

SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER PRODUCT (SCCP)

Request for a scientific opinion: **An updated scientific opinion on the 1st Update of the Inventory of Ingredients employed in Cosmetic Products, Section II: Perfume and Aromatic Raw Materials (SCCNFP/0389/00)**

1. **Background**

The SCCNFP adopted at the plenary session on the 24 October 2000 its opinion on the 1st Update of the Inventory of Ingredients employed in Cosmetic Products, Section II: Perfume and Aromatic Raw Materials (SCCNFP/0389/00).

For publication on the DG ENTR website the opinion has been split up into 2 documents: 1. List of synonyms and 2. List of the substances in table form.

The opinion has in the meantime been commented by EFFA and CROPWATCH and when preparing the document for publishing the DG ENTR also came across some points, which needs to be clarified. Even though some comments are not only technical the SCCP is kindly asked for assistance to obtain a version as correct as possible.

One issue in the list of synonyms' has created some confusion. The SCCP is kindly asked to consider whether "Marigold oil" should be used as synonym for *Tagetes erecta and patula Flower Oil*. In the newly published first update of section I of the inventory Marigold is only mentioned in connection with *T. Erecta*. But in the CTFA online version Marigold seems only to be connected with *Calendula officinalis L*.

2. **Terms of reference**

1. *Does the SCCP consider that the proposed corrections made by EFFA are substantiated and/or can the SCCP add further improvements to the opinion?*
2. *Does the SCCP consider that the proposed corrections and comments made by Cropwatch are substantiated and/or can the SCCP add further improvements to the opinion?*
3. *Is it possible for the SCCP to give a definition to distinguish the synonym "Marigold" when used for *Calendula* or *Tagetes*?*
4. *Can the SCCP clarify the points raised by DG ENTR?*