

# Facilitating evidence-based integration policies in cities

Stakeholder Working Group Meeting 2, 21 February 2018





## Stakeholder Meeting 2

#### **Objective Europe-wide indicators on urban/regional level**

• **Part 1:** Towards wider use of EU indicators on local-regional level

#### **Objective Toolbox for evidence-based local policies**

- Part 2: European instruments & cooperation for analysis of immigrant integration in cities
- Part 3: Fostering know-how transfer & possible instruments for mutual learning
- Next steps & Stakeholder meeting 3





Part 1 EU indicators on local-regional level

## Comments from city level perspective: Questions

- Are you comparing integration data from your city with the situation in other cities, and if yes, does this benchmarking go beyond the national context?
- What are your needs (if any) for comparable integration data on city level across countries?
- What degree of European harmonisation would be necessary to make comparisons useful for you (in terms of specific issue areas, definitions of key concepts, key indicators, target groups,...)?





Part 1 EU indicators on local-regional level

### General Debate on possible recommendations: Questions

#### • What are the limitations of the current system & framework?

Key reasons for limited availability of LFS/EU-SILC-based EU integration indicators on infra-national level? (sample size, data quality?) – interest (lack of?) of NSIs in survey sizes that would allow for more results on infra-national level? - etc. ???

#### • How to fully use the potentials of the current system & framework?

- Continuous updating of indicators at infra-national level by Eurostat? Recommendations for NSIs for e.g. bigger sample sizes/oversampling (big cities?) for LFS, EU-SILC? - Proposals for specific ad-hoc modules? - etc. ???
- What are the options to overcome the limitations of the current system & framework?
  - Funding incentives for NSIs to go beyond current sample sizes? Proposals to amend EU Regulations on LFS, EU-SILC? – Intensified data exchange among NSIs – More use of administrative datasets in EU integration indicators? - etc. ???



## General Debate: Questions

- How can the presented (existing and potential) instruments become part of a "toolbox" for cities?
- What should be the key characteristics of a "toolbox mechanism", e.g. portal to all relevant tools, databases, instruments; source of recommendations, key definitions, ready-to-use modules, ....?
- Where could such a one-stop shop for cities be situated? (Existing tools? Platform aligned to Commission or to cities' networks or associations?)
- Potentials & needs regarding exploitation of European sample surveys feedback and expectations, additional surveys to include in assessment?





Part 3 Know-how transfer & instruments for mutual learning

## Comments from city level perspective: Questions

- Has your city been involved in networks, projects or other exchange activities dealing with integration data, indicators, monitoring systems, evidence-based policy-making etc.?
- If yes, have these activities focused on the national and/or the European context, and how did your city gain from these experiences?
- What are your needs and gaps in terms of data availability (or tools for data gathering), where support from an international level or exchange with other cities would be helpful?







## Working Group Meeting 3 (April 2018)

- Focus city experiences & needs: in-depth discussion of local approaches & multi-level aspects (incl. additional cities!?)
- Exploration of mutual benefits for cities interested in intensified city-to-city exchange, as contribution to options for mutual learning/toolbox
- Conclusions from Meeting 2 on EU-wide indicators on urban/regional level, discussion of draft options/recommendations & draft arguments for core set of EU indicators on urban/regional level
- Results potential exploitation of diverse European sample surveys

