

From hospital to community: The stony way of Romania

Mugur Ciumageanu, Romania

Heinz Katschnig, Austria

From hospital to community: The stony way of Romania

Part 1: Three decades of reform before
entering the European Union

Part 2: Entering the European Union

From hospital to community: The stony way of Romania

**Part 1: Three decades of reform before
entering the European Union**

Part 2: Entering the European Union

Part 1

Three decades of reform before entering the European Union (1974 - 2006)

- a) The communist era
- b) The first the decade after 1989
- c) New efforts in the new millenium

1974: Community care in the “Mental Health Laboratories” (LSE - Laboratoare de Sanatate Mintala)

A revolutionary Ministerial Order foresees around 50 so-called “mental health laboratories” for community mental health care

- (Semi)independent structures
- Catchmenting of the target population
- Multidisciplinary approach
- Prevention, community intervention, monitoring of persons with complex need of care

Problems with the “Mental Health Laboratories”

- Less than 25 laboratories truly functional before 1989
- Staffing problems (psychology and social work banned in Romania from universities since 1977 until 1990)
- Service development halted due to isolation from the international trends (and limited access to international literature)

Part 1

Three decades of reform before entering the European Union (1974 - 2006)

- a) The communist era
- b) The first decade after 1989
- c) New efforts in the new millenium

1996 - back to the hospitals

- Low funding for health care (mental health included) in the first decade after 1989 and
- The focus on institutional maintenance (i.e. hospital maintenance) and not on service development led to
- *A decline of the activity of the laboratories,* which became integrated parts of the hospitals (ministerial order 276/1996)

Part 1

Three decades of reform before entering the European Union (1974 - 2006)

- a) The communist era
- b) The first the decade after 1989
- c) **New efforts in the new millenium**

2002 - The Mental Health Law

- A legal draft pending in the Romanian parliament since 1996 is adopted
- in 2002, as the “Mental Health Law”
- With specific mention of the necessity of implementing community structures

2002 - The Mental Health Law but

- The lack of implementing norms and of appropriate political support makes the law un-functional till
- 2004 - when the Amnesty International report
- 2006 – the European Commission pre-accession report on human rights

force the Romanian government to acknowledge the poor state of Romanian mental health care and to draft a strategy for reform

2006 - the EU pressure

- In the advent of EU accession, mental health becomes a “red flag” issue
- The Romanian Government passes several legislative measures to create a support for the reform
 - Implementation “Norms for the law”
 - The order for the establishment of “Mental Health Centers” – CSM (Centre de Sanatate Mintala)
 - An action plan for reform and a policy center (National Center for Mental Health)

The aftermath of the 2006 legal initiatives 1

- Sectorization plans for Romanian mental health care
- Financial support for staffing Mental Health Centers (CSM)
- Allocation of funds for buildings and programs

The aftermath of the 2006 legal initiatives 2

- 2008 - a setback in financial and political support ceates a crisis that leads to the resignation of the coordinating team of the reform (the staff from the National Center for Mental Health)
- 2008-2009 - the Ministry of Health of the new government re-establishes a new structure (with the same name) in order to assure coordination of the mental health reform

From hospital to community: The stony way of Romania

Part 1: Three decades of reform before
entering the European Union

Part 2: Entering the European Union

Part 2
Entering the European Union
(2007 -)

The European Commission
Twinning Projects on Mental Health

European Commission Twinning Projects on Mental Health

1. Development of a mental health strategy
2005-2006 (The Netherlands)
2. Deinstitutionalization 2007-2009 (Austria, The
Netherlands as Junior Partner)
 - Bottom up: training of staff
 - Top down: proposal for legal and financing
changes
3. Management of CMHC 2009-2010 (Finland)

European Commission Twinning Projects on Mental Health

1. Development of a mental health strategy
2005-2006
2. Deinstitutionalization 2007-2009
 - Bottom up: training of staff
 - Top down: proposal for legal and financing changes
3. Management of CMHC 2009-2010

Bottom up Strategy: Empowering staff

- Training of specialized mental health staff
 - in community mental health centers
 - in psychiatric inpatient services
- Training of general practitioners
 - as gatekeepers to the specialized mental health services

Training of staff

- Hospital: 10 inpatient units across Romania (7 Psychiatric Hospitals, 3 Psychiatric Units in General Hospitals)
- Community Mental Health Centers (CMHC): 27 CMHCs across Romania
- General Practitioners: training of 60 trainers of trainers, who train 300 GPs

Principles of training of staff of specialized mental health services 1

- Multi-professional staff (psychiatrists, psychologists, nurses, social workers)
- Limitation to essentials increases feasibility
- Topics which are not / not sufficiently taught in professional training – „cross-cutting topics“

Topics selected together with Romanian experts of the NCMH (2007)

- Occupational therapy
- Behaviour therapy
- Discharge management
- Case management
- User involvement
- Family involvement
- Team work and supervision
- Management of CMHC
- Recognition and basic management of ICD-10 Depression, Anxiety and Alcohol disorders in General practice

Principles of training of staff of specialized mental health services 2

- Sustainability by creation of training curricula in cooperation with representatives of Romanian staff
- Testing of training curricula in practice > refresher sessions
- Training manuals bi-lingual (Romanian and English)
- Aiming at accreditation and creditation by professional bodies
- Aiming at establishing centres of excellence for training staff in Romania

Top down

- Analysis of the current health financing and legal regulation and its pros and cons for mental health
 - Hospital - Health Insurance (DRG/DRG like system)
 - Private psychiatric practice – Health insurance
 - General practitioners – Health insurance, „gate keeper“, but not allowed to prescribe psychotropic drugs
 - CMHC – staff tax funded from ministerial fund
- Proposals for changes

The example of the psychiatric hospital/units in general hospitals

- 22 million inhabitants – 16.400 beds (0,75/1000)
(39 psychiatric hospitals, 75 units in general hospitals)
- Funded by Health Insurance
- Need of hospitals to create income in DRG system
- High readmission rates
- Fixed short average duration of stay
- Division into “acute” and “chronic” patients
- Admission as a justification for disability pension
- „Social cases“
- Low compulsory admission rate
(law not implemented)

The example of the Community Mental Health Centres

- Attached to and administered by hospital
- No clear status
- Staff salaries are financed from taxes/ministerial funds, no other funding
- Financed from taxes/ministerial funds
- Salaries are lower for CMHC staff than for hospital staff („hospital work is more dangerous than community work“)

Experiences 1

- Extremely unquiet period of Romanian politics
- Administrative problems with the central Romanian authorities (delayed or no co-financing, especially for Romanian experts and participants)
- 2008: No realisation of the promised financial support for hospitals and CMHC > resignation of staff of NCMH
- Parliamentary elections in the middle of the project period, main Romanian players exchanged
- Economic crisis

Experiences 2

- Unclear whether intended training centres can be established countrywide
- New hope with the new government's „Memorandum for mental health“
- Managers of specialized psychiatric hospitals show good understanding of CMHC (in contrast to managers of general hospitals)
- Great enthusiasm and cooperation of staff at the grassroots (they travel long distances to be trained, despite problems with reimbursement of travel)

Conclusion

- Too many factors are working for the retention of mental hospitals
- Too few factors work for raising the status of community mental health centres
- EC Twinning project works by
 - Empowering the staff
 - Legal suggestion for improving the status of the CMHC

This paper was produced for a meeting organized by Health & Consumer Protection DG and represents the views of its author on the subject. These views have not been adopted or in any way approved by the Commission and should not be relied upon as a statement of the Commission's or Health & Consumer Protection DG's views. The European Commission does not guarantee the accuracy of the data included in this paper, nor does it accept responsibility for any use made thereof.