## SME\_Public\_Procurement\_survey\_2018

Fields marked with \* are mandatory.

# Reporting on public procurement for SMEs: questionnaire for business organisations

Dear business organisations with knowledge in the SME field,

The questionnaire is part of the work of the SME Envoy Network and aims to compare SMEs' access to public procurement in the Member States. It is structured around the four following points:

- the transposition of Directive 2014/24/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 February 2014 on the award of public contracts;
- the administrative and legal procedures;
- the selection and award criteria;
- payments.

The approach here is to address the challenges faced by SMEs with regard to the award and obtaining of public procurement contracts at the national (federal) level. At the same time a questionnaire on the same themes is presented to the procurement officers in the 28 EU states. It is therefore a bottom-up approach which should help better identify existing problems in practice. In this respect, the questionnaire differs from that sent by the Commission this year as it directly addresses the practical aspects of the implementation of the legislation underlying the transposition of Directive 2014/24/EU. [1]

The report based on the answers of this questionnaire will be summarised and discussed in a report under the auspices of the EU SME Envoy network. The results will give insights on how to make public procurement easier for SMEs, where needed.

#### About filling in the survey

The survey asks single choice and multiple choice questions and also open questions. Sometimes, after answering a question, a more specific question will pop-up to clarify the given answer.

Please answer all questions, as far as they apply to your experiences with public procurement.

Your name and contact details will only be used in case the survey team would like to ask you some clarifications, if any. They also will be provided in case others reading the report would be interested to know more details. (In case this would not be an option for you, please mention this in the respective fields in the questionnaire.)

At any time you can save a draft, and continue another time (for this, please keep the subscription ID that will be given to you after saving your answers.)

If you feel at a certain moment that the possibilities for answering do not fit your response completely, you can give additional information under question IV.1.

Under question IV.2 you have the possibility to upload any files that might be interesting regarding public procurement for SMEs (e-links or texts of the procurement process, forms, evaluations, explanations, etc.). You can add many files, but any file should not be larger than 1 Mb. If you have larger files, please mail them directly to the contact persons mentioned below.

#### Deadline / timelines for filling in this questionnaire

We would be greatful if you can fill in the questionnaire before 10 August 2018. In case you would have any questions or additional remarks (or would have difficulties to reach the deadline), please e-mail to the contact persons mentioned below.

It is the team of the SME Envoy of Belgium, in cooperation with the European Commissions, who is practically carrying out the survey and related reporting.

On behalf of the SME Envoy network we would like to thank you already for the efforts you make in completing this survey.

Best regards,

The Belgian 2018 Public Procurement Survey Team

E-mail: Johan.Westra@economie.fgov.be

Cc: Renaud.Gosselin@economie.fgov.be and Hilde.desadeleer@economie.fgov.be

\* Please indicate the country where the organisation is primarly located

(21 June 2018)

ItalyLatviaLithuania

[1] Procurement Monitoring Report Template In view of the Member States' reporting process under Directives 2014/23/EU, 2014/24/EU and 2014/25 /EU

#### I. Practical information

Austria
Belgium
Bulgaria
Croatia
Cyprus
Czech Republic
Denmark
Estonia
Finland
France
Germany
Greece
Hungary
Ireland

Luxembourg
Malta
Netherlands
O Poland
O Portugal
Romania
Slovak Republic
O Slovenia
O Spain
Sweden
United Kingdom
Other
Please explain in which country or territory you are active
I.I. Contact information
1) Name and address of the organisation
2) The organisation is:
a) A European network
b) A national network
c) A national business organisation
d) An international business organisation
O e) Other
2.e) Please explain
2) Name of the paragraphs fills in most of this guartianneire
3) Name of the person who fills in most of this questionnaire
Your first name
Title

*4) Email
5) Name and e-mail address of a colleague to contact if you cannot be reached
I.II. General information
<ul> <li>I.II. 1) Have you participated in public procurement procedures in the past 3 years?</li> <li>a) Yes</li> <li>b) No</li> </ul>
1.1.a) If yes, in how many procedures?
1.2.a) How many contracts did you win?
1.1.b) Please explain why not
1.2.b) Are you interested in taking part in the future?  O Yes O No
1.2.b.1) Please explain why
1.2.b.2) Please explain why not
I.II. 2) Were you provided with enough information about the changes introduced by the transposition of the new Directive 2014/24/EU which has led to new national regulatory procedure
in terms of public procurement?
Please give us your opinion on a scale from 1 (not notified) to 5 (perfectly informed).
© 1
© 2
© 3
© 4
© 5

2.1) How have you been informed?
Where appropriate, you can fill in multiple fields
a) In writing b) Orally c) Other
2.1.1.c) Please specify
2.2) Through which channel were you informed?  Where appropriate, you can fill in multiple fields
a) Central government b) Regional/local government c) European Commission d) Other
2.2.1.d) Please specify
I.II. 3) Have you received any training or support following the implementation of the new directive
2014/24/EU and the changes to the national regulatory procedures of public procurement?
a) Yes b) No
3.1.a) What kind of training or support?
3.2.a) Do you think it was relevant?
a) Yes b) No
3.3.a) Have you received any specific training or support to participate in public tendering procedures and
how to prepare a public tender?
O Yes O No
3.3.a.1) Please specify which
More answers possible
a) Training b) Guidelines c) Advice d) Other
3.3.a.1.1.d) Please specify which other form of training or support
3.1.b) Would it be useful? If so, what kind of training would be most useful?
II. Administrative and legal procedures

### II.I. Obligatory electronic means of communication

II.I. 1) Does the mandatory use of electronic means (i.e. paperless) simplify the procedure?
a) Yes b) No
1.1.a) Please describe why
1.1.b) Please describe why not
II.I. 2) Is your organisation ready for the processing of the procedure for awarding public contracts
electronically?
a) Yes b) No
2.1.b) Please describe why not
I.II. Exclusion and selection criteria
II.II. 1) Do you consider the exclusion conditions to be proportionate for:
1.1) The grounds for exclusion related to social and/or tax debts?
a) Yes b) No c) I do not know
1.1.1.b) Please describe why not
1.2) The optional grounds for exclusion?
a) Yes b) No c) I do not know
1.2.1.b) Please describe why not
II.II. 2) Do you use e-CERTIS to prove your certificates?
<ul><li>a) Yes</li><li>b) No</li><li>c) I do not know about e-CERTIS/ Not</li><li>applicable</li></ul>
2.1.b) Please describe why not
2.1.5) Floado dodono why not

II.II. 3) Do you think that the information requested concerning the economic, financial and/or		
technical capacity of the bidder are proportional to the objective pursued?		
a) Yes b) No c) I do not know		
3.1.a) Please clarify your opinion mentioned above on a scale of 1 to 5 (1 being the lowest degree of proportionality):		
© 1		
© 2		
© 3		
© 5		
3.1.b) Please describe why not		
II.II. 4) The use of the European Single Procurement Document (ESPD) facilitates well the procedure for SMEs?		
a) Yes b) No c) I do not know/ Not applicable		
4.1.a) Please explain why		
4.1.b) Please explain why not		
4.2.b) How do you think it should be amended?		
WW 5) Ware you called for other contitionts in addition to the FCRRS		
<ul><li>II.II. 5) Were you asked for other certificates in addition to the ESPD?</li><li>a) Yes</li><li>b) No</li></ul>		
a) res b) No		
5.1.a) What certificates (frequency and in which cases)?		
II.III. Technical specifications; Contract performance conditions; Award criteria		
Total opening, some actions, than of the second sec		
II.III. 1) According to you, the measures for the protection of intellectual property rights in the		
specifications are sufficient?		
<ul><li>a) Yes</li><li>b) No</li><li>c) I do not know</li></ul>		

1.1.b) How could they be better protected
<ul> <li>II.III. 2) Do the specifications sometimes contain social and/or environmental criteria (label requirements, certificates, etc.)?</li> <li>a) Yes</li> <li>b) No</li> </ul>
2.1.a) Do you think that social and/or environmental criteria are for the benefit of SMEs?  O Yes O No O I do not know
2.1.b) Do you consider that social and/or environmental criteria would benefit SMEs?  O Yes O No
<ul> <li>II.IIII 3) Do the specifications sometimes contain minimum technical specifications or minimum social and/or environmental requirements (requirement of labelling, certificates, etc.)?</li> <li>a) Yes</li> <li>b) No</li> </ul>
3.1.a) Do you think that minimum technical specifications or minimum social and/or environmental requirements are for the benefit of SMEs?  O Yes O No
3.1.b) Do you consider that minimum technical specifications or minimum social and/or environmental requirements would benefit SMEs?  Yes No
<ul> <li>II.III. 4) Do the specifications sometimes contain social and / or environmental conditions for the performance of the contract?</li> <li>a) Yes</li> <li>b) No</li> </ul>
4.1.a) Do you think that social and/or environmental conditions of execution of the contract are for the benefit of SMEs?  O Yes O No O I do not know
4.1.b) Do you consider that social and/or environmental conditions of execution of the contract would benefit SMEs?  O Yes O No O I do not know
II.III. 5) Above the thresholds (see table below), is there enough use of division into lots?  a) Yes  b) No
5.1) Do you consider that the possibility of limiting the number of lots is a good thing?  (a) Yes (b) No

5.1.1.a) Please explain w	/hy		
5.1.1.b) Please explain w	vhy not		
II.III. 6) Below the thres	holds (see table below), is there enou	ugh use of division into lot	s?
a) Yes b) No			
<ul><li>.1) Do you consider tha</li><li>a) Yes</li><li>b) No</li></ul>	t the possibility of limiting the number of	lots is a good thing?	
a) Yes b) No			
.1.1.a) Please explain v	vhv		
, ,			
5.1.1.b) Please explain w	vhy not		
Sectors	Markets	Thresholds	
	works	EUR 5 548 000	
Traditional sectors	supplies and services for central government authorities	EUR 144 000	
	supplies and services for sub-central	EUR 221 000	
	contracting authorities		
Utilities and defence/security	works	EUR 5 548 000 EUR 443 000	
detelleciseculity	supplies and services		
Concessions	work (public) service concessions	EUR 5 548 000 EUR 5 548 000	
	Service concessions	EUR 5 546 000	
-	it useful that your country imposes a		ontracting
_	access by SMEs to public procuremen	nt contracts?	
a) Yes b) No			
.1.a) Please explain wh	ich kind of conditions		
	o lots is an effective means of involve	ing SMEs in public procure	ement?
a) Yes b) No			
.1.b) Please explain wh	v not		
. r.b) riease expiairi wn	y not		

procurement?  a) Yes  b) No  c) I do not know
9.1) Have these other measures been effective?  (a) Yes (b) No
9.1.1.a) Please explain which ones and why
9.1.1.b) Please explain which ones and why not
<ul> <li>II.III. 10) Is the innovation partnership (relating to the construction and purchase of new innovative products, services or works, without a separate tendering procedure being necessary) beneficial to SMEs?</li> <li>a) Yes</li> <li>b) No</li> <li>c) I do not know what the innovation</li> </ul>
partnership is/ Not applicable
10.1.a) Please explain why  10.1.b) Please explain why not
10.1.b) Flease explain why hot
II.III. 11) During the public procurement procedures in which you participated in the last year, what criteria have been used:
a) the lowest price/cost
<ul><li>b) mainly the lowest price but in combination with quality criteria</li><li>c) mainly quality criteria</li></ul>
11.1.c) Please explain what kind of quality criteria
II.III. 12) Do the criteria you mentioned in the previous question help improve your chances of success in tendering procedures?
a) Yes b) No
12.1.a) Please explain why

II.III. 9) Have other measures been developed to facilitate the participation of SMEs in public

12.1.b) Please explain why not and what other criteria would you use first?
II.III. 13) In your opinion, compared to large enterprises, do SMEs use proportionately less the possibility of notification, in the event of the non-retention of their submission?  a) Yes b) No c) I do not know
13.1.a) Please explain why
13.1.b) Please explain why not
<ul> <li>II.III. 14) Below the EU threshold, do you consider an automatic notification concerning the reasons why the tender was not chosen to be good for SMEs?</li> <li>a) Yes</li> <li>b) No</li> <li>14.1.a) Please explain why</li> </ul>
14.1.b) Please explain why not
III. Payment
III. 1) Is the possibility of spreading the payments adequately promoted?  a) Yes  b) No  c) I do not know
III. 2) Has your organisation generally been paid on time:  a) Yes  b) No
III. 3) Is there an automatic payment system for interest and compensation in the event of to late payment?  a) Yes  b) No  c) I do not know
3.1.b) Is there an other procedure for claiming interest and compensation?

○ Yes ○ No ○ I don not know
3.1.b.1) Please explain which procedure is applicable?
3.1.b.2) Do you use the procedures put in place to benefit from it?
a) Yes b) No
3.1.b.2.b) Please explain why not
III. 4) Is the possibility offered to a direct tenderer to authorize the contracting authority to pay sub-
contractors directly?
a) Yes b) No c) I do not know
IV. Additional information
IV. 1) Do you have any other comments? (This can be additional information to any of the
questions above, or completely new information on the subject, evaluations, reflections, etc.)

IV. 2) Here you can upload files that might be interesting in the context of this questionnaire (texts on regulations, evaluations, ..., etc.)

The maximum size is 1 MB per file, if the file is larger you can send the file to johan.westra@economie.fgov.be and hilde. desadeleer@economie.fgov.be