

# **Report and Scientific Opinion on the safety of hydrolysed proteins produced from bovine hides. adopted by the Scientific Steering Committee at its meeting of 22-23 October 1998**

## **Executive Summary**

The SSC was asked to prepare a scientific opinion on the following question:

*"Can hydrolysed protein (peptides and amino acids), derived from bovine hides, be considered to be free of BSE infectivity, independent from the source of the raw material? If not, under which conditions of sourcing of the material (geographical and animal) and/or of type of material used (e.g. specified risk materials) and/or age of animal and/or production process can it be considered as safe?"*

### *Opinion of the SSC:*

The SSC is of the opinion that hydrolysed proteins can be considered to be safe as long as the raw material ("fleshing") entering the hydrolysis process does not, for example through contamination, carry a high infective load and an appropriate transformation process is applied. Therefore, in order to prevent the risk of propagation of BSE, no material from animals suspected or known to carry the BSE agent, should be processed and the raw material should only be obtained from healthy animals. The following conditions should be fulfilled for arriving at a safe product:

- (a) If the material comes from a source that is classified as BSE free or at negligible risk, the production process should result in a safe products with respect to all infectious agents other than TSE, but no *additional* conditions related to BSE are necessary.
- (b) If the raw material comes from a source with a lower BSE risk, the hides have to be carefully prepared (brining, liming and intensive washing) and a transformation process must be applied. This must include a heat treatment with a proven capacity to reduce BSE-infectivity. The heat/pressure/time conditions currently used by the industry and which have been brought to the attention of the SSC ( $3\ 140^{\circ}\text{C}/\ 3\ 3.6\text{bar}/\ 3\ 30\text{minutes}$ ), and on which this opinion is based, are regarded to be sufficient. These conditions are considered to have a significant reduction potential: they are more severe than those which have shown a reduction potential of  $10^{-3}$  (drying excluded) in the case of rendering (See also the opinion of the SSC on the safety of MMBM for non-ruminant food-producing animals, September 1998). Moreover, the heat treatment is preceded by a careful preparation. An additional alkaline treatment ( $\text{pH}\ 3\ 11,\ 3\ 3\text{h at T}\ 3\ 80^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) would enhance the safety.
- (c) The product should not be fed to ruminants nor be used as fertiliser when the hides are sourced from high risk countries, unless the following production conditions are met. Measures must be in place to minimise contamination of the hides with CNS tissue and the hides have to undergo careful preparation (brining, liming and intensive washing). A transformation process has to be applied which includes a heat treatment and an alkaline treatment. These treatments must have a capacity to reduce BSE-infectivity. The heat/pressure/time conditions currently used by the industry and which have been brought to the attention of the SSC (heat treatment:  $3\ 140^{\circ}\text{C}/\ 3\ 3.6\text{bar}/\ 3\ 30\text{minutes}$  and an alkaline treatment at:  $\text{pH}\ 3\ 11,\ 3\ 3\text{h at T}\ 3\ 80^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) are regarded to be sufficient.
- (d) Processes applying less severe conditions would require a separate evaluation and could probably not be regarded to be similarly safe.

## **Full Opinion of the SSC**

The SSC was asked to prepare a scientific opinion on the following question:

*"Can hydrolysed protein (peptides and amino acids), derived from bovine hides, be considered to be free of BSE infectivity, independent from the source of the raw material? If not, under which conditions of sourcing of the material*

(geographical and animal) and/or of type of material used (e.g. specified risk materials) and/or age of animal and/or production process can it be considered as safe?"

#### Opinion of the SSC:

The SSC is of the opinion that hydrolysed proteins can be considered to be safe as long as the raw material ("fleshing") entering the hydrolysis process does not, for example through contamination, carry a high infective load and an appropriate transformation process is applied. Therefore, in order to prevent the risk of propagation of BSE, no material from animals suspected or known to carry the BSE agent, should be processed and the raw material should only be obtained from healthy animals (Healthy animals are defined as animals which have undergone an ante mortem inspection by an official veterinarian where it was determined that the animals were not suffering from a disease which is communicable to man and animals and that they do not show symptoms or are in a general condition such as to indicate that such disease may occur and they show no symptoms of disease or of a disorder of their general conditions which is likely to make their meat unfit for human consumption). The following conditions should be fulfilled for arriving at a safe product:

- (a) If the material comes from a source that is classified as BSE free or at negligible risk, the production process should result in a safe product with respect to all infectious agents other than TSE, but no *additional* conditions related to BSE are necessary.
- (b) If the raw material comes from a source with a lower BSE risk, the hides have to be carefully prepared (brining, liming and intensive washing) and a transformation process must be applied. This must include a heat treatment with a proven capacity to reduce BSE-infectivity. The heat/pressure/time conditions currently used by the industry and which have been brought to the attention of the SSC ( $3\ 140^{\circ}\text{C}/\ 3\ 3.6\text{bar}/\ 3\ 30\text{minutes}$ ), and on which this opinion is based, are regarded to be sufficient. These conditions are considered to have a significant reduction potential: they are more severe than those which have shown a reduction potential of  $10^{-3}$  (drying excluded) in the case of rendering (See also the opinion of the SSC on the safety of MMBM for non-ruminant food-producing animals, 26-27 March 1998). Moreover, the heat treatment is preceded by a careful preparation. An additional alkaline treatment ( $\text{pH}\ 3\ 11,\ 3\ 3\text{h at T}\ 3\ 80^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) would enhance the safety.
- (c) The product should not be fed to ruminants nor be used as fertiliser when the hides are sourced from high risk countries, unless the following production conditions are met. Measures must be in place to minimise contamination of the hides with CNS tissue and the hides have to undergo careful preparation (brining, liming and intensive washing). A transformation process has to be applied which includes a heat treatment and an alkaline treatment. These treatments must have a capacity to reduce BSE-infectivity. The heat/pressure/time conditions currently used by the industry and which have been brought to the attention of the SSC (heat treatment:  $3\ 140^{\circ}\text{C}/\ 3\ 3.6\text{bar}/\ 3\ 30\text{minutes}$  and an alkaline treatment at:  $\text{pH}\ 3\ 11,\ 3\ 3\text{h at T}\ 3\ 80^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) are regarded to be sufficient.

However, if hides are coming from animals certified as BSE-free which are processed on dedicated lines, the conditions for lower risk countries apply.

- (d) Processes applying less severe conditions would require a separate evaluation and could probably not be regarded to be similarly safe.

The SSC considers that, as a general principle which it also applied when considering the issues of the safety of other products such as gelatine, meat-and-bone meal, tallow and dicalcium phosphate, an experimental verification of the capacity of the overall process to reduce or eliminate BSE infectivity is needed. The SSC is aware that presently a validation study on the safety of hydrolysed proteins with respect to BSE infectivity is ongoing. The above opinion may be amended according to the results of this study.

Summary table: the safety of hydrolysed proteins derived from hides from bovines and intended as animal feed or fertiliser

Source	Minimum conditions
--------	--------------------

(classification as to SSC)	
BSE FREE or NEGLIGIBLE RISK	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Raw material to be obtained from healthy animals.</li> <li>- The production process should result in a safe products with respect to all infectious agents other than TSE, but no <i>additional</i> conditions related to BSE are necessary.</li> </ul>
LOWER RISK	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Raw material to be obtained from healthy animals.</li> <li>- An appropriate production process, including (as brought to the attention of the SSC) careful pre-treatment (including brining, liming and intensive washing) and at least one heat treatment at <math>3</math> 140°C for <math>3</math> 30 min <math>3</math> 3.6bar (See the report of the Working Group attached to this opinion)</li> </ul>
<p>HIGH RISK</p> <p>(If hides are coming from animals certified as BSE-free, e.g. from certified animals (Opinion of the SSC on the date based export scheme (9/12/97 and 20/2/98) and of the Scientific Veterinary Committee on the revised UK certified herds scheme (17/9/97) or certified herds or closed herds (An opinion of the SSC on the criteria for closed herds guaranteeing that animals from these herds are BSE-free is forthcoming), the conditions for lower risk apply.)</p>	<p>No application as a ruminant feed nor as a fertiliser, unless:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Raw material to be obtained from healthy animals.</li> <li>- Measures in place to minimise contamination of hides.</li> <li>- Appropriate production process, including (as brought forward to the SSC): a careful pre-treatment (including brining, liming and intensive washing), a heat treatment (<math>3</math> 140°C/<math>3</math> 3.6bar/<math>3</math> 30minutes)<sup>12</sup> and an alkaline treatment (pH <math>3</math> 11, <math>3</math> 3h at T <math>3</math> 80°C)<sup>12</sup>.</li> </ul>
Status unknown	Consider as high risk until otherwise proven.

: This Classification of geographical risk does not prejudice the opinion of the SSC on the TSE/BSE status of any country nor the OIE classification.

- Main elements of the scientific justification of the answer

The infective load of a hide of an infected bovine animal is estimated to be low because hides themselves have not been found to be infective and the main source of infectivity could be contamination in the slaughterhouse. It can be assumed that this load is further reduced by the preparatory treatment in the tannery (brining, liming and intensive washing). Accordingly it is regarded to be unlikely that the fleshing entering into the hydrolisation process carries a high infective load.

The industrial production processes which have been brought to the attention of the SSC include at least two steps, for which it can be assumed that they have a capacity to reduce the infective titre of the input material. A heat treatment at T  $3$  140°C for at least 30 min at a pressure of 3.6 bar is more severe than the heat treatment applied in rendering (133°C/20/3 bars) and it can hence be assumed that at least the same infectivity reduction could be realised. This assumption is further supported by the fact that the material heated here is in a fluid phase and hence much better penetrable than the particles entering a rendering process. An alkaline treatment at pH  $3$  11 where the material is kept for 3h at T  $3$  80°C is potentially similarly effective as the alkaline treatment in the gelatine process which operates at much lower temperatures but for much longer time. Even if it is not known if the two processes are fully additive, the hydrolisation process seems to have the capacity to reduce an infective titre significantly.

Other considerations:

Given the complexity of the production process the Scientific Steering Committee strongly recommends that manufacturers implement and respect HACCP (Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Points) procedures. It is essential to identify and describe hazards and critical points for the production process. Two of these points are the traceability and the treatment at origin of the raw material (e.g. minimising the contamination with specified risk materials, in particular CNS-tissues), and the preparatory treatment of the hides before fleshing (brining, liming, washing. No recycling of treatment waters). It can also be assumed that the heat treatments ( $T \geq 140^{\circ}\text{C}$ ,  $\geq 3.6\text{bar}$ ,  $\geq 30\text{min}$ ) and the alkaline treatments are critical stages of the transformation process and should be carefully respected and controlled. Controlling the molecular weight would provide a good verification of the appropriateness of an applied transformation process. A value of a maximum molecular weight of the hydrolysed proteins below 10.000 Daltons could be used as an indicator

: The above opinion of the SSC is based on the report of the working group of the TSE/BSE ad hoc Group, which was accepted by the TSE/BSE ad-hoc group and then by the SSC, following critical discussion and review.

## Report from the working group

### 1. Terms of Reference

The SSC was asked to deliver a scientific opinion on the following question:

*"Can hydrolysed protein (peptides and amino acids), derived from bovine hides, be considered to be free of BSE infectivity, independent of the source of the raw material?"*

*If not, under which conditions of sourcing of the material (geographical and animal) and/or of type of material used (e.g. specified risk materials) and/or age of animal and/or production process can it be considered as safe?"*

### 2. Context

#### 2.1 Legislative/policy/scientific aspects

Commission Decision 94/381/EC (OJ L 172, 7.7.94, p.23) concerning certain protection measures with regard to BSE and the feeding of mammalian derived protein, prohibits the feeding of proteins derived from mammalian tissues to ruminant species.

The above Decision was amended by Commission Decision 95/60/EC (OJ L 55, 11.3.95, p.43) to exempt some animal products and by-products from the ban given that they present no health risk.

Among these products, there are amino acids obtained from hides by a process which involves exposure of the material to a pH of 1 to 2 followed by a pH of  $>11$  followed by a heat treatment at  $140^{\circ}\text{C}$  for 30 minutes at 3.6 bar.

In Decision 95/60, the above derogation is limited to amino acids and it is not extended to peptides as well. In fact, the advice of the Scientific Veterinary Committee (Report from the Scientific Veterinary Committee on the risk from BSE of some products derived from ruminants. Adopted on 12 December 1994 to the Commission on this issue recommended only amino acids to be excluded from the feed ban. This advice was used as scientific background for the adoption of Decision 95/60.

#### 2.2 References to previous opinions of the Committee or other Commission Scientific Committees/international bodies.

The SSC is not aware of any scientific opinion on the safety of hydrolysed proteins from bovine hides except the opinion of the Scientific Veterinary Committee of 12.12.1994 on different proteins derived from bovines, including amino acids (but not peptides). However, given the similarities of the gelatine production process when based on hides, reference is made to the different opinions issued on this subject by various Scientific Committees of the European

Commission, the OIE, the WHO and of the US-FDA (See reference list).

### 2.3 Definition of terms.

1. For the purpose of this opinion, Hydrolysed proteins (HPRO) are defined as mixtures of polypeptides, peptides and amino acids obtained from the hydrolysis of collagen contained in the fleshing derived from bovine hides. Their production process includes successive treatments: degreasing, acid treatment (Not in all cases), alkaline treatment (liming), concentration, sterilisation, and filtration. Hydrolysed proteins are used as feed for monogastric and ruminant animals and as fertilisers, mainly for horticulture. It is not used in pharmaceutical preparations or in foods.
2. Collagen is a family of fibrous proteins, with a high tensile strength which are found in connective tissues such as the organic matrices of hides, bones, tendons, cartilage, cornea of the eye, blood vessels and teeth. The structural unit of collagen is tropocollagen. This protein is formed of three helical units wrapped around one another with a right twist. Each of these helices contains about 1,000 amino acids. The amino acid sequence of collagen is highly distinctive with every third residue as glycine (35%). Other important amino acids are alanine (11%), and proline (12%). The unusual hydroxyproline also occurs (9%) and there are a few % of hydroxylysine.
3. Healthy animals are defined as animals which have undergone an ante mortem inspection by an official veterinarian where it was determined that the animals were not suffering from a disease which is communicable to man and animals and that they do not show symptoms or are in a general condition such as to indicate that such disease may occur and they show no symptoms of disease or of a disorder of their general conditions which is likely to make their meat unfit for human consumption. (Definition as given in Directive 64/433/EEC, laying down the rules for ante mortem inspection)

### 3. Assessment

#### 3.1 Strategy adopted for the evaluation and risk assessment

As for Gelatine the safety of hydrolysed proteins depends

- (a) on the risk, that the raw material entering the production process carries the BSE agent, and
- (b) on the ability of the production process to reduce or eliminate any residual infectivity, and
- (c) on the final use of the product (as feed or fertiliser).

The assessment will discuss these three risk-components separately before a final conclusion is drawn.

#### 3.2 Assessment of the risk, that the raw material entering the production process for Hydrolysed Proteins (HPORs) carries the BSE agent.

The typical hydrolysed proteins manufacturing process uses "fleshing" (which may contain residues from hides) as raw material (ASSALZOO, 28 May 1998).

The "fleshing" is made up of collagen, elastic fibres, fat and muscular traces. As a by-product from tanning, it is derived from hides which have been brined for 2 or more days, treated with sodium sulphide and lime at pH <sup>3</sup> 11 for at least 24 hours (liming process). The hides are then washed with regular shaking. After washing, the "subcutaneous layer" is mechanically separated to obtain the "fleshing". Small parts of the hides may remain in the fleshing.

The hazard

The hazard is here defined as the event that the raw material for the hydrolysis process carries the BSE infective agent. It depends on the event that the hides, the basic raw material, are carrying infectivity and the efficiency of the tanning process, precursor to the hydrolysis, to reduce that infectivity.

## Infectivity of hides

The infectivity of hides with regard to BSE has been assessed by the SSC in its opinion on SRM of 9/12/97. In line with other scientific committees and international bodies (WHO (Consultation on Medicinal and other Products in relation to Human and Animal Transmissible Spongiform Encephalopathies, Switzerland, 24-26 March, 1997)) the SSC confirmed that no infectivity was detected in connective tissue and hides. This material has therefore not been classified as specified risk material.

However, the SSC underlined in the same opinion its view that contamination of non-infective tissues with highly infective tissues (e.g. brain, spinal cord) could pose a risk, particular if hide from the head is used. Also in its opinion on the safety of Gelatine (March, 1998) the SSC concluded that hides are safe, as long as contamination can be avoided.

The Scientific Steering Committee has also stated (SSC "Safety of bi-calcium phosphate". Preliminary opinion adopted on 15/5/98) that in cattle, sheep and goats TSE infectivity is not limited to nervous (brain) proteins but is also present in the lympho-reticular system of sheep. So far this has not been found for BSE infected bovines, even after spleen and lymph nodes were injected intercerebrally into cattle. The same holds true for infectivity in peripheral nerves, which has been shown for SCRAPIE in sheep but never for BSE in cattle.

## Contamination

Contamination of hides with CNS (Central Nervous System) may result (a) from brain tissue spilt over the outside of the hides when stunning or pithing the animal, and (b) from spinal cord tissue spilt over the *outside of the* hide when removing the head. No data are available on the amount of CNS material that can be attached to a hide by this way.

Reduction of the infectivity of hides by the tanning process:

Manzke et al., 1996, have shown that during the degreasing step in the gelatine process (largely washing of crushed bones with hot water), 98-99% of the protein of nervous origin (e.g. S100, GFAP and others) are removed. The detection method used (ELISA test) was very sensitive with a detection threshold from 30 pg for S100 and 7 pg for GFAP.

Hides are not only washed but first brined for 2 or more days, then depilated and subsequently exposed to an alkaline treatment. Only then the hides are washed with hot water in order to clean them from the brining residues. This series of processes is likely to reduce any contamination beyond the level that can be reached by washing alone.

However, the SSC notes (SSC opinion on safety of Gelatine, March 98) that the above conclusion may be valid for the reduction in protein levels, but not necessarily for the reduction of BSE infectivity to the same extend. Prions, or any other yet unidentified BSE-agent, are not necessarily removed in the same way as nervous proteins.

## Infective load of fleshing resulting from a hide of a BSE-infected cattle

If hides from BSE-infective cattle are processed in a tannery, a part of the initial infectivity will be eliminated/washed away, but another part may survive that treatment. The resulting infective load of a single hide, which has been contaminated by infected CNS tissue, is depending on the total amount of CNS spilt on the hides and the capacity of the tanning process to reduce this load. Possible mechanisms for such a reduction are either of a physical or a chemical nature. The physical impact is quite severe (depilation and washing) and could be supported by the chemical impact of the liming. As a result is it likely that the infective load of an originally contaminated hide is significantly lower at the end of the tanning process than before.

*The SSC is of the opinion that it is unlikely that the fleshing obtained from bovine hides contains high loads of infectivity.*

*BSE infectivity of the raw material which enters the hydrolysis process.*

The production of hydrolysed proteins starts with the so-called fleshing, the subcutaneous layer of bovine hides. This fleshing is processed in batches of 6 to 280 tons, equivalent to at least 350 hides per batch. As the fleshing is more or less liquid (amorphous), it can be assumed that any infectivity entering the batch is evenly distributed in the batch, i.e. a good dilution can be expected.

The probability that a batch contains BSE infectivity is proportional to the risk that infected animals are slaughtered and their hides are contaminated with CNS from the infective animals. This risk is known as the 'geographical risk' or the 'sourcing risk' because it depends on the origin of the animal.

The infective titre of the fleshing entering the hydrolysis process is depending on the geographical risk: If a higher proportion of hides is contaminated with BSE, the input titre increases. The theoretically possible infective load of the raw material entering the hydrolysis process is therefore proportional to the geographical risk of the raw material source.

Given the fact that the infective load of the fleshing from an infected animal is not likely to be high, the maximum infective load of the batch of the fleshing entering the hydrolysis process is equivalent to the level of one contaminated hide. It would only be reached if all hides entering a batch would come from infective cattle or would be contaminated with CNS from infective cattle, a rather unlikely assumption.

Given the fact that hides are regarded to be free of BSE infectivity, even if the animal is infected; given the fact that the maximum infective load of a contaminated hide is not likely to be high; given the fact that it is unlikely that a high proportion of the hides used for a batch of fleshing which enters the hydrolysis process, could be contaminated;

the SSC is assuming that the infective load of the batch of fleshing entering the hydrolysis process is unlikely to be significant in countries which do not have a high incidence of BSE.

*on geographical risk assessment:*

*The SSC has issued an opinion on the information needed to assess the epidemiological status of a country or region with regard to TSE (23/01/98 and 20/2/98). In its opinions on the safety of Gelatine, Tallow and MBM (March, 1998), it has used three preliminary risk categories for categorising the geographical origin of animals: BSE free or of negligible risk; lower BSE-risk; and higher BSE-risk. It is currently preparing a methodology for assessing the geographical risk on the basis of the information requested.*

*The OIE, at its 66th Annual General Session (29 May 1998), has discussed a new version of the OIE International Animal Health Code on Bovine Spongiform Encephalopathy (BSE). It identifies four categories or zones with regard to BSE:*

- 1. BSE-free country or zone (conditions defined).*
- 2. Country (or zone) that has not demonstrated a BSE free status and has not declared any indigenous cases of the disease (definition under study)*
- 3. Country or zone with a low incidence of BSE (definition under study)*
- 4. Country or zone with a high incidence of BSE (definition under study).*

*For consistency reason this opinion will use the same classification as the previous opinions on gelatine, tallow and MBM. It is, however, evident that this classification may have to be revised, once a final classification scheme is defined. This may make a revision of the opinions on gelatine, tallow, MBM and other bovine-derived products necessary.*

3.3 Assessment of the ability of the production process to reduce or eliminate any residual infectivity.

The second element, which is essential for the safety of the final product, is the ability of the hydrolysis process to

reduce or eliminate the BSE-agent.

### 3.31 Description of the typical manufacturing process

Two main schemes have been found to be applied by industry but deviations are possible. They are distinguished by a different sequence. Scheme (I) includes two separate filtration and two heating steps while scheme (II) has only one filtration and one heat treatment but adds a deodorification to the process.

#### *Scheme I.*

I-1. Homogenisation: The raw material is heated, ground and homogenised.

I-2. Acid hydrolysis (Not in all cases applied): By heating to 80-100°C and mixing with sulphuric acid (H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>). This phase lasts 6 hours. The pH is between 0 and 2.

I-3. Degreasing in acid phase: At the end of the acid hydrolysis phase, the fat is separated and processed in a stripping plant, finally stored and utilised for industrial applications.

I-4. Alkaline treatment: The proteinic degreased phase is mixed with lime (Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub>) in order to have a pH above 11. It is heated for 2 h to 80-90°C and for 1h to 90-100°C.

I-5. Filtration I: The suspension of the precipitated calcium sulphate and the solution of polypeptide mixtures, peptides and amino acids are fed to a filter where the calcium sulphate is completely separated from the solution.

I-6. Heat treatment (first sterilisation step): The alkaline solution of polypeptide mixtures, peptides and amino acids (pH<sup>3</sup> 11) is treated in a specific thermal plant. This operation lasts about 6 hours: 2.5 hours for heating to 140°C, 30 minutes at 140° (3.6 bars), and 2.5 hours for the cooling off.

I-7. Filtration II: By adding ammonium bicarbonate Ca is separated from the solution.

I-8. Sterilisation: Heating to 132°C for 22 seconds by direct steam injection.

I-9. Concentration: The solution is concentrated to reach a final product with up to 60% of dry matter.

I-10. Drying: If required a drying may be added at an air temperature of 220°C.

#### *Scheme II*

II-1 Homogenisation with alkaline treatment: The raw material is ground, homogenised, heated (T 80 °C for 2 to 3 h) and treated with alkaline (pH >12).

II-2 Degreasing: The fat is separated from the mass at the end of the homogenisation phase at pH 11.5 -12.0. It is subsequently processed in a stripping plant, finally stored and used for industrial applications.

II-3 Filtration: different techniques applied.

II-4 Acid hydrolysis<sup>9</sup>: The degreased and filtered raw material is mixed with sulphuric acid (H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and heated to 70°C for 30 minutes at pH 1-2.

II-5 Calcium separation: The solution of hydrolysed proteins (mixture of polypeptides, peptides and amino acids) is treated with ammonium bicarbonate in order to remove the calcium linked to the mixtures of polypeptides, peptides and amino acids as calcium bicarbonate, which precipitates. The calcium carbonate is separated from the ammonium solution of the polypeptide mixtures, peptides and amino acids.

II-6 Deodorification by oxygen gurgling.

II-7 Concentration: The solution is filtered to reach a concentrated product with 58-60% of dry matter (concentration at T° 53°C - 92°C).

II-8 Heat treatment (sterilisation): At pH <sup>3</sup> 11 the alkaline solution is heated over 7h to reach 140°C, kept at 140°C for 35 minutes (3.6bar), and cooled down over 7h.

II-9 Drying: The product can be dried by spray drying at air temperatures of 220°C.

3.3 Discussion of the potential of the different production steps to contribute to a reduction of any residual BSE infectivity.

The SSC is not aware of any completed study specifically addressing the ability of the manufacturing process of hydrolysed proteins to reduce or eliminate BSE infectivity. The only ongoing study which was brought to the attention of the SSC has not yet produced any results. For the time being, and in view of the apparent similarities, the following discussion is therefore based on work carried out with regard to gelatine production. It also extrapolates from other scientific knowledge. This implies that a truly quantitative risk assessment can not be carried out. As long as the appropriate quantitative information is not available, the risk assessment remains largely qualitative.

- The homogenisation, degreasing, filtration and calcium-separation steps are unlikely to reduce significantly a residual BSE infectivity because they do not impact on the protein fraction.
- For the alkaline treatment it is assumed that it has a significant capacity to reduce the BSE-infectivity. This assumption is based on the only available research results on this issue, which are relating to the gelatine production process. Given the higher temperature, at which this treatment is carried out here, it can be assumed that at least a similar reduction of any eventual BSE infectivity can be realised as for gelatine. The fact that for the production of gelatine the duration of the treatment is much longer is not regarded to be relevant in this context because the (limited) experimental results do not support the hypothesis that the BSE-titre decreases with prolonged treatment. It is therefore possible to assume that this step will provide a significant reduction (See also the SSC opinion on the Safety of Gelatine, adopted on 26-27 March 1998).
- There are no data upon which an assessment of the inactivation effect of the acid hydrolysis stage can be made. Therefore the SSC has not currently assumed any reduction potential for this part of the process.
- The heat treatment is considered to have a significant reduction potential. The applied conditions (140°C, 3.6bar, 30min) are more severe than those which have shown a reduction potential of 10<sup>3</sup> (drying excluded) in the case of rendering (See also the opinion of the SSC on the safety of MMBM for non-ruminant food-producing animals, September 1998).

The transformation process is not only conducted at 140°C, 3.6Bar, 30 minutes, but also under extremely alkaline conditions. According to the final report of the *Validation Study of the Clearance of Scrapie from the Manufacturing Process of Gelatine* (Inveresk, 1998a, 1998b), the reduction factors indicate that the liming treatments give a partial reduction of potential infectivity. However, the level of reduction achieved (reduction factor 2.33 log<sub>10</sub>) is not increased as the length of the incubation is extended. Moreover, combinations of autoclaving and hydroxide have shown to be extremely effective (even at 121°C) with rodent-passaged strains of CJD and scrapie agent where the infectivity titres in the brain-tissue were up to 10<sup>10</sup> ID<sub>50</sub>/g (Prusiner et al, 1984; Taguchi et al, 1991; Ernst & Race, 1993; Taylor et al, 1997). In addition, unpublished data are showing inactivation after boiling for a brief spell in alkali (D.Taylor, 1998, personal communication).

As long as no other data are available the SSC therefore assumes a reduction potential of at least a similar order of magnitude for the heat treatment. It is indeed not unlikely that the reduction capacity would be even higher. Reasons are the more severe conditions and the fact that the material is fluid and hence a better heating kinetic can be assumed.

- The concentration and sterilisation process, albeit also operating at high temperatures, are not regarded to add to the

infectivity reduction beyond the level reached with the heat treatment.

Based on this discussion the SSC concludes that the hydrolysis process, carried out as described above, has a significant potential to reduce any possible BSE infectivity in the initial fleshing. The severe heat treatment and the alkaline treatment are regarded to be the most relevant elements.

In view of the low titre of the input material, which normally can be expected, the SSC regards it highly likely that the final product, the hydrolysed proteins, are BSE free. However, no experiments have been made so far for the hydrolysis process as such (A study of the reduction potential is currently underway but no results are yet available) and all estimations have to be regarded as preliminary until appropriate studies have been carried out. It is also not certain that the infectivity reduction achieved at the different steps is fully additive. Further it is also not known if the resistance of any surviving infectivity would be enhanced, the so-called tailing effect has not yet been excluded to apply to the BSE-agent.

An experimental verification of the capacity of the overall process to reduce or eliminate BSE infectivity is needed.

3.4 The role of the final use of the product as regard to the transfer of BSE to animal or man.

3.41 Use in animal feed

Current use: Hydrolysed proteins are fed to animals, including bovines. For example: for dairy cows, the daily intake rate may reach about 100g dry mass of hydrolysed proteins.

Importance of such an use:

- Allowable daily intake, oral: The oral minimum infective dose of BSE-contaminated material is not known, even for bovines. On the basis of currently available information the bovine threshold for a single oral dose of BSE infective material can be assumed to be below 1g of infected brain. Current estimates assume 0.1g to be sufficient to trigger infectivity in bovines (UK, MAFF-CVL, personal communication). There is evidence that the incubation time increases with smaller doses and hence this lower threshold level could not yet be verified by experiments. For other species no estimation of the threshold dose is available. However, it can be assumed that small ruminants may be equally sensitive.

- No information is available as to the impact of repeated small doses on cattle. The only experiment (Diringer *et al*, 1998) was carried out with scrapie in Hamster. It points, however, to a certain risk that small doses, given at short intervals, could accumulate to some extent.

- As long as the threshold value is not known it must be assumed that also a small dose would finally lead to a BSE case if a sufficiently large number of animals would be exposed to it.

3.42 Use as fertiliser

Hydrolysed proteins are used as fertiliser, mainly in horticulture, for example included in culture media for propagation of seedlings, cuttings, etc.

The working group that its use as fertiliser can be regarded as safe if the hydrolysed protein is regarded to be safe. The conditions for reaching this degree of safety are defined in this report. Whenever this degree of safety is not guaranteed, hydrolysed proteins should not be used as fertiliser because a residual BSE-infectivity could not be fully excluded. Besides the risk of accidental exposure of man or ruminants to the agent, the unclear fate of the agent in the environment requires this precautionary approach.

#### 4. References

- Assalzoo, 1998a. Technique report of 28 May 1998: "Documentazione tecnica riguardante la trasformazione del carniccio in idrolizzato proteico per l'alimentazione del bestiame: procedimento di lavorazione per l'ottenimento di

idrolizzati proteici di origine animale delle due aziende associate."

- Assalzo, 1998b. Technique report of 12 June 1998: "Documentazione tecnica riguardante la trasformazione del carniccio in idrolizzato proteico per l'alimentazione del bestiame: composizione analitica delle tipologie fondamentali di idrolizzati prodotti dalle due aziende associate."
- Casolari, A., 1998. Heat resistance of prions and food processing. *Food Microbiology*, 1998, 59-63
- Dickinson, A.G., Taylor, D.M., 1978. Resistance of scrapie agent to decontamination. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 299, 1413-1414.
- Diringer, H., Roehmez, J., Beekes, M., 1998. Effect of repeated oral infection of hamsters with scrapie. *J.Gen.Virol.*, 79, 609-612.
- Dormont, D., 1997. Sécurité du phosphate bicalcique. Projet d'avis destiné au Comité Scientifique Multidisciplinaire de l'Union Européenne.
- E.C. (European Commission), 1994. Commission Decision 94/381/EC, concerning certain protection measures with regard to BSE and the feeding of mammalian derived protein, OJ L 172, 7.7.94, p.23
- EC, (European Commission), 1994. Scientific Veterinary Committee, Report from the Scientific Veterinary Committee on the risk from BSE of some products derived from ruminants. Adopted on 12 December 1994
- E.C. (European Commission), 1995. Commission Decision 95/60/EC, amendment to Commission Decision 94/381/EC; OJ L 55, 11.3.95, p.43
- E.C. (European Commission), 1996a. Scientific opinion adopted on 9.04.96 by the Scientific Veterinary Committee on Specified risk materials and on the safety of meat and bone meal and of tallow.
- E.C. (European Commission), 1996b. The Scientific Committee Food. Opinion of 15 April 1996. Products derived from bovine tissues, especially gelatine, tallow and dicalcium phosphate in relation with Bovine Spongiform Encephalopathy.
- E.C. (European Commission), 1996c. Commission Decision of 11 June 1996, 96/362/EC. Modification of Decision 96/239/CE relative to the emergency measure for the protection against Bovine Spongiform Encephalopathy. GU n. L 139 of 12.06.96 pg.17.
- E.C. (European Commission), 1997a. The Scientific Steering Committee (Multi-Disciplinary Scientific Committee). The situation of gelatine and related products. Opinion of 3 April 1997. MDSC/SG/97/042.
- E.C. (European Commission), 1997b. The Scientific Steering Committee. Listing of Specified Risk Materials: a scheme for assessing relative risks to man. Opinion adopted on 9 December 1997.
- E.C. (European Commission), 1998a. The Scientific Steering Committee. Opinion of SSC 22-23 January 1998, defining the BSE risk for specified geographical areas.
- E.C. (European Commission), 1998b. The Scientific Steering Committee. Opinion on the contents of a "complete dossier of the epidemiological status of respect to TSEs." Adopted by the SSC at its plenary meeting of 19-20 February 1998.
- E.C. (European Commission), 1998c. The Scientific Steering Committee. The safety of gelatine. Opinion adopted on 26-27 March 1998.
- E.C. (European Commission), 1998d. "Report and opinion on the safety of dicalcium phosphate precipitated from ruminants bones and used as an animal feed additive"- Adopted at the Scientific Steering Committee at its plenary meeting of 25-26 June 1998.

- E.C. (European Commission), 1998d. "Report and opinion on the safety of Mammalian Meat and Bone Meal (MMBM) for the use as an animal feed for non-ruminant food-producing animals"- Presented to the Scientific Steering Committee at its plenary meeting of 24-25 September 1998.
- Ersnt, D.R. & Race, R.E., 1993. *Journal of Virological Methods*, 41, p. 193.
- G.M.E (Gelatin Manufactures of Europe), 1997. Study of the reduction of TSE infectivity by the production processes of limed bone gelatine and acid bone gelatine. Research protocol. Attached to the letter of 18.11.98 of G.M.E. to the Director general of DGXXIV.
- G.M.E (Gelatin Manufactures of Europe), 1998a. Letter of 8 January 1998 to the secretariat of the Scientific Steering Committee, containing clarifications and technical annexes on gelatine and dicalcium phosphate production data, chemical composition, raw materials used, production processes, etc. Complemented with a letter of 19.01.98 providing clarifications on the letter of 8.01.98.
- G.M.E (Gelatin Manufactures of Europe), 1998b. Complement to GME (1998a), with additional information on the Gelatine European Market and on the Study on the effect of the gelatine manufacturing process on the TSE infectivity.
- G.M.E (Gelatin Manufactures of Europe), 1998c. Letter of 16 March 1998 to the secretariat of the Scientific Steering Committee, containing comments to the Preliminary Opinion on the Safety of Gelatine adopted by the SSC on 19-20 February 1998.
- Groschup, Martin H., Frank Weiland, Otto Christian Straub and Eberhard Pfaff, 1996. Detection of Scrapie Agent in the Peripheral Nervous System of a Diseased Sheep. *Neurobiology of Disease*, 3, 191-195.
- Inveresk Research International, 1995. Validation of the clearance of Scrapie from the manufacturing process of gelatine. Interim Data Summary of the Inveresk Project N°851180 sponsored by GME (Gelatin Manufactures Europe). Report N°10288 by M. Pubkis. *Tratent (Scotland)*, 31 pp.
- Inveresk Research International, 1998a. Validation of the clearance of Scrapie from the manufacturing process of gelatine. Final Report N°14682 of the Inveresk Project N°855028 sponsored by GME Gelatin Manufactures Europe. *Tratent (Scotland)*, 41 pp.
- Inveresk Research International, 1998b. Validation of the clearance of Scrapie from the manufacturing process of gelatine: additional stage. Final report N° 14683 of the Inveresk Project N°855028 sponsored by GME Gelatin Manufactures Europe. *Tratent (Scotland)*, 28 pp.
- Kimberlin, R.H., Walker, C.A., Millson, G.C., Taylor, D.M., Roberston, P.A., Tomlinson, A.H., Dickinson, A.G., 1983. Disinfection studies with two strains of mouse-passages scrapie agent. *J.Neurol.Sci.*, 59, 355-369.
- Mantze, U., Schalf, G., Poethke, R., Felgenhauer, K., Mäder, M., 1996. On the Removal of nervous Proteins from Material Used for Gelatin Manufacturing During Processing. *Pharm.Ind.*, 58, 837-841.
- Prusiner, S.B., et al, 1994. *Methods in Virology*, Vol.III, p.293
- OIE (Office International des Epizooties), 1997. Bovine Spongiform Encephalopathy (BSE). Chapter 3.2.13 of the OIE International Zoo-Sanitary Code on BSE.
- OIE (Office International des Epizooties), 1998. 66th Annual General Meeting Session of the International Committee of OIE. New version of the OIE International Animal Health Code on BSE of 29 May 1998.
- Pascal, G., 1998. of 23 May 1998 on a draft report on The Safety of Amino acids and peptides- Hydrolysed proteins".
- Piva G., 1998. TSE/BSE clearance factors of production processes of gelatine and dicalcium phosphate precipitated

from bone-protein hydrolysed. Technical notes provided to the Scientific Steering Committee.

- République Française, 1996. Comité Interministériel sur les Encéphalopathies Subaiguës Spongiformes Transmissibles. Réponses aux questions du Directeur Général de la Santé, du Directeur Général de l'Alimentation et du Directeur Général de la Consommation, de la Concurrence et de la Dépression des Fraudes, adressées au Comité en juillet 1996.
- Rohwer, R.G., 1991. The Scrapie Agent: "A virus by any other name- Current topics in microbiology and immunology", Springer- Verlag Heidelberg, Volume 172.
- Schrieber, R., Seybold, H., 1993. Gelatine production, the six steps to maximum safety. In: Brown, F., (Editor), 1993. Transmissible Spongiform Encephalopathies - Impact on Animal and Human health. Dev.Biol.Stand., Basel, Karger, 80, 195-198.
- Schreuder, B.E.C., Geertsma, R.E., van Keulen, L.J.M., van Asten, J.A.A.M., Enthoven, P., Oberthür, R.C., de Koeijer, A.A., Osterhaus, A.D.M.E., 1998. Studies on the efficacy of hyperbaric rendering procedures in inactivating bovine spongiform encephalopathy (BSE) and scrapie agents. The Veterinary Record, 142, 474-480.
- Stryer, 1981. Biochemistry. Editions Freeman. San Francisco (US).
- Taguchi, F. et al, 1981. Archives in Virology, 199, p.297.
- Taylor, D.M., and Fernie, K.(1996). Exposure to autoclaving or sodium hydroxide extends the dose-response curve of the 263K strain of scrapie agent in hamsters. Journal of General Virology, 77, 811-813.
- Taylor, D.M., Fraser, H., McConell, I., Brown, D.A., Brown, K.L., Lamza, K.A., Smith, G.R.A., 1994. Decontamination studies with the agents of Bovine Spongiform Encephalopathy and Scrapie. Archives of Virology, 139, 313-326.
- Taylor D.M. et al., 1997. Veterinary Microbiology, 58, p.87
- Taylor, D.M. 1998. Practical problems in inactivating BSE-like agents. Proceedings of the 5<sup>th</sup> International Feed Production Conference, Piacenza, June 15-18, 1998. In press.
- U.S.-F.D.A. (Food and Drug Administration, Department of Health and Human Services, United States of America), 1997. Verbatim (Proceedings) of the meeting of 23 April 1997 of the Transmissible Spongiform Encephalopathies Advisory Committee. Washington, D.C. (USA), 232 pp.
- U.S.-F.D.A. (Food and Drug Administration, Department of Health and Human Services, United States of America), 1997. Bovine Spongiform Encephalopathy (BSE) in products for human use; Guidance for Industry on the Sourcing and Processing of gelatine to Reduce Potential Risk; Availability. Docket N°97D-0411. Washigton, D.C. (USA), 4+15 pp.
- Wells, G.A.H., Hawkins, S.A.C., Green, R.B., Austin, A.R., Dexter, I., Spencer, Y.I., Chaplin, M.J., Stack, M.J., Dawson, M., 1998. Preliminary observations on the pathogenesis of experimental bovine spongiform encephalopathy (BSE): an update. Veterinary Record, Vol. 142: pp 103-106.
- WHO, 1997, Consultation on Medicinal and other Products in relation to Human and Animal Transmissible Spongiform Encephalopathies, Switzerland, 24-26 March, 1997

## 5. Acknowledgements

The present report of the working group is substantially based on the work of chaired by Prof.Dr.M.Vanbelle. Other members of the working group were: Prof.Dr.R.Böhm, Prof.Dr. Prof.Dr.D.Dormont, Prof.Dr.DVM. Esko Nurmi, Prof.Dr. A.-L.Parodi Prof.Dr. G.Piva, Dr. M.Riedinger, Dr B.Schreuder, Prof.Dr. P.Sequi, Prof.Soren Alexandersen,

Dr.D.Taylor, Dr. H.A.P. Urlings, Prof.Dr. M.Wierup, Prof.Dr. P.Willeberg.