

**Programme assessment sheet - Implementation year 2016**

**TSEs**

Member State:

2014 Epidemiological situation	Total number	Number of classical cases	Number of atypical cases
BSE cases			
Scrapie cases (ovine)			
Scrapie cases (Caprine)			

Last case of	Date (classical case)	Date (atypical case)
BSE		
Scrapie (ovine)		
Scrapie (caprine)		

Programme element and relevant criteria	1. Additional elements / information to request to the CA 2. Changes and/or additions to the programme that should be required to the CA	Assessment <sup>i</sup>
<p>1. Are the objectives of the programme clearly defined? Are those in line with the requirements of point (1) of the Annex of Decision 2008/341/EC:</p> <p>(a) The objective of a <b>monitoring programme</b> shall be to investigate an animal population or subpopulation (...) to detect changes in the occurrence and infection patterns of TSEs.</p> <p>(c) The objective of an <b>eradication programme</b> shall be the biological extinction of TSEs. The final target of an eradication programme shall be to obtain the free or officially free-status of the territory according to Community legislation, where such possibility exists.</p> <p>(d) The objective of a programme either to control, monitor/survey or to eradicate an animal disease or zoonoses shall be in accordance with the Community policies.</p>		
<p>2. Is the management of the programme clearly described especially as regards the central control, the securing of sufficient resources, the monitoring of implementation/progress</p>		
<p>3. Efficiency/Effectiveness: Are the proposed control or eradication measures the most cost efficient and cost effective given the specific circumstances?</p>		
<p>Bovine animals :</p>		
<p>4. Are the EU legal TSE monitoring requirements for bovine animals fulfilled (healthy slaughtered animals, risk animals)?</p>		
<p>5. Are the figures provided consistent (No of confirmatory tests, No of discriminatory tests, comparison with previous years' figures, No of tests for fallen stock compared to No that can be expected based on the bovine population of the Member State, etc)?</p>		
<p>6. Any remark concerning the age of testing? If derogation of Decision 2009/719/EC is not used, is any valid</p>		

Programme element and relevant criteria	1. Additional elements / information to request to the CA 2. Changes and/or additions to the programme that should be required to the CA	Assessment <sup>i</sup>
<p>explanation provided?</p> <p>(NB: for healthy slaughtered bovine animals, all MSs (except BG, HR, RO) can stop testing them, except if they originate from BG, HR, RO or third countries. In these cases minimum age limit is 30 months)</p> <p>For risk animals, minimum age limit can be raised to 48 months, except in BG, HR, RO where it is 24 months).</p> <p>For suspect animals, there is no age limit.)</p>		
<p>7. Are the eradication measures proposed in the programme:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• justified and appropriate from a veterinary/scientific point of view?</li> <li>• adapted to the epidemiological situation?</li> <li>• In line with the relevant legislation?</li> </ul>		
Ovine animals :		
<p>8. Are the EU legal TSE monitoring requirements for sheep being fulfilled (No of tests on healthy slaughtered animals, on animals not slaughtered for human consumption, No of random genotyping tests, all TSE cases submitted to genotyping)?</p>		
<p>9. Are the figures provided consistent with the epidemiological situation (e.g. No of confirmatory tests, No of discriminatory tests, No of animals to be killed/slaughtered), between each others and with previous years' figures?</p>		
<p>10. If genotyping tests are planned in the frame of a breeding programme, is sufficiently described? Does it fulfil the criteria of Chapter C of Annex VII to Regulation (EC) No 999/2001?</p>		

Programme element and relevant criteria	1. Additional elements / information to request to the CA 2. Changes and/or additions to the programme that should be required to the CA	Assessment <sup>i</sup>
<p>11. Are the eradication measures (culling/slaughtering/genotyping) proposed in the programme:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• justified and appropriate from a veterinary/scientific point of view?</li> <li>• adapted to the epidemiological situation?</li> <li>• In line with the relevant legislation?</li> </ul>		
Caprine animals :		
<p>12. Are the EU legal TSE monitoring requirements for caprine animals fulfilled (No of tests on healthy slaughtered animals, on animals not slaughtered for human consumption)?</p>		
<p>13. Are the figures provided consistent with the epidemiological situation (e.g. No of confirmatory tests, No of discriminatory tests, No of animals to be killed/slaughtered), between each others and with previous years' figures?</p>		
<p>14. Are the eradication measures (culling/slaughtering/genotyping) proposed in the programme:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• justified and appropriate from a veterinary/scientific point of view?</li> <li>• adapted to the epidemiological situation?</li> <li>• In line with the relevant legislation?</li> </ul>		

<i>Overall assessment of the programme<sup>i</sup> (poor/fair/good/very good) :</i>
<i>List additional information that may be required for a complete final assessment of the programme (to be performed by the Commission):</i>
<i>Proposed changes and/or additions that may be required:</i>

<b>Individual assessment<sup>ii</sup></b> Expert name:  Date                      Signature	<b>Consensus assessment<sup>ii</sup></b> Rapporteur name:  Date                      Signature
	Expert name:  Date                      Signature

<sup>i</sup> Definitions grades to be given to the programmes (overall and separate elements)

Poor	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Relevant information required by Commission Decision 2008/425/EC is missing</li> <li>• Information necessary to assess the validity of a proposed measure is missing</li> <li>• Contradictory information is provided in the programme</li> <li>• Incompliance with the EU legislation identified</li> </ul>
------	---

---

Fair	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Globally compliant with the requirements and acceptably clear for the assessor but still clarifications, modifications or additional information is needed</li></ul>
Good	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Fully compliant and clear or very minor clarifications needed</li></ul>
Very good	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The quality and precision of the programme or measure deserve a special mention</li></ul>

<sup>ii</sup> Check the box as appropriate and sign the corresponding part, for individual assessment on the left, for consensus assessment in the boxes on the right.