

# Open Public Consultation on the revision of the Directive 2006/42/EC on machinery

Fields marked with \* are mandatory.

## Introduction

---

The Machinery Directive is the core European legislation regulating products of the mechanical engineering industries. It aims at (i) ensuring a high level of safety and protection for machinery users and other exposed persons and (ii) securing the free movement of machinery in the internal market.

An evaluation of the Directive was finalized in 2018. The overall conclusion of this evaluation was that the Directive is generally relevant, effective, efficient, coherent and has EU added value. However, a need for greater legal clarity of some of its provisions and better coherence with other legislation was identified. It further detected some administrative requirements that affect the efficiency of the Directive and could be simplified. In addition, the evaluation indicated that shortcomings in monitoring and enforcement of the Directive have affected its effectiveness. The evaluation showed that the Directive, supported by the New Approach principles, is relatively flexible to allow technological developments in a digital era. Yet, new innovations in digitisation may test the Directive's effectiveness and fitness for purpose going forward.

The Commission is following up on the findings of the evaluation and will analyse the impacts of possible areas for improvement and implications through an impact assessment. This questionnaire is one of the contributions to this impact assessment.

## About you

---

### \* 1 Language of my contribution

- ☐ Bulgarian
- ☐ Croatian
- ☐ Czech
- ☐ Danish
- ☐ Dutch
- ☐ English
- ☐ Estonian
- ☐ Finnish
- ☐ French
- ☐ Gaelic
- ☐ German
- ☐ Greek
- ☐ Hungarian
- ☐ Italian
- ☐ Latvian

- ☐ Lithuanian
- ☐ Maltese
- ☐ Polish
- ☐ Portuguese
- ☐ Romanian
- ☐ Slovak
- ☐ Slovenian
- ☐ Spanish
- ☐ Swedish

\* 2 I am giving my contribution as

- ☐ Academic/research institution
- ☐ Business association
- ☐ Company/business organisation
- ☐ Consumer organisation
- ☐ EU citizen
- ☐ Environmental organisation
- ☐ Non-EU citizen
- ☐ Non-governmental organisation (NGO)
- ☐ Public authority
- ☐ Trade union
- ☐ Other

\* 3 First name

\* 4 Surname

\* 5 Email (this won't be published)

\* 6 Scope

- ☐ International
- ☐ Local
- ☐ National
- ☐ Regional

\* 7 Organisation name

*255 character(s) maximum*

\* 8 Organisation size

- ☐ Micro (1 to 9 employees)
- ☐ Small (10 to 49 employees)
- ☐ Medium (50 to 249 employees)
- ☐ Large (250 or more)

## 9 Transparency register number

*255 character(s) maximum*

Check if your organisation is on the [transparency register](#). It's a voluntary database for organisations seeking to influence EU decision-making.

## \* 10 Country of origin

Please add your country of origin, or that of your organisation.

- |   |   |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|
| <input type="radio"/> Afghanistan         | <input type="radio"/> Djibouti                              | <input type="radio"/> Libya            | <input type="radio"/> Saint Pierre and Miquelon                    |
| <input type="radio"/> Åland Islands       | <input type="radio"/> Dominica                              | <input type="radio"/> Liechtenstein    | <input type="radio"/> Saint Vincent and the Grenadines             |
| <input type="radio"/> Albania             | <input type="radio"/> Dominican Republic                    | <input type="radio"/> Lithuania        | <input type="radio"/> Samoa  |
| <input type="radio"/> Algeria             | <input type="radio"/> Ecuador                               | <input type="radio"/> Luxembourg       | <input type="radio"/> San Marino                                   |
| <input type="radio"/> American Samoa      | <input type="radio"/> Egypt                                 | <input type="radio"/> Macau            | <input type="radio"/> São Tomé and Príncipe                        |
| <input type="radio"/> Andorra             | <input type="radio"/> El Salvador                           | <input type="radio"/> Madagascar       | <input type="radio"/> Saudi Arabia                                 |
| <input type="radio"/> Angola              | <input type="radio"/> Equatorial Guinea                     | <input type="radio"/> Malawi           | <input type="radio"/> Senegal                                      |
| <input type="radio"/> Anguilla            | <input type="radio"/> Eritrea                               | <input type="radio"/> Malaysia         | <input type="radio"/> Serbia                                       |
| <input type="radio"/> Antarctica          | <input type="radio"/> Estonia                               | <input type="radio"/> Maldives         | <input type="radio"/> Seychelles                                   |
| <input type="radio"/> Antigua and Barbuda | <input type="radio"/> Ethiopia                              | <input type="radio"/> Mali             | <input type="radio"/> Sierra Leone                                 |
| <input type="radio"/> Argentina           | <input type="radio"/> Falkland Islands                      | <input type="radio"/> Malta            | <input type="radio"/> Singapore                                    |
| <input type="radio"/> Armenia             | <input type="radio"/> Faroe Islands                         | <input type="radio"/> Marshall Islands | <input type="radio"/> Sint Maarten                                 |
| <input type="radio"/> Aruba               | <input type="radio"/> Fiji                                  | <input type="radio"/> Martinique       | <input type="radio"/> Slovakia                                     |
| <input type="radio"/> Australia           | <input type="radio"/> Finland                               | <input type="radio"/> Mauritania       | <input type="radio"/> Slovenia                                     |
| <input type="radio"/> Austria             | <input type="radio"/> Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia | <input type="radio"/> Mauritius        | <input type="radio"/> Solomon Islands                              |
| <input type="radio"/> Azerbaijan          | <input type="radio"/> France                                | <input type="radio"/> Mayotte          | <input type="radio"/> Somalia                                      |
| <input type="radio"/> Bahamas             | <input type="radio"/> French Guiana                         | <input type="radio"/> Mexico           | <input type="radio"/> South Africa                                 |
| <input type="radio"/> Bahrain             | <input type="radio"/> French Polynesia                      | <input type="radio"/> Micronesia       | <input type="radio"/> South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands |
| <input type="radio"/> Bangladesh          | <input type="radio"/> French Southern and Antarctic Lands   | <input type="radio"/> Moldova          | <input type="radio"/> South Korea                                  |

- |                                    |                                     |                            |  |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| ● Barbados                         | ● Gabon                             | ● Monaco                   | ● South Sudan                          |
| ● Belarus                          | ● Georgia                           | ● Mongolia                 | ● Spain                                |
| ● Belgium                          | ● Germany                           | ● Montenegro               | ● Sri Lanka                            |
| ● Belize                           | ● Ghana                             | ● Montserrat               | ● Sudan                                |
| ● Benin                            | ● Gibraltar                         | ● Morocco                  | ● Suriname                             |
| ● Bermuda                          | ● Greece                            | ● Mozambique               | ● Svalbard and Jan Mayen               |
| ● Bhutan                           | ● Greenland                         | ● Myanmar /Burma           | ● Swaziland                            |
| ● Bolivia                          | ● Grenada                           | ● Namibia                  | ● Sweden                               |
| ● Bonaire Saint Eustatius and Saba | ● Guadeloupe                        | ● Nauru                    | ● Switzerland                          |
| ● Bosnia and Herzegovina           | ● Guam                              | ● Nepal                    | ● Syria                                |
| ● Botswana                         | ● Guatemala                         | ● Netherlands              | ● Taiwan                               |
| ● Bouvet Island                    | ● Guernsey                          | ● New Caledonia            | ● Tajikistan                           |
| ● Brazil                           | ● Guinea                            | ● New Zealand              | ● Tanzania                             |
| ● British Indian Ocean Territory   | ● Guinea-Bissau                     | ● Nicaragua                | ● Thailand                             |
| ● British Virgin Islands           | ● Guyana                            | ● Niger                    | ● The Gambia                           |
| ● Brunei                           | ● Haiti                             | ● Nigeria                  | ● Timor-Leste                          |
| ● Bulgaria                         | ● Heard Island and McDonald Islands | ● Niue                     | ● Togo                                 |
| ● Burkina Faso                     | ● Honduras                          | ● Norfolk Island           | ● Tokelau                              |
| ● Burundi                          | ● Hong Kong                         | ● North Korea              | ● Tonga                                |
| ● Cambodia                         | ● Hungary                           | ● Northern Mariana Islands | ● Trinidad and Tobago                  |
| ● Cameroon                         | ● Iceland                           | ● Norway                   | ● Tunisia                              |
| ● Canada                           | ● India                             | ● Oman                     | ● Turkey                               |
| ● Cape Verde                       | ● Indonesia                         | ● Pakistan                 | ● Turkmenistan                         |
| ● Cayman Islands                   | ● Iran                              | ● Palau                    | ● Turks and Caicos Islands             |
| ● Central African Republic         | ● Iraq                              | ● Palestine                | ● Tuvalu                               |
| ● Chad                             | ● Ireland                           | ● Panama                   | ● Uganda                               |
| ● Chile                            | ● Isle of Man                       | ● Papua New Guinea         | ● Ukraine                              |
| ● China                            | ● Israel                            | ● Paraguay                 | ● United Arab Emirates                 |
| ● Christmas Island                 | ● Italy                             | ● Peru                     | ● United Kingdom                       |
| ● Clipperton                       | ● Jamaica                           | ● Philippines              | ● United States                        |
| ● Cocos (Keeling) Islands          | ● Japan                             | ● Pitcairn Islands         | ● United States Minor Outlying Islands |

- |  |                                  |   |   |
|--|----------------------------------|---|---|
| <input type="radio"/> Colombia                         | <input type="radio"/> Jersey     | <input type="radio"/> Poland                                      | <input type="radio"/> Uruguay           |
| <input type="radio"/> Comoros                          | <input type="radio"/> Jordan     | <input type="radio"/> Portugal                                    | <input type="radio"/> US Virgin Islands |
| <input type="radio"/> Congo                            | <input type="radio"/> Kazakhstan | <input type="radio"/> Puerto Rico                                 | <input type="radio"/> Uzbekistan        |
| <input type="radio"/> Cook Islands                     | <input type="radio"/> Kenya      | <input type="radio"/> Qatar                                       | <input type="radio"/> Vanuatu           |
| <input type="radio"/> Costa Rica                       | <input type="radio"/> Kiribati   | <input type="radio"/> Réunion                                     | <input type="radio"/> Vatican City      |
| <input type="radio"/> Côte d'Ivoire                    | <input type="radio"/> Kosovo     | <input type="radio"/> Romania                                     | <input type="radio"/> Venezuela         |
| <input type="radio"/> Croatia                          | <input type="radio"/> Kuwait     | <input type="radio"/> Russia                                      | <input type="radio"/> Vietnam           |
| <input type="radio"/> Cuba                             | <input type="radio"/> Kyrgyzstan | <input type="radio"/> Rwanda                                      | <input type="radio"/> Wallis and Futuna |
| <input type="radio"/> Curaçao                          | <input type="radio"/> Laos       | <input type="radio"/> Saint Barthélemy                            | <input type="radio"/> Western Sahara    |
| <input type="radio"/> Cyprus                           | <input type="radio"/> Latvia     | <input type="radio"/> Saint Helena Ascension and Tristan da Cunha | <input type="radio"/> Yemen             |
| <input type="radio"/> Czech Republic                   | <input type="radio"/> Lebanon    | <input type="radio"/> Saint Kitts and Nevis                       | <input type="radio"/> Zambia            |
| <input type="radio"/> Democratic Republic of the Congo | <input type="radio"/> Lesotho    | <input type="radio"/> Saint Lucia                                 | <input type="radio"/> Zimbabwe          |
| <input type="radio"/> Denmark                          | <input type="radio"/> Liberia    | <input type="radio"/> Saint Martin                                |   |

#### \* 11 Publication privacy settings

The Commission will publish the responses to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would like your details to be made public or to remain anonymous.

##### ☐ **Anonymous**

Only your type, country of origin and contribution will be published. All other personal details (name, organisation name and size, transparency register number) will not be published.

##### ☐ **Public**

Your personal details (name, organisation name and size, transparency register number, country of origin) will be published with your contribution.

#### ☐ \* 12 I agree with the [personal data protection provisions](#)

#### \* 13 How familiar are you with Directive 2006/42/EC on machinery?

- ☐ I have detailed knowledge of the Directive, its objectives, the limits and the requirements/obligations that it imposes across all industry sectors
- ☐ I have detailed knowledge of the Directive, its objectives, the limits and the requirements/obligations that it imposes on a specific sector
- ☐ I am aware of the existence of the Directive but not of all its specific contents
- ☐ I do not really know the Directive

#### \* 14 Are you or do you represent a:

- ☐ Manufacturer of machinery (or parts)

- ☐ Importer of machinery (or parts)
- ☐ Distributor of machinery (or parts)
- ☐ Industry association of producers, importers or distributors of machinery (or parts)
- ☐ Professional/worker using machinery
- ☐ Private user of machinery
- ☐ Consumer organisation
- ☐ Researcher/academia
- ☐ Machinery safety consultant
- ☐ Authority that enforces machinery rules
- ☐ Standardisation organisation
- ☐ Notified Body
- ☐ Other

\* 15 Is your organization representing standardisers at:

- ☐ National level
- ☐ European level

\* 16 Are you involved in:

- ☐ EC type-examination
- ☐ Quality assurance

\* 17 Please explain:

## General questions

---

\* 18 What kind of machinery is relevant for you or your organisation/institution?  
[select as many as relevant]

- ☐ Construction
- ☐ Agriculture
- ☐ Mining and quarrying
- ☐ Food processing
- ☐ Car and vehicle manufacture
- ☐ Wind energy
- ☐ Other power production
- ☐ General manufacturing
- ☐ Horticulture and gardening

- ☐ Power tools for personal use
- ☐ Leisure industry
- ☐ Machine tool manufacture
- ☐ Other

\* 19 Please explain:

\* 20 Have you experienced (or heard about) difficulties in buying machinery from or selling machinery to other countries in the EU/EFTA/Switzerland/Turkey?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ No opinion

21 Has any of the following aspects caused difficulties?

	No difficulties	Some difficulties	Major difficulties	No opinion
* Identifying the risks	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Identifying the essential health and safety requirements	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Finding the right standard	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Doing the conformity assessment	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Preparing documentation	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Translating documentation into other EU languages	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Receiving the correct Declaration of Conformity	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Receiving correct instructions	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Understanding where responsibility lies for CE marking of machinery or assemblies of machinery	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

\* 22 Please explain your choices:

\*23 Have you ever encountered (or heard about) situations in which the safety of users (or domestic animals or property) was at risk when using machinery?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ No opinion

\*24 Please specify the problem and the type of machinery:

\*25 Was the machinery that caused the problem purchased from a company in the EU/EFTA/Switzerland/Turkey?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ I do not know

\*26 Have you ever encountered (or heard about) situations in which the safety of users (or domestic animals or property) was at risk as a result of the internet connection of the machinery?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ No opinion

\*27 Please specify the problem and the type of machinery:

\*28 Was the machinery that caused the problem purchased from a company in the EU/EFTA/Switzerland/Turkey?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ I do not know

\*29 Have you ever experienced difficulties in understanding or finding the information you needed in the user manual provided with machinery you purchased or used (or have you seen evidence of such difficulties)?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ I do not usually read the user manual
- ☐ No opinion

\*30 Is it because:

- ☐ The manual was too complex / technical
- ☐ The manual was badly written / translated into my language
- ☐ I had to read the manual in a language that was not my mother tongue
- ☐ There was no translation into my mother tongue
- ☐ The manual is not available to users within the organization



☐ Other

\*31 Please specify:

\*32 How should machinery manuals be delivered to users? [select the two methods you most prefer]

- ☐ Always a printed user manual
- ☐ Printed manual should be available on demand only
- ☐ Access to a digital user manual (online or displayed by the product)
- ☐ Access to manual on external device such as DVD/USB stick
- ☐ A short printed Quick-Start Guide and an access to a more in-depth online user manual
- ☐ Other

\*33 Please specify:

\*34 What should be included in the Quick Start Guide in addition to setting up the machine and turning it on?

- ☐ Basic handling information, weights etc.
- ☐ Details of controls
- ☐ Details of safety related control systems
- ☐ Other

\*35 Please specify:

\*36 What would be the impact of switching solely to online manuals?

- ☐ Users would use online manuals only
- ☐ Users would print the online manual, but only in their own language
- ☐ Users would print just relevant parts of the manual
- ☐ For those without internet access it would be much more difficult to access the manual
- ☐ Other

\*37 Please explain:

\*38 When preparing manuals, what is the current cost of the following elements?

- ☐ Translating a manual into EU languages where the product is placed on the market
- ☐ Printing the manual
- ☐ Shipping cost (the manual adds weight to the package)

☐ Other

\*39 Please explain:

\*40 Please try to provide an estimate of the cost in man-hours, or percentage of turnover, or percentage of production cost (purchasing costs), or just describe how significant it is. Please describe also the product you refer to:

\*41 Could you estimate the total annual volume of paper used for printing the manuals that accompanies the machinery? You can provide a number of individual manuals, number of pages, cubic meters or other ways of measuring it:

\*42 Have you had the need to update manuals?

- ☐ Yes  
☐ No

\*43 Do you need to send new copies to existing customers? Give any example:

\*44 Would having electronic manuals make updates easier?

- ☐ Yes  
☐ No

\*45 Please assess the potential cost saving of the following options and explain their magnitude (how does it compare to the current situation and what cost savings you would expect as a % of total costs now)?

- ☐ On-line manuals only  
☐ On-line manuals + printouts on demand  
☐ On-line manuals + printed Quick Start Guide

\*46 Please detail how it compares to the current situation and what cost savings you would expect as a % of total costs now:

\*47 Do you currently own or have you previously owned any of the following types of autonomous domestic robots?

- ☐ A robot vacuum cleaner  
☐ A robot lawn mower  
☐ A drone  
☐ A robotic walker

- ☐ A robot pet/companion
- ☐ A robot assistant (a physical robot intended to assist in tasks such as cleaning, security, smart home control, and/or messaging and schedule management)
- ☐ A robotic toy (a physical robot intended for entertainment purposes only)
- ☐ Other domestic robot
- ☐ None of them

\*48 Please specify:

\*49 Were your domestic robots purchased in the EU/EFTA/Switzerland/Turkey?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ I do not know

\*50 Are any of your domestic robots connected to the internet?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ I do not know

\*51 When using domestic robots, have you ever encountered situations in which your safety, or the safety of someone else, or domestic animals, or property, was at risk?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ I do not know

\*52 Please specify the problem:

\*53 Was the machinery that caused the problem purchased in the EU/EFTA /Switzerland/Turkey?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ no
- ☐ I do not know

\*54 Do you have security/safety/privacy concerns which impact your willingness to buy household appliances with internet connection?

- ☐ I have no related security concerns
- ☐ I am concerned, but I use the internet connection anyway
- ☐ I am concerned, and use the internet connection only when necessary, and /or I have taken other measures (such as covering the camera, disabling the microphone or limiting the areas of the house I use the robot in)
- ☐ I am concerned, and as a consequence I do not use the internet connection

- ☐ I am obliged to use the internet connection since otherwise my domestic robot can not function properly
- ☐ Other concerns
- ☐ I do not buy such appliances

\*55 Please specify:

## Questions for potential improvement/simplification of existing provisions

---

This section intends to collect feedback from stakeholders on:

- the scope of the Directive and whether it is sufficient in some particular cases;
- the need for additional definitions;
- some essential health and safety requirements and whether they are sufficient;
- the categories of machinery subject to conformity assessment involving a Notified Body.

## Questions related to the scope (Article 1)

---

\*56 When producing/importing/distributing machinery, where do you search for information on what is required for compliance?

- ☐ In the Official Journal of the EU
- ☐ On the Commission website
- ☐ In the Machinery Guide
- ☐ On national authorities' webpages
- ☐ On industry association webpages/or in their guidance
- ☐ On a consultant/Notified Body website
- ☐ Other

\*57 Please specify:

\*58 Are you a manufacturer, importer or distributor of:

- ☐ Electrical and electronic equipment
- ☐ Pressure equipment
- ☐ Lifts
- ☐ Nuclear machinery
- ☐ Other machinery

\*59 After your search, was it difficult to identify what piece of legislation (safety requirements and procedures) you need to follow to obtain a CE marking?

- ☐ Yes

- ☐ No
- ☐ Not applicable

\* 60 Was the product in question:

- ☐ Household appliance intended for domestic use
- ☐ Audio or video equipment
- ☐ Information technology equipment
- ☐ Ordinary office machinery
- ☐ Low-voltage switchgear or control gear
- ☐ Electric motor
- ☐ Large high-volume printer
- ☐ Other

\* 61 Have you encountered problems in ensuring compliance for your product?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No

\* 62 Have national authorities (market surveillance) questioned your decision on which directive/national rules to comply with?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No

\* 63 Please explain what needs to be clarified and how:

\* 64 Have you encountered problems due to exclusions of certain low voltage machinery from the scope of the Machinery Directive (Article 1.2(k))?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ I do not know

\* 65 Please explain. If possible, provide statistics on the number of cases where there has been confusion about how to apply the Article 1.2(k) exemption:

66 Would the following changes make it clearer as to which rules (Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC or Low Voltage Directive 2014/35/EU) to follow?

	Agree	Disagree	No opinion
* Differentiate explicitly between consumer and commercial/professional products, so that low voltage machinery for consumer use is excluded, whereas the products for commercial/professional use are not	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

* Remove the exclusion of low voltage machinery in Art. 1.2 (k) of Machinery Directive so that the machinery whose risks are mainly of electrical origin are covered exclusively by the Low Voltage Directive	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* More standards available for these products	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Other	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

\*67 Please explain your choices:

\*68 Would the above changes require some one-off investments, such as staff training, new equipment, new internal procedures, etc.?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ No opinion

\*69 Please provide an estimate of these cost changes at your choice:

- ☐ In man-hours
- ☐ % of your turnover
- ☐ % of your total production or purchasing costs

\*70 Please provide your estimate here:

\*71 Would you consider that initial cost as significant?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No

\*72 Would the above changes lead to change in recurrent annual costs of compliance with the Directive requirements?

- ☐ Costs would increase
- ☐ Costs would not change
- ☐ Costs would decrease

\*73 Would you consider that recurrent annual cost change as significant?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No

\*74 Please provide an estimate of these recurrent annual cost changes at your choice:

- ☐ In man-hours
- ☐ % of your turnover
- ☐ % of your total production or purchasing costs

\*75 Please provide your estimate here:

\*76 Have you encountered enforcement/standardisation problems due to exclusions of certain low voltage machinery from the scope of the Machinery Directive (Article 1.2(k))?

- ☐ Yes  
☐ No  
☐ I do not know

\*77 Please explain. If possible, provide statistics on the number of cases of non-compliance due to confusion about how to apply the Article 1.2(k) exemption:

78 Would the following changes make it clearer as to which rules (Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC or Low Voltage Directive 2014/35/EU) to follow?

	Agree	Disagree	No opinion
* Differentiate explicitly between consumer and commercial/professional products, so that low voltage machinery for consumer use is excluded, whereas the products for commercial/professional use are not	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Remove the exclusion of low voltage machinery in Art. 1.2 (k) of Machinery Directive so that the machinery whose risks are mainly of electrical origin are covered exclusively by the Low Voltage Directive	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* More standards available for these products	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Other	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

\*79 Please explain your choices:

\*80 Would those changes facilitate enforcement of the Machinery Directive or standardisation process?

- ☐ Yes  
☐ No  
☐ I do not know

\*81 Please explain. If possible provide your estimation of the reduction of non-compliant machinery on the market:

\* 82 Would those changes result in additional or reduced costs for your administration?

- ☐ Yes increased costs
- ☐ Yes reduced costs
- ☐ No change
- ☐ I do not know

\* 83 Would these changes impact the annual costs for enforcement/standard setting?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ I do not know

\* 84 Please provide an estimate of these annual costs at your choice:

- ☐ In man-hours
- ☐ % of your turnover

\* 85 Please provide your estimate here:

\* 86 The Pressure Equipment Directive 2014/68/EU contains specific essential safety requirements to address hazards due to pressure. However, pressure equipment classified no higher than category I is excluded from the Pressure Equipment Directive and can be covered by the Machinery Directive (e.g. motorised valves, pressure cookers). As a consequence, that product can be self-assessed by the manufacturer instead of involving a third party conformity assessment body to certify it.

Do you consider that this exclusion from the Pressure Equipment Directive (which has specific essential safety requirements to address hazards due to pressure) leads to increased safety concerns (such as explosion due to pressure)?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ No opinion

\* 87 Would it be beneficial for the safety of the machinery if, in addition to the Machinery Directive, the Pressure Equipment Directive also applied even if the items of pressure equipment are classified no higher than category I under the Pressure Equipment Directive?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ No opinion

\* 88 Would this change lead to increased or reduced costs for your organisation:



- ☐ Increased
- ☐ Reduced
- ☐ No change

\*89 Please provide an estimate of the costs of such change [at your choice]:

- ☐ In man-hours
- ☐ % of your turnover
- ☐ % of your total production or purchasing costs

\*90 Please provide your estimate here:

\*91 The Machinery Directive applies to lifting appliance whose speed is not greater than 0.15 m/s. Lifts whose speed is above 0.15 m/s are covered by the Lifts Directive 2014/33/EU. Given the technical progress in lifts sector, there are suggestions to increase the maximum speed for lifting appliance/platforms under the Machinery Directive from 0.15 m/s to 0.50 m/s. As a consequence, that product can be self-assessed by the manufacturer itself instead of involving a third party conformity assessment body to certify it as required by the Lifts Directive.

Do you consider that such increase of the speed limit for lifts creates safety problems?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ No opinion

\*92 Please explain:

\*93 Would such a speed limit increase for lifts lead to increased or reduced costs for your organisation:

- ☐ Increased
- ☐ Reduced
- ☐ No change

\*94 Please provide an estimate of the costs of such change [at your choice]:

- ☐ In man-hours
- ☐ % of your turnover
- ☐ % of your total production or purchasing costs

\*95 Please enter the estimate here:

\*96 The Machinery Directive excludes machinery specially designed or put into service for nuclear purposes which, in the event of failure, may result in an emission of radioactivity.

Do you agree that the exclusion should refer only to machinery specially designed or put into service for nuclear purposes which, in the event of failure, may result in a *direct* emission of radioactivity *by the machinery itself*?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ No opinion

\*97 Please explain:

\*98 Would this change lead to increased or reduced costs for your organisation:

- ☐ Increased
- ☐ Reduced
- ☐ No change

\*99 Please provide an estimate of the costs of such change [at your choice]:

- ☐ In man-hours
- ☐ % of your turnover
- ☐ % of your total production or purchasing costs

\*100 Please provide your estimate here:

\*101 The Machinery Directive applies to products placed on the market for their intended use as defined and described in the manufacturer's instructions. There has been identified the need to establish criteria for machinery substantially modified during their use, that requires new declaration of conformity under the Machinery Directive.

Have you every modified your machinery during its use?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No

\*102 Was your CE marking questioned by authorities?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No

\*103 Did you go through a certification process again?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No

\*104 Did you encounter any problem?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No

\* 105 What kind of problem?

\* 106 How was it solved?

\* 107 Please explain what would be the appropriate criterion to define a substantial modification of machinery, considering also the Commission Blue Guide[1] guidance in this respect.

[1] The Blue Guide on the implementation of EU products rules 2016, section 2.1.

\* 108 Should the Directive define criteria for machinery modified substantially?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ No opinion

\* 109 Please explain:

\* 110 Would this change lead to increased or reduced costs for your organization?

- ☐ Increased
- ☐ Reduced
- ☐ No change

\* 111 Please provide an estimate of the costs of such modification [at your choice]:

- ☐ In man-hours
- ☐ % of your turnover
- ☐ % of total production or purchasing costs

\* 112 Please provide your estimate here:

## Questions related to definitions (Article 2)

---

\* 113 According to the definitions in Article 2, a 'machinery performs a 'specific application' while 'partly completed machinery' (PCM) cannot itself perform a specific application. The notion of 'specific application' is, however, not defined.

Did you experience any problems, such as:

- ☐ It led to wrong classification of the product, for instance as machinery instead of partly completed machinery
- ☐ The manufacturer of partly completed machinery did not fulfil all the applicable safety requirements which caused problems for the CE marking of the final machinery
- ☐ Other
- ☐ I did not experience any such problems

\* 114 Please specify:

\* 115 How would you define the notion of 'specific application'?

116 Do you think that other definitions or concepts need to be revised?

	Yes	No	No opinion
* Manufacturer	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Partly completed machinery	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Assembly	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* State of the art	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Nuclear purposes	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Other	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

\* 117 Please specify/elaborate:

## Questions related to essential health and safety requirements (Annex I)

---

\* 118 In the case of a lifting platform with carrier which is not completely enclosed, the current rules prescribe the technical solution, where the user needs to press a button throughout the movement of the platform. Such a requirement may restrict innovation given that there are other technological solutions on the market, such as for example light barrier curtains.

Do you think that the safety requirements should be revised to allow innovative

technologies to be used, such as for example light barrier curtains, for carriers which are not completely enclosed?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ No opinion

\* 119 Please explain whether these new technologies give rise to safety concerns or if they provide the same level of safety as hold-to-run buttons.

\* 120 Would the revision of the safety requirements to allow such innovative technologies lead to increased/reduced costs for your organization?

- ☐ Increased
- ☐ Reduced
- ☐ No change

\* 121 Please provide an estimate of the costs of such change [at your choice]:

- ☐ In man-hours
- ☐ % of your turnover
- ☐ % of your total production or purchasing costs

\* 122 Please provide your estimate here:

\* 123 Do you think that essential health and safety requirement (EHSR 1.5.8) on noise is coherent with the requirements of Outdoor Noise Directive 2000/14/EC?

- ☐ Yes, to a great extent
- ☐ Yes, to some extent
- ☐ Yes, to a minor extent
- ☐ No, to no extent

\* 124 Please elaborate:

Questions related to categories of machinery which may be subject to conformity assessment involving a Notified Body (Annex IV)

---

\* 125 Annex IV of the Directive sets out a strict list of categories of machinery which may be subject to one of the two conformity assessment procedures involving a Notified Body (EC type-examination or Full quality assurance) and to self-assessment by the manufacturer when it is manufactured in accordance with harmonised standards that cover all of the applicable essential health and safety requirements.

When an Annex IV machinery is manufactured in accordance with harmonised standards that cover all of the applicable essential health and safety requirements, do you think that the option of self-assessment by the manufacturer leads to safety concerns?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ No opinion

\* 126 Please elaborate:

\* 127 Do you think that removing the self-assessment option when the product is manufactured in accordance with harmonised standards that cover all of the applicable essential health and safety requirements?

- ☐ Yes, it will increase costs
- ☐ Yes, it will reduce costs
- ☐ No change expected
- ☐ I do not know

\* 128 Please provide an estimate of the additional / reduced costs of such change at your choice:

- ☐ In man-hours
- ☐ % of your turnover
- ☐ % of your total production or purchasing costs

\* 129 Please provide your estimate here:

\* 130 Do you think that other high risk categories of machinery should be added to Annex IV, therefore subject to conformity assessment procedures involving a notified body when harmonized standards that cover all of the applicable essential health and safety requirements are not used?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ No opinion

\* 131 Please provide an estimate of the additional costs of such change [at your choice]:

- ☐ In man-hours
- ☐ % of your turnover
- ☐ % of your total production or purchasing costs

\* 132 Please provide your estimate here:

## Questions for potential adaptation to robotics and artificial intelligence (machine learning)

---

Today's emerging digital technologies, for example, artificial intelligence (AI) and the Internet of things (where machinery used at work and/or at home is connected to the internet), have characteristics such as complexity, opacity of algorithms (black boxes), autonomy, data-dependence and vulnerability to cyber-attacks, which may bring new challenges in terms of ensuring the safety of machinery. Consequently, manufacturers must consider and address potential new risks.

The machines integrating these technologies have higher degrees of movement (they have more flexible and extended movements outside previous limits) and thanks to improved sensors, they can interact better with their environment. Furthermore, the increased digitisation means that machines are more connected to each other and to internet via the Internet of things networks.

\* 133 Do you think that the Machinery Directive sufficiently covers the safety of human-robot collaboration (i.e. robots working in the same operating space as humans)?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ No opinion

\* 134 Please elaborate:

\* 135 Do you think any essential health and safety requirements should be adapted to take into account humans and robots sharing a given space, and if yes, which ones?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ No opinion

\* 136 Please explain:

\* 137 Do you think any new essential health and safety requirements should be added to take into account humans and robots sharing a given space, and if yes, which ones?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ No opinion

\* 138 Please explain:

139 Please provide an estimate of the additional costs of such change [at your choice]:

- ☐ In man-hours
- ☐ % of your turnover.
- ☐ % of your total production or purchasing costs

140 Please provide your estimates here:

\* 141 Machine learning enables machines to operate by recognising patterns in complex data and to learn to operate in a new or modified way using experience or data.

Do you think that the Machinery Directive should explicitly address transparency of algorithms and datasets?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ No opinion

\* 142 Please explain:

\* 143 Machine learning software is programmed by humans (manufacturers) who must be able to reasonably foresee the risks posed by machinery integrating machine learning and consequently frame its learning capabilities to avoid harm to users or consumers.

Do you think that Machinery Directive should explicitly address software updates?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ No opinion

\* 144 How should software updates be treated under the Machinery Directive?

\* 145 Do you think that software which ensures a safety function and is placed independently on the market should be explicitly covered by the Machinery Directive and therefore considered a safety component (Article 2c)?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ No opinion

\* 146 Do you think that the concept of placing on the market is still relevant, in particular when software updates are added later on to the machinery?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐



No opinion

\* 147 Please explain:

\* 148 Do you think that the concept of foreseeable misuse as defined in the Machinery Directive is still relevant?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ No opinion

\* 149 Please explain:

## Questions for potential adaptation to cybersecurity

---

Cybersecurity can be considered as protection against the criminal or unauthorized use of electronic data or the machine control system, or the measures taken to achieve this.

\* 150 Do you think that the Machinery Directive covers cyber threats affecting health and safety, for instance hacking and taking control of a machine/robot?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ No opinion

\* 151 Please explain how:

\* 152 What requirements if any should be added?

- ☐ Only requirements concerning safety should be added
- ☐ Safety and security requirements should be added
- ☐ Only security requirements should be added
- ☐ No obligatory requirements should be added

\* 153 How should cybersecurity requirements for manufacturers of machinery be implemented in the EU?

- ☐ Via voluntary certification and labelling, for example the Cybersecurity Act
- ☐ Via sectorial legislation, for example the Machinery Directive
- ☐ Through a cross-cutting legislation applying to all products
- ☐ Via cross-cutting legislation complemented with more specific requirements in sectoral legislation.
- ☐ Other

\* 154 Please specify or explain why:

## Questions on conversion into a Regulation

---

\* 155 The evaluation of the Machinery Directive found that in some EU Member States the transposition into national law was delayed. Have you experienced problems due to these delays?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ I do not know

\* 156 Please elaborate:

\* 157 Have you experienced other problems due to differences in the transpositions of EU Member States?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ I do not know

\* 158 Please elaborate:

\* 159 Would you be in favour of having exactly the same rules on machinery safety applicable at the same time across the EU (converting the Directive into a Regulation)?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ I do not know

\* 160 Please elaborate:

## Questions for alignment to the NLF

---

The New Legislative Framework (NLF), adopted in 2008, is a package of measures to improve market surveillance in the EU and the quality of conformity assessments. In addition, it clarifies the use of the CE marking and creates a measures toolbox for use in product legislation. The NLF consists of Regulation (EC) 765/2008 setting out the requirements for accreditation and the market surveillance of products, Decision 768/2008 on a common framework for the marketing of products, and Regulation (EC) 764/2008 laying down procedures relating to the application of certain national technical rules to products lawfully marketed in another EU country.

\* 161 Would you be in favour of aligning the Machinery Directive to the New Legislative Framework?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ I do not know

\* 162 Please elaborate:

## Closing Questions

---

163 Please share any additional comments or remarks you may have regarding the topic of this public consultation.

164 Please feel free to upload a concise document, such as a position paper to support your responses.

The maximum file size is 1 MB

Only files of the type pdf,txt,doc,docx,odt,rtf are allowed