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Dahlgren & Whitehead, 1991
Health is affected both \textit{directly} and \textit{indirectly}, through health-related behaviours.

Many of those with an adverse effect on health are strongly associated with social disadvantage, through factors such as:

- lack of control (over one’s life)
- stress
- reduced capabilities
Interventions to reduce behavioural inequalities – general points

• Targeted interventions may be effective for the intervention group, but are of limited value in reducing wider inequalities

• Differential uptake - people from higher socio-economic groups tend to engage more in health interventions

• Proportionate universalism - interventions in which actions are universal, but with a scale and intensity that is proportionate to the level of disadvantage can reduce inequalities
Interventions to reduce behavioural inequalities – clustering of behaviours

- Single factor interventions are less likely to be effective than more holistic approaches as unhealthy behaviours tend to cluster in the same individuals.
- Alcohol Harm Paradox – although individuals in lower SES groups often report consuming equivalent or less alcohol than those in higher SES groups, this can result in greater alcohol harm.
- Further research should explore the alcohol harm paradox so that policies can be targeted to the causes of the paradox.
Evidence based interventions

Breaking the intergenerational transmission of poor nutrition - interventions in the first 1,000 days of life (from conception to 2 years of age)

Pregnancy

- Reducing excess weight gain during pregnancy among lower-income women is potentially effective e.g. a voucher system
- Counselling and personalised nurse advice to lower-income women during pregnancy can reduce the incidence of low birth weight and small-for-gestational age babies.
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**Evidence based interventions**

**Breaking the intergenerational transmission of poor nutrition - interventions in the first 1,000 days of life (from conception to 2 years of age)**

**Infant feeding**

- Better breastfeeding initiation and duration: through peer-support and specialist counselling in group and one-to-one sessions among lower-income mothers

- Interventions on complementary feeding: Professional, peer-group and other forms of counselling, health education and skills training

- Better market regulation: to reduce the inappropriate uptake of breast milk substitutes before 6 months
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Evidence based interventions

Breaking the intergenerational transmission of poor nutrition - interventions

Childhood

- School- or pre-school interventions in younger children when combined with parental/family involvement and sustained over several years

- The provision of free fruit in schools may achieve a short-term narrowing of the SES gap in consumption levels

- Multicomponent school- and family-based interventions may achieve a short-term narrowing of the SES gap in sugar-sweetened beverage (SSB) consumption among children, but SSB taxation appears to be more effective in real-life situations
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Evidence based interventions

Environmental and fiscal measures may reduce SES health-related inequalities

• Making physical activity easier
• Reducing social barriers to adopting healthy behaviours
• Reducing exposure of those in vulnerable situations to advertising of unhealthy products
• Colour-coded packaged food labelling
• Pricing policies to change price differentials between healthy and unhealthy foods e.g. taxation on unhealthier foods, subsidies to encourage switching to healthier products
• Reformulation may achieve a narrowing of the SES differentials in trans-fat, salt and sugar consumption.
Physical activity

An EU-wide approach to increasing physical activity without increasing health inequalities should include:

• Creating high quality physical environments emphasising:
  o Regeneration of deprived communities
  o Development of infrastructure that prioritises walking and cycling over motorised transport

• ‘Whole school approaches’ to improving the health and wellbeing of students

• Workplace interventions in areas of greatest need and among employers of people from lower socio-economic groups

• Counselling in primary care, with an emphasis on people from lower socio-economic groups and deprived communities.
Alcohol consumption and harm

- The best evidence is for policies which affect affordability (e.g. minimum pricing policies), which have the potential to narrow the socio-economic gap in alcohol-related harm and have been deemed highly cost effective.

- It may also be beneficial to:
  - restrict environments and situations which encourage harmful use of alcohol
  - provide screening and brief interventions
  - provide skills-based school education programmes
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Disclaimer:

‘The information and views set out in this presentation are those of the author and do not reflect the official opinion of the Commission. The Commission does not guarantee the accuracy of the data included. Neither the Commission nor any person acting on the Commission’s behalf may be held responsible for the use which may be made of the information contained therein.’