Roadmap for Lithuania (LT)

To help ensure full implementation of EU waste legislation and the waste hierarchy, including by addressing the still very predominant reliance on landfilling municipal waste (94.5%), the following recommendations are made:

Main recommendations

- 1. Introduce a landfill tax and progressively increase the landfill tax to divert waste from landfill. Use revenues to support separate collection and alternative infrastructure.
- 2. Introduce an incineration and MBT tax in order to make recycling economically viable as soon as the landfill tax is implemented. Keep the landfill tax higher than taxes for incineration and MBT. Use revenues to support separate collection and alternative infrastructure.
- 3. Improve the data collection system of municipal waste and include household packaging waste into the data on generation and treatment of municipal waste.
- 4. Update the WMP including specific policy measures how to achieve the targets set by the WFD and analysis of the current waste management situation on the basis of robust data, analysis of impacts of implementation of the policy measures, required infrastructures and projections of future waste generation and treatment.
- 5. Extend and enforce PAYT scheme. Provide incentives and support for households to participate in separate collection.
- 6. Establish and control separate collection infrastructure and schemes. Implement doorto-door separate collection as soon as possible.
- 7. Extend and improve the cost-effectiveness, supervision and transparency of existing EPR schemes and eliminate free-riding.
- 8. Implement the bio-waste strategy including specific measures to divert biodegradable waste from landfill.



National waste management situation

Administrative structure: The Lithuanian Ministry of Environment (MoE) is the main institution responsible for legislation and administration in the field of waste management, coordinating the activities of the national, regional and local institutions and preparing the national WMP. The Environment Protection Agency organizes, coordinates and performs the state environmental monitoring, provides methodological help for Regional Environment Protection Departments (REPDs) in the environmental protection state control field, takes control, analyses and evaluates the implementation of environment protection state control, etc. [LT MoE 2012]. Regional waste management centers coordinate waste management in municipalities under their jurisdiction. There is an Association of Regional Waste Management Centers. Regional waste management centers are the legal entities established by several municipalities (private companies, no state institutions). Municipalities can cooperate in order to make their waste management system more efficient.

Waste generation and collection: The total amount of municipal waste generated in Lithuania is 1,253 kt/y. The generation per capita is 381 kg, which is considerably lower than the EU-27 average (i.e. 502 kg), [EUROSTAT 2012b]. Centralised waste collection services are provided for approximately 94 % of the population. The remaining population is provided with other forms of collection services (e.g. civic amenity sites). Currently, around 20,000 containers for recyclable materials and 77 bulky waste collection sites are available (70 are constructed using 2004-2006 EU funds; 45 bulky waste collection sites are planned to be constructed using 2007-2013 EU funds and 7 are already constructed). At the beginning of 2009, municipal waste collection services were provided by 104 companies [LT ECAT 2012b]. The majority of the costs for the collection and treatment of the main waste streams are covered by producers/importers [LT MOE 2012].

Instruments applied to move up the waste hierarchy: A ban on landfilling biodegradable waste from gardens, parks and greeneries is in place. A ban on landfilling of untreated waste is expected to be introduced in 2013 [LT MoE 2012]. Currently, no landfill tax is in place [EC 2011f], however, it is planned to introduce a landfilling tax when alternative waste treatment facilities are in operation [LT MoE 2012]. The draft document for the introduction of a landfill tax has been prepared. Presently, the average gate fee for landfilling is 16.26 €/t [Moora 2011]. Further, the Lithuanian MoE indicated that PAYT schemes are partly in place at the municipal level.

Waste treatment: Lithuania was not completely self-sufficient to dispose of municipal waste in 2009 (i.e. 67.8 %), [LT MoE 2012]. The main treatment option for municipal waste is disposal in landfills (94.5 %), [EUROSTAT 2012b], (86 % excluding export). 612 old landfills/dumpsites have been closed and replaced by 11 modern, regional landfills. Using EU structural support funds, further 189 old landfills/dumpsites are scheduled to be closed (remediated) [LT MoE 2012]. In general, alternative waste treatment infrastructure is limited. Municipal waste (EWC 200101, 200128, 200138 and similar) is incinerated with energy recovery (0.1 %), [LT MoE 2012]. Only a very small amount of municipal waste is recycled (3.8 %) and a negligible percentage is composted (1.7 %). Special bins for home composting are provided for owners of private houses almost in the entire country [LT ECAT 2012b]. 21 green waste composting sites have been constructed (13 using 2004-2006 EU funds; 8 using 2007-2013 EU funds) and it is planned to establish also 9 MBTs and 2 waste incineration facilities [LT MoE 2012].

The main problems with regard to the management of municipal waste and their corresponding reasons are summarised as follows:

Overview of the most relevant problems and their reasons (in order of importance)

No	Deficit/Problem	Description of the problem	Reasons
1	High share of bio-	Compared to the 2000 data, still a significant share of biodegradable	Requirements for separate collection of bio-waste are set in the national WMP and
	degradable waste	waste was landfilled in 2009 (i.e. 85 %), [EC 2012a] and only a negligible	legislation, but limited measures are in place to encourage separate collection of bio-waste
	going to landfills	percentage was composted (i.e. 1.7 %), [EUROSTAT 2012b]. Lithuania	(limited legal/ financial means to encourage bio-waste separation) [LT ECAT 2012b]
		has benefited from a derogation regarding the obligation to reduce the	• No clearly defined quality criteria for compost in place (difficult to sell compost) (quality
		quantity of municipal biodegradable waste disposal. Furthermore, the	criteria and requirements for usage for compost/digestate, stabilate from mixed municipal
		base year, in relation to which reduction targets are calculated, is the	waste have been defined. It is planned to prepare quality criteria for compost generated
		year 2000 (instead of 1995), [Ernst & Young 2011]. The reduction targets	from separately collected waste in cooperation with Ministry of Agriculture.
		are to be achieved in 2010 (75 %), 2013 (50 %) and 2020 (35 %). In order	• Landfill restrictions/ban is in place but not specifically related to municipal/bio-waste (ban
		to comply with EU legislation and meet the reduction targets Lithuania	on disposal of green waste since 2003; ban of disposal of untreated waste is planned to
		will need to significantly improve the management of biodegradable	start in 2013)
		waste in the coming years by for instance implementing measures to	• Limited information provision, including guidelines and manuals on separate collection of
		encourage separate collection of bio-waste, initiating awareness	bio-waste, on home composting, etc.
		campaigns for different target groups, etc.	• Limited number of pilot projects (in advance to the implementation of schemes/systems)
2	Waste treatment	According to [EUROSTAT 2012b], the current disposal rate of municipal	Small country size (missing incentives for recycling, difficult to establish large scale
	largely diverting	waste in Lithuania is 94.5 %. The Lithuanian MoE reported a lower	recycling facilities)
	from the waste	disposal figure of 86 % [LT MoE 2012]. Nevertheless, the main treatment	• No use of economic instruments to divert waste to other treatment options (i.e. no landfill
	hierarchy	option for municipal waste remains disposal in landfills. Lithuania is not	tax in place)
		entirely self-sufficient to dispose of municipal waste. According to	Missing restrictions for landfilling municipal waste (but foreseen to start in 2013)
		EUROSTAT only around 0.1 $\%$ of municipal waste is recovered, 3.8 $\%$ is	Lack of clear sources and mechanisms for collection of secondary raw materials [LT MoE
		recycled and a negligible percentage of the municipal waste is	2012]
		composted (1.7 %). Therefore, under present conditions, the recycling	• Poor quality of secondary raw material collected [LT ECAT 2012a]; therefore slow market
		targets of the WFD will be difficult to reach. Besides, significant efforts	development for recycling and secondary raw materials
		will be needed to better implement the waste hierarchy and to meet	• Limited administrative absorption capacity for EU funding (possibly the available Cohesion
		the ambitious objectives of the Resource Efficiency Roadmap.	Policy funds are not sufficiently utilised to build the required alternative treatment
			infrastructure, etc.) [EE ESI 2012a]
			Limited resources available to properly undertake planning at competent authorities
			Unreliable and imprecise waste accounting [LT ECAT 2012a]
			Waste prevention is not promoted broadly to the public [LT ECAT 2012b]

No	Deficit/Problem	Description of the problem	Reasons
3	Lack of separate collection of municipal waste	The separate collection of municipal waste in Lithuania is still in the developing phase. According to the MoE, around 20,000 secondary raw material collection containers for paper/cardboard, glass, plastic and metal were provided to municipalities during 2006-2011 [LT MoE 2012]. However, the waste management infrastructure with the separation needs to be further developed and expanded to all regions. There is a high level of impurities in the source separated waste indicating amongst other things low awareness/readiness of the public to participate in the separation of certain waste streams. The launch of the container system for the large scale collection of secondary raw material has led to a gradual decrease of the mixed household waste and increase in the share of separately collected materials, however, the coverage is not yet satisfactory [EEA 2010]. Providing the grants for 18 municipalities, it is planned to provide about 70,000 containers for packaging waste collection for private households in 2012-2013.	 Limited use of economic instruments and producer responsibility schemes to encourage separate collection [EC 2012] Limited cooperation between municipalities, etc. to set up alternative waste treatment infrastructure and to implement schemes, charging for services, etc.
4	Incomplete coverage of households with regard to municipal waste collection	The municipal waste collection system in Lithuania is 'leaky'. There is a gap between the quantity of municipal waste collected and the estimated quantity of the municipal waste generated. However, the gap decreased from year to year [Ernst & Young 2011]. Currently, around 94 % of the population is connected to professional waste collection services [EUROSTAT 2012b]. The remaining population has only access to other forms of waste collection services (e.g. civic amenity sites). Even though this represents rather high collection coverage, an environmentally sound management of the total municipal waste cannot be ensured. The waste which is not collected could probably end up in the environment and/or could be burned.	 Low population density [EUROSTAT 2012c] Private companies are mainly working within larger cities/higher concentrated areas due to cost efficiency (~67 % of the total population lives in urban areas)



Action plan

Horizontal measures addressing several problems

MEASURE	Type of the instrument	Responsibility	Indicative time scale	Estimated costs	Estimation of achievable result
MEASURE 1: Introduce a landfill tax (in combination with awareness raising)	·		•		
Introduce a landfill tax	Economic/ fiscal	MoE	Short-term	Low	- Reduced amount of municipal
Define criteria for use of revenues collected from charges for treatment (i.e. for improvement of waste infrastructure focusing on separate collection, waste prevention (re-use centres) and preparing for re-use (repair centres) and recycling, accompanied by waste prevention campaigns to the public); introduce controlling and monitoring mechanisms for use of revenues for waste management improvements	Legal Administrative	MoE	Short-term	Low	waste going to landfills Increased acceptance of the increase of taxations, transparency
Initiate awareness campaigns regarding importance of introduction and increase of landfill tax for different target groups	Informative	MoE	Short-term	Low	 Prevention of illegal activities in waste management Improved implementation,
Undertake expert meetings on procedures for implementation and management of taxes for local authorities	Information/educ ation	MoE	Short-term	Low	higher revenues
MEASURE 2: Introduce incineration and MBT tax					
Introduce an incineration tax	Economic/ fiscal	MoE	Long-term	Low	Avoid incineration of recyclables
Introduce a MBT tax	Economic/ fiscal	MoE	Long-term	Low	Increase in recycling provided that separate collection will be intensified
MEASURE 3: Restrictions/Ban on landfilling municipal waste					•
Define (additional) restrictions for landfilling municipal waste by clear definition of pre-treatment (either high quality MBT or incineration) and by setting stricter limit values (calorific values and TOC value); Ensure implementation via intensified controls	Legal, administrative	MoE	Short term to mid-term	Low	Less waste going to landfill
Impose more ambitious restrictions on landfilling biodegradable waste; provide for additional awareness raising and monitoring/controls Impose a ban on landfilling/restrictions certain recyclables (as soon as sufficient	Legal, administrative Legal,	MoE MoE	Long-term Mid-term	Low	 Share of biodegradable waste going to landfills nearly zero; Increase in bio-waste
collection and treatment capacity available); establish awareness raising and monitoring/controls	administrative				composting/ anaerobic digestion



MEASURE	Type of the	Responsibility	Indicative	Estimated	Estimation of achievable result
	instrument	neoponoionity	time scale	costs	
					- Share of recyclables going to
					landfills nearly zero
MEASURE 4: PAYT scheme					
Further expand PAYT (from regionally implementation to nationwide); inform on the	Economic/fiscal	MoE,	Mid-term to	Low	- Significant increase in
systems by leaflets and brochures and introduce penalties on illegal activities		Municipalities	long-term		municipal waste recycling
MEASURE 5: EPR schemes					·
Improve the performance of EPR schemes for the main waste flows (i.e. glass,	Economic/fiscal	MoE	Short-term to	Low	- Improved performance of EPR
plastics, paper and metal) by initiating in depth consultation with relevant sectors (i.e.			mid-term		for the magic four
glass, plastics, paper and metal)					- Improved performance of
Set in place and improve the performance of deposit refund systems for packaging	Economic/fiscal	MoE	Long-term	Low to	deposit refund systems
especially for reusable plastic and glass packaging				medium	
MEASURE 6: Enhance enforcement					•
See 'Action plan on specific measures per problem' below					
MEASURE 7: Improve waste management planning					•
Include/improve the forecast and judgment on future municipal waste generation	Administrative	MoE	Ad-hoc to	Low	- Improved national/regional
and future treatment capacities			short-term		WMPs;
Improve the quality of data/indicators regarding waste quantities and treatment	Administrative	MoE	Short-term to	Low	- Improved waste management
(measures to be defined); harmonise data collection with EU reporting requirements			mid-term		planning in the future (e.g.
					required treatment capacities)
Improve cooperation and coordination of waste management between different	Administrative	MoE,	Short-term	Low	- Higher quality of data and
regions (e.g. between adjacent municipalities via inter-municipal associations) and		Municipalities			indicators
with other Baltic States;					- Improved cooperation
Organize trainings and expert knowledge exchange programmes, conferences, etc.	Informative	MoE	Mid-term	Low	between different regions;
					exchange of experiences
MEASURE 8: Better use EU funds for establishment of alternative infrastructure					
Use and allocate the Cohesion fund and other available funds with a strong focus on	Administrative	MoE	Short-term to	Low	- Better utilisation/ allocation of
waste prevention			mid-term		the CF; improved alternative
					waste treatment
					infrastructure
					- Improved absorption of EU
					and other available funds



PROBLEM 1: High share of biodegradable waste going to landfills

Duran angle to a diduces the supplication	Type of the	Deeneneihilitu	Indicative	Estimated	Available		Priority
Proposals to address the problem	instrument	Responsibility	time scale	costs	EU Funding	Estimation of achievable result	scoring
PROPOSAL 1: Establish appropriate collection and treatment in	frastructure for bio	degradable wast	e (in combination	with awarene	ess campaigns)		
Provide further bins/special bags for separate	Infrastructural	MoE	Short-term to	Low	ERDF; CF	Improved compost quality; all	
collection/individual composting of bio-waste (number,			mid-term			households in urban areas	
design, volume to be specified in accordance to the collection						covered by 2015; afterwards	
scheme, frequency, rural/urban areas)						increase collection coverage in	
						rural areas	
Initiate awareness campaigns for different stakeholder groups	Informative	MoE	Together with	Low	LIFE	Increased awareness; improved	
on separate collection of bio-waste, home composting, etc.			introduction			separate collection of biowaste,	
 provide easily understandable information to households 			of separate			increase home composting, etc.	+++
via leaflets and brochures			collection/indi				
- provide an internet platform with information on collection			vidual				
systems, available infrastructure, etc.			composting of				
 make TV spots especially on bio-waste collection, 			bio-waste				
importance of source separation, home composting, etc.							
 involve waste operators and establishments in waste 							
awareness campaigns for open days , special activities , etc.							
Expand collection points for green garden waste at civic	Infrastructural	MoE	Ad-hoc to	Low to	ERDF; CF	Improved separate collection of	
amenity sites or other bring systems (supervised single			short-term	medium		biowaste and higher compost	
container collection)						quality	++
Establish MBTs (as a transitional solution), anaerobic digestion	Infrastructural	MoE	Mid-term to	Medium	ERDF; CF	Divert BDW from landfill	
facilities and composting plants			long-term				
PROPOSAL 2: Support the creation of a market for compost							
Establish voluntary agreements and certification systems with	Informative	MoE, Ministry	Short-term to	Low	LIFE	Increased sales of compost	
compost producers to inform consumers on high quality		of Agriculture	mid-term				
compost in cooperation with relevant stakeholders and							
aligned to already available certification systems in other MS							++
e.g. Germany: Quality assurance system for the compost and							
digestate which should be used as fertiliser or soil improver							
(Bundesgütegemeinschaft Kompost e.V.), Sweden: System of							
certification of compost and bio-fertiliser (digestate)							

Proposals to address the problem	Type of the	Responsibility	Indicative	Estimated	Available	Estimation of achievable result	Priority
•	instrument	. ,	time scale	costs	EU Funding	Estimation of achievable result s Increased sales of compost as buyers know the benefits, quality standards, etc. a Improved knowledge basis regarding bio-waste management a Improved knowledge basis (exchange of up to date a	scoring
Promote compost via provision of information on benefits,	Informative	Ministry of	Short-term to	Low	n.a.	Increased sales of compost as	
quality standards, etc. (e.g. via consumer organisations)		Agriculture,	mid-term			buyers know the benefits,	
		MoE				quality standards, etc.	
PROPOSAL 3: Improve knowledge basis regarding the prevention	on and managemer	nt of biodegradab	le waste			•	
Provide guidelines and manuals on bio-waste management to	Informative	MoE	Short-hoc to	Low	n.a.	Improved knowledge basis	
municipalities			mid-term			regarding bio-waste	+++
						management	
Participate in EU compost networks or other international	Administrative	MoE	Ad-hoc to	Low	n.a.	Improved knowledge basis	
working groups to follow major developments and exchange			long-term			(exchange of up to date	
information/experience						information/studies, best	++
						practices, but also difficulties in	
						managing biowaste, etc.)	
Initiate a national awareness and information campaign on	Informative	MoE	Mid- to long-	Low	LIFE	Reduced food waste – reduced	+
food waste prevention addressing households including			term			biodegradable waste	
- Information on avoidable food quantities ending up							
as waste							
 Negative impact of food waste on the environment 							
and related economic costs for households							
- Possible savings by better planning, food storage,							
cooking with leftovers, etc.							
For best practice see							
http://www.wrap.org.uk/content/household-food-waste-							
prevention							

PROBLEM 2: Waste treatment largely diverting from the waste hierarchy

Proposals to address the problem	Type of the	Responsibility	Indicative	Estimated	Available	Estimation of achievable	Priority		
	instrument	strument tin	time scale	costs	EU Funding	result	scoring		
PROPOSAL 1: Establish appropriate infrastructure for separate	PROPOSAL 1: Establish appropriate infrastructure for separate collection of municipal waste (in combination with awareness campaigns), see specific measures addressing PROBLEM 3								
PROPOSAL 2: Establish appropriate infrastructure for treatmen	t of municipal waste (ir	n combination with a	wareness can	npaigns, etc.)					
Establish and reequip recycling facilities, especially as regards	Infrastructural	MoE	Mid-term	Medium	ERDF; CF	Increased recycling			
metal recycling			to long-				++		
			term						

PROBLEM 3: Lack of separate collection of municipal waste

Proposals to address the problem	Type of the instrument	Responsibility	Indicative time scale	Estimated costs	Availabl e EU Funding	Estimation of achievable result	Priority scoring
PROPOSAL 1: Establishment of appropriate infrastructure for separate	ate collection of mu	nicipal waste (in combi	nation with a	wareness cam	npaigns)		
Expand the network of collection points and civic amenity sites	Infrastructural;	MoE	Short-	Low to	ERDF;	Higher quality of separately	
for collection of all types of municipal waste, combine civic	administrative		term to	medium	CF; LIFE	collected waste (separation	
amenity sites with reuse and repair centres			long-term			of hazardous from non	
Include civic amenity sites in the plan, maintain a register on reuse						hazardous fractions); waste	
and repair centres						prevention via reuse	
Expand bring systems for separate collection in rural areas for at	Infrastructural	MoE	Short-	Medium	ERDF;	High quality of separately	
least paper, plastic, glass and metal (e.g. start with paper and			term		CF	collected waste; improved	
glass)						collection coverage	
Expand bring systems for separate collection in urban areas for at	Infrastructural	MoE	Short-	Medium	ERDF;	See above	+++
least paper, plastic, glass and metal and whenever possible to			term to		CF		
door-to-door collection (long-term)			long-term				
Provide guidelines and manuals to municipalities on how to	Informative	MoE	Short-	Low	ERDF;	Improved knowledge basis	
practically implement, maintain and monitor separate collection			term		CF	regarding separate collection	
Initiate awareness campaigns on separate collection, recycling	Informative	MoE, Regional	Short-	Low	LIFE	Increase awareness on	
centres, fee systems, etc. (households, SMEs, retailers etc.) and		Waste	term to			separate collection, recycling	
waste prevention (provide easily understandable information to		Management	long-term				

Proposals to address the problem	Type of the instrument	Responsibility	Indicative time scale	Estimated costs	Availabl e EU Funding	Estimation of achievable result	Priority scoring
households via leaflets and brochures; provide internet platform		Departments,					
with information: every municipality should inform on collection		Municipalities					
systems and infrastructure available (e.g. civic amenity sites);							
make TV spots especially on waste prevention, correct source							
separation							
involve waste operators and establishments in waste awareness							
campaigns)							
PROPOSAL 2: Implement measures to encourage/ensure separate	collection						
Expand incentives (e.g. discount on a waste bill) for proper	Legal	MoE; Municipalities	Ad-hoc to	Low	n.a.	See above	
separation of waste by households (provide separate containers			short				++
either free of charge, or a with deposit)			term				
Expand existing environmental awards for municipalities to waste	Economic/fiscal/a	MoE	Short-	Low	Revenu	Improved municipal waste	
specific issues (e.g. awarding municipalities which are front	dministrative		term to		es from	management on local level	
runners in separate collection and in general municipal waste			mid-term		landfill		++
management) to support and enhance competition for best					tax		
performance							
PROPOSAL 3: Introduce controlling mechanisms for separate collect	tion		•	•	•		
Set and collect penalties and fines for non-compliance with	Legal	MoE,	Short- to	Low	n.a.	Improved separate collection	
separate collection requirements		Municipalities;	mid-term			of municipal waste	
		Environmental					
		Protection Agency					
Define responsibilities for supervision of separate collection system	Administrative	MoE;	Short- to	Low	n.a.	Improved supervision of	
(better communication and coordination /guidance)		Municipalities;	long-term			municipal waste operations	
		Environmental					+++
		Protection Agency					
Enhance resources for local governments to control and improve	Economic/fiscal	MoE; Municipalities	Short-	Medium	n.a.	Improved municipal waste	1
source separation of waste by citizens on its territory (especially			term to			management on local level	
for glass and bio-waste); use revenues from the landfill tax and			long-term				
other waste management taxes for financing							
Improve monitoring of separate collection (practical enforcement	Administrative	MoE; Inspectorate;	Short-	Low to	ERDF	Improved coverage of PAYT	1

Proposals to address the problem	Type of the instrument	Responsibility	Indicative time scale	Estimated costs	Availabl e EU Funding	Estimation of achievable result	Priority scoring
and controls)		Environmental	term to	medium			
		Protection Agency	mid-term				
Intensify environmental audits for packaging recovery	Administrative	MoE; Inspectorate;	Short-	Low to	ERDF	Improved collection of	
organisations; ensure that prevention and recycling is a priority for		Environmental	term	medium		packaging waste	
ROs		Protection Agency;					
		Municipalities					
PROPOSAL 4: Adjust the statistical system						·	
Include the statistics on household packaging waste generation	Administrative	MoE;	Short-	Low	n.a.	Improvements in municipal	
and treatment in the statistics on municipal waste generation and		Environmental	term			waste data collection and	
treatment; if it is not possible to distinguish between commercial		Protection Agency				reporting	
and household packaging waste due to reporting systems,							
undertake a study which allows reliable estimate of the recycled							+++
amounts of household packaging waste							
As regards data on MBT processes consider the output of MBTs	Administrative	MoE	Short-	Low	n.a.	See above	
and where this waste material is going to (recycling, recovery,			term				
landfill)							
Improve consultation between environmental and statistical	Administrative	MoE	Ad-hoc to	Low	n.a.	see above	
offices			long-term				
Continue in depth consultation with relevant actors from	Administrative	MoE, Association of	Ad-hoc to	Low	ERDF;	Improved cooperation	1++
industry, retailers and waste management organisations in order		local authorities;	short-		CF	between different	++
to improve the statistical system		Environmental	term			stakeholders to improve	
		Protection Agency				separate collection, recycling	

PROBLEM 4: Incomplete coverage of households with regard to municipal waste collection

Proposals to address the problem	Type of the instrument	Responsibility	Indicative time scale	Estimated costs	Availabl e EU Funding	Estimation of achievable result	Priority scoring
PROPOSAL 1: Expand appropriate collection infrastructure for mun	icipal waste						
Ensure door-to-door collection of municipal waste for all	Administrative	MoE, Municipalities	Short-	n.a.	n.a.	Complete collection coverage	
households via specifications in service contracts			term to				
			mid-term				
Increase the collection frequency (especially in rural areas) by	Infrastructural	MoE; Municipalities	Short-	n.a.	n.a.	See above	-
defining the minimum frequency in service contracts			term				++
Supervise compliance and quality of service provided by waste	Administrative	MoE;	Ad-hoc to	Medium	n.a.	Improved quality of services	
management companies through inspections and control activities		Municipalities;	short			provided by waste companies	
		Environmental	term				
		Protection Agency					