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1. **INTRODUCTION**

The first TSE\(^1\) Roadmap\(^2\), provided an outline of possible future changes to EU measures on TSEs in the short, medium and long-term while still making food safety and consumer protection the highest priority. The majority of short and medium term actions envisaged in the first TSE Roadmap have been achieved and the positive trend already observed in 2005 in the Bovine Spongiform Encephalopathy (BSE) epidemic has continued since then. At the same time, the impact of BSE on human health appears to be more limited than initially feared.

This Communication is complemented by a Commission Staff Working Document (CSWD) where Annexes referred to in the Communication can be found and which *inter alia* includes an overview of the achievements of the first TSE roadmap over the period 2005-2009.

The goal for the coming years is to continue the review of the measures while assuring a high level of food safety. Amendments to the TSE rules are and will continue to be taken following a stepwise approach supported by a solid scientific basis. In this respect, the scientific advice provided by the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) should continue to play a crucial role to consider future policy options. It is also of paramount importance to continue research in those areas where information is lacking or gaps exist which do not allow firm decisions to be taken.

The aim of this Communication is to outline future possible amendments allowing a review of the measures to align them with the situation where the EU is finally on the last pathway to eradicate BSE within its cattle population. However vigilance should be ensured in order to continue to monitor the situation in case of a potential re-emergence of BSE or emergence of a new TSE agent in cattle population.

This review should be primarily driven by scientific advice and technical issues related to the control and enforcement of the new measures.

---

\(^1\) TSE = Transmissible Spongiform Encephalopathy (see definition in Annex I to CSWD).

2. **ACTIONS ENVISAGED FOR THE PERIOD 2010 – 2015**

2.1. **Further revision of the list/age limit for Specified Risk Materials (SRM)**

**Strategic goal:**

To ensure and maintain the current level of consumer protection by continuing to assure safe removal of SRM but modify list/age based on new & evolving scientific opinions.

2.1.1. **Current legislation**

Specified Risk Materials (SRM) are the organs considered to harbour the BSE infectivity in an animal affected by BSE. In the EU, the removal of SRM from the food and feed chains is mandatory since 2000. The removal of SRM is the most important public health protection measure. The list of SRM is established based on scientific knowledge and a high level of precaution. The restrictions on the use of SRM include a prohibition to use certain products for the production of derived products for use in food and feed such as tallow, gelatine, collagen and dicalcium phosphate.

2.1.2. **Future policy options**

Any amendment of the current list of SRM should be based on new evolving scientific knowledge while maintaining the existing high level of consumer protection within the EU. However, the list of SRM to be removed from the food and feed chains should also take into account the epidemiological situation based on the data gained from BSE surveillance. EFSA is currently conducting a reassessment of the pertinence of the SRM list in small ruminants and the final opinion should be available by the end of 2010. Since it is impossible, however, to consider the complete elimination of risk as a realistic objective for any risk management decision, the scientific advice should aim for a quantitative or a semi-quantitative approach taking into account the favourable epidemiological situation regarding BSE in the European Union. The alignment of the EU SRM list with the international standards of World Organisation for Animal Health (OIE) should be sought (in particular for bovine intestines) if supported by solid scientific advice based on quantitative risk assessments. The current obligation for Member States benefiting from a negligible risk status according to the OIE Code\(^3\) to remove SRM from the food and feed chain could be reviewed if an increasing number of Member States reaches the negligible status for which no SRM list has been established.

2.2. **Further revision of the feed ban**

**Strategic goal:**

To review certain measures of the current total feed ban when certain conditions are met.

---

\(^3\) [http://www.oie.int/eng/normes/mcode/en_chapitre_1.11.6.htm](http://www.oie.int/eng/normes/mcode/en_chapitre_1.11.6.htm)
2.2.1. Current legislation

A ban on the feeding of mammalian meat and bone meal (MBM) to cattle, sheep and goats was introduced as of July 1994. In order to manage the risk of presence of prohibited material in ruminant feed through cross-contamination, this partial ban was extended to a total EU wide suspension on the use of processed animal proteins (PAP) in feed for any animals farmed for the production of food on 1 January 2001 with some exceptions like the use of fish meal for non ruminants. Any presence of prohibited constituents of animal origin in feed breaches the feed ban since the legislation does not provide for any tolerance.

The table below illustrates the current provisions of the feed ban:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Farmed animals other than fur animals</th>
<th>Pets and fur animals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ruminants</td>
<td>Non ruminants (except fish)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood meal from ruminants</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood products from ruminants</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gelatine from ruminants</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrolysed proteins other than those derived from non ruminants or from ruminant hides and skins</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood meal from non ruminants</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fishmeal</td>
<td>NA&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood products from non ruminants</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Di and tricalcium phosphate of animal origin</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrolysed proteins from non ruminants or from ruminant hides and skins</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non ruminant gelatine</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egg, egg products, milk, milk products, colostrum</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal proteins other than the above-mentioned ones</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<sup>4</sup> Milk replacers containing fishmeal and intended only for unweaned ruminants are authorised.
A = authorised
NA = not authorised

2.2.2. Ongoing Research

As part of its annual work programme, the Community Reference Laboratory for animal proteins (CRL-AP) in feed investigated the strength of the microscopic method regarding the quantitative determination of animal constituents in feedingstuffs (to estimate the total amount of animal proteins in feed which is needed to allow the introduction of any tolerance level in feedingstuffs). The preliminary results of this evaluation revealed that the current method is not reliable for the purpose of quantification.

In addition, the CRL-AP is investigating the performance of different new diagnostic methods which may identify the species (ruminant, pig or poultry) of traces of MBM found in feed. Indeed, the mandatory treatment of mammalian proteins at 133°C, 3 Bars during 20 minutes results in very small fragments of animal proteins which are difficult to detect by the current analytical methods. The results of this study should be available during the second half of 2010.

2.2.3. Possible gradual lifting of the feed ban

The starting point when revising the current feed ban provisions should be risk-based but at the same time should take into account the control tools in place to evaluate (i.e. the availability of a reliable test to identify the species of trace of MBM).

– Tolerance level for PAP in feed for farmed animals

In order to apply a risk-based approach in case prohibited PAP has been detected, a certain tolerance level may be established.

On December 2009, the Commission asked EFSA to provide an updated quantitative risk assessment on the risk linked to small amounts of processed animal proteins in feed. The EFSA opinion is expected by the end of 2010. Based on the EFSA conclusions, an introduction of a tolerance level with regard to a very small presence of PAP in feed may be proposed without jeopardising the current eradication measures.

– Lifting feed ban provisions for non-ruminants (pigs, poultry, fishes)

Currently, PAP forbidden for feeding purposes are used mainly to produce fertilizers, compost or carburant for cement works. However, PAP may be a source of proteins for non-ruminant farmed animals which need to be fed with high quality proteins. Considering that the transmission risk of BSE from non ruminants to non-ruminants is very unlikely, a lifting of the ban on the use of PAP from non-ruminants in non-ruminant feed could be considered, but without lifting the existing prohibition on intra-species recycling (e.g. poultry MBM could only be fed to pigs and pig MBM to poultry). Moreover the reintroduction of PAP in non-ruminant feed may enable the EU to decrease the dependence on other sources of proteins.

Such a measure would however be acceptable only if validated analytical techniques to determine the species origin of PAP are available. In addition, considering the limitation
inherent in any control method, correct channelling of PAP from different species will be an important part of any review of the current feed ban provisions. The valorisation of PAP for feeding purposes will have to be compared to the investments needed to comply with the channelling requirements.

2.3. Further revision of BSE surveillance

Strategic goal:

To continue to adapt the BSE monitoring system in bovine animals with a better targeting of the surveillance activity while keeping the capacity to monitor the evolution of the epidemiological situation and to assess the effectiveness of the protective measures in place.

2.3.1. Current legislation

The goal of the surveillance is to monitor and assess the effectiveness of control measures taken such as the feed ban and SRM removal by following the evolution of BSE prevalence over the years.

According to TSE legislation, each Member State shall carry out an annual monitoring programme for BSE including a screening procedure using rapid tests approved for that purpose. This programme shall cover as a minimum all bovine animals above 30 months of age slaughtered normally for human consumption (healthy slaughtered animals) and all bovine animals above 24 months of age which have died/been killed or been sent for emergency slaughter (risk animals).

However, a Member State which can demonstrate, based on epidemiological criteria, the improvement of the BSE situation on its territory may send an application to the Commission with a view to being authorised to revise its monitoring programme. Since 2009, 17 Member States\(^5\) have been authorised to review their monitoring programmes and to raise the age limit for testing to 48 months based on their favourable epidemiological situation and following positive EFSA opinions.

This increase in age limit for testing has led to a diminution of roughly 30 % of the number of tests performed annually in the EU in 2009 compared to 2008 (Chart 1 in Annex III to CSWD) while keeping the same capacity to provide a reliable insight into the prevalence and evolution of BSE in the Member States. The same diminution can be observed for the costs associated to the detection of one BSE case in slaughterhouse (they have dropped from € 14,15 Million in 2008 to € 10,1 Million in 2009, see Chart 3 in Annex III to CSWD).

2.3.2. Future policy options

Depending on the results of the ongoing monitoring programmes, a further revision of the BSE monitoring programmes may be envisaged for Member States complying with epidemiological criteria. Such options could include:

---

\(^5\) Belgium, Cyprus, Denmark, Germany, Ireland, Greece, Spain, France, Italy, Luxembourg, Netherlands, Portugal, Austria, Slovenia, Finland, Sweden, United Kingdom.
– the continuation of the gradual increase in the age limits for testing of all healthy slaughtered animals and risk animals;

– testing of a statistical sample size of bovine animals above a certain age in each subpopulation (healthy slaughtered and risk animals);

– testing of bovine animals in each subpopulation based on their date of birth and the effective implementation of the feed ban.

Any future option should allow the continuous detection of an increase in BSE epidemic or an emergence of new TSE strains. In particular, since atypical BSE cases were detected over the last few years in animals older than 8 years old in the EU, any revision of BSE surveillance should not impair the detection of these cases. In addition, due to the single market and the free movement of bovine animals between Member States, the practical aspects in terms of control should not be disregarded and any new system put in place should remain easily manageable. Finally, in the mid-term, the revision of BSE surveillance should not prevent Member States from maintaining their OIE status as regards BSE risk.

### 2.4. Further revision of scrapie eradication measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategic goal:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>To adapt the current eradication measures in TSE infected flocks of sheep and goats to bring them in line with the latest scientific knowledge and to develop sustainable tools to control TSE in small ruminant flocks in the EU.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 2.4.1. Current legislation

The current provisions for the eradication of TSEs in sheep flocks are based on a combination of different tools (total or selective culling of susceptible animals in infected flocks, breeding programmes to select for resistance to TSEs in high genetic merit flocks, restocking with resistant animals and reinforced surveillance in infected flocks). For goat herds, total culling is the only option applicable if classical scrapie is detected.

Special measures are however in place for atypical scrapie cases in order to take into account their limited spread of infection within a flock: animals are exempted from culling but they shall be submitted to an intensified TSE surveillance during two breeding years without any possibility to be moved from their herd.

#### 2.4.2. Past and on-going research

In goats, unlike sheep, there is no clearly identified genetic resistance or susceptibility to TSEs. In 2008, the final results of an EU funded pilot project study conducted in Cyprus and aimed at the identification of the effect of certain genes on scrapie resistance/susceptibility in goats seemed to indicate that some genes could be associated with resistance/susceptibility to classical scrapie in goats in CY. In view of the importance for the EU eradication policy in the goat population, EU funds have been allocated for the design and implementation of a protocol for additional studies in order to supplement the initial findings of the Cypriot pilot study. This protocol, finalised in
September 2009, aims to collect data to gain further knowledge about genetic resistance to scrapie in goats. First results should be available in 2011.

Furthermore, a scientific assessment jointly performed by EFSA and the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC) on any possible association between TSEs in animals and humans is ongoing and the results of this work could be of great interest as regards the zoonotic potential of TSEs in small ruminants.

2.4.3. Future policy options

The high complexity of TSEs in small ruminants (due mainly to the existence of different strains of prions), the current uncertainties as regards their zoonotic potential and the great diversity of factors influencing the transmission and maintenance of scrapie within and between flocks make it necessary to continue the reflection on the future legislative actions to take in order to control TSE in small ruminant flocks in the EU. The following actions could be considered:

- to establish the conditions for small ruminants herd certification as regards TSE based on results of rapid tests and on OIE guidelines in order to avoid the inadvertent spread of scrapie through infected preclinical animals;
- to further adapt measures for atypical scrapie if scientific data confirms that this scrapie strain is not contagious;
- to take advantage of genetic resistance in goats if further research indicates genetic resistance of certain genotypes within the goat population;
- to continue to encourage genetic control of scrapie in sheep through breeding programmes (while avoiding inbreeding or genetic drift) as these programmes appear to be effective at controlling the disease.

In any case, future research results and scientific advice concerning TSE in small ruminants will be the key elements influencing future policy options.

2.5. Cohort culling in bovine animals

| Strategic goal: |
| To review the culling policy in BSE infected herds. |

2.5.1. Current legislation

In the case of confirmation of a BSE case in a holding, the current rules foresee the killing and complete destruction of bovine animals belonging to the "cohort" of the BSE case (i.e. bovine animals born in the same herd as the case within 12 months preceding or following the date of birth of the case and which may have consumed the same contaminated feed as the case). By way of derogation, it is possible to allow a Member State to defer the killing and complete destruction of cohort animals until the end of their productive lives. Only Germany applied for this derogation so far and was authorised to use it in 2007. Furthermore, where the BSE case is a female, its progeny born within two years prior to, or after, clinical onset of the disease shall be destroyed.
2.5.2. **Future policy options**

As the number of positive animals detected within the cohort animals in the EU is now very low (2 in 2008, 0 in 2009), a proposed alternative could be to stop the systematic cohort culling and to authorise the slaughtering of these animals for human consumption provided that animals are tested with negative results before entering the food chain.

2.6. **Ante-mortem and post-mortem rapid tests**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategic goal:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>To continue to promote the development of the best rapid tests available for detecting TSEs.</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.6.1. **Current legislation**

Only the rapid tests which are listed in the TSE legislation can be used for the monitoring of TSE in the EU. Before being able to be listed, any rapid test has to be thoroughly evaluated as regards its analytical performances and has to be positively recommended to the Commission by EFSA.

2.6.2. **On-going activities for the development of laboratory tests**

The Commission completed the first evaluation of rapid diagnostic tests for BSE in cattle in 1999. Further evaluations of rapid diagnostic tests for TSEs in ruminants have subsequently been carried out. In 2007, the Commission, aware that developmental work on other tests had continued, decided to launch a new open call for expression of interest intended to cover ante and post-mortem tests for the detection of TSE in large (cattle) and small (sheep and goats) ruminants. This call was launched for a 5 year period and its objectives are to identify new tests and to select those that are suitable for inclusion in an evaluation programme based on EFSA scientific protocols. The call allows test manufacturers which have tests already at an advanced stage to apply in order to have their test evaluated for their suitability to use in the EU TSE surveillance programmes.

2.6.3. **Future policy option**

The option to test live animals if validated ante-mortem tests become available could be envisaged. The usefulness of this option for controlling BSE in bovine animals is limited nowadays. This option would however be of great help for herd certification in small ruminants' herds.
3. **ALTERNATIVE SCENARIOS IF THE POSITIVE TREND DOES NOT CONTINUE IN ALL MEMBER STATES AT THE SAME PACE.**

The level of protection of consumers should be the same across the EU. But the epidemiological situation between the different Member States justifies the situation that, where certain Member States would be eligible for further amendments, others would not. The practical implementation and practices will therefore force the adoption of certain amendments limited to certain Member States. The amendment of the BSE surveillance system was an example where only 17 Member States were allowed to amend the BSE monitoring programme.

Even if all indicators regarding the prevalence of BSE in bovine animals suggest that a future increase of BSE cases is unlikely, alternative scenarios should be envisaged if the decline in BSE cases is not confirmed in all Member States.

In that case, more stringent measures regarding SRM removal could be envisaged for those Member States with a lower decline of BSE cases. As a final measure, a temporary embargo might be envisaged which would allow the situation in the individual Member State to be addressed without penalising the other Member States where the negative trend is not confirmed.
4. **Conclusion**

The review of the measures related to TSE must be based on an appropriate assessment of the possible risks for human and animal health and must, taking into account existing scientific evidence and innovation, maintain or, if scientifically justified, increase the level of protection of human and animal health. It is impossible, however, to consider the complete elimination of risk as a realistic objective for any risk management decision in matters regarding food safety, where the cost and benefits of risk-reducing measures have to be carefully weighed in order to ensure the measure’s proportionality. It is the role and responsibility of the risk manager to decide the acceptable level of risk, taking into account all the elements present in a scientific risk assessment.

Since any amendment will have to be supported by solid scientific advice, it is of paramount importance to continue research in those areas where information is lacking or gaps exist which do not allow firm decisions to be taken.

In addition, experience over the past two decades has demonstrated that BSE has been used abusively for protectionist ends, in particular by third countries. A strong and credible international framework is therefore of paramount importance to ensure that trade can take place under safe and fair conditions. The EU must take the lead in international standard setting bodies to promote European standards and policies, and align its legislation with international standards as far as possible.

In setting our future strategy it is also important not to lose sight of other threats to animal and public health which have emerged in recent years, such as Salmonella and antimicrobial resistance. The balance of evidence is increasingly pointing towards the need to better prioritise actions towards diseases which may have a bigger impact than TSEs in terms of public health and to set out EU funding accordingly. The encouraging trends in relation to BSE merit a considered review of the opportunities to focus on these other threats.
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1. **General Introduction**

This working document accompanies the Communication of the Commission to the European Parliament and the Council on the TSE Roadmap 2.

The working document provides background information on certain aspects developed in the Communication. In particular:

- it gives a glossary of the technical terms used in the Communication;
- it provides an overview of the main achievements of the first TSE Roadmap over the period 2005-2009;
- it shows the evolution of the BSE epidemiological situation in the EU since 2001;
- it provides the full list of TSE legislation adopted following the first TSE Roadmap.
2. ANNEX I: GLOSSARY

What are TSEs?

Transmissible Spongiform Encephalopathies (TSEs) are a family of diseases occurring in human and animals and are characterised by a degeneration of brain tissue giving a sponge-like appearance leading to death. The family includes diseases such as Creutzfeldt Jakob Disease (CJD) in humans, Bovine Spongiform Encephalopathy (BSE) in cattle, Scrapie in small ruminants (sheep and goats), Chronic Wasting Disease (CWD) in cervids. The commonly accepted cause of the TSE disease is a transmissible agent called a prion which is an abnormal form of a protein.

What is BSE?

Bovine Spongiform Encephalopathy (BSE) is a TSE disease of cattle. BSE was first diagnosed in the UK in 1986, and reached epidemic proportions due to cattle being fed with processed animal protein, produced from ruminant carcasses, some of which were infected. BSE is considered to be transmissible to humans by the oral route causing variant Creutzfeldt-Jacob Disease (vCJD).

What are the specified risk materials (SRM)?

SRM are the organs considered to harbour the BSE infectivity in an animal affected by BSE and which can consequently pose a risk to human health if consumed. For bovines the list includes: the skull excluding the mandible and including the brain and eyes, and the spinal cord of animals aged over 12 months, the vertebral column including the dorsal root ganglia of animals aged over 30 months, the tonsils, the intestines from the duodenum to the rectum and the mesentery of animals of all ages. According to EU rules, all SRM are removed from the food and feed chains in EU Member States and destroyed. SRM removal is the most important measure in terms of protection of public health against BSE.

What is the feed ban?

The feed ban is a preventive measure laid down against TSE and consists of a ban on the use of processed animal protein (PAP) in feed for farmed animals in order to avoid spreading BSE. Findings by the scientific committees linked the spread of BSE to the consumption of feed contaminated by the infected ruminant protein in the form of PAP. In other words PAP produced from ruminant carcasses, some of which were infected, was assumed to be the transmission route of BSE. Based on these findings a ban on the feeding of mammalian processed animal protein to cattle, sheep and goats was introduced in July 1994. The ban was expanded in January 2001 with the feeding of all processed animal proteins to all farmed animals being prohibited, with certain limited exceptions. This is to ensure that there is no cross-contamination between feed containing PAP intended for species other than ruminants and feed intended for ruminants. Only certain animal proteins considered to be safe (such as fishmeal) can be used, and even then under very strict conditions.
What are processed animal proteins (PAP)?

PAP are animal proteins derived from animal by-products and which have been treated so as to render them suitable for direct use as feed material or for any other use in feedingstuffs, including pet food, or for use in organic fertilisers or soil improvers; however, it does not include blood products, milk, milk-based products, colostrum, gelatine, hydrolysed proteins and dicalcium phosphate, eggs and egg-products, tricalcium phosphate and collagen.

What is TSE monitoring/testing?

Adequate surveillance forms the basis for successful detection, control and eradication of TSEs. Since May 1998, EU-wide measures on surveillance have been in place. Each Member State has to carry out an annual monitoring programme for TSEs based on active surveillance (testing without previous suspicion) and passive surveillance (testing of clinical suspects identified by veterinarians/farmers) which applies to both bovine animals and ovine and caprine animals. The monitoring programme provides a reliable insight into the prevalence and evolution of TSEs in the Member States and at the same time ensures that no BSE cases are being slaughtered for human consumption.

Since the start of an expanded monitoring programme on BSE in 2001, more than 87 million cattle have been tested in the EU, in addition to those tested as BSE suspects. The number of positive cases in 2009 (67 cases) has continued to decrease compared to previous years: 2008 (125), 2007 (175), 2006 (320), 2005 (561), 2004 (865), 2003 (1376), 2002 (2124) and 2001 (2167). This consistent fall proves the effect of the strict EU measures put in place. However, a complete eradication of BSE will still take years, given its long incubation period.

What is the OIE?

The OIE is the World Organisation for Animal Health. It is the intergovernmental organisation responsible for improving animal health worldwide. The need to fight animal diseases at global level led to the creation of the Office International des Epizooties (OIE) through the international Agreement signed on January 25th 1924. In May 2003 the Office became the World Organisation for Animal Health but kept its historical acronym OIE. It is recognised as a reference organisation by the World Trade Organization (WTO) and in 2010, had a total of 175 Member Countries and Territories. The OIE maintains permanent relations with 36 other international and regional organisations and has regional and sub-regional offices on every continent.

What is EFSA?

The European Food Safety Authority (EFSA), set up in January 2002 following a series of food crises in the late 1990s, is an independent source of scientific advice and communication on risks associated with the food chain. As a risk assessor, EFSA produces objective and independent scientific opinions and advice to provide a sound foundation for European policies and legislation and to support the European Commission, European Parliament and EU Member States in taking effective and timely risk management decisions. EFSA’s remit covers food and feed safety, nutrition, animal health and welfare, plant protection and plant health.

Since the adoption of the first TSE Roadmap in 2005, major steps have been taken as regards the following domains.

- **Specified risk materials**
  
  The first step was an increase in the age limit for the removal of the vertebral column from 12 to 24 months on 1 January 2006 based on scientific advice\(^1\). Following updated scientific evidence\(^2\), a further increase in the age limit for the removal of the vertebral column as specified risk material in cattle from 24 to 30 months was adopted in April 2008.

- **UK embargo**
  
  A second major step, unanimously supported by all Member States, was the lifting of the restrictions on the trade of live bovine animals and products thereof originating from the UK which entered into force on 2 May 2006.

- **Categorisation of countries according to their BSE risk**
  
  In June 2007, Member States and third countries or regions thereof were classified into three risk categories as regards BSE (negligible risk, controlled risk and undetermined risk) according to OIE standards. The categorisation is updated every year based on OIE Resolutions\(^3\).

- **Monitoring programme for small ruminants (sheep and goats)**
  
  In July 2007 the monitoring was reduced to a level similar to the situation before the increased monitoring programmes in small ruminants decided in 2005 following the detection of BSE in a goat. No new BSE cases were detected in the small ruminant population following this increased surveillance.

- **Monitoring programme for bovine animals**
  
  Based on their favourable BSE situation (i.e. their clearly declining or consistently low BSE prevalence), 17 Member States have been authorised to increase the age limit for their BSE monitoring programmes (EU-15 MS as from 1\(^{st}\) January 2009, then Slovenia as from November 2009 and Cyprus as from April 2010).

---


\(^2\) The EFSA Journal (2007) 476, 1-47. Opinion of the Scientific Panel on Biological Hazards on a request from the European Commission on the infectivity in SRM derived from cattle at different age groups estimated by back calculation modelling.

\(^3\) [http://www.oie.int/eng/Status/BSE/en_BSE_free.htm](http://www.oie.int/eng/Status/BSE/en_BSE_free.htm)
- **Rapid tests approved for TSE monitoring**

In 2008, the Community Reference Laboratory (CRL) for TSEs assessed the analytical sensitivity of all the currently approved TSE rapid tests against the same sample sets for the three main types of ruminant TSEs: BSE, classical scrapie and atypical scrapie. Subsequently, EFSA provided a scientific evaluation of the CRL study and recommended certain modifications regarding the approval of the current rapid tests\(^4\). The Commission initiated legislative actions to align the legislation with EFSA recommendations.

- **Eradication measures in small ruminants (sheep and goats)**

In July 2007, new rules were introduced as regards the eradication measures applicable in flocks where scrapie was detected and specific measures were adopted for flocks affected by atypical scrapie because, even if the knowledge of this disease is still limited, it has been scientifically recognised that atypical scrapie differs in terms of risk and epidemiology from classical scrapie. In February 2009, following the publication of a scientific opinion from EFSA\(^5\), animal health protective measures in relation to milk and milk products coming from classical scrapie infected flocks were adopted in order to prevent the spread of classical scrapie to other ruminant flocks through feeding.

- **Eradication measures in bovine animals**

The legal basis was introduced in 2006 to defer the killing and complete destruction of cohort animals until the end of their productive lives following an application from the Member States. Only Germany applied for this derogation and was authorised to use it in 2007.

- **Feed ban**

A tolerance on the presence of bone fragments originating from unavoidable environmental contamination has been introduced in 2005 for beet pulp. This derogation was extended to all feed materials of plant origin in 2009. In September 2008, the possibility to use fishmeal in milk replacers destined for young ruminants has been introduced.

On 23 May 2006, the European Commission appointed the Centre Wallon de recherches agronomiques (CRA-W) in Gembloux (Belgium) as Community Reference Laboratory for the detection of animal proteins (AP) in feedingstuffs.

---


– Chronic wasting disease in cervids (e.g. deer, reindeer)

In 2007 and according to EFSA recommendations\(^6\), a survey was launched in order to detect the possible presence of TSEs in wild and farmed cervids in the EU. This survey, which was based on statistical planning, has now been completed. About 13,000 tests were performed on wild and farmed cervids and no positive case was detected.

4. ANNEX III: EVOLUTION OF BSE EPIDEMIOLOGICAL SITUATION SINCE 2001

Chart 1: Total tests performed in bovine animals during the period 2001–2009 in the EU

Chart 2: Evolution of the number of BSE positive cases in the EU since 2001
Chart 3: Evolution of the costs (M€) per BSE case detected in slaughterhouse since 2001
5. ANNEX IV: CHRONOLOGICAL LIST OF TSE LEGISLATION ADOPTED FOLLOWING THE FIRST TSE ROADMAP

### 2005

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Legal text</th>
<th>Contents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### 2006

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Legal text</th>
<th>Contents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**2006**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Legal text</th>
<th>Contents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**2007**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Legal text</th>
<th>Contents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007/315/EC: Commission Decision of 30 April 2007 laying down specific measures to be applied by Cyprus with regard to scrapie (OJ L 118, 8.5.2007, p. 23)</td>
<td>Specific measures for Cyprus regarding Scrapie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007/453/EC: Commission Decision of 29 June 2007 establishing the BSE status of Member States or third countries or regions thereof according to their BSE risk (OJ L 172, 30.6.2007, p. 84)</td>
<td>Establishing the BSE status of Member States and certain third countries</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**2007/667/EC: Commission Decision** of 15 October 2007 authorising the use of at risk bovine animals until the end of their productive lives in Germany following official confirmation of the presence of BSE (OJ L 271, 16.10.2007, p. 16)  

**BSE cohort culling in Germany**


Amendment of R 999/2001. Import conditions for products of animal origin from bovine, ovine and caprine animals


Amendment of R 999/2001. Derogation: possibility to delay the destruction of animals in TSE affected flocks for 5 breeding years

### 2008

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Legal text</th>
<th>Contents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
### 2008

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Legal text</th>
<th>Contents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Commission Decision (EC) 2008/829</strong> of 30 October 2008 amending the Annex to Decision 2007/453/EC establishing the BSE status of Member States or third countries or regions thereof according to their BSE risk (OJ L 294, 1.11.2008, p. 14)</td>
<td>Update of classification of countries according to their BSE risk</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2009

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Legal text</th>
<th>Contents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Commission Decision (EC) 2009/719 of 28 September 2009 authorising certain Member States to revise their annual BSE monitoring programmes (OJ L 256, 29.9.2009, p. 35)</td>
<td>Slovenia is included in the list of Member States that may revise their annual BSE monitoring programmes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commission Decision (EC) 2009/830 of 11 November 2009 amending the Annex to Decision 2007/453/EC as regards the BSE status of Chile, Colombia and Japan (OJ L 295, 12.11.2009, p. 11)</td>
<td>Update of classification of countries according to their BSE risk</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2010

| Commission Decision (EC) 2010/66 of 5 February 2010 authorising certain Member States to revise their annual BSE monitoring programmes (OJ L 035, 6.2.2010, p. 21) | Cyprus is included in the list of Member States that may revise their annual BSE monitoring programmes |