTIES

For calls that allow 'Financial support to third parties', project participants must add this document to the application and upload it as separate annex to the proposal part B in the Submission System.

 *For more information on terms and conditions: see Work Programme General Annexes section B and Horizon Europe Model Grant Agreement Articles 6.2.D.1 and 9.4.*

Financial support in the form of a grant awarded after a call for proposals

Where this possibility is indicated under the relevant topic in the Work Programme and in the relevant calls for proposals, provide a description of the use of financial support to third parties. This description must address at least the following:

1. clearly detail the objectives and the results to be obtained and
2. contain the following specifications (as a minimum):
 - a) the maximum amount of financial support for each third party; this amount may not exceed the amount mentioned in the Work Programme topic. If your project requires a higher amount per third party than the threshold amount set in the call conditions, justify and explain why this is necessary in order to fulfil your project's objectives.
 - b) the criteria for calculating the exact amount of the financial support
 - c) the different types of activity that qualify for financial support, on the basis of a closed list
 - d) the persons or categories of persons that may receive financial support, including a detailed description of the call implementation in compliance with the Grant Agreement
 - e) the criteria for giving financial support
 - f) Describe the measures to avoid potential conflicts of interest or unequal treatment of applicants (notably through appropriate communication/exchange of information channels and independent and fair complaints procedures).

In this respect, and where relevant in the case where the call can be opened also to other beneficiaries of the EU grant or other departments of the same beneficiary, please:

1. Propose a clear list of beneficiaries (or which department of a beneficiary, where applicable) that will have the responsibility of preparing and launching the co-funded call, including drafting call texts, and managing the award procedure for the co-funded call for proposals;
2. Identify the beneficiaries (or other departments of the same beneficiary) which can apply for funding under the co-funded call for proposals; and
3. Provide further details about any specific 'information barriers'¹ and any other measures to be put in place to avoid potential conflicts of interest or unequal treatment of applicants.

¹ An example of such "information barriers" could be measures which would aim to avoid the technical possibility for other members of the organisation to access emails, documents received by the staff involved with the preparation of documents to be used in the award procedure (e.g. Call, evaluation etc.) as well as internal guidance and control standards clearly prohibiting sharing such information within the organisation.

Please check in the Work Programme and call for proposals if there are other conditions that apply and, if so, include them in the specifications or in any other element of the proposal as appropriate.

Example, not to complete

HISTORY OF CHANGES		
VERSION	PUBLICATION DATE	CHANGE
1.0	10.03.2021	Initial version (included in the standard HE proposal template)
1.1	20.11.2021	Standalone template document. Clarification that it must be submitted as an annex to proposal part B.
2.0	15.01.2022	Minor reformatting changes and change of document name.

Example, not to complete