Contents

Acknowledgements 3

Abstract 4

Introduction 23

1. Handling non-sampling errors in comparative surveys 25
Lars Lyberg and Peter Lynn

1.1. Sampling and non-sampling errors 25

1.2. Comparative surveys 27

1.3. Error sources in comparative surveys 28

1.4. Controlling error 29

References 30

2. Investing in statistics: EU-SILC 33
Emilio Di Meglio, Didier Dupré and Sigita Grundiza

2.1. Introduction 33

2.2. EU-SILC instrument and its governance 33

2.2.1. Scope and geographical coverage 33

2.2.2. Main characteristics of EU-SILC 34

2.2.3. Legal basis 34

2.2.4. Common guidelines 35

2.3. Methodological framework 36

2.3.1. Contents of EU-SILC 36

2.3.2. Income concept 36

2.3.3. Sample requirements 38

2.3.4. Tracing rules 40

2.4. Information on quality 40

2.4.1. Some comparability issues 40

2.4.2. Quality reports 42

2.5. Data and indicators 43

2.5.1. Data access 43

2.5.2. Indicators computation 43

2.6. Way forward 44

References 44

Population coverage and survey non-response 45

3. The effect of exclusions from the target population on EU-SILC 47
Tara Junes

3.1. Introduction 47

3.2. General requirements for EU-SILC sampling frames 48
3.3. Under-coverage induced by the adopted target population definition 48
3.3.1. Exclusion of geographical areas 49
3.3.2. The non-private household population 49
3.3.3. Demographics of the non-private household population 51
3.4. Case study: under-coverage induced by the non-private household population in the Finnish EU-SILC 55
3.5. Conclusions 58
References 60

4. Frame errors in EU-SILC: under-coverage 61
Tara Junes
4.1. Introduction 61
4.2. Definition of sampling frames and under-coverage 62
4.3. Under-coverage in the EU-SILC sampling frames 63
4.3.1. Sampling frames in the EU-SILC countries 63
4.3.2. Under-coverage of EU-SILC sampling frames 64
4.4. Case study: under-coverage in the Finnish EU-SILC sampling frame 66
4.5. Conclusions 69
References 70

5. Representativeness of 2011 EU-SILC responses and response rates over time 71
Natalie Shlomo, Annemieke Luiten and Barry Schouten
5.1. Introduction 71
5.2. Assessment of representativeness 72
5.2.1. Population-based R-indicators 73
5.2.2. Unconditional partial R-indicators and coefficients of variation 74
5.3. Data 74
5.4. Results 76
5.4.1. Population-based coefficients of variation and response rates for 2011 76
5.4.2. Unconditional partial variable-level coefficients of variation 77
5.4.3. Unconditional partial category-level coefficients of variation 77
5.5. Response rates over time 79
5.6. Conclusions 81
References 83
Appendix: Unconditional partial category-level coefficients of variation 84

6. Impact on representativeness of EU-SILC panel attrition 91
Barry Schouten and Annemieke Luiten
6.1. Introduction 91
6.2. Assessment of representativeness 92

6.3. EU-SILC data 93
6.4. Results 94
6.4.1. Representativeness for census and EU-SILC variables 94
6.4.2. Contribution of census and EU-SILC variables to response propensity variation 99
6.5. Conclusions 106
References 106

7. The effect of proxy responses on non-response error 107
Peter Lynn
7.1. Introduction: proxy response 107
7.2. Advantages and disadvantages of proxy responses 108
7.3. Objectives of this chapter 109
7.4. Levels of proxy responding over time, between countries and across waves 109
7.5. Characteristics of sample members with proxy reports 118
7.6. Effects of proxy reports on estimates 119
7.7. Conclusions 121
References 123

8. Current best practice in minimising non-response error in panel surveys 125
Peter Lynn
8.1. Introduction 125
8.1.1. Non-response error 125
8.1.2. Causes of non-response 126
8.1.3. Approaches to minimising non-response error 127
8.2. Office procedures to minimise non-response 127
8.2.1. Sample management database 127
8.2.2. Mailings 127
8.2.3. Survey design and interviewer training 128
8.3. Field procedures to minimise non-response 128
8.3.1. Obtaining the interview 129
8.3.2. During and after each interview 129
8.3.3. Tracking and tracing 130
8.4. Targeted procedures 131
8.4.1. Basic ideas of targeting 131
8.4.2. Sample subgroups for targeting 131
8.4.3. Field procedures for targeting 131
8.5. Conclusions and recommendations 132
References 132

Statistical adjustment: weighting and imputation 137

9. Review of EU-SILC weighting methods 139
Mārtiņš Liberts

9.1. Introduction 139

9.2. DocSILC065 139

9.3. National quality reports 141

9.4. Net-SILC3 online consultation 141

9.4.1. Non-response adjustment for the first wave 142

9.4.2. Base weights and cross-section weights 143

9.4.3. Longitudinal weights 144

9.4.4. Calibration of weights 145

9.4.5. Plans for EU-SILC weighting 147

9.5. Conclusions 148

References 150

10. Use of registers in calibration 151
Mārtiņš Liberts

10.1. Introduction 151

10.2. General methodology 151

10.3. The case of Latvia 153

10.3.1. Data availability 154

10.3.2. Calibration strategy 154

10.3.3. Calibration variables 155

10.3.4. Results 157

10.4. Results for the other countries 158

10.4.1. Weights 158

10.4.2. The precision of estimates 161

10.5. Conclusions 163

References 164

11. Weighting for a modular structure 165
Andy Fallows

11.1. Introduction 165

11.2. Background 165

11.3. Approach 167

11.4. Results 168

11.5. Conclusion 169

11.5.1. Recommendations 170

References 170

Appendix: Expanded example of coding for composite calibration 172

12. Weighting panels together for cross-sectional estimation 173
Olena Kaminska
12.1. Introduction 173
12.2. Data 175
12.3. The nature of attrition in a rotational panel 175
12.4. Comparison of weighting methods: method 178
12.5. Results 180
12.6. Conclusions 182
References 183

13. Current best practice in weighting for a rotating panel 185
Gareth James, Mārtiņš Liberts and Peter Lynn
13.1. Introduction 185
13.2. Selection probabilities 186
13.3. Adjustments for non-response and attrition 186
13.4. Unknown eligibility 187
13.5. Combining panels and calibration 188
13.5.1. Combining panels 188
13.5.2. Calibration 189
13.6. Recommendations 191
13.7. Conclusion 191
References 191

14. Item non-response in EU-SILC income variables 193
Richard Heuberger
14.1. Introduction 193
14.2. Item non-response and total survey error 193
14.3. Sources of item non-response 194
14.4. Construction of flag variables 196
14.5. Analysis of non-response using user database flag variables 198
14.6. Conclusions 203
14.6.1. The level of non-response and structural effects 203
14.6.2. Experiences from other surveys 204
14.6.3. What is to be done? 204
References 205

15. Imputation for income variables in EU-SILC 207
Sophie Psihoda, Nadja Lendle, Richard Heuberger and Thomas Glaser
15.1. Introduction 207
15.2. Theoretical considerations 207

15.2.1. Reasons for imputation 207
15.2.2. Different imputation techniques 208
15.2.3. Single imputation methods 208
15.2.4. Repeated imputation methods: multiple imputation and fractional imputation 209
15.2.5. Combination of different methods and error terms 210
15.3. Country-specific descriptions of imputation 211
15.4. Outcomes 213
15.4.1. Empirical part 213
15.4.2. Simulation 215
15.5. Conclusions 218
References 219

16. Net–gross conversion in EU-SILC 221
Richard Heuberger
16.1. Introduction 221
16.2. Which methods are used in EU-SILC and how many cases are concerned? 222
16.2.1. Methods of net–gross and gross–net conversion in EU-SILC 222
16.2.2. How many cases are subject to conversion? 224
16.3. What are the main differences between the methods used? 228
16.4. Conclusions 229
Conclusion I: Documentation 229
Conclusion II: Improving conversion procedures 230
Conclusion III: Harmonisation versus individualisation 231
References 232

17. Longitudinal imputation of EU-SILC income variables 233
Nadja Lendle and Matthias Till
17.1. Introduction 233
17.2. Current practices and potential for longitudinal imputation of income variables 234
17.2.1. Last value carried forward 236
17.2.2. Uprating 236
17.2.3. Row-and-column imputation 236
17.3. A simple guide to 'row-and-column' imputation 237
17.3.1. Introduction 237
17.3.2. Example 238
17.4. Possible sensitivity of policy indicators to longitudinal imputation 240
17.4.1. Potential imputation sensitivity of at-risk-of-poverty rate 240
17.4.2. Potential sensitivity of persistent at-risk-of-poverty rates to imputation 242
17.4.3. Potential imputation sensitivity of 2-year at-risk-of-poverty rates 243
17.5. Recommendations on good practice 244
References 245

Comparability and validity of measures 247

18. Lessons and recommendations regarding the comparability of the EU-SILC income variables 249
Tim Goedemé and Lorena Zardo Trindade

18.1. Introduction 249

18.2. MetaSILC 2015 250

18.3. Findings 252

18.3.1. Challenges to comparability of the income target variables 252

18.3.2. Comparability issues with regard to total household income 256

18.4. Conclusion and recommendations 259

References 260

19. The validity and comparability of EU-SILC health variables 263
Stefaan Demarest and Rana Charafeddine

19.1. Introduction 263

19.1.1. Development of the EU-SILC health module 263

19.1.2. Content of the EU-SILC rolling health module 264

19.2. Analytical approach 264

19.3. Analysis of comparability of the EU-SILC rolling health module 265

19.3.1. Use of healthcare goods and services 265

19.3.2. Health status 268

19.3.3. Health determinants 269

19.3.4. Financial burden of healthcare 273

19.4. Conclusions and recommendations 274

References 276

20. Recommendations on the validity and comparability of EU-SILC housing variables 279
Ross Bowen and Callum Clark

20.1. Introduction 279

20.2. Methodology 279

20.3. Data sets and guidelines 280

20.4. Selected findings 280

20.4.1. Dwelling size 280

20.4.2. Housing affordability 281

20.4.3. Housing conditions and measures of housing deprivation 282

20.4.5. Housing characteristics 283

20.5. Conclusion 285

References 288

21. Methods for collecting data on production for own consumption 289
Tijana Čomić
21.1. Introduction 289
21.2. Why are own consumption products data needed? 289
21.3. Methods of data collection 290
21.4. National practices in data collection in EU-SILC 291
21.4.1. Countries that do not collect own consumption product data in EU-SILC 292
21.4.2. Countries that do collect own consumption product data in EU-SILC 294
21.5. Impact of type of questionnaire on estimated value of own consumption products 296
21.5.1. Diary versus recall data 296
21.5.2. Reference period 296
21.5.3. Consumed versus produced (harvested) 297
21.5.4. Value or quantities of goods produced 297
21.5.5. Length and specificity of survey food lists 297
21.6. EU-SILC versus HBS data 298
21.7. Conclusions and recommendations 299
References 299

22. The impact of own consumption on income distributions and key EU income-based indicators 301
Tijana Čomić
22.1. Introduction 301
22.2. Profile of households reporting involvement in production for own consumption 303
22.2.1. Production for own consumption by degree of urbanisation 306
22.2.2. Production for own consumption by region 309
22.2.3. Production for own consumption by income quintile 310
22.3. Influence of own consumption on EU social indicators 312
22.4. Conclusions and recommendations 317
References 317

Survey modes, data collection and survey processing 319

23. Mode issues in comparative surveys 321
Lars Lyberg, Peter Lynn and Barry Schouten
23.1. Introduction 321
23.2. Modes and mode characteristics 322
23.3. Mixed-mode strategies 325
23.4. Mode issues in a comparative setting 328
23.5. Preventing, assessing and adjusting mode effects 330
23.6. Mode and total survey error 332

23.7. Recommendations for EU-SILC 333
23.8. Endnote 333
References 334

24. Preventing and mitigating the effects on data quality generated by mode of data collection, coding and editing 337
Sophie Psihoda, Nadja Lamei and Lars Lyberg

24.1. Introduction 337
24.2. Methodological background 337
24.2.1. Data collection, editing and coding in the life cycle of a survey 337
24.2.2. Input harmonisation versus output harmonisation 338
24.2.3. Measurement and processing errors as sources of non-sampling error 339
24.3. Mode issues 340
24.4. The interviewing process 343
24.4.1. Interviewer numbers, workloads and payment schemes 343
24.4.2. Interviewer training and quality control 345
24.4.3. Language of interviews 348
24.4.4. Proxy interviews 348
24.5. Coding 350
24.5.1. Definition, goals and tasks of coding 350
24.5.2. Looking inside the black box of EU-SILC coding 351
24.6. Data editing 353
24.6.1. Definition, goals and tasks of data editing 353
24.6.2. Looking inside the black box of EU-SILC data editing 354
24.7. Connecting modes, coding and editing 355
24.8. Conclusions and recommendations 356
Conclusion I: Different data collection modes – can consistency of data collection be increased by interviewer training and fieldwork quality controls? 356
Conclusion II: Computer-assisted web interviewing and its potential for quality improvement – the time is now! 357
Conclusion III: Diverging practices of coding and editing – an often-neglected field in which improvements are needed! 357
References 358

25. The potential role of EU-SILC topics as part of an integrated social survey: the case of the United Kingdom 361
Ria Sanderson and Pete Betts

25.1. Introduction 361
25.1.1. Note on the COVID-19 pandemic 363
25.2. Data collection practices in the United Kingdom related to EU-SILC topics 363
25.3. Social survey transformation 363

25.4. Social surveys transformation: questionnaire design principles 365
25.5. Case study: transforming labour market statistics 367
25.6. Application to the Household Finance Survey (including EU-SILC topics) 368
25.7. How online data collection could be extended to EU-SILC topics 370
25.7.1. Effects on national comparability 372
25.8. Conclusions 372
References 374

26. A cost–benefit analysis of EU-SILC mode effect decomposition: a Dutch case study 377
Barry Schouten
26.1. Introduction 377
26.2. Mode effect decompositions using a reinterview design 378
26.2.1. Methodology 378
26.2.2. Assumptions 379
26.2.3. A cost–benefit analysis 380
26.3. Results for the Dutch EU-SILC 381
26.3.1. EU-SILC data 381
26.3.2. Design perspective 382
26.3.3. Adjustment perspective 382
26.4. Conclusions 384
References 384

27. Mode and web panel experiments in the European Social Survey – lessons for EU-SILC 385
Rory Fitzgerald and Eva Aizpurua
27.1. Introduction 385
27.2. European Social Survey 386
27.3. Challenges faced by cross-national general social surveys in terms of data collection and mode 387
27.4. Mixed-mode survey designs 390
27.5. Mode experiments in the European Social Survey 391
27.5.1. Survey participation 391
27.5.2. Measurement effects 393
27.6. Cross-national Online Survey 393
27.7. Conclusions and lessons from the European Social Survey for EU-SILC 396
References 397

28. Interviewers and their impact on survey quality: lessons for EU-SILC from the European Social Survey 401
Geert Loosveldt
28.1. Introduction 401
28.2. The basic model for the assessment of interviewer variance 402

28.3. Different types of analysis of interviewer variance 403
28.4. Interviewer effects on substantive variables 404
28.4.1. Separate variables 404
28.4.2. Question characteristics 405
28.4.3. Differences between countries versus differences between questions 406
28.4.4. Relationship between variables 407
28.4.5. Latent constructs 407
28.5. The relationship between respondent characteristics and interviewer effects 408
28.6. Conclusion and recommendations 409
References 410

29. The importance of occupation coding quality: lessons for EU-SILC from SHARE and other international surveys 413
Kea G. Tijdens
29.1. Introduction 413
29.2. Setting the scene: job titles and labour markets 413
29.3. Occupational classifications 414
29.4. Survey questions and answers for the measurement of occupations 416
29.4.1. Open format survey questions 416
29.4.2. Closed format survey questions 417
29.5. Occupational coding 418
29.5.1. *Ex post* coding of verbatim answers 418
29.5.2. Coding during the interview 420
29.5.3. Occupational coding in multicountry surveys 421
29.6. Lessons for EU-SILC 422
29.6.1. Open text question and coding the verbatim response 422
29.6.2. Closed question: using look-up tables with translations 422
29.6.3. One internet-based multicountry survey 423
References 424