MINUTES

1. Participants
   1.1. Members
       Walter Ricciardi (WR) Chair
       Pedro Pita Barros PPB Rapporteur
       Aleš Bourek (AB)
       Werner Brouwer (WB)
       Lasse Lehtonen (LL)
       Martin McKee (MMK)
       Claudia Wild (CW) Apologies
   1.2. Secretariat
       Efrossyni Prinea (EP)
       Päivi Kontinen (PK)
       Patricia Sanchez-Villacanas Cabrera (PSVC)

2. Welcome and apologies
   The Chair opened the meeting and welcomed the participants. Apologies were received from Claudia Wild.

3. On-going work on the opinion
   Summary of work so far
   The Rapporteur had circulated the latest version of the draft text in advance of the meeting. He presented the document and outlined the recent changes based on the comments received from the WG members.

   A details discussion took place on Chapter 3 and the various subsections, which form the main part of the opinion. The WG reflected on what was still missing from the draft text. The first part explaining the challenges to health systems could benefit from illustrations. The WG considered adding a roadmap at the start of the following section covering the challenges to innovative payment models for high-cost innovative medicines, and include more detailed information on Managed Entry
Agreements (MEAs). It was considered to present the various payment models for new products in a table format to ensure optimal clarity of information.

The WG also brainstormed on new ideas and instruments that have not yet been used. A section on governance was considered necessary and a reflection took place on questions such as: data and openness and who is responsible for data collection; and what needs to be in place for innovative payment models to work.

Under the section on New institutional design: recognize bargaining as way to set prices it was decided that some of the existing subsections could be deleted or merged to make the text flow better.

In general it was suggested to add more illustrations; reorganise, expand, delete or merge some of the draft sections and modify a number of the section titles, which have so far been mainly working titles.

**Action points:**

1. Based on the discussions and comments received during the meeting the rapporteur will prepare a new version of the opinion for the WG.

**3.1. Practical arrangements**

1. The date of the next WG meeting is to be decided.

2. Finalisation of the opinion in October 2017.