Scientific Committee on Consumer Products (SCCP)

Ian R. White

Risk Assessment and the EC Scientific Committees -

Information Session for Stakeholders

22 March 2007

Mandate of the SCCP

 Evaluation of substances included in Cosmetics Directive

o annex 2 – prohibited

- o annex 3 restricted
- o annex 6 preservatives
- o annex 7 − UV filters

Other substances/exposures of concern
 Position papers

Functioning of the SCCP

• Committee

- toxicology
 - $\circ\,$ general, genetic, cancer, endocrine
- medicine
 - o dermatology, allergy, environmental, pharmaceutical
- chemistry
- epidemiology, photobiology, physics
- Specific working groups
 - fragrances, preservatives, UV filters, hair dyes, guidelines, ad hoc
- o Information
 - industry dossiers, independent, external



Examples of recent issues considered by SCCP

- Sunbeds and effects on health
- Cancer hazard and hair dyes
- Nanotechnology and cosmetic ingredients
- Tooth whitening products
- Systemic safety:
 - hair dyes, UV filters, preservatives
- Guidelines for safety evaluation of ingredients
- Cutaneous allergy:
 - fragrances, preservatives, hair dyes

Sunbeds for cosmetic purposes

 Likely to increase the risk of malignant melanoma.

 People with known risk factors for skin cancer, should not use them.

 Should not be used by individuals under the age of 18 years.

Hair Dyes

Cancer risk? Safe? Allergy

- Some studies indicate excess risks for certain types of leukaemia.
- Exhaustive review of systemic safety of ALL hair dyes for use in EU \rightarrow positive list.
- Many of currently used hair dye substances are skin sensitisers; this may be of concern for the health of consumers.

Nanomaterials in Cosmetics

Royal Society & the Royal Academy of Engineering

- labile disintegrate on application
 - conventional risk assessment based on mass metrics adequate
- o insoluble particles
 - other metrics number, surface area, distribution
 - if systemically available, translocation/ transportation and accumulation in secondary organs may occur
 - *in vitro* studies for hazard identification; *in vivo* for risk assessment



Interactions with stakeholders worked well

In general, industry exchanges useful

- dermal absorption
- genotoxicity
- hair dye chemistry
- parabens safety
- titanium dioxide
- \circ Public consultations \checkmark



Interactions with stakeholders – not worked well

$\circ\,$ Not the norm

- Tooth whitening
 - o 'PR' rather than science
- Alpha hydroxy acids
 - Unwillingness to provide data; quality poor
- Evaluation of confidential dossier

 Lack of transparency and necessary dialogue

\circ Commercial interests \rightarrow conflict



Interactions with stakeholders - the way forward

Strict criteria for meetings

For information <u>not</u> procrastination

 \circ 'Notes of Guidance'

Comments - SCCP

- Pivotal role for risk assessment of cosmetic ingredients as required by Cosmetics Directive / other consumer exposures.
- Position statements of matters of additional concern.
- Prohibition of *in vivo* studies will compromise 'adequate' risk assessments.

This paper was produced for a meeting organized by Health & Consumer Protection DG and represents the views of its author on the subject. These views have not been adopted or in any way approved by the Commission and should not be relied upon as a statement of the Commission's or Health & Consumer Protection DG's views. The European Commission does not guarantee the accuracy of the data included in this paper, nor does it accept responsibility for any use made thereof.