Healthy Life Years Expectancy
disability-free life expectancy – DFLE

Method

Introduction

We know people are living longer. However, do we live longer and better or do we gain only years of life in bad health? Health expectancies are the kind of indicator which can help us to answer this type of question. Health expectancies extend the concept of life expectancy to morbidity and disability in order to assess the quality of years lived. Health expectancies are composite indicators combining information on mortality and morbidity that informs on the number of years that a person of a certain age can still expect to live in good health.

Health expectancy indicators have a high interest for health policy monitoring because they are a direct measure of the effect of policies to increase the health status of the population and because they are easily understandable.

The calculation of the HLY is based on mortality data as well as prevalence measures, i.e. the age specific proportion of the population in healthy and unhealthy conditions.

Method

In order to calculate the indicator, it is necessary to choose a method for calculation of health expectancies. Several methods exist.

- The multistate life table method enables to calculate such indicators and has the particularity of taking into account reversible transitions between good health and one or more disability states.
- The second method called double decrement life table method assumes that the disability state, as well as death, is irreversible. The only possible transition is the one from disability to death. This is then a special case of the first method for which the remission rate for the state of less than full health is assumed to be zero.
- However, the most common method used to calculate health expectancies is the Sullivan method which has been developed around 1970.

As the general scientific consensus on the topic as well as WHO and Euro-Reves recommend, the Sullivan method is also used by Eurostat. Because of the way the method is constructed, health expectancies calculated following Sullivan’s method gives the number of years in good health that a person can still expect to live if and only if the patterns of current prevalence stay stable during a whole life time. The method is based on two main parts:

1. a life table that enables calculation of the life expectancy for each age $x_i$ (or age category $i$ in the case of an abridged life table)
2. observed prevalence of the population in healthy or unhealthy conditions.

The following decisions are taken:

- the maximum age class (open-ended) is set at 85+ for all countries, both sexes and all years
deaths are assumed to occur halfway through the year except for age 0 (see formula)

First, the death rate \( mx_i \) corresponding to each \( x_i \) is calculated by dividing the number of deaths to the average population. Then, the death rate is used in calculating the probability of dying at age \( x_i \) \( (qx_i) \):

\[ qx_i = mx_i / [1+(1-ax_i)mx_i] \]

where \( mx_i \) is the death rate and \( ax_i \) is the fraction of the year that a person has lived in addition to the \( x_i \) complete years (with the second decision above, \( ax_i = 0.5 \) but for age 0 the coefficient \( ax_i = 0.2 \))

With this probability \( qx_i \) we calculate the number \( lx_i \) of people still alive at age \( x_i \).

\[ lx_{i+1} = (1-qx_i) \times lx_i \]

For the first age, \( lx_i \) is fixed at 1.

Based on the assumption that people dying at age \( x_i \) will actually live in average half of the year between age \( x_i \) and age \( x_{i+1} \) except for age 0, the total number of years \( Lx_i \) that survivors of age \( x_i \) will live between age \( x_{i} \) and \( x_{i+1} \) can be calculated.

\[ Lx_i = (lx_{i+1} + lx_i) / 2 \]

\[ Lx_0 = 0.2 \times lx_0 + 0.8 \times lx_1 \]

For the last age \( \omega \), \( L_{\omega} = l_{\omega}/m_{\omega}. (\omega = 85) \)

Then, the formula for the total number of years that the survivors of age \( x_i \) will still live before dying is as follows:

\[ Tx_i = \sum_{x_i}^{\omega} Lx_i \]

In the case of an abridged life table, some transformations must be made. To obtain the number of years \( L_i \) lived in an age category \( i \), the sum of the living years for each age of this category from the unabridged table has to be done. This gives the following formula in the case of age category of 5 years long.

\[ L_i = L x_i + L x_{i+1} + L x_{i+2} + L x_{i+3} + L x_{i+4} \]

For an age \( x_i \), by summing those remaining years to live for ages from \( x_i \) onwards, we obtain the total number of years that the survivors of age \( x_i \) will still live before dying.

\[ Tx_i = Lx_i + Lx_{i+1} + Lx_{i+2} + \ldots \]

(or \( T_i = L_i + L_{i+1} + L_{i+2} + \ldots \) in the case of an abridged table)

By dividing this number of years by the number of survivors at age \( x_i \), we obtain the life expectancy \( e_i \) for a person still alive at age \( x_i \).

\[ ex_i = Tx_i / lx_i \text{ and } ex_{85} = 1/m_{85} \]

(or \( e_i = T_i / l_i \) in the case of an abridged table)
The next step (described here for an abridged table) uses the observed prevalence of disability at each age (proportion of people disabled for a specific age) in the current population at a given point in time. The prevalence are used to divide the hypothetical years of life lived by people at different ages into years with and without disability. It consists in applying the prevalence \( \pi_i(j) \) of each of the different states of health in an age category \( i \) on the years lived \( L_i \) in this age interval. Indeed, by multiplying the proportion \( \pi_i(j) \) of being in state of health \( j \) by \( L_i \), it is obtained the number \( L_i(j) \) of person years spent by survivors of age \( x_i \) in health state \( j \) in the age interval. The proportions \( \pi_i(j) \) should be obtained, e.g., survey sources (see below).

\[
L_i(j) = L_i \cdot \pi_i(j)
\]

For each age categories, by summing all the \( L_k(j) \) from this interval to the eldest one, it results the total number \( T_i(j) \) of person years still to spend in state of health \( j \) for persons alive at age \( x_i \).

\[
T_i(j) = \sum L_k(j) \quad \text{where } k \geq i
\]

Finally, the life expectancy in state \( j \) at age \( x_i \) is obtained by dividing the total number of person years spent in state \( j \) by the number of survivors at age \( x_i \).

\[
e_i(j) = T_i(j) / l_i
\]

The interest of the Sullivan’s method lies in its simplicity, the availability of its basic data and its independence of the size and age structure of the population.

**Data**

Because of its simplicity, the Sullivan’s method requires few data. Only mortality data and prevalence data are needed.

Concerning mortality data, the population and the number of deaths for each age, given by the Eurostat reference database in theme Population and Social conditions, Demography are used. These data are used in calculating the “simple” life expectancy.

However, since health expectancy is a combination of life expectancy and a concept of health, there are potentially as many health expectancies as there are concepts of health. It is then necessary to define the proportion of the population in good health (prevalence data) on strongly harmonised and widely accepted health concepts and comparable data.

In this respect, the most frequently used basis to calculate (specific) health expectancy is provided by the concept of limitation in activities people usually do because of health problems. In this case, the Healthy Life Years structural indicator is called also the Disability Free Life Expectancy (DFLE).

To sum up, in the case of Eurostat’s calculations of HLY-DFLE using the Sullivan’s method, the prevalence \( \pi_i(j) \) is then obtained by age categories of 5 years:

From 2004 onwards (for some Member States from 2005 or 2006 onwards), the following question from the annual European Statistics on Income and Living Conditions survey (EU-SILC) is used for obtaining the prevalence data:

**PH 030:** For at least the past six months, to what extent have you been limited because of a health problem in activities people usually do? Would you say you have been?

- Severely limited
• Limited but not severely
• Not limited

Finally, some assumptions have been necessary in order to proceed to calculations. The prevalence for the first age group, 16-19, has been applied to the 15-19 population group. Another assumption has been to consider that prevalence of people before the age of 15 years is the half of the prevalence of the next age interval (16-19 years).