This action is funded by the European Union

ANNEX 1
of the Commission Decision on the Annual Action Programme 2016 in favour of the Republic of Namibia to be financed from the 11th European Development Fund

**Action Document for Support to the Livestock sector in the Northern Communal Areas of Namibia**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Title/basic act/CRIS number</th>
<th>Support to the Livestock sector in the Northern Communal Areas of Namibia - Financed under the 11th European Development Fund CRIS number: NA/FED/038-763</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 2. Zone benefiting from the action/location | Namibia  
The action shall be carried out in the following locations: Northern Regions of Kunene North, Omusati, Oshana, Ohangwena, Oshikoto, Kavango-West, Kavango-East and Zambezi |
| 4. Sector of concentration/thematic area | Agriculture  
DEV. Aid: YES¹ |
| 5. Amounts concerned | Total estimated cost: EUR 22 125 000  
Total amount of EDF contribution: EUR 20 000 000 (29% of NIP)  
This action is co-financed by potential grant beneficiaries for an indicative amount of EUR 2 125 000 |
| 6. Aid modality(ies) and implementation modality(ies) | Project Modality  
Indirect management with the Government of the Republic of Namibia (grants, programme estimates, procurement of services) |
| 7 a) DAC code(s) | 311 AGRICULTURE (80%)  
31163 Livestock (40%)  
31194 Agricultural co-operatives (10%)  
31195 Livestock/veterinary services (50%)  
321 INDUSTRY (20%)  
32161 Agro-industries (100%) |
| 8. Markers (from CRIS DAC form) | General policy objective | Not targeted | Significant objective | Main objective |
| | Participation development/good governance | ☐ | ☒ | ☐ |
| | Aid to environment | ☐ | ☒ | ☐ |
| | Gender equality (including Women In Development) | ☐ | ☒ | ☐ |
| | Trade Development | ☐ | ☒ | ☐ |
| | Reproductive, Maternal, New | ☒ | ☐ | ☐ |

¹ Official Development Aid is administered with the promotion of the economic development and welfare of developing countries as its main objective.
In the Northern Communal Areas (NCAs) of Namibia, more than 70% of the population depends directly or indirectly on livestock and rangeland resources for their economic well-being and food security. However, the performance of the livestock sector is significantly affected by low and variable annual rainfall, poor agronomic conditions, inadequate animal husbandry practices and significant market restrictions mainly due to the presence of the foot & mouth disease (FMD). According to all stakeholders involved during identification phase, concerted efforts should be provided to improve the performance of the livestock sector in the NCAs from the supply and demand side, and to promote the resilience of local farmers, with specific focus on the following areas:

1) Improvement of livestock production systems and resilience to climate change, to be achieved through the promotion of: livestock oriented agricultural extension, technical and animal health support services, the demand/access/availability of quality inputs, access to productive and market assets, demand and access to improved breeding material, engagement of farmer cooperatives in production, marketing and rangeland management activities.

2) Increased access to domestic, regional and international markets, by promoting: local capacity for animal slaughtering and meat processing (municipal abattoirs, etc.), food safety awareness campaign and food hygiene inspection services, animal auctions, access to market information, improved management of pre-slaughter quarantine facilities and exploring new local/export markets.

3) Full implementation of animal disease management and eradication strategies, by promoting: a system of para-vets (Community Animal Health Agents), early warning and rapid response mechanisms for disease control and emergencies; an improved livestock identification and traceability system, a Commodity Based Trade (CBT) approach, collaboration/coordination with neighbouring countries for control/eradication of Transboundary Animal Diseases.

The main partners identified for the implementation of the Action would be the Directorate of Veterinary Services (DVS), which would be engaged through Programme Estimates for implementing result no. 3 and for some of the activities under result 1 (provision of extension services on animal health) and 2 (provision of veterinary services to feed lots, market events and provision of food safety services to abattoirs). Activities under result 1(farmer extension and advisory services) and most of activities under result 2 (market linkages, marketing, etc.) would be implemented through grants by agencies with extensive engagement in the livestock sector, that will be selected through a call for proposal. Programme management and administration would be supported by technical assistants embedded in the Ministry of Agriculture, Water and Forestry (MAWF), at central and regional level.

1 CONTEXT
1.1 Sector/Thematic area
The Action will be implemented in the agriculture/livestock sub-sector in the Northern Communal Areas of Namibia (NCAs). Some changes in the context have occurred since the preparation of the programming document, as a result of the recent FMD outbreak and subsequent consequences on the livestock sector.
veterinary status and market restrictions for livestock and livestock products (see par. 1.1.3). Due to low and erratic rainfall, combined with prevailing poor agronomic conditions, most agricultural activities in Namibia are limited to dry-land farming and livestock husbandry. The livestock sector plays an important economic role, contributing to approximately 75% of Namibia’s agricultural output value, 70% of agriculture exports and 7% of total goods exports. GDP share is 2.3%, rising to 7% if contribution from the meat processing industry is included. The sector is the largest private employer, providing an approximate equivalent of 28 000 permanent jobs, without taking into consideration jobs created along the value chain and under self/non-formal employment. Livestock production takes place in two distinct zones, separated by a veterinary cordon fence (VCF) which traverses Namibia in latitude:

a) South of the VCF, a zone classified by the World Organisation for Animal Health (OIE) as FMD free, characterised by mainly medium-large commercial livestock producers on free-hold farms. The zone covers about 44% of the total agriculture land, accommodates only 10% of the people, yet it produces some 70% of the overall agricultural outputs and most of the animal and livestock products for export.

b) North of the VCF, the NCAs, which until the recent outbreak in 2015 had been considered as FMD protected zone, with the exclusion of the FMD infected Zambezi Region. The NCAs cover over 41% of total agriculture land, accommodate about 60% of the population, half of which is directly involved in farming activities, but produce only 6% of the overall agricultural output value. The NCAs account for approximately 50% (1 000 000 heads) of the whole cattle population, mainly produced on communal land by small-medium farmers without land title. Approximately 23% of cattle is owned by female household members. Almost 20% of households own less than ten animals (including goats and sheep), while 50% own between ten and thirty animals. Data from the last census suggest that the large majority of these two household categories live below the poverty line.

In the NCAs, animal farming plays a crucial role for the rural communities, in terms of income generation, access to livelihood assets, social stability and upholding of local traditions. As almost 40% of households in the NCAs raise cattle, the sector also plays an important social protection role, particularly for households whose main source of income is a state pension or social grant. Livestock also enhances local communities' resilience to adverse weather conditions (climate change), as well as food security, in terms of access and availability of food and nutritional value of food intake. In traditional farming, it also plays an important role for providing manure and draught animal power for transport and crop cultivation.

1.1.1 Public Policy Assessment and EU Policy Framework
Agriculture was selected by Government of Namibia as one of the four strategic sectors of the 4th National Development Plan (NDP4: 2012/16), due to its potential in employment generation and reduction of rural poverty, national food security, contribution to economic growth and export earning. Various policy and strategic instruments are in place to facilitate the execution of the NDP4, with specific regard to the livestock sub-sector, including:

- The National Agricultural Policy. Launched in 2015, the Policy reinforces the government commitment to improving the performance of the livestock sector, by strengthening the role of research, extension and veterinary services, for coordinating support in the areas of rangeland management, animal health, production and marketing of livestock and livestock products.

- The Policy for the Eradication of Transboundary Animal Diseases in the NCAs (2010) mandates the MAWF to progressively removing the restrictions of movement of cattle, meat and other animal products from the NCAs to the south of the VCF. In line with the policy, the Directorate of Veterinary Services (DVS) developed in 2014 the FMD and Contagious Bovine Pleuropneumonia (CBPP) Freedom Strategy and Implementation Plan, based on the recommendations from the Performance Analysis of Veterinary Services and Good Agricultural Practices (GAP) carried out by the OIE in 2010. The policy was launched with the assumption that the NCAs (excluding the Zambezi region) were practically free from FMD, after more than 40 years from the last recorded outbreak. The recent and unexpected FMD outbreak in the NCAs will significantly delay the implementation of the strategy.

- The Animal Health Act No.1 (2011), covering the areas of prevention, detection, control of animal disease and improvement of animal health, with comprehensive provisions for the exercise of veterinary services, import and export control of animals and animal products.

[3]
- The Communal Land Reform Act No. 5 (2002), introducing a legal framework for the allocation of land-use rights in the communal land; establishing Communal Land Boards and regulating tasks and responsibilities for land allocation by the Boards and Traditional Authorities.
- The National Rangeland Management Policy and Strategy (2012), a technical framework for livestock farmers to manage, restore and maintain the productivity and biodiversity of their rangeland, reducing vulnerability to adverse impact of climate change.
- The Namibia Agriculture Marketing and Trade Policy and Strategy (2011), with the objectives of improving the local marketing of livestock; increasing the local share of the domestic market across the value chain; promoting the quality of livestock and safety of meat products; developing domestic markets through promotion of consumption meat products locally produced; ensuring fair distribution of benefits across the value chain. The Government has recently launched a plan to promote the market of animals and consumption of beef produced in the NCAs, by introducing some regulatory measures for the import of meat into the country. Under the plan, government procurement institutions will also be instructed to give preference to meat produced in the NCAs, over imported meat.

Policies have been formulated with the participation of a wide group of stakeholders, fairly reflecting the common interest towards a mature, sustainable and inclusive livestock industry. However, their implementation tends to be fragmented and not sufficiently focused on the practical needs of livestock producers. Regulations are not always adequately enforced, in particular for the prevention of unsustainable use of natural resources and land degradation. Full implementation is also hampered by insufficient public resources allocated to the sector, as it is reflected by the scarce number of livestock extension staff operating in the NCAs. In addition, more effective and long term policy and regulatory measures are needed, in order to counter possible market limitations caused by recurrent droughts and livestock disease outbreaks.

The Action is in line with the 11th EDF National Indicative Programme for Namibia, which indicates Agriculture as one of the two focal sectors of development cooperation, with the overall objective to address poverty and unemployment by improving the wealth created from the natural resource based sectors in a sustainable manner. In line with the principles laid down in the European Commission (EC) Communication COM(2014)263 on 'A Stronger Role of the Private Sector in Achieving Inclusive and Sustainable Growth in Developing Countries', the Action has a clear focus on poverty reduction through employment creation and inclusive growth, as it aims at creating economic opportunities through market-based solutions, following clear criteria in the provision of direct support to private sector actors. In line with the communication EU approach to resilience, the Action will promote local communities' capacity to assess the risk, prevent and respond to climate change events, drought in particular, through the adoption of improved rangeland management and livestock production methods. Complementarities with the recently launched Comprehensive Conservation Agriculture Programme for Namibia and the piloted Rangeland Early Warning Systems, which have both received support by the EU, will also be enhanced.

1.1.2 Stakeholder analysis
Sector stakeholders have extensively been engaged in the design of the Action and will be directly or indirectly involved in its implementation. The main actors are:

- The Ministry of Agriculture Water & Forestry (MAWF), including:
  - Directorate of Planning and Business Development (DPBD), providing information and advice to decision makers and other players in the private and public sectors on policy formulation, planning and coordination in the fields of agriculture and co-operatives.
  - Directorate of Agricultural Production, Extension and Engineering Services (DAPEES): providing agricultural extension for adoption of improved agricultural technologies. Public extension services in the NCAs are mainly directed to crop production, the extension staff is low in number and insufficiently trained in livestock husbandry and cattle marketing.
  - Directorate of Agricultural Research and Development (DARD), operating the livestock research centres in the NCAs.
  - Directorate of Veterinary Services (DVS): responsible for control of marketing of animals and animal products, including imports, animal disease control, veterinary surveillance, epidemiology and diagnostic and veterinary public health services. DVS' investment budget for
Financial Year (FY) 2015/16 is estimated at 150 Million Namibian dollars (approx. EUR 9,500,000).

- **Meat Board of Namibia (MBN):** a para-statal regulatory body, partly financed through fees for services (cattle branding, etc.) and levies paid by livestock operators, with the mandate to promote the interests of the meat industry and enhance the livestock sector in the NCAs. Because of its status, the Board is also involved in promoting partnerships between public and private bodies for investments and service delivery in the NCAs.

- **The Agriculture Marketing and Trade Agency (AMTA).** Established in 2011 by Cabinet Decision as a MAWF specialised agency, AMTA have become fully operational in 2013, with the mandate of managing the fresh produce business hubs (mainly for fruit and vegetable products) and the National Strategic Food Reserve (mainly grains). By revision of some relevant policy acts (Agronomic Industry Act, Meat Act, etc.), AMTA is expected to assume additional tasks and responsibilities in the area of processing and marketing of livestock and livestock products.

- **Meat Corporation of Namibia (Meatco):** the most important cattle processing and meat producer in Namibia, serving communal, emerging and commercial livestock producers. Meatco has been managing the only two export abattoirs in the NCAs (Oshakati and Katima Mulilo) under lease agreement with the Government. According to Meatco, the current conditions in the NCAs have not been conducive for a viable livestock processing business. As a result, and after several years of accumulated financial losses, Meatco has recently decided to discontinue its operations in the two abattoirs and introduce a new business model, based on mobile slaughter units.

- **Beef industry:** including, in addition to Meatco, various private and small public (municipal) abattoirs, private butcheries and a large variety of local operators in the informal market.

- **Cattle and beef traders, service providers, retailer and wholesalers:** including middlemen and speculators, professional auctioneers, transporters, small informal retailers (*kapana*). Because of business interest linked to importing animals and animal products from outside the NCAs, the Action in the short term may be unfavourable to some livestock trade operators.

- **Agricultural Bank of Namibia (Agribank),** a government controlled finance institution, providing mentoring and advisory services to livestock farmers. The bank could be involved in the design and testing of financial products tailored to livestock farmers and other operators along the value chain.

- **AGRA Ltd:** an agricultural cooperative and main provider of inputs (veterinary drugs, feed supplements, licks, etc.) and services (auctioning) to livestock farmers.

- **Producer associations, including:**
  - **Namibia Agriculture Union (NAU):** representing the interest of commercial farmers, including livestock producers, is financed through membership fees and contributions from the MBN.
  - **Namibia National Farmers Union (NNFU):** a national federation representing communal and emerging farmers, providing organisation support and trade assistance to local members.
  - **Farmers’ cooperatives:** Local and regional associations will be instrumental in setting up a communication network for disease management and livestock marketing.

- **Non-profit organisations:** such as Meatco Foundation, Conservation Agriculture Namibia (CAN), Integrated Rural Development and Nature Conservation, providing services to local farmer communities in the areas of rangeland/livestock management;

- **Livestock farmers (see brief description in par. 1.1.3.)**

### 1.1.3 Priority areas for support/problem analysis

NCAs’ livestock production is mainly sold in the local market and, in smaller quantity, to other neighbouring African countries, such as South Africa, Angola and Zimbabwe. However, more than half of the meat locally consumed in the formal market needs to be supplied from outside the NCAs. In fact, only 12% of the total NCAs’ cattle population is sold or consumed (the so-called off-take) compared to 25-30% in the commercial areas. While cattle farmers are unable or reluctant to sell their animals, the economic potential of the sector in the NCAs, even in terms of satisfying the local demand, remains largely unexploited. The low off-take is mainly caused by market inefficiencies, which will constitute one of the Action’s priority areas of intervention. From the demand side, the beef industry is mainly export oriented and requires a regular supply of high quality grade (A and AB) for lucrative markets. However, from the supply side, more than 75% of animals delivered to abattoirs in the NCAs are of lower quality (C...
grade), resulting in low prices paid to farmers and inefficiencies in the processing industry. The low quality of animals is partly the result of poor access to and low quality of livestock extension and advisory services, which currently cover less than 2% of farmers. Extensive inbreeding of cattle, coupled with insufficient research on improved breeding material and livestock husbandry practices is significantly contributing to the low quality of animals. Regular supply of animals to the meat industry is also hampered by the mind-set of the majority of NCAs farmers, for whom cattle is not necessarily a source of income, but a form of savings/investment for household security. Cattle can be easily transformed in cash during retirement, with better return as compared to other instruments available on the financial market (e.g. savings/investment accounts, etc.). Furthermore, cattle is used in community functions or sold for family needs in case of specific events (wedding, funerals, school fees, etc.). Rural households also keep cattle for their social status. However, as a result of generational changes and increasing urbanization, new status symbols are being introduced in the rural areas (cars, etc.), that could be alternatives to cattle.

Due to logistic constraints (insufficient auction events, distance and costly transport to auction sites, quarantine obligations, etc.), local farmers are even more reluctant to sell their cattle on a regular basis. As a result, abattoirs work below full potential (60% on average), which decreases their economic performance and further reduces their ability to offer better prices to farmers. This is a vicious cycle that needs to be addressed with an attitude change from the side of the farmers, coupled with a market approach by the meat industry which is better tailored to the type of animals supplied by local farmers (because of the small size of the local Sanga breed, farmers are usually excluded from price incentives which are normally based on animal’s weight). At the same time, due to uncontrolled cattle movements, there is a constant risk of importing animal diseases from infected areas. After the FMD outbreak in July 2015, probably caused by cattle contracting the virus after grazing in neighbouring countries, additional restrictions to the movement and trade of livestock have been imposed throughout the NCAs, with additional dampening effects on the whole value chain. As farmers are reluctant to sell their animals, the number of cattle in the NCAs is growing, with increasing negative effects on the already stressed rangeland's stocking capacity. Overstocking and overgrazing, associated with widespread soil degradation and bush encroachment, have become a common phenomenon, affecting more than 45% of the land.

Furthermore, due to the land tenure system in the NCAs, the responsible authorities (traditional leaders, Land Boards, Local Authorities, etc.) are often unable to regulate the use of rangeland. If farmers’ awareness on sustainable animal husbandry practices is not promoted, together with a more effective governance of natural resources, the negative environmental impact would progressively affect the productivity of the whole livestock sector. In the long term, this could also have an impact on the social cohesion and overall political stability, as it would support the perception that livestock farmers in the north of the country are still disadvantaged, as compared to a well-off farmer communities in the south.

2 RISKS AND ASSUMPTIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risks</th>
<th>Risk level (H/M/L)</th>
<th>Mitigating measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Budget constrains could limit the Government’s ability to provide the human resources required for field activities (e.g. public extension and animal health services).</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Government commitments towards the Action will be fully reflected in the Financing Agreement and accompanied by intense high level dialogue, supported by a robust monitoring system. Concurrently, the Action will promote the provision of complementary services by private livestock operators.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adverse and continue climatic events, drought in particular, could further affect livestock farming.</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>The resilience of local farmers will be enhanced by active dissemination of improved animal husbandry, adapted breeds and rangeland management practices, coupled with the provision of specific inputs and assets for animal and fodder production/processing in dry land environment (water, power, access road, feed lots, etc.). Coordination will be ensured with government response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Because of new outbreaks, the status of FMD risk infected zone is extended to the whole NCAs.</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>The Action will strengthen the application of holistic and proactive disease risk management approaches, built on adequate disease intelligence. Concurrently, the Commodity Based Trade (CBT) approach will be piloted in selected areas, as a way to improve market access from geographical areas still infected by FMD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Because of vested interests amongst the few private sector operators, the marketing and pricing system currently in place remains unchanged.</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Specific and temporary public incentives could be put in place, together with regulatory measures (e.g. leves on imported animals and animal products, preferential treatment of local beef in public procurement, etc.) in support to local cattle producers and processors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Because of influence from local political and traditional authorities, target farmers are reluctant to change production and marketing attitudes.</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Entry points for field activities, e.g. extension and animal care services, will focus on leaders and selected members of farmer communities, women, youth and farmers already involved in commercial agriculture, as promoters of changes. Households surveys may be undertaken to gain a better insight of the anthropological and social factors that are currently limiting a more business minded behaviour amongst NCAs livestock farmers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prices and exchange rate fluctuations change the market scenario.</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Product diversification (weaners, oxen, small livestock, beef sub-products) will be promoted, together with the capacity for the local industry to become more competitive vis a vis meat imports.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Government approach for FMD eradication (erecting a VCF on the Angola border) is not feasible in the near future.</td>
<td>M/H</td>
<td>Regulatory measures for meat production and disease control/prevention would be introduced in line with CBT protocol, shifting trade focus from geographic origin of animals to a specific products (e.g. de-boned beef), with negligible potential for FMD transmission.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insufficient coordination amongst major livestock players.</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Inter and intra-ministerial coordination will be promoted at national and regional level, together with dialogue, consensus and synergies amongst major public and private stakeholders.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Assumptions**

- All value chain operators, as well as government authorities, firmly collaborate along the Livestock Common Vision and Master Plan, elaborated by the livestock sector in 2012.
- Southern Africa Development Community's livestock, health and trade authorities are fully committed to the implementation of the Phakalane Declaration (2012) on the adoption of non-geographic approaches for management of foot and mouth disease, providing fair access to meat products originating from controlled compartments in the NCAs, in line with the CBT accepted protocols.
- Demand for animal products will be growing, owing to increased population, rapid urbanization, decreasing poverty and increasing disposable income, which will create an additional pulling effect and market opportunities in the NCAs and throughout the country.
- Once the necessary activities are in place, the already demonstrated price responsiveness of NCAs livestock farmers will prevail on the current socio-cultural aversion to market.

3 LESSONS LEARNT, COMPLEMENTARITY AND CROSS-CUTTING ISSUES

3.1 Lessons learnt

As learned from previous and on-going similar programs (e.g. Millennium Challenge Account), a more focused agribusiness approach should be promoted, in order to improve the competitiveness and profitability of the livestock sector in the NCAs. Structural modifications and mind-set changes are needed, in order to encourage livestock farmers to gradually adopt innovative production systems, modern
technologies and move towards market driven attitudes. To unlock the social and economic potential, mobilization is required at local, regional and national levels, to address sector specific policy factors and behaviors that are not conducive to commercial livestock farming. To that effect, sustained and coordinated efforts involving all participants along the agribusiness chain, in particular private sector operators, will be instrumental. Recent experiences from the NCAs have shown that the integration of improved livestock husbandry with local planning for rangeland used and conservation agricultures practices could also significantly contribute in building the resilience of local farming communities.

3.2 Complementarity, synergy and donor coordination

The Action will complement the on-going continental Veterinary Governance Program, implemented by the African Union - Inter African Bureau for Animal Resources (AU-IBAR), World Organisation for Animal Health (OIE) and The Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) and will be well aligned with the AU-IBAR strategic pillars.

The Communal Land Development Programme (CLDP), currently implemented by the Ministry of Land Reform with financial support from the EU, Kreditanstalt für Wiederaufbau (KFW) and Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit (GIZ), is actively promoting access to land rights to individual and group farmers in the NCAs. CLDP is also providing mentoring and extension services for optimal rangeland and herd management, which are expected to increase farmer communities’ resilience to drought and climate changes. The Action will therefore build upon CLDP’s experience and establish strategic and operational links with the programme, particularly in the areas of local land use planning, rangeland management, access and management of livestock infrastructure (water points, fencing, etc.) and advisory services. The coverage of CLDP may provide some reference for the geographical and beneficiary targeting by the market promotion component of the Action. Therefore, as part of the initial actions for implementation, it is envisaged to draw up selection criteria and identify geographical areas for specific interventions and local beneficiaries, through a consultative process with traditional and local authorities, farmers unions and cooperatives. Coordination and synergies with Member States will be sought during the Action, in particular with Germany, under its planned extension of the land reform support programme. Collaboration will be established with the Japanese Cooperation Agency (JICA), under their support programme to extension services in the NCAs.

Resilience of local farmers will be promoted in cooperation with the EU funded Climate Change Programme, currently providing technical guidance and institutional framework for rangeland management, conservation agriculture and livestock marketing, so as to limit the climate related risks of commercial livestock farming in the NCAs. When applicable, linkages will be created with the 11th EDF Support Programme to Vocational Training, for promoting skill development in line with the demand from livestock agribusiness operators, and with the Civil Society Support Programme, to enhance the capacity of local NGOs and community based organizations in natural resources management and livestock value chain governance. The Action would enhance technical and policy coordination at local level amongst livestock sector operators, also providing an entry point for decentralised EU dialogue with private sector operators, civil society representatives, local and traditional authorities. China has recently signed a framework agreement to open its market to Namibian beef, providing complementary capacity building assistance to veterinary services and pledging investment funds for the construction of vaccine production plant in the NCAs. Because of its increasingly important role for the sector, preliminary discussions will take place with the Chinese representatives to identify common development objectives and explore concrete opportunities for collaboration during implementation of the Action.

The Action will be mainstreamed and reflected in the National Agricultural Investment Plans, under the recently launched Comprehensive Africa Agriculture Development Programme (CAADP) process for Namibia. Synergies will be established with the EU supported SADC Regional Agricultural Policy, with focus on the regional strategy for eliminating animal pests and improving control of trans-boundary animal diseases (TAD). Complementarities will also be sought with other livestock regional (e.g. SADC programme on transferable diseases, under formulation) and continental initiatives, such as the Panafrican Livestock Development Strategy for Africa, FAO’s Livestock Environmental Assessment and Performance Partnership (LEAP) programme, etc.
MAWF has a lead role in terms of coordinating the interventions of the development partners in this area.

3.3 Cross-cutting issues

**Gender:** Support to gender constitutes a significant objective of the Action. Specific activities will be designed to promote the effective participation of women in the livestock value chain, taking into account their entrepreneurial attitudes to commercial activities, as already apparent in small stock rearing and meat processing/retailing (small local restaurants, kapanas). Women’s engagement as Community Animal Health Agents will be promoted, together with their active participation in grazing associations and other community-based mechanisms for improved rangeland management. The Action will explore the introduction of food security and nutrition focus by extension services, as a way to increase women’s awareness on the need of animal proteins for a more balanced diet, particularly for the healthy growth of children. The improvement of breeding material and the extension of tagging to small stock will directly improve women’s engagement in the value chain, from production to marketing. Outcomes and outputs will be disaggregated by sex, wherever applicable.

**Environment:** The Action will actively stimulate a more sustainable use of natural resources, grass land and water in particular, as part of a wider approach to improve rangeland management. A more adaptive and efficient livestock production system will also enhance the resilience of rural households to drought and other climate change related events. The role of livestock farmers as “managers of local ecosystems” and a mentality shift from "livestock producer" to "grass producer" will be actively promoted by the Action. Optimal carrying capacity would mainly be achieved by promoting farmer communities' active role in rangeland management practices. These would include local level land-use planning, grazing planning, pasture production, early assessment of pasture availability, timely adjustment of animal numbers to available grazing, improving the vigour of the perennial grass, addressing bush encroachment, adjusting water extraction to ground water levels, increasing soil fertility and water penetration, amongst others. Increased off-take will be promoted for the supply of higher quality meat to the market; as a result, the optimal carrying capacity of the land would be attained with fewer animals, but of better quality. The reduction of herd size may also have a beneficial effect on the environment.

4 DESCRIPTION OF THE ACTION

4.1 Objectives/results

This programme is relevant for the Agenda 2030. It contributes primarily to the progressive achievement of SDG targets 2.3 (By 2030, double the agricultural productivity and incomes of small-scale food producers, in particular women, indigenous peoples, family farmers, pastoralists and fishers, including through secure and equal access to land, other productive resources and inputs, knowledge, financial services, markets and opportunities for value addition and non-farm employment), but also promotes progress towards Goal(s) 1 (End poverty in all its forms everywhere), 8 (Promote sustained, inclusive and sustainable economic growth, full and productive employment and decent work for all), 15 (Protect, restore and promote sustainable use of terrestrial ecosystems, sustainably manage forests, combat desertification, and halt and reverse land degradation and halt biodiversity loss). This does not imply a commitment by the country benefiting from this programme.

The **overall objective** of the Action is: to promote the entrepreneurship and enhance the livelihood of NCAs’ livestock farming communities in a sustainable way.

The **specific objective** is: to improve the performance of the livestock value chain in the NCAs.

The project will focus on the following three result areas:

**Result 1)** Livestock production systems and resilience to climate change are improved.

**Result 2)** Access of livestock farmers to domestic, regional and international markets is promoted.

**Result 3)** Implementation of animal disease management and eradication strategies is enhanced.

4.2 Main activities

The indicative list of activities to achieve this result is presented below.

4.2.1 Result 1) Livestock production systems and resilience to climate change are improved.

4.2.1.1 Policy and legal framework analysis
a) Analyse constraints and advise on policy and regulatory measures that enhances the capacity of local farmers to produce and sell quality animals, increasing off-take, and reducing overstocking.

4.2.1.2 Research/baseline studies
a) Carry out technical studies and update baseline surveys on livestock production and marketing.
b) Research and identify effects of climate change on livestock production systems, in collaboration with competent organisations.
c) Identify and test specific adaptive measures to enhance the resilience of local farmers, with special focus on rangeland management in communal areas (planned grazing, etc.).

4.2.1.3 Extension, advisory services
a) Review and update training/extension guidelines, curricula, training aid and visibility material for livestock husbandry, livestock farm management and rangeland management.
b) Provide extension, mentoring and advisory services on livestock husbandry, animal health, herd and rangeland management, fodder production, farm and agri-business management.
c) Enhance the capacity of Livestock Development Centres (LDCs) in the NCAs to offer demonstration and training/extension services in both livestock and rangeland management.
d) Identify learning needs and support training/capacity building of public extension staff on livestock production advisory services, including access to educational qualifications through pre- and post-graduate programmes.
e) Facilitate formal accreditation of trainers/mentors.

4.2.1.4 Access to livestock inputs
a) Promote and facilitate access and availability of livestock inputs (medicines, fodder, supplementary feeds, etc.).

4.2.1.5 Livestock breed improvement
a) Promote and implement applied research for identification, reproduction and distribution of productive and adaptive large and small stock genetic material, with focus on maintenance and improvement of genetic pool for local Sanga and Nguni breeds.
b) Promote exchange programmes of superior bulls between villages, constituencies and regions.
c) Design and propose to the competent authorities the required measures to ensure that selected and certified breeding bulls, free from Sexually transmitted Disease (STDs) are marketed in the NCAs for reproduction purposes.
d) Carry out awareness campaigns to promote the use of indigenous cattle breeds.

4.2.1.6 Agricultural business development/promotion
a) Identify key small/medium/micro business operators along the livestock value chain (including cooperatives), design and implement tailored business support services, in collaboration with local service providers and business intermediary organizations.
b) Analyses feasibility and viability of feedlots, including complementary fodder production, promote and pilot feedlot schemes in selected areas, securing market access by linking with livestock traders and abattoirs.
c) Analyse opportunities, design and test mechanisms for promoting product diversification (weaners, oxen, small livestock, etc.).
d) Identify high-potential production/market areas, based on a supply-demand assessment, and support investments for production/market facilities, equipment and inputs, such as water points, access roads, kraals, containment facilities, energy, improved bulls, etc. in collaboration with other relevant authorities and financial institutions.

4.2.1.7 Institutional Capacity
a) Enhance institutional capacity of relevant public institutions for decentralised delivery and coordination of livestock support services (training, extension, technical assistance, etc.), with particular focus on Livestock Development and Agriculture Development Centres.
b) Promote the development and enhance the capacity of relevant civil society organizations, such as community-based groups, farmers unions, local and regional cooperatives, for their effective participation along the livestock value chain.

4.2.2 Result 2) Access of livestock farmers to domestic, regional and international markets is promoted.

4.2.2.1 Policy and legal framework analysis
a) Analyse constraints and advise on policy and regulatory measures that would enhance the capacity of traders and meat industry to promote off-take by improving their capacity to acquire, process and market animal and animal products made in the NCAs in the local, national, regional and international markets.

4.2.2.2 Market research
   a) Carry out and update technical studies and baseline surveys on livestock, meat and meat product development and marketing, with particular attention on the local market; determine production and market viability of goat and sheep and their products from the NCAs.
   b) Research and identify a meat grading system more adapted to C-grade beef produced in the NCA; promote processing and diversification, beyond the current focus on chilled meat, so as to increase added value and access potentially alternative markets for NCAs beef products.
   c) Identify, analyse viability and develop alternative market outlets at local, regional (SADC), national and international level, in particular for products from CBT schemes.
   d) Implement Commodity Based Trade (CBT) schemes and advise competent authorities for possible replication and expansion in selected areas within the NCAs.

4.2.2.3 Information dissemination/awareness and training
   a) Carry out market promotion and awareness campaign, dissemination of information, in collaboration with relevant authorities and agencies.
   b) Design, test and promote livestock price information systems and market linkages for the entire livestock value chain; promote the use of innovative information & communication technologies.
   c) Provide training/capacity building/technical assistance to private trade operators, farmer associations, livestock cooperatives and farmers unions in market and marketing related activities.

4.2.2.4 Livestock market development
   a) Based on market research and development studies, identify high-potential production/market areas and support investments for production/market facilities (water points, access roads, kraals, containment facilities, energy sources).
   b) Design and test market subsidies and incentives schemes for increase and timely supply of quality animal to the market; advise competent authorities for possible replication/expansion.
   c) Design and carry out marketing campaign (local, national, regional and international) for the promotion of NCAs’ meat and meat product (made in the NCAs branding).
   d) Provide business and entrepreneurial support services to operators of public and private local abattoirs, in order to improve their throughput capacity and promote the supply of quality animal products (with added value) to the market.
   e) Promote capacity development for community-based organizations and farmers’ cooperatives and enhance their effective and coordinated participation in livestock marketing.
   f) Analyse the business viability of (B-class) municipal abattoirs and local slaughter houses, determine the level of support for compliance with hygiene and food safety standards.

4.2.2.5 Financial services
   a) In collaboration with private/public finance institutions, design and promote schemes that improve access and availability of dedicated financial services (business credit, insurance, etc.) to all categories of operators along the livestock value chain.

4.2.2.6 Feedlots and quarantine facilities
   a) Promote and support the development of feedlot schemes as a way to increase the availability and the regular supply to local abattoirs of quality animals and improve farmers’ access to the market.
   b) Promote improved management of quarantine facilities – including production of standard procedures and training material, in order to reduce/eliminate weight loss during confinement.

4.2.3 Result 3) Implementation of animal disease management and eradication strategies is enhanced.

4.2.3.1 Information management and traceability systems
   a) Support the expansion of the livestock identification and traceability system (NamLITS), also to include small stock, and provide relevant training to farmers and other operators.
   b) Carry out risk assessment studies; develop an integrated Animal Health Information System to collect and analyse data and generate appropriate information for various stakeholders, with focus
on: notification and reporting of animal disease cases, management of veterinary actions in case of health emergencies, surveillance of animal diseases (including zoonosis) and early warning.

4.2.3.2 Development of livestock veterinary facilities
   a) Collaborate with key stakeholders in the design and build of infrastructures required for livestock containment, testing and isolation, including rehabilitation of quarantine facilities.
   b) Contribute to the design, construction and equip veterinary facilities and provide training to staff for the control of cross border movement of animals and transboundary transmission of diseases.
   c) Identify; inspect local (B-class) abattoirs, with the view to upgrading their standards.
   d) Develop and enforce minimum hygiene and food safety standards for local abattoirs, in collaboration with municipal authorities.

4.2.3.3 Veterinary and animal health support services
   a) In close liaison with livestock extension and advisory services (see Result 1) improve access and quality of veterinary services to NCAs farmers involving, when appropriate, qualified private operators in the veterinary field.
   b) Design and implement a system for the delivery of basic animal health/veterinary services, including recruitment, training and equipping a network of community animal health agents (CAHA) operating in the areas of animal health, animal husbandry, rangeland management, access to livestock inputs and medicines, basic farm management and marketing.
   c) Establish close operational links between public veterinary services and CAHA, in support to the Animal Health Information System.
   d) Review and update existing training modules and information materials on animal health.

4.2.3.4 Livestock trade development
   a) Collaborate with other stakeholders in the design, test and possible replication of CBT schemes.

4.3 Intervention logic
The Action is to undertake a variety of coordinated interventions, in order to support NCAs livestock farmers to produce in an efficient and competitive way, in a challenging socio-economic and agro-climatic environment. The ability of local communities to improve their farming system and resilience to climate related shocks, drought in particular, will be promoted through synchronised and interlinked actions in the areas of livestock production (supply side) and market (demand side). Through the action, the quality of and access to livestock support services and infrastructure will be enhanced, together with the ability of private sector operators, in particular producer and market associations, to play an effective role along the livestock value chain.

On the production side, quality of animals would be increased by providing access to qualified extension services and improved breeding material that is better adapted to the prevailing agro-climatic conditions in the NCAs. Research, extension and advisory services will be supported, with focus on improving livestock genetic material, animal husbandry, animal health, rangeland management and fodder production, based on the experience gained by other farmer support programs (e.g. Meat Board, Meatco Foundation, CLDP and Agribank). In order to increase the supply of high grade animals to slaughtering facilities, animal production system in feed lots will also be supported, together with fodder production.

Based on increased availability of animals of better quality, the Action will promote the demand side for livestock and livestock products by the domestic market, particularly from formal NCAs customers (restaurants, lodges, public institutions, etc.). This would include the design and testing of non-distorting, market-driven incentives to stimulate local farmers to timely and regular sale animals of good quality. In order to promote a more commercial approach to livestock production, the livestock procurement system will be enhanced, so as to reduce the transaction costs and risks faced by farmers when selling their animals. At the same time, farmers will have to be made more aware of the risk of keeping large numbers of cattle, due to the increasing scarcity of available natural resources (land, water and pasture) and worsening drought conditions. To this end, extension/advisory services would be complemented by market information and market linkages, as well as by supporting investments for production/market facilities, such as water points, access roads, kraals, containment facilities, energy, in locations strategically selected for their proximity to high-potential production/market areas. The combined efforts
on the production and market sides will be complemented with dedicated support to veterinary and food safety services, all along the value chain.

The veterinary component of the Action would ensure that the standards for animal health, disease control and quality of animal products are adequately improved and enforced. Significant positive effects on the quality of the animals and on access to markets would be expected, with positive outcomes on the income for local farmers and on the profitability of all other segments along the livestock value chain.

In line with relevant EU strategies\(^2\), the Action will strengthen the role of the private sector, in particular farmers’ cooperatives and other small/medium agribusiness operators, in the areas of livestock production, processing and marketing. Regulatory measures aimed at a more conducive environment for private sector engagement will be identified and promoted. This would encourage effective partnerships between all stakeholders involved in the value chain, and enhance the progressive transition of livestock owners, from subsistence to commercial farming. The Action will be linked to the Inclusive and Sustainable Value Chain approach (under the Global Public Goods and Challenges), providing evidence-based knowledge on livestock value chains, ensuring adequate monitoring of performance and accountability.

5 IMPLEMENTATION

5.1 Financing agreement

In order to implement this action, it is foreseen to conclude a financing agreement with the partner country, referred to in Article 17 of Annex IV to the ACP-EU Partnership Agreement.

5.2 Indicative implementation period

The indicative operational implementation period of this action, during which the activities described in section 4.2 will be carried out and the corresponding contracts and agreements implemented, is 72 months from the date of entry into force of the financing agreement.

Extensions of the implementation period may be agreed by the Commission’s authorising officer responsible by amending this decision and the relevant contracts and agreements; such amendments to this decision constitute non-substantial amendment in the sense of Article 9(4) of Regulation (EU) 2015/322.

5.3 Implementation modalities

5.3.1 Indirect management with the partner country

This action with the objective of promoting the entrepreneurship and enhance the livelihood of NCAs’ livestock farming communities in a sustainable way may be implemented in indirect management with the Republic of Namibia in accordance with Article 58(1)(c) of the Regulation (EU, Euratom) No 966/2012 applicable in accordance with Article 17 of Regulation (EU) 2015/323 according to the following modalities:

The partner country will act as the contracting authority for the procurement and grant procedures. The Commission will control ex ante all the procurement procedures except in cases where programme estimates are applied, under which the Commission applies ex ante control for procurement contracts above EUR 100 000 (or lower, based on a risk assessment) and may apply ex post control for procurement contracts up to that threshold. The Commission will control ex ante the grant procedures for all grant contracts.

Payments are executed by the Commission except in cases where programmes estimates are applied, under which payments are executed by the partner country for direct labour and contracts below EUR 300,000 for procurement and up to EUR 100,000 for grants.

The financial contribution covers for an amount of EUR 300 000 the ordinary operating costs incurred under the programme estimates.

\(^2\) Such as the Agenda for Change and the communication COM (2014)263: A Stronger Role of the Private Sector in Achieving Inclusive and Sustainable Growth in Developing Countries.
In accordance with Article 190(2)(b) of Regulation (EU, Euratom) No 966/2012 and Article 262(3) of Delegated Regulation (EU) No 1268/2012 applicable by virtue of Article 36 of Regulation (EU) 2015/323 and Article 19c(2) of Annex IV to the ACP-EU Partnership Agreement, and subject to a positive ex-ante assessment of the entity by an independent external auditor, the Republic of Namibia shall apply the procurement rules of its own implementing body to the imprest component of all programme estimates. These rules will be laid down in the financing agreement concluded with the partner country.

In the absence of a positive ex-ante assessment, or for all other procurement and grants other than those under the imprest component of a programme estimates, and in accordance with Article 190(2)(b) of Regulation (EU, Euratom) No 966/2012 and Article 262(3) of Delegated Regulation (EU) No 1268/2012 applicable by virtue of Article 36 of Regulation (EU) 2015/323 and Article 19c(1) of Annex IV to the ACP-EU Partnership Agreement, the partner country shall apply procurement rules of Chapter 3 of Title IV of Part Two of Regulation (EU, Euratom) No 966/2012. These rules, as well as rules on grant procedures in accordance with Article 193 of Regulation (EU, Euratom) No. 966/2012 applicable in accordance with Article 17 of Regulation (EU) 2015/323, will be laid down in the financing agreement concluded with the Republic of Namibia.

5.3.2 Changes from indirect to direct management mode due to exceptional circumstances
If justified by circumstances outside of the Commission’s control, the action relating to results 1 and 2 may be implemented in direct management in accordance with the implementation modalities identified in section 5.3.2.1

5.3.2.1 Grants: call for proposals: "Support to livestock production and market in the NCAs" (direct management)
(a) Objectives of the grants, fields of intervention, priorities of the year and expected results:
The objective of the grants will be to contribute to the Action, with focus on the following expected results:
- improved livestock production systems and resilience to climate change (see 4.2.1: result 1)
- improved livestock farmers’ access to domestic, regional and international markets (see 4.2.2: result 2)

The following types of actions will be eligible for financing:
- Enhancing the performance of the livestock sector in the NCAs in the areas of production, animal trading, value addition, processing and marketing for the local, regional and international markets.
- Building capacity of livestock farmers (cooperatives, associations and individual farmers) and of micro-small-medium agribusinesses, in order to promote and strengthen their participation in the livestock value chains with regard to competitiveness, compliance with market requirements, safety and quality standards,
- Promoting and facilitating networking and partnerships amongst private agribusiness operators, civil society organisations and government’s central and local institutions involved in the livestock sector.

Activities would include, but not necessarily be limited to:
- extension, technical assistance, advisory and mentoring services;
- business development services, promotion of market linkages and synergies;
- identification, testing and promoting the adoption of appropriate innovative solutions and technologies, particularly in the livestock farming systems that are resilient to climate changes;
- provision of matching funds for co-investment in livestock production and market infrastructures.
(b) Eligibility conditions: in order to be eligible for a grant, the applicant should:
- be legal persons, and
- belong to one of the following categories:
  - Non-State actors (NSAs); private sector organisations; parastatal bodies; local authorities; international (inter-governmental) organisations as defined by Article 43 of the Implementing Rules to the EC Financial Regulation, and
  - be established in a country eligible under the ACP-EC Partnership Agreement,
Subject to information to be published in the call for proposals, the indicative amount of the EU contribution per grant is between EUR 1 000 000 and EUR 3 000 000, and the grants may be awarded to
sole beneficiaries and to consortia of beneficiaries (coordinator and co-beneficiaries). The indicative duration of the grant (its implementation period) is 48 months.

(c) Essential selection and award criteria
The essential selection criteria are financial and operational capacity of the applicant.

The essential award criteria are relevance of the proposed action to the objectives of the call; design, effectiveness, feasibility, sustainability and cost-effectiveness of the action.

(d) Maximum rate of co-financing
The maximum possible rate of co-financing for grants under this call is 80% of the eligible costs of the action. In accordance with Article 192 of Regulation (EU, Euratom) No 966/2012, if full funding is essential for the action to be carried out, the maximum possible rate of co-financing may be increased up to 100%. The essentiality of full funding will be justified by the Commission’s authorising officer responsible in the award decision, in respect of the principles of equal treatment and sound financial management.

(e) Indicative timing to launch the call: second quarter of 2017

5.4 Scope of geographical eligibility for procurement and grants
The geographical eligibility in terms of place of establishment for participating in procurement and grant award procedures and in terms of origin of supplies purchased as established in the basic act and set out in the relevant contractual documents shall apply.

The Commission’s authorising officer responsible may extend the geographical eligibility in accordance with Article 22(1)(b) of Annex IV to the ACP-EU Partnership Agreement on the basis of urgency or of unavailability of products and services in the markets of the countries concerned, or in other duly substantiated cases where the eligibility rules would make the realisation of this action impossible or exceedingly difficult.

5.5 Indicative budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>EU contribution (EUR)</th>
<th>Indicative third party contribution (EUR)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.3.1 Indirect management with the Republic of Namibia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Result 1) Improvement of livestock production systems and resilience to climate change - Call for Proposals – grants</td>
<td>5 000 000</td>
<td>1 250 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Result 2) Enhancement of access to local, regional and international markets - Call for Proposals – grants</td>
<td>3 500 000</td>
<td>875 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Result 3) Implementation of animal disease management and eradication strategies – Programme Estimates</td>
<td>7 000 000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Technical assistance – service contract</td>
<td>2 500 000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Visibility – 1 % to 3 % of the amounts under a) to d) will be used for visibility of the action</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.8 – Evaluation, 5.9 – Audit</td>
<td>500 000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingencies</td>
<td>1 500 000</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>20 000 000</td>
<td>2 125 000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3 Based on a maximum 80 % of EU funding and hence a 20 % contribution from Beneficiaries
5.6 **Organisational set-up and responsibilities**

The Action will be implemented under the supervision of the Ministry of Agriculture, Water and Forestry, through grants and programme estimates. A programme steering committee (PSC), chaired by the Permanent Secretary of the Ministry of Agriculture, Water and Forestry and made up of representatives of relevant agriculture directorates, European Union Delegation, National Authorising Officer, and other relevant stakeholders, will oversee and validate the overall direction and policy of the Action.

A programme management unit (PMU) will be established in the NCAs, under the responsibility of the Regional Agriculture Offices, for the coordination and supervision of field activities carried out by grants beneficiaries (partner implementing agencies) and other institutions involved in the implementation of the Action (e.g. Veterinary services). The PMU will also be responsible for promoting technical and operational dialogue with other NCAs actors, in order to make the Action better accountable to a large audience of stakeholders, strengthen coordination and synergies amongst all players and boost the common vision for the development of the livestock sector in the NCAs. External support from a Technical Assistance Team will be contracted through a service contract, to provide technical and administrative support at central and regional level.

The coordination set up of the program will pay particular attention to establishing strategic and operational links with public institutions and private operators engaged in livestock production and with other institutions involved in the implementation of the National Rangeland Management Policy and Strategy and of the Land Reform Policy; establishing strategic and operational links and enhance cooperation among key institutions involved in the implementation of the Namibia Agriculture Marketing and Trade Policy and Strategy and with private operators engaged in the procurement, trade and marketing of livestock and livestock products; promoting strategic and operational links and enhance cooperation with other key players involved in the implementation of animal health’s related policies, strategies and implementation plans. Possibilities of cooperation protocols with neighbouring countries (Angola in particular) for the management of trans-boundary animal diseases and cooperation with other livestock regional and continental initiatives will also be sought.

5.7 **Performance monitoring and reporting**

The Technical Assistance Team will support the design and implementation of a monitoring & information (M&I) system, based on a combination of surveys, field data collection and analysis. M&I activities will mainly be carried out by the partner implementing agencies, both under grants and the programme estimate, as part of their contractual obligations. The agencies will also be tasked with the early preparation of baseline surveys in their respective areas of intervention, following the recent resumption of livestock marketing after the FMD outbreak in the NCAs. Baseline and targets for the Action will be reviewed accordingly, after validation by the Steering Committees. Adequate financial provisions will be made for the M&I activities, under Technical Assistance and both for grants and programme estimate. It is also expected that the monitoring of the Action will be harmonised with the methodology for sampling, data collection and analysis under the Household Income and Expenditure Survey and Agriculture Census, which are regularly carried out by the Namibia Statistic Agency. Operational links will also be established with other relevant agencies, such as Meat Board, who disseminate updated information on the livestock sector on a regular basis.

The day-to-day technical and financial monitoring of the implementation of this action will be a continuous process and part of the implementing partner’s responsibilities. To this aim, the implementing partners shall establish a permanent internal, technical and financial monitoring system for the action and elaborate regular progress reports (not less than annual) and final reports. Every report shall provide an accurate account of implementation of the action, difficulties encountered, changes introduced, as well as the degree of achievement of its results (outputs and direct outcomes) as measured by corresponding indicators, using as reference the logframe matrix. The report shall be laid out in such a way as to allow monitoring of the means envisaged and employed and of the budget details for the action. The final report, narrative and financial, will cover the entire period of the action implementation.
The Commission may undertake additional project monitoring visits both through its own staff and through independent consultants recruited directly by the Commission for independent monitoring reviews (or recruited by the responsible agent contracted by the Commission for implementing such reviews).

5.8 Evaluation
Having regard to the nature of the action, a mid-term and final evaluation will be carried out for this action or its components via independent consultants contracted by the Commission.

A mid-term evaluation will be carried out for problem solving and learning purposes, in particular with respect to the need for adapting the action to environmental, social and economic changes that may affect the livestock sector during its implementation period. Qualitative and quantitative indicators from a European Commission value chain toolkit may be used to that effect.

A final evaluation will be carried out for accountability and learning purposes at various levels (including for policy revision), taking into account in particular the need to provide all actors involved in the livestock sector with an independent and comprehensive assessment of the outcomes and impact achieved by the action.

The Commission shall inform the implementing partner at least one month in advance of the dates foreseen for the evaluation missions. The implementing partner shall collaborate efficiently and effectively with the evaluation experts, and inter alia provide them with all necessary information and documentation, as well as access to the project premises and activities.

The evaluation reports shall be shared with the partner country and other key stakeholders. The implementing partner and the Commission shall analyse the conclusions and recommendations of the evaluations and, where appropriate, in agreement with the partner country, jointly decide on the follow-up actions to be taken and any adjustments necessary, including, if indicated, the reorientation of the project.

Indicatively, 2 contracts for evaluation services shall be concluded in the 1st quarter year 3 and 4th quarter year 6 of the implementation period of the Action.

5.9 Audit
Without prejudice to the obligations applicable to contracts concluded for the implementation of this action, the Commission may, on the basis of a risk assessment, contract independent audits or expenditure verification assignments for one or several contracts or agreements.

Indicatively, five contracts for audit services shall be concluded under a framework contract during the execution period of the programme.

5.10 Communication and visibility
Communication and visibility of the EU is a legal obligation for all external actions funded by the EU.

This Action shall contain communication and visibility measures which shall be based on a specific Communication and Visibility Plan of the Action, to be elaborated at the start of implementation and supported with the budget indicated in section 5.5 above, under technical assistance.

In terms of legal obligations on communication and visibility, the measures shall be implemented by the Commission, the partner country, contractors, grant beneficiaries and/or entrusted entities. Appropriate contractual obligations shall be included in, respectively, the financing agreement, procurement and grant contracts, and delegation agreements.

The Communication and Visibility Manual for European Union External Action shall be used to establish the Communication and Visibility Plan of the Action and the appropriate contractual obligations.
The Communication and Visibility Plan of the Action will be implemented through dedicated activities carried out by the grant beneficiaries and the Ministry responsible for the programme estimate. Overall guidance and support will be provided by the technical assistance team that will be hired to support the government in the management and administration of the Action.
Appendix – Indicative Logframe matrix
The inputs, the expected direct and induced outputs and all the indicators, targets and baselines included in the list of result indicators are indicative and may be updated during the implementation of the action without an amendment to the financing decision. The table with the indicative list of result indicators will evolve during the lifetime of the action: new columns will be added for intermediary targets (milestones), when it is relevant and for reporting purpose on the achievement of results as measured by indicators. Note also that indicators should be disaggregated by sex whenever relevant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Results chain</th>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Baselines (2016 ref. year)</th>
<th>Targets (2021 ref. year)</th>
<th>Sources and means of verification</th>
<th>Assumptions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall objective (impact)</td>
<td>To promote the entrepreneurship and enhance the livelihood of livestock farming communities in the NCAs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NCAs' household income from sale of livestock 4, 5</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>To be verified (tbv)</td>
<td>Household Income and Expenditure Survey (HIES - Namibia Statistic Agency-NSA) and Agriculture Census</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of NCAs livestock farmers regularly supplying animals to the market3</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>25% (tbv)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Livestock value added per hectare in NCAs 6</td>
<td>tbv</td>
<td>tbv</td>
<td>MAWF annual reports</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific objective (Outcome)</td>
<td>The performance of the livestock value chain in the NCAs is improved</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.1) NCAs' livestock sector annual turnover</td>
<td>1.1) tbv</td>
<td>1.1) tbv</td>
<td>Meat Board Annual reports</td>
<td>Stakeholders collaboration is in line with Livestock Common Vision 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.2) Formal and informal livestock off take 2</td>
<td>1.2) 6% informal</td>
<td>1.2) 10% informal</td>
<td>1.2) Meat Board records from abattoirs and MAWF surveys</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.7% formal</td>
<td>20% formal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Result (Output 1)</td>
<td>Livestock production systems and resilience to climate change are improved</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.1.1) Quality of cattle, based on ratio AB and B grades compared to C grades</td>
<td>1.1.1) 1/70</td>
<td>1.1.1) 1/1</td>
<td>1.1.1) Meat Board records from abattoirs</td>
<td>Influence against a sustainable approach to commercial livestock farming is contained</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.1.2) Pastoral ecosystems with sustainable land management practices 8</td>
<td>1.1.2) 2%</td>
<td>1.1.2) 20%</td>
<td>1.1.2) MAWF annual reports by Rangeland Coordination Unit 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.1.3) Number of agricultural households receiving livestock advisory services 3, 10</td>
<td>1.1.3) 6,00011</td>
<td>1.1.3) 9,000</td>
<td>1.1.3) MAWF annual reports and MAWF beneficiary’s surveys</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4 Disaggregated by sex.
5 HIES 2009/10: % of source of income from commercial farming, as compared to subsistence farming in NCAs.
6 Matching with Sustainable Development Goals and with the EU Results Framework, indicator no.8, level 1
7 Matching with the National Indicative Programme for Namibia, indicator for result 1.3
8 Matching with the EU Results Framework, indicator no.6, level 2; Percentage of farming communities practicing planned grazing, in line with the principles of the National Rangeland Management Policy.
9 Rangeland audits carried out under the National Rangeland Management Policy & Strategy
10 Matching with the EU Results Framework, indicator no.7, level 2
11 Data from Agriculture Census in Communal Areas for 2013/14
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Result (Output) 2</th>
<th>Access of NCAs livestock farmers to domestic, regional and international markets is promoted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.2.1) Volume/value of NCAs animals/meat sold in local, regional, national and international markets</td>
<td>1.2.1) tbv</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2.2) Percentage of animals/meat locally produced, consumed in the NCAs’ formal market</td>
<td>1.2.2) 50% 1.2.2) 80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2.3) Number of NCAs farmers participating in marketing as members of cooperatives</td>
<td>1.2.3) tbv 1.2.3) tbv</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2.4) Established institutional and procedural arrangements, linking livestock value chain actors</td>
<td>1.2.4) 1 1.2.4) 6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Result (Output) 3</th>
<th>The implementation of animal disease management and eradication strategies is enhanced</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.3.1) Number of NCAs regions certified as free of Foot &amp; Mouth Disease</td>
<td>1.3.1) 0 1.3.1) 5 (tbv)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3.2) Number of NCAs regions certified free of contagious bovine pleuropneumonia</td>
<td>1.3.2) 0 1.3.2) 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3.3) Compliance rate with animal identification and traceability requirements in the NCAs</td>
<td>1.3.3) tbv 1.3.3) tbv</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3.4) Percentage of livestock farmers assisted by Community Animal Health Agents</td>
<td>1.3.4) 0 1.3.4) 30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3.5) Number of NCAs abattoirs complying with SPS standards (Namibian food safety policy)</td>
<td>1.3.5) 3 (tbv) 1.3.5) 10 (tbv)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 1.2.1. NSA and Meat Board livestock marketing reports | Absence of animal disease's major outbreak and natural disasters (e.g. droughts) |
| 1.2.2. MAWF reports and Meat Board marketing reports | Regional (SADC) authorities are fully committed to open their beef market, in line with the Commodity Based Trade accepted protocols |
| 1.2.3. Farmers Unions reports Meat Board and MAWF surveys | |
| 1.2.4. Meat Board and MAWF annual reports | |

---

12 Disaggregated by sex and by size (small, medium, large livestock farmers)

13 Focus on dialogue platforms (fora, etc.) and market linkages between NCAs smallholders and other livestock value chain operators.

14 Matching with the National Indicative Programme for Namibia, indicator for result 1.1

15 Disaggregated by sex