







Session 1: Science and Risk Assessment

Developments in Nanotoxicology: Some Old and New Concepts

> **Günter Oberdörster University of Rochester**

October 2, 2008



Topics:

Respiratory Tract as Portal of Entry for Airborne Nanoparticles

Predictive toxicity testing/extrapolation

Inhalation/Deposition in Respiratory Tract

Biokinetics, Translocation to Secondary Organs - Central Nervous System

Elimination/Clearance

NANOTECHNOLOGY

and

THE BRIGHT!

Multiple Applications/Benefits

- Structural Engineering
- Electronics, Optics
- Consumer Products
- Alternative Energy
- Soil/Water Remediation
- Nanomedicine:
 - *therapeutic*
 - diagnostic
 - drug delivery
 - cancer
 - nanosensors
 - nanorobotics

THE DARK?

Consumer Fears/Perceived Risks

- Safety: Potential adverse effects
- Environmental Contamination
- Inadvertent Exposure (inhalation, dermal, ingestion)
- Susceptible Subpopulation
- Societal Implications

• Nanotoxicology/Safety Evaluation: supplies information for risk -assessment, -management, -communication

Safety Evaluation of Nanomaterials through Characterizing Nanoparticle Hazard and Risk:

Nanoparticle "X" is cytotoxic, nanoparticle "Y" is not.

At what dose? What assay?

Even benign nanoTiO₂ at high enough doses is fibrogenic, and in rats carcinogenic

Need:

Developing and Validating simple non *in vivo* method(s) for predicting in vivo responses

• cell-free assays (ROS generating potential; chem-reactivity; solubility)

- cellular assays (primary cells; cell-lines; co-cultures [from primary and secondary organs])
- Future: in silico models?

Predictive Toxicity Testing/Extrapolation

Controversy:

- **—** in vitro studies do not predict in vivo response
- in vitro studies can predict in vivo response
- how to compare doses and responses between in vivo and in vitro studies? concept of equivalent doses and response metric

Slope (or response per dose) is dose dependent





Conclusions: For Assessing NP Toxicity

- Dose-Metric as well as Response-Metric should be considered
- Expressing NP chemical or biological activity per unit surface area provides additional information for NP characterization
- This concept allows selection of an appropriate dose for comparing responses among different assays, with predictive value

• *Limitations*: Only Hazard Identification and Characterization, not complete Risk Assessment Only acute toxicity

Risk Assessment and Risk Management Paradigm For Engineered Nanoparticles (NPs)



Inhalation of Nanoparticles

Misunderstanding:

- the smallest NPs (<10 nm) will not be
 deposited in the respiratory tract but are
 exhaled again
- the smaller the NPs are, the deeper they penetrate into the peripheral lung

Fractional Deposition of Inhaled Particles in the Human Respiratory Tract (ICRP Model, 1994; Nose-breathing)



Oberdörster et al, 2005

Exposure and Biokinetics of Nanosized Particles

→ Confirmed routes

--- Potential routes



Translocation rates are largely unknown!

(Oberdorster et all, 2005)

Translocation to Secondary Organs

Misconception:

- NPs depositing in the respiratory tract translocate easily and to a high degree to extrapulmonary organs, e.g. the brain
- the biodistribution of NPs entering the blood circulation from lung deposits is the same as that of iv. administered NPs

Exposure and Biokinetics of Nanosized Particles

- Confirmed routes
- Potential routes



"Concept of Differential Adsorption"

Modified from Müller and Heinemann, 1989



Altered plasma composition in disease state is likely to change adsorption pattern

Hypothesis:

NP size, surface coating and portal of entry

affects particle biodistribution

Testing Hypothesis: Administration of Nanogold to Rats



Conclusions from translocation studies of gold NPs delivered to lower respiratory tract:

Minimal translocation into blood ciculation and extrapulmonary organs: < 3-20 ngAu/mL blood (after 50 μg i.t.)

To be considered for design of in-vitro studies when assessing impact of nanoparticles originating from deposits in the respiratory tract:

Consider relevance of doses and dose rates!

Table 1:	Identified	plasma	proteins	bound	to	50:50	NIPAM-	-BAM	
copolymer particles after centrifugation.							(Cedervall et al., 2007)		

Protein	MW [kDa]	Peptides (#)	P ^[v]	Lipoprotein ^(b)
apolipoprotein Al ^[c,d]	28	29/8	ī	yes
apolipoprotein All ^{iq}	9	3/1	0.9	yes
apolipoprotein AIV ^[4]	43	18 /15	1	yes
apolipoprotein E ^[c]	34	12/15	1	yes
HSA ^(c)	6 9	10/25	l	
fibrinogen, alpha	66	10	}	
orosomucoid 1	22	9	1	
paraoxonase 1	40	8	1	yes
C4BP α-chain	67	6	1	
apolipoprotein D	19	4	1	yes
IgM heavy chain	50	3	1	
CETP ^[e]	53	2	1	yes
galectin-3-binding pro-	63	2	1	yes
tein				
lg kappa chain	12	1	1	
LCAT ^{ID}	47	1	1	yes

[a] Protein prophet score. [b] Protein known to associate with lipoproteins. [c] Identified in two independent experiments. [d] Previously identified.^[11] [e] Cholesteryl ester transfer protein. [f] Lecithin-cholesterol acyltransferase.

FROM RESPIRATORY TRACT TO BRAIN: POTENTIAL TRANSLOCATION PATHWAYS OF NANOPARTICLES



Olfactory Nerve Translocation Pathway:

Comparison of Rat and Human Olfactory Region



From Hebel & Stromberg, 1976

McGraw-Hill Co., Inc.



Elimination of Nanoparticles

Belief:

- alveolar macrophages effectively phagocytize
 NPs and remove them from the lung
- there is no effective elimination of nanoparticles retained in body organs









Long-term retention and excretion of ¹⁹²Ir NPs (17 to 20nm)

after a single 1 to 1.5 hr. inhalation in rats



From Semmler-Behnke et al., 2007

Elimination Pathways of Nanosized Particles

→ Confirmed routes

--- Potential routes



(Oberdörster et all, 2005)

GI-tract and kidney as major excretory organs?

Multidisciplinary University Research Initiative Team [Sponsor: DoD (Airforce)]

University of Rochester

Alison Elder Lisa DeLouise Jacob Finkelstein **Bob** Gelein **Thomas Gunter** Shirley Eberly Karen Bentley Todd Krauss Jim McGrath Günter Oberdörster (PI) Amber Rinderknecht Hong Yang Students: Erik Rushton Gillina Bezemer Mort Ehrenberg Xianglu Han Wen Wang Techn. Assist.: Nancy Corson Pamela Wade

<u>University of Minnesota</u>

David Pui Seong Chan Kim Chao-Long Chan

Washington U at St. Louis

Pratim Biswas Da-Ren Chen <u>Students:</u> Jingkun Jiang

Fan Mei

•••<u>Collaborations</u>•••

<u>NIOSH</u>

Vincent Castranova Stephen S. Leonard

Michigan State Univ.

Jack Harkema

Helmholtz Center Germany

Wolfgang Kreyling

Owens-Corning

Russell Potter

Health Canada

Renaud Vincent

Airforce Res. Lab.

Saber Hussain

<u>Princeton Univ.</u> Robert Prud'homme This paper was produced for a meeting organized by Health & Consumer Protection DG and represents the views of its author on the subject. These views have not been adopted or in any way approved by the Commission and should not be relied upon as a statement of the Commission's or Health & Consumer Protection DG's views. The European Commission does not guarantee the accuracy of the data included in this paper, nor does it accept responsibility for any use made thereof.