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Preface
This handbook accompanies Eurostat's country raw material equivalents (RME) tool to estimate country-level raw material equivalents of product flows. The tool has been developed to support national statistical institutes at conducting country level estimates in a convenient manner by a model that is closely linked to the EU model in terms of methodological foundations and of data.

The calculation tool allows the user to estimate country-level estimates of flows in raw material equivalents (RME), such as imports and exports in RME, raw material input (RMI) and raw material consumption (RMC).

Due to the migration of the Eurostat RME model from NACE Rev. 1.1 to NACE Rev. 2 for the period 2008 and onwards the previous country tool to the NACE Rev. 1.1 model had to be revised accordingly. The tool is presented as a combined set of the handbook, the Excel-based tool and the auxiliary input data files (data pool). The data pool is providing all necessary data for the individual countries. Detailed technical instructions are directly attached to the excel workbook of the tool.
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CN8  Combined Nomenclature 8-digit detail
CPA  Classification of Products by Activity
DE   domestic extraction
DMC  domestic material consumption
DMI  direct material input
EU   European Union
EUR  Euro
EW-MFA economy-wide material flow accounts
EXP  exports
GCV  gross calorific value
IMP  imports
IO   input-output
IOT  input-output table
LCI  life-cycle inventory
M3   cubic metres
NACE Nomenclature statistique des activités économiques dans la Communauté européenne (Statistical classification of economic activities in the European Community)
rev. revision
RMC  raw material consumption
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RMEEX raw material equivalents of exports
RMEIM raw material equivalents of imports
RMI  raw material input
SBS  structural business statistics
SIOT symmetric input-output table
SUT  supply and use tables
t    tonne
TJ   Terajoule
1 Introduction

Resource productivity is considered to be an important indicator of the European Commission's resource strategy. Therefore, the political background is EU 2020 flagship initiative 'Towards a resource efficient Europe'. Related to this policy initiative Eurostat has established an indicator scoreboard in which resource efficiency (gross domestic product divided by domestic material consumption) is the lead indicator. The European Commission has expressed the aim to integrate indirect or embodied material consumption into material flow accounts (see Section 3.4 of Commission Staff Working Paper SEC(2011)1067 final).

For that purpose, Eurostat developed and regularly publishes annual results on raw material equivalents (RME) of product flows at EU-28 level (1). “Raw Material Consumption” (RMC) is the main indicator provided by RME accounting. That indicator describes the amount of raw materials which are embodied (over the whole production chain) in the products of domestic final uses of an economy.

The results are generated by a specific IOT-based calculation model, which is denoted as ADTA-IO model (adapted domestic technology assumption input output model). The calculation approach provides detailed annual results on product flows in RME in a breakdown by the following dimensions:

- Categories of final uses and imports
- 182 product groups
- 51 raw material categories (without aggregates)

A previous version of this model, which was based on the NACE Rev 1.1 classification, was published in 2012 (see Schoer, K. et al. (2012)). The results of that model (2000-2013) were annually updated and published on Eurostat's online database. The current Eurostat EU RME model represents a revised version of the NACE Rev 1.1 based model. The major change is the migration from the NACE Rev 1.1 to NACE Rev. 2 classification. In addition, a number of methodical improvements were introduced with the primary aim of improving the estimates for RME of imports, such as increasing the degree of resolution of the IOT, improving the price concepts and the utilization of further regionalized information.

In order to make full use of that indicator, it is desirable to supplement the figures on EU-level by corresponding information for member countries. Therefore, Eurostat also developed a so-called country tool for estimating results of RME accounting at country level (2). Establishing the full EU calculation model on country level would be rather resource consuming and would suffer from limited data availability. Therefore, applying the full Eurostat RME model or another model with similar degree of detail would only be an option for a few countries. It was the purpose of that tool to assist national statistical institutes to produce country-level estimates of RME with a manageable amount of effort. The handbook presents a simplified Excel based calculation tool (template) which is coherent with Eurostat’s EU RME model.

---

1 Eurostat: EU RME Model, December 2016
2 Eurostat: Handbook for estimating raw material equivalents of imports and exports of imports and exports and RME - based indicators on country level – based on Eurostat's EU RME model, October 2015
Due to the migration of the EU-level RME model the supplementary country tool for estimating RME at country level had to be revised accordingly. The revised tool that is presented in this handbook is harmonised with the revised Eurostat RME model, which is following the NACE Rev. 2 activity classification. It is replacing the earlier version of this tool, which was based on NACE Rev. 1.1 classification for the years 2008 and onwards. The revised tool is based on a so-called coefficient approach (estimates for RME of imports are based on EU-level import and export coefficients) which is similar to the coefficient approach of the unrevised tool. However, for the new version an adjusted coefficient is applied, which is taking account of significant country specific difference in the production technology.

For the previous version of the tool, a combined coefficient-IOT approach was offered in addition to the coefficient approach in order to account for country specific production conditions. However, with respect to the revised model, the approach was not able to produce convincing results under the new revised data settings. It can be assumed that the major problem is resulting from the new classification of the standard IOT. In the new IOT, all mining activities comprising the most crucial raw products are lumped together as one product group. Therefore, in this handbook only an improved coefficient approach could be offered. More research is needed for developing a suitable IOT-based approach at country level.
2 Calculation model - overview

2.1 General remarks
The attached calculation model for estimating RME at country level was developed for conducting the estimation of RME of product flows at country level. The aim was to develop an approach that is satisfying the following conditions:

- Comparatively low resource requirement
- Sufficient degree of accuracy
- Compliance with the concepts, methods and data of the EU-level RME model
- Harmonized approach for all member countries

2.2 Calculation model
Figure 1 presents a schematic description of the calculation model. The chart shows the data inputs, the major calculation steps and the different types of results.

The following data sources are used:

- Country-level data: Comext, National Accounts/Supply and use tables; Energy Balance, economy-wide material flow accounts (EW-MFA), USGS and other sources, Structural Business Statistics
- Data valid for all countries: Eurostat’s RME model (Annual RME coefficient matrices for imports and exports, EU-level), USGS (gold prices). The EU model is applying a hybrid IOT matrix, i.e. selected sales structures are expressed in physical units and not in monetary units. Accordingly, also the RME coefficients from that model are based on mixed units for the denominator.

The calculation method of the adjusted coefficient approach combines annual EU-level RME coefficient matrices for imports and exports with hybrid country-level import and export vectors. The mix of units of the hybrid trade vectors is fully matching the mix of units for the EU coefficients.

In a first principal calculation step (II-1 to III-9), country-level monetary imports and export vectors in a breakdown by 182 product groups are estimated. In a second step (III-10 to III-16), hybrid (mixed monetary and physical units) trade vectors are calculated which correspond to the mix of units of the EU-level RME model. In a third step (III-20 to III-26), country-level RME of imports and exports are calculated by multiplying EU coefficients with country-level trade vectors. The results for RME of exports are adjusted by regarding differences in country specific and EU production technology. In a final set of steps (IV-1 to IV-3), the calculation results and some supporting analytical results are presented.
Figure 1: Schematic description of estimation of country-level RME by the "adjusted coefficient approach"
2.3 Calculation of RMI and RMC

The indicators Raw Material Input (RMI) and Raw Material Consumption (RMC) correspond to Direct Material Input (DMI) and Direct Material Consumption (DMC) of EW-MFA respectively. These indicators are obtained in the same way as the EW-MFA indicators. They combine the numbers on domestic extraction (DE) from EW-MFA with RME of imports (RMEIM) and exports (RMEEX) in the following manner:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{RMI} & = \text{DE} + \text{RMEIM} \\
\text{RMC} & = \text{RMI} - \text{RMEEX}
\end{align*}
\]
3 Data input to the model

Table 1 presents an overview of the data input to the calculation model. More detailed technical descriptions are directly attached to the Excel workbook for the calculation tool. The source and the extraction format for each dataset are shown in the attached data pool.

Table 1: Data inputs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Worksheet of the country RME tool</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I. Data input country-level</td>
<td>I-1-COMEXT-182</td>
<td><strong>Comext:</strong> Imports, exports by intra and extra-trade in EUR and tonnes. Data converted to RME182 classification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I-2-Tot IMP EXP Nat Acc</td>
<td>Data of national accounts on total imports and exports of goods and of services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I-3-IMP SUPPLY 64</td>
<td>Data of supply table of national accounts for imports and supplies by 64 product groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I-4-EXP 64</td>
<td>Data of use table of national accounts for exports by 64 product groups, basic prices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I-5-IMP SERVICES</td>
<td>Data of supply table of national accounts for imports of services by intra and extra trade by 43 product groups, basic prices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I-6-Energy balance IMP EXP</td>
<td>Data of energy balance: imports and exports by product</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I-7-Energy balance: nuclear heat</td>
<td>Data of energy balance on primary production of nuclear heat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I-8-Bunker fuels IOT concept</td>
<td>Data of EW-MFA on imports and exports of bunker fuels by IOT concept (residence principle)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I-9-EW-MFA</td>
<td>Data of EW-MFA on DE, IMP, EXP, DMC and DMI by main raw material categories and for nuclear fuel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I-10-Electricity mix</td>
<td>Data of energy balance on electricity mix.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I-11-Secondary metal ratio</td>
<td>Data of USGS and other sources on share of secondary metal production to total metal production by metals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I-12-SBS basic metals</td>
<td>Data of Structural Business Statistics (SBS) on basic metal production</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I-13-Monetary reference figures</td>
<td>Data of national accounts on total GDP, EXP and IMP, chain linked volumes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II. Data inputs EU-level</td>
<td>II-1-EU RME coeff IMP</td>
<td>Annual data from EU model: RME coefficients for IMP, valid for all countries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>II-2-EU RME coeff EXP</td>
<td>Annual data from EU model: RME coefficients for EXP, valid for all countries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>II-3-USGS gold price</td>
<td>Annual data from USGS on gold price, valid for all countries</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the tool, two different categories of data inputs are required.

- Country-specific data (items I-1 to I-13)
- EU-level data which are used for all countries (items II-1 to II-3)

As the tool is calculating all years (2008 to currently 2017) the input data are needed as time series as well. The tool is prefilled with data for the pilot country France to showcase the required layout of the data. Please replace data for France by your country data.

Country-level data: The preparation of the data input for the individual countries has to follow a number of principal steps: data extraction, gap filling and plausibility check.
Data extraction: The worksheets for data input of the tool show the source and format of the required data. Refer also to the data pool (see below).

- Core data input to the model is EU-level data on RME coefficients of imports and exports. Those coefficients are valid for all countries. Further data from USGS (United States Geological Survey) on annual gold prices are needed, which are also valid for all countries.
- The required annual country level data (I-1 to I-13) can completely be derived from EUROBASE, with the exception of the data I-11 on secondary metal ratios that originate from USGS and some other sources. As an alternative to EUROBASE, national data sources could be utilized, if data are entered into the tool according to the prescribed format.
- For your convenience, an accompanying data pool with prefilled data was attached to the tool. In the workbook “Other data” all required input data items for all countries and all years, except for Comext data, are provided. The complete data pool can be downloaded in conjunction with the country tool. Data from Comext in a model-specific classification by 182 product groups that are needed for the tool can be obtained on request from Eurostat. Please refer to Maaike.BOUWMEESTER@ec.europa.eu. As an alternative, the procedure of converting Comext CN8-digit data to RME classification by 182 product groups could be made available to users on request.
- The data for the data pool have been extracted at the time of publication of this tool. It is intended to update the data once a year. If you want to use the most recent data at the time of running the tool, you have to do your own extraction.

Gap filling: The tool is only able to work properly if all data gaps (missing values) are closed. As far as data for complete years are missing (usually: data are not available), automatic gap filling procedures were established within the tool (see below III. Calculation steps). As far as applicable, “:” tags where replaced by “0” in the data pool in order to enable automated gap filling procedures.

- Users may decide to improve upon this gap-filling by using additional data or other methods.
- Manual gap filling is necessary for missing sectoral values. As a rule those data are missing due to suppression for confidentiality reasons (see attached information on flags and footnotes to each data table). That is, in case the tool is run within a statistical institute confidential data could usually be filled in. If missing data are definitely not available gaps should be closed by simple assumptions.

Plausibility check: Beyond filling data gaps, the input data should also be checked for plausibility. In case of implausible values, data should be corrected. There may also be feedbacks from plausibility checks at the level of calculation results that may give reasons for correcting input data \(^3\).

EU coefficient matrices: EU-level RME coefficients are derived from the Eurostat RME model by dividing the matrices (product groups by raw material categories) on RME of imports and exports in tonnes by the import and export vectors of the hybrid IOT (HIOT 182). Those coefficients measure cumulated raw material requirement in tonnes RME per unit of product. The denominator of the different products is measured in different (hybrid) units (EUR, tonnes and other physical units).

\(^3\) Chapter 5 discusses potentially relevant country-specific adjustments
4 Calculation method

The coefficient approach combines annual EU-level RME coefficient matrices for imports and exports with country-level trade vectors. RME of imports and exports at country level are obtained by multiplying the coefficient matrix with the corresponding country-level hybrid import and export vectors.

Table 2 gives an overview of the detailed calculation procedure. For more technical details, refer to the workbook for the country tool.

Table 2: Adjusted coefficient approach calculation procedure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sections</th>
<th>Worksheet of the country RME tool</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>II. Calculation steps for adjusted coefficient approach</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II-1-COMEXT-182 form ad</td>
<td></td>
<td>Comext 182 format adjustment: totals for IMP and EXP are added</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II-2-Gold smoothing</td>
<td></td>
<td>Gold smoothing: The data on imports and exports of gold show for some countries rather erratic movements over time. It is recommended to smoothen the time series for gold.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II-3-COMEXT182 gold smoothed</td>
<td></td>
<td>Comext 182: correction for gold smoothing of monetary flows is added.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II-4-IMP 64 gap filling</td>
<td></td>
<td>Automated gap filling for IMP 64 for missing years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II-5-EXP 64 gap filling</td>
<td></td>
<td>Automated gap filling for EXP 64 for missing years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II-6-IMP sectoral disaggr</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sectoral disaggregation of gap filled IMP 64 to IMP 182 by Comext relationships</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II-7-EXP sectoral disaggr</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sectoral disaggregation of gap filled EXP 64 to EXP 182 by Comext relationships</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II-8-IMP services gap filling</td>
<td></td>
<td>Estimation of share extra trade for services. Automated gap filling for missing values</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II-9-IMP disag intra-extra</td>
<td></td>
<td>Disaggregation of IMP into intra and extra trade.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II-10-IMP EXP EB (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Energy balance: imports, exports, international bunkers. Assignment of energy products (classification for energy balance) to items of RME 182 classification. Estimation of imports of uranium and thorium ores in 1000 t RME.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II-11-IMP EXP EB bunker fuels</td>
<td></td>
<td>Adjustment of IMP and EXP of energy balance to IOT concept.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II-12-IMP EXP EB format ad</td>
<td></td>
<td>Expansion of energy balance IMP and EXP data to RME 182 format</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II-13-IMP EB intra extra</td>
<td></td>
<td>Disaggregation of the energy balance data on IMP into intra and extra-trade by Comext relationships</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II-14-IMP EXP hybrid vector</td>
<td></td>
<td>Merging of SUT/IOT, Comext and energy balance data for establishing annual hybrid country level vectors for IMP extra-trade, IMP intra-trade and EXP by 182 product groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II-15-IMP EXP hybr vect form a</td>
<td></td>
<td>Format adjustment annual hybrid IMP and EXP vectors: removal of sub-totals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II-16-Hybrid vectors transp</td>
<td></td>
<td>Annual hybrid IMP and EXP vectors are transposed: transposing is required for the next calculation step II-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II-17-RME IMP EXTRA</td>
<td></td>
<td>Annual calculation of country level RME of IMP extra trade: EU level RME IMP coefficients x hybrid country level vector IMP extra trade.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II-18-RME IMP INTRA</td>
<td></td>
<td>Annual calculation of country level RME of IMP intra trade: EU level RME EXP coefficients (= RME coefficients for final use) x hybrid country level vector IMP intra trade.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Calculation method

#### III-19-RME EXP
Annual calculation of country level RME of total EXP: EU level RME EXP coefficients (= RME coefficients for final use) x hybrid country level vector total EXP

#### III-20-Share of OUTPUT
Calculation of the share of output at total supply for total economy. Automatic gap filling for missing years. The share is used for weighting the impact of imports and domestic production on the average electricity mix of exports in step III-22

#### III-22-Electricity mix adjustment factor
Estimation of adjustment factors for fossil energy carriers to regard differences in electricity mix for EU and country under review

#### III-23-Energy cont EXP electr
Annual calculation of energy content of exported products, adjusted for the energy mix of electricity generation

#### III-24-SBS basic metals
Format adjustment of SBS for basic metals

#### III-25-IMP EXP OUTP basic met
Calculation of the share of output at total supply for basic metals by metal category. The share is used for weighting the impact of imports and domestic production on the average primary metal content of exported products

#### III-26-Secondar metal adm fact
Annual estimation of adjustment factors for differences in primary metal ratios for EU and country under review for major metal categories.

The calculation procedure follows a number of principal steps.

**Hybrid trade vectors**: The following operations are needed for deriving country-level hybrid trade vectors:

- **Preparation of Comext data**: The data of Comext have to undergo further preparations, such as format adjustments and a correction of gold statistics (gold smoothing) in order to meet the requirements for the next calculation steps (III-1 to III-3).
- **SUT/IOT gap filling for missing years**: Main starting point for establishing the trade vectors are the monetary import and export figures of SUT/IOT in a breakdown by 64 product groups. As for most countries, the complete period 2008 to 2014 is not covered by SUT/IOT data. A procedure of automated gap filling for missing years was developed (III-4 to III-5).
- **Sectoral disaggregation**: The monetary trade vectors by 64 product groups are disaggregated to the level of 182 product groups by using Comext relationships (III-6 to III-7).
- **Breakdown by intra and extra-trade**: The monetary vector for imports is subdivided into intra and extra-trade by using mainly Comext relationships (III-8 to III-9).
- **Preparation of the energy balance**: Energy balance data are required for expressing the imports and exports of energy carriers in physical units. In order to comply with the IOT concept (residence principle), energy balance data have to be adjusted for the flow of bunker fuels. Imports as reported by the energy balance have to be subdivided further into intra and extra-trade. Finally, the imports of uranium ores in physical units have to be estimated in accordance with the energy balance information of production of nuclear heat (III-10 to III-13).
- **Hybrid trade vectors**: Hybrid trade vectors by 182 product groups are established by merging the physical information from the energy balance (energy carriers), physical information from Comext (raw materials excluding metal ores) and monetary information from disaggregated SUT/IOT for all other product groups (III-14 to III-16).

**RME of imports and exports**: As already described above, RME of imports and exports are estimated by multiplying EU-level RME coefficients with country-level hybrid trade vectors (III-17 to III-19).

The EU-level coefficients represent cumulated raw material requirement (embodied raw materials) per unit of product. The EU import coefficients are designed for approximating the average production
technology of the countries of origin of imports to EU\textsuperscript{4}. The EU export coefficients are representing the domestic EU production technology.

The following average production technologies by 182 product groups are assumed for country level imports and exports:

- **Extra-trade imports**: Average production technology of countries of origin for EU imports (country-level extra-trade imports x EU import coefficients)
- **Intra-trade imports**: Average EU production technology (country-level intra-trade imports x EU export coefficients)
- **Exports**: Average EU production technology (country level exports x EU export coefficients), adjusted

The rather detailed sectoral breakdown of the model assures that structural differences between EU and countries down to the level of 182 product groups are fully regarded. Further structural effects below that level may limit the accuracy of the calculation results to some extent.

A much more important issue, however, is that the assumptions about the production technology could be inadequate. With respect to RME of imports, the assumptions about the production technology can be considered to be quite realistic. That is, the model is able to provide fairly accurate results for RME of imports.

**Adjustment of RME of exports**: Unlike for imports, the assumption on the production technology for exports tends to be a weak point. The calculation for exports is based on EU average production technology. It has to be considered, however, that the production technologies of member countries might differ significantly from the EU average, at least for some product groups. Most obvious and quantitatively important cases in terms of raw material requirement are the production of electricity (different mix of energy carriers) and metal production (differences in the share of secondary metal production). Electricity and metals are embodied in almost all exported products. For taking account of those important country specific differences, a method for adjusting the coefficients for exports of metals and of energy carriers was developed, the so-called adjusted coefficient approach.

For the adjusted approach, the following corrections are regarded:

- **Electricity mix**: adjustment of embodied exports of fossil energy carriers for differences of country specific electricity mix to EU average electricity mix (III-20 to III-23).
- **Secondary metal ratio**: adjustment of embodied exports of metals for differences of country specific secondary metal ratios to EU average ratios (III-24 to III-26).

\textsuperscript{4} See ADTA.IO approach for the EU RME model. See Eurostat: EU RME Model, December 2016
5 Country-specific adjustments

5.1 Introduction

Country experiences with applying the standard RME country tool suggest that the tool is able to provide quite reasonable results for most member countries. In some cases, however, it may be useful to modify the standard tool for coping with certain country specific peculiarities.

For that purpose, the following topics for adjustments were identified:

- Coping with data gaps
- Disruption of time series
- Excluding re-export
- Treatment of gold
- Price effect

5.2 Data gaps

In case of missing data for complete years, the standard tool already offers automated procedures for bridging those gaps. In remaining cases of data gaps (mainly missing individual values that can lead to division-by-zero errors or non-plausible individual values), an intervention by the user is required to close those gaps by inserting estimated values that are either based on additional information or on simple assumptions.

5.3 Disruption of time series

Under specific circumstances, the tool may not lead to meaningful results for a country. An example is Ireland. An obvious disruption of the RME time series can be observed when using the standard tool based on the SUT/IOT framework of SNA for Ireland. Imports and exports show a sharp increase for the years 2014 and 2015, which is reflected by the results of the tool on RME of imports and exports. In addition, the increase is much more pronounced for exports than for imports. As an effect, the model reports a considerable decline of RMC for both years for Ireland, resulting in a negative RMC for 2015.

In comparison to the SUT/IOT framework, international trade statistics (Comext) show much less pronounced developments for imports and exports. The reason is a conceptual difference. Comext reports only real physical flows crossing the border, whereas in the SNA, in some cases imports or exports of goods are reported which are not accompanied by a corresponding physical flow. An important example is the treatment of contract manufacturing (“goods sent for processing”).

For physical flow accounting, the SEEA-CF explicitly deviates from the SNA concept, favouring to report the real physical flows. In the case of Ireland, massive shifts of economic ownership to and from other countries to Ireland occurred. As result, in the SNA, the capital stock of the company (e.g. aircrafts, intellectual property) was re-assigned to the new country. That shift is regarded as an export-import flow, while there might not be any movement in physical terms. For generating results that are more meaningful by the country tool under those specific conditions, it is suggested to replace SUT/IOT-based developments by corresponding monetary information from Comext for critical product groups. By following that approach, the results for Ireland would be more plausible.
5.4 Re-exports

Especially for the Netherlands, re-exports account for an extremely high share of imports and exports. Rather high shares can also be found for Belgium and some smaller countries. Re-exports are not related to the production and consumption activities of an economy. As the RME approach is preliminary designed for monitoring the impact of domestic final use activities on raw material requirement, it might be an appropriate option to deduct re-exports. Therefore, the Dutch CBS has developed a specific approach for applying the country tool by excluding re-exports. The method is fully based on the basic version of the tool, but input data from Comext and SUT/IOT are adjusted to exclude re-exports.

5.5 Treatment of gold

The time series of imports and exports of gold show a rather discontinuous pattern. Unfortunately, the impact of gold on total development of RME of imports and exports can be rather distinctive, due to an extremely high RME factor. The gold issue is predominantly a problem for RME calculations for the UK, as the UK accounts for about more than three quarters of all EU gold imports. Gold imports are also at a significant level for Austria, Germany and Italy.

The tool offers a smoothing module for gold data in order to cope with the discontinuous pattern of the time series. However, due to the dominant role of gold flows in terms of RME for the UK, applying the tool for smoothing would not be advisable, due to the high impact of the smoothing assumptions on the results for total RME.

Regarding the case of basic metals for UK, exceptionally strong divergences between Comext and SUT data were noticed. Those differences are originating most likely from the different treatment of gold imports and exports by Comext and SUT.

Comext is reporting the physical flows, which are crossing the border whereas SUT is following the SNA concept, which is reporting the change of economic ownership. That is, goods that cross borders without change in ownership are not regarded as imports or exports by the SNA concept. It can be assumed that that difference is highly relevant in case of gold flows for UK. Other reasons for differences are corrections, which are made in line with Balance of Payments adjustments (such as removal of transport costs) or Supply Use balancing.

It has to be recalled that for the purpose of the country tool the SUT data on imports and exports are disaggregated from 64 product groups to 182 product groups by applying the Comext relationships as an indicator (see steps III-6 and III-7 of the calculation procedure). However, that approach cannot work properly in case of strong differences between Comext and SUT, as it can be observed for basic metals in case of UK. In those extreme cases, it is recommended to adjust the Comext values before they are entered into the disaggregation procedure.

5.6 Price effect

For the country tool, the following simplifying assumption is used: import and export prices (EUR per tonne) for the country under review are identical with the corresponding EU prices at the level of 182 product groups. It is assumed that the amount of imprecision for individual product groups is not likely to impair the accuracy of the aggregated indicators significantly, as long as there is no systematic deviation in one direction.
However, in reality country import and/or export prices at the level of 182 product groups can differ from the corresponding EU prices. An example is the Czech Republic. The following investigation suggests that export and probably import prices tend to be systematically lower than the corresponding EU prices (5). Two factors can be behind that effect:

   a. Different compositions of products within a product group.

   b. Different prices for identical products.

In principal, the effect could be compensated by adjusting Czech prices for imported and exported products to EU level. However, in practice, it has turned out that implementing such an approach is not easy. First, the tool should stay manageable and secondly, some data problems have to be overcome. Therefore, further investigations are required before a solution for the problem can be suggested.

Also for Denmark, price differences were identified. The most striking example was the high difference in unit price for other animal products. Behind that, there is a structural effect which is due to very specific Danish conditions, as their imports and exports of that product group are strongly dominated by the item of fur skins. Fur skins are very high priced in comparison to other sub-items of the product group ‘other animal products’. As it can hardly be assumed that the price differences are reflecting differences in RME content, applying the equal-price assumption will lead to overstating the RME-content of Danish flows for other animal products.

In order to cope with this problem in the future, the inclusion of a price correction module into the country RME tool will be considered. Such a module would serve two purposes: 1) to identify significant unit price differences and 2) to adjust the estimate of RME of imports and exports, if required.

6 Data output of the model

Table 3 describes the presentation of the calculation results of the model. For more details, refer to the workbook of the country tool.

Table 3: Adjusted coefficient approach calculation procedure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sections</th>
<th>Worksheet of the country RME tool</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IV. Results</td>
<td>IV-1-Summary IMP EXP¹</td>
<td>Presentation of annual results RME of imports and exports by raw material categories. 1st step: results by unadjusted coefficient approach. 2nd step: of RME of exports by adjusted coefficient approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IV-2-Summary publication</td>
<td>Annual results for publication for DE, IMP, RMI, EXP and RMC by main material categories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IV-3-Summary analysis</td>
<td>Analytical tables with reference figures (used for charts)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IV-4 Charts</td>
<td>Charts</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The output tables show:

- Results for imports and exports in a full breakdown by 51 raw material categories. For exports, the unadjusted and the adjusted results are presented (IV-1).
- Annual results for publication for DE, IMP, RMI, EXP and RMC by main material categories (IV-2)
- Analytical tables with reference figures (IV-3)
- A set of charts with summary results (IV-4).

Figures 2 to 5 provide summary results for the pilot country France. The country RME tool has been populated with publicly available data for France for illustrative purposes. Please note that the RME-based indicators reported in the country RME tool, the data pool and in Section 5 of the handbook do not constitute official estimates.
Figure 2: RME country tool – summary results France; RMC, DMC and GDP

![Graph showing RME country tool summary results for France. The graph compares RMC, DMC, and GDP over the years 2008 to 2017.](image)

Figure 3: RME country tool – summary results France; RMI, DMI, DE and GDP

![Graph showing RME country tool summary results for France. The graph compares RMI, DMI, DE, and GDP over the years 2008 to 2017.](image)
Figure 4: RME country tool – summary results France; imports

Figure 5: RME country tool – summary results France; exports
7  Future work

With the previous version of the country tool, a combined coefficient-IOT approach was offered in addition to the coefficient approach in order to cope for country-specific differences in production technology. However, that type of IOT-based approach was not able to produce convincing results with the revised data. It can be assumed that the major problem is resulting from the new classification of the standard IOT. According to the new classification for the IOT, all mining activities comprising the most crucial raw products are lumped together as one product group.

Therefore, in this handbook, only an improved coefficient approach could be provided. It would be desirable to have a supplementary IOT-based approach for estimating RME of exports as an alternative to the adjusted coefficient approach. In principle, an IOT-based approach would reflect the specific production conditions of the country under review more accurately than a coefficient approach, provided that an IOT of good quality could be established with an adequate degree of sectoral resolution. More research will be done in the future to determine whether an IOT model can be developed which is suitable and manageable for at least a larger number of member countries.

As mentioned before in chapter 5.6, a next update of the country RME tool may include a price correction module. A first version has been tested for Denmark. Yet, further testing for other countries is needed before deciding on including this price correction module.