

Breakout group 1: Chemicals



- Typical group
- Different views expressed
- Good proposals
- Got lost in the beginning of the process
- Ended up not resolving identification

Regulation

➤ Need for common approach/identification

- Definition:

- various views was expressed such as
 - purpose
 - different criteria
 - OECD / ISO etc.

- Substance identification:

- IND responsibility recognized;
- COM responsibility to ensure that various views are integrated in the CASG Nano advice

Issues related to REACH

➤ Tonnage triggers

- Total volume approach is considered to be sufficient
- Additional concerns was raised about small volumes

➤ Authorisation or restriction of nanomaterials



Communication and Dialogues

- Different perceptions of the current situation of existing information and transparency
 - Many asked for more information, Different expectation on what is meant by information
 - Industry pointed out that information is available on company homepages, OECD HPV program or voluntary commitment
 - Acknowledging current dialogues CEFIC, National dialogues, sectoral dialogues etc.
 - Acknowledge difficulties
 - Acknowledge the need to build a trust and a progress in dialogues
- Multi-level communication needs to be developed and managed

This paper was produced for a meeting organized by Health & Consumer Protection DG and represents the views of its author on the subject. These views have not been adopted or in any way approved by the Commission and should not be relied upon as a statement of the Commission's or Health & Consumer Protection DG's views. The European Commission does not guarantee the accuracy of the data included in this paper, nor does it accept responsibility for any use made thereof.