UKRAINE

COUNTRY OVERVIEW TO AID IMPLEMENTATION OF THE EUTR

LAND AREA: 57.9 million hectares
FORESTED AREA: 9.66 million hectares (16.7% of total land area)
FOREST TYPE: 0.6% primary, 49.1% naturally regenerated
FOREST OWNERSHIP: 98% public ownership, <1% private ownership
PROTECTED AREAS: 2.4 million hectares (11% of forests found in Protected Areas)
VPA STATUS: No VPA currently

ECONOMIC VALUE OF FOREST SECTOR:
USD 1.5 billion in 2011
1% of the GDP in 2011
22nd highest exporter of EUTR products in 2018 by weight (kg)
40th highest exporter of EUTR products in 2018 by value (USD)

TREE COVER CHANGE:
74,400 hectares of tree cover loss in 2018
Average of 72,680 hectares tree cover loss per year 2014-2018 (noting that ‘loss’ may be of temporary nature and can be due to a variety of factors)
Total of 353 thousand hectares of tree cover gain 2001 – 2012 (noting that tree cover loss and gain data sets were produced for different time periods and with different methodologies, hence cannot be compared)

CERTIFIED FORESTS:
PEFC certification: 0 hectares (2019)

CHAIN OF CUSTODY CERTIFICATION:
FSC certification: 304 CoC certificates (2019)
PEFC certification: 2 CoC certificate (2019)

MAIN TIMBER SPECIES IN TRADE:
Silver fir (Abies alba), common alder (Alnus glutinosa), common silver birch (Betula pendula), hornbeam (Carpinus spp.), European beech (Fagus sylvatica), European ash (Fraxinus excelsior), Norway spruce (Picea abies), Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris), English oak (Quercus robur)

CITES-LISTED TIMBER SPECIES:
None

RANKINGS IN GLOBAL FREEDOM AND STABILITY INDICES:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>3rd quarter</th>
<th>2nd quarter</th>
<th>3rd quarter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rule of law index</td>
<td>72/128 in 2020 (score: 0.51/1)</td>
<td>126/180 in 2019 (score: 30/100)</td>
<td>101/195 in 2020 (score: 62/100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corruption perceptions index</td>
<td>3rd quarter</td>
<td>2nd quarter score: 71 in 2020 (rank 85/172)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These EU Timber Regulation country overviews were developed by UNEP-WCMC for the European Commission. However, their content does not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the UN Environment Programme, UNEP-WCMC, the European Commission, contributory organisations, editors or publishers, and they cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein. The boundaries and names shown and the designations used on the above map do not imply official endorsement or acceptance by the United Nations.
LEGAL TRADE FLOWS

In 2018, exports of EUTR-regulated products (timber and timber products to which the EUTR applies) from Ukraine totalled USD 2.07 billion, of which 57% was exported to the EU-28. Ukraine exported EUTR products to 137 different countries and territories. The main global markets for Ukraine’s EUTR products in 2018 by value were Poland and the Russian Federation (Figure 1 a). The main EUTR product exported from Ukraine by HS code according to value in 2018 was sawn wood (HS4407) (Figure 1 b).

The main EUTR products imported into the EU from Ukraine in 2018 by value (Figure 2) and weight (Figure 4) were sawn wood (HS4407) and fuel wood (HS4401) respectively. The main importers of EUTR products in 2018 were (in order of most imports) Poland, Germany, Romania and Italy by value and Romania, Poland, Germany and Hungary by weight.

Over the ten-year period 2009–2018, the total value of EU imports of EUTR-regulated products from Ukraine has increased (Figure 3), with sawn wood (HS4407) making up the majority of EU imports by product.

The production and trade flows of wood products in Ukraine in 2015 (Table 1) show that production exceeded domestic consumption for logs, sawn wood, veneer and plywood.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Production (x 1000 m³)</th>
<th>Imports (x 1000 m³)</th>
<th>Domestic consumption (x 1000 m³) Calculated from reported data</th>
<th>Exports (x 1000 m³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Logs (Ind. Roundwood)</td>
<td>8163</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sawn wood</td>
<td>2700</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veneer</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plywood</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### KEY RISKS FOR ILLEGALITY

#### COMPLIANCE WITH LEGISLATION:
The legality of forestry activities is subject to inspection by the State Environmental Inspection.\(^{18,19}\)

#### ILLEGAL LOGGING OF SPECIFIC TREE SPECIES:
Beech and oak have been reported as higher risk species.\(^{22}\)

#### PREVALENCE OF ILLEGAL LOGGING OF TIMBER:
Average annual volume of illegal logging has been estimated between 20,000 m\(^3\) (in 2008 by the State Forest Agency) and 1.25 million m\(^3\) (by a Swiss-Ukrainian Forest Development Project in 2010).\(^{23}\) Official statistics of illegal timber performed without permitting documents for 2016, 2017 and 2018 were 27,700 m\(^3\), 26,100 m\(^3\) and 17,700 m\(^3\) respectively.\(^{24,25}\) Volumes of illegally-harvested timber in a 2018 survey of the Carpathians were extrapolated to estimate ~1 million m\(^3\) of illegal timber harvested annually in this region.\(^{26}\) The Forest Guard project in 2017-2018 detected 4.7 thousand m\(^3\) of illegally harvested wood in the Carpathians, with ~80% of the information confirmed by law enforcement agencies.\(^{27}\)

Sanitary logging was considered the principal means of illegal logging since the late 1990s, although there have been recent legislative changes to address unnecessary sanitary cutting.\(^{29}\) In 2017, the share of sanitary logging on total harvest was estimated at around 30-40%,\(^{18}\) due to the deteriorating condition of Ukraine’s forests.\(^{24,30}\)

#### RESTRICTIONS ON TIMBER TRADE
EU ban on import of goods from Crimea and Sevastopol.\(^{31,32}\) Exports of unprocessed timber/raw logs (code HS 4403) were banned for 10 years from 1\(^{st}\) November 2015, with pine trees included in this ban from 1\(^{st}\) January 2017.\(^{33}\)

Exports of sawn wood (HS 4407) from the following valuable tree species have been prohibited since 2005: acacia (Robinia spp.), checker tree (Sorbus torminalis), cherry tree (Cerasus spp.), pear tree (Pyrus spp.), walnut tree (Juglans spp.), chestnut tree (Castanea spp.), common yew (Taxus baccata), black cherry (Cerasus avium), sycamore (Acer pseudoplatanus) and juniper (Juniperus spp.).\(^{34}\)

The harvest of species listed in the Red Book of Ukraine is also prohibited.\(^{35}\)

#### COMPLEXITY OF THE SUPPLY CHAIN
73% of Ukrainian forests are given to permanent use of State Forest Enterprises (SFEs), a sphere of responsibility of the State Forest Resources Agency (SFRAU).\(^{38,35}\) 310 SFEs are in charge of managing these forests.\(^{18}\) Logging operations are managed by SFEs either directly, through private contractors, or private companies performing services under contracts. The remaining 27% of forests are in permanent use by other central government bodies and municipalities.\(^{36}\) Regional Forest and Hunting Departments of SFRAU monitor the activities of SFEs, and are in charge of issuing logging permits for final felling.\(^{18}\) SFEs have the authority to issue logging permits for sanitary felling and thinnings.\(^{18}\)

### Illegal Trade
Illegal logging in Ukraine is the harvesting of wood without a special permit for the use of forest resources or in violation of felling permits.\(^{25}\)

In 2010, sanitary logging was considered to have become a stable source of timber procurement from protected and commercial forests,\(^{23}\) with a 2011 expert study showing a 6 to 7-fold increase of selective sanitary cutting (unrelated to natural disasters) over the previous 15-20 years.\(^{36}\) However, there have been recent legislative changes to address unnecessary sanitary cutting.\(^{29}\) Undocumented logging in areas not designated for harvest in the Ukrainian Carpathians was reported to have been widespread 1988-2007.\(^{38}\) Localised “unauthorised harvesting” of timber for wood fuel and minor home repairs was also reported to occur.\(^{20}\)

Civil society organisations have questioned the high proportion of sanitary logging in Ukraine’s annual timber harvest.\(^{21,22}\) Figures provided by the State Forest Resources Agency (SFRAU) show that, in 2017, the volume of timber harvested in Ukraine was 21.9 million m\(^3\), of which 9.4 million m\(^3\) (43%) was cut as part of the final fellings and 12.4 million m\(^3\) (57%) originated from cuttings connected to forest management activities (3.1 million m\(^3\) of which came from clear sanitary cutting, the remainder from thinnings and other activities connected to formation and improving the sanitary state of forests).\(^{37}\) Similarly, in 2018 the volume of timber harvested in Ukraine was 22.5 million m\(^3\), of which 8.3 million m\(^3\) (37%) was cut as part of the final fellings and 13.9 million m\(^3\) (62%) originated from cuttings connected to forest management activities.\(^{38}\)

In a 2010 survey, forest experts in Ukraine reported a large number of unregistered sawmills, and a system for purchasing stolen timber in the Ivano-Frankivsk area.\(^{20}\) Incidents of corruption in the same area were also reported, including bribing forestry officials, land fraud and issuing illegal harvesting permits.\(^{20}\) Two thirds of local residents surveyed in the Carpathian region (800 of 1200 respondents) believed that there was overcutting of the forest in their locality, with more
than half reporting an increase in volume over the previous five years. By 2017, there were estimated to be 12,000 unlicensed sawmills operating in Ukraine, compared with 9,200 legal ones. Non-profit organisation Earthsight estimated that exports of sawn timber in 2016 were 50 per cent more than that recorded as having been legally produced in the country’s sawmills, with nearly one million cubic metres of wood exported which could not be accounted for. However, official export statistics of Ukraine do not take into account the production of forest products by individuals or small enterprises.

In a 2017 national risk assessment, FSC identified a range of specified risks, including: that according to evidence provided by some nature conservation NGOs, some sanitary cuttings are planned in violation of legislation and/or silvicultural requirements; there is a shortage of documentary evidence of land-use rights for communal, private and state enterprises that are beyond SFRAU jurisdiction; underestimating the amount of rent specified in harvesting tickets, through incorrect assessment of volumes, species composition and size-quality characteristics of the wood; understatement of taxes due to illegal sale of wood or sale with misstating of wood category, grade and volume; violations related to timber transportation by road without any logistics documents; and violations by enterprises subordinated to SFRAU concerning non-compliance with requirements on selling all wood through auctions. There were noted to be substantial discrepancies between official statistics of illegal and unauthorised logging and figures reported from unofficial sources.

The official figures on illegal logging (without permits) in forest under the sphere of activity of SFRAU for 2016, 2017 and 2018 were 27,700 m³, 26,100 m³ and 17,700 m³ respectively. However, as noted in the 2018 EU TAIEX mission report on Ukraine, the State Forest Guard is in charge of detecting illegal logging (wood theft) committed by private individuals or criminal groups. Representatives of the State Ecological Inspection of Ukraine (SEIU), National Police and the State Fiscal Service of Ukraine (SFSU) considered that a far larger problem is illegal logging ‘with papers’ (violation of felling permits) that involves corruption of public sector employees and forgery, together with ‘illegal’ forest management. Figures provided annually by SEIU to the State Statistics Service of Ukraine indicate that during 2016 there were 7506 cases of illegal felling registered, resulting in the destruction and damage of 43.8 thousand m³ of wood or 0.2% of the total timber harvest.

In 2018, WWF presented results of their two year study in the Carpathians, where 4.7 thousand m³ of illegally-harvested timber was found in the 2902 ha of forests surveyed. Extrapolating from these figures, WWF calculated that there is potentially 1 million m³ of illegal timber harvested in the Carpathian forests annually. SFRAU have questioned the validity of this extrapolation, noting that surveyed sites were not random plots but sites where the Forest Guard was informed about the presence of illegal activity.

In their 2018 report, Earthsight considered timber corruption in the Ukraine to be pervasive, with illegality permeating the supply chain from harvest to export. The State Forest Enterprises may also be implicated in illegal logging, making investigation and prosecution difficult. Earthsight’s analysis of court records revealed numerous investigations filed in Ukrainian courts against SFEs for forging documents, receiving bribes from timber companies and causing losses to state revenues by illegally undervaluing timber at auctions. Each year the State Forest Resources Agency brings to responsibility and dismisses a number of employees of State Forest Enterprises for improper performance of their duties; in 2018 477 employees of the State Forest Guard were brought to disciplinary responsibility, with 62 people dismissed from their positions.

Following the allegations made by Earthsight about corruption and illegal logging in Ukraine’s forest industry, Assurance Services International (ASI – an international accreditation service owned by FSC) conducted in-depth compliance assessments for key targets in the Ukraine, with a focus on regions highlighted in the 2018 Earthsight report. Although ASI stated that the implications of the Ukrainian Government, private companies and the FSC system were “not unfounded”, they found that the previous findings of the FSC auditors in Ukraine were in line with accreditation and certification requirements and so could not verify Earthsight’s findings. The investigation into the economic activities of selected State Forest Enterprises (SFEs) did not reveal any shortcomings. They noted that corruption was a difficult issue for voluntary standards systems to detect and address.

The Prime Minister of Ukraine announced on 18 July 2018 a crackdown on illegal logging and timber smuggling, initiating in 2018 large-scale inspections of forestry enterprises and signing an appeal to the Prosecutor General to verify the facts of violations. Up to 01.04.2019 the results of inspections have not been published.

In a diagnostic audit published in 2010, various social and economic factors were identified as contributing to the occurrence of illegal logging, such as unemployment and poverty in rural areas, low salaries of state forestry officials and...
employees and the higher profit margins of sanitary cutting relative to final felling. A rent payment for wood harvested in stands older than 40 years old has since been introduced, so that unnecessary sanitary cutting has become less profitable, and the procedure for applying for sanitary cutting and issuing a permit now allows civil society and government officials the right to object to the feasibility of such fellings. The legal status and definition of Forest Guards’ roles in forest control was also reported to be unclear in Ukrainian legislation, which can hamper forest law-enforcement. (Rights and obligations of State Forest Guards are detailed in the Regulation on State Forest Protection, 2009, however rights and obligations of forest guards of other permanent forest users need to be clarified and a draft Law is in Parliament).

An ineffective system of law enforcement and weaknesses in the institutional structures of forest governance were considered by civil society to present challenges to tackling illegal logging, with inter-agency cooperation also considered to be low. There are cases where State Forest Guards and forest guards have been implicated in violation of felling permits and there were also reported to be cases where State Forest Guards were themselves threatened or attacked as a result of their work of fighting against forest crime. A 2010 World Bank analysis of corruption risk in Ukrainian legislation found 12 areas of potential risk, including lack of transparency in the activities of the State Forestry Commission, policies of issuing on the spot fines without the need for receipts, emphasis on punishment rather than reducing violations and lack of clarity on when infractions constitute crimes rather than offences. The ability of State Forest Guards to collect administrative fines on the spot was revoked in 2012.

SFRAU attribute the main reasons for increased volumes of illegal logging to: the activities of technically equipped criminal groups; socio-economic factors within rural populations, which are forced to meet their needs illegally; as well as number of uncontrolled small private sawmills who procure illegally-harvested wood. The increasing of illegal logging in the southern and eastern regions of Ukraine is attributed, to a large extent, to the lack of budget support for the maintenance of state forest protection, resulting in large areas of forest remaining unguarded.

Whilst in 2015 the Ukraine put in place a temporary ban (for a period of 10 years), on the export of unprocessed timber/rough wood (HS 4403), export of logs to the EU was reported to have continued, often mis-classified as ‘fuel-wood’. For example, Earthsight reported that in the first half of 2018, Ukrainian customs agents on the border with Romania detected illegal log exports worth >USD 1 million. The FSU, responsible for tax and border checks, noted that this relates to a problem with the Ukrainian ‘GOST’ measurement standards, where descriptions of ‘fuel wood’ and ‘wood in the rough’ are similar and not linked to the destined use of the wood, as required by the international standards applicable to both the Ukraine and the EU. Since 12 January 2017, SFEs have been required to limit the length of any wood exported as fuelwood to two meters. However, this measure does not address the issue that only wood destined to be used as fuel may be declared as ‘fuel wood’. This deficiency is being exploited by some for illegal export of ‘wood in the rough’ as ‘fuel wood’. Another risk group in timber exports since the log export ban was reported to be sawn wood, when logs (or ‘wood in the rough’) has been very minimally modified to be exported as ‘sawn wood’.

Official government figures for 2016 reported that fuelwood made up 57.6% or 11.294 million m³ of the total volume of timber produced in 2016 (19.605 million m³), the rest consisted of round timber. The bulk of timber produced was harvested from sanitary cutting and thinnings (47%) and final felling (43%). Figures produced by SFRAU for 2017 reported a 2.5% drop in timber harvested, compared with 2016 (totaling 15.95 million m³).

One of the reasons for the difference in customs data between Ukraine and the EU prior to 2019 was the difference in standards for timber between the EU and Ukraine. Since 01 January 2019, Ukraine has implemented the transition to European standards for the classification and measurement of timber and timber products.

Forestry management and legislation

The forests of Ukraine occur in three natural zones, the forest (Polissya), forest steppe and steppe, as well as in the mountainous Carpathians, with 37.9% of Ukraine’s forests categorised as commercial forest. According to the ‘State of Europe’s Forests 2015’ report, Ukraine’s forested area has increased from 9.274 million hectares in 1990 to 9.657 million hectares in 2015, an average increase of 15 320 hectares per year. The growing stock in Ukraine’s forests was also reported to have increased from 1414 million m³ in 1990 to 2196 million m³ in 2015, an increase of 31.28 million m³ per year. The sanitary condition of Ukraine’s forests was reported to be deteriorating due to climate change and anthropogenic factors, with >600 thousand ha of forest stands damaged annually by pests and disease. In recent years, the spread of pests and disease was reported to have become a problem throughout the territory of Ukraine.
The vast majority of forests (~87%) are state-owned, with 1.3 million hectares (~13%) attributed to communal property. In terms of forest management, state forests are consolidated under numerous permanent forest users under responsibility of different state authorities, the largest area (about 73%) is in the use of forest enterprises in the sphere of responsibility of the State Forest Resources Agency. SFRAU is a central executive body that implements and monitors state policy in the forest sector. SFRAU and subordinated organisations play a key role in issuing of Felling Licences and development of Forest Management Plans (FMPs). The functions of monitoring and guarding the forest also lie with the SFRAU. Forest patrol is carried out by SFRAU through the State Forest Guards, with the aim of preventing illegal logging by individuals and criminal groups. The variety of roles carried out by SFRAU, its subordinate institutions and enterprises is noted to contain inherent conflicts of interest, making it prone to corruption. Aiming to prevent corruption, the State Forest Resources Agency has approved the Anti-Corruption Program for 2018-2020. SFRAU reported that in 2017 the State Forest Guards conducted 37 thousand raids with 6500 forest perpetrators brought to administrative responsibility. In addition, 2552 cases of illegal logging were handed over to law enforcement agencies and courts, 792 officials of the State Forest Protection Department were brought to disciplinary responsibility, 104 were dismissed and 4 criminal cases were instituted.

The control function for forests of all forms of ownership in Ukraine, including compliance with environmental and forest law, is performed by the State Ecological Inspection of Ukraine (SEIU), which is subordinated to the Ministry of Ecology and Natural Resources of Ukraine (the Ministry of Energy and Environmental Protection since 2019). The SEIU is in charge of inspections in forests, although in 2018 it was reported to have only 188 inspectors conducting forest-related checks, along with other environmental inspections. In 2017, the SEIU conducted 900 inspections of forest enterprises and detected 3400 violations, the majority of which were committed by forest enterprises. However, Ukrainian law was reported to only allow for sanctions against state officials and individuals, hence forest enterprises cannot be fined. If no violator is found by a proposal of the competent authorities, in court, the damage is charged to the State Forest Enterprises in charge of the area in question, which has caused a number of lawsuits again SFEs. The separation of forest inspection from forest patrol was noted to create coordination problems, with the system of State Forest Guards in need of reform.

Sanitary cutting/logging is controlled by SEIU, as established in a resolution regarding rules on sanitary cutting in Ukraine (Resolution No. 555, 27 July 1995). The resolution also establishes where sanitary felling is prohibited (including within protected areas of biosphere reserves, natural reserves and around nesting areas for birds listed in the Red Book of Ukraine). According to the resolution, which was amended in 2016, administrative procedures for obtaining a logging permit for sanitary felling vary depending on whether a felling is selective or clear. In both cases, SFEs have the authority to issue logging permits along with a number of supporting documents. For selective sanitary felling, an inspection of the State Enterprise for Forest Pathology and the State Forest Management Planning Enterprise are needed. For sanitary clear felling, the above is required as well as approval of a Special Commission consisting of representatives of municipalities and the civil society. This has been linked to a delay in removal of trees infested with bark beetle. In cases where sanitary felling is conducted in protected areas, the Ministry of Environment inspects the felling site and has to approve the potential sanitary felling.

The issue of sanitary felling was also considered by civil society to be linked to wood auctioning procedures. All permanent forest users were required to organise auctions for sales of wood harvested from final felling, but there were no regulations on selling wood harvested from sanitary felling, hence SFEs could choose to sell such wood in auctions or through direct contracts (with civil society organisations noting that direct contracting involves higher risk of illegal timber sales). However, order N 42 of 2007 ‘On improving the mechanisms of sale of raw wood’ was cancelled on 18 September 2018. According to Ukrainian legislation (Article 67 of Commercial code), SFEs, as any other enterprise, could choose to sell timber harvested in auctions or through direct contracts. Since 1 February 2020, all timber is to be sold through electronic auction, regardless of the type of felling.

Since 2004, Ukraine has launched a number of actions including working to amend legislation to make forestry operations more environmentally sustainable, and working to establish a transparent timber market and to certify forests used by state run forest companies. In 2015, Ukraine introduced further amendments to existing legislation, including temporary bans on the export of rough wood, and discussed the development of new provisions for timber trade. Since 2014, options for reforming the forestry institutional structure of Ukraine have been under discussion and are high on the political agenda. Priorities for reform, as identified by the Deputy/Acting Minister of Agrarian Policy and Food of Ukraine, include separating the functions of economic activity and monitoring/control, redistribution of financial resources across regions, conducting a national forest inventory and assistance with tackling the issue of illegal logging.
Recent government measures to address illegal logging include: mandatory use of an electronic timber tracking system; increased penalties for illegal logging and criminal liability for illegal logging/illegal timber exports and improved public access to information on the legality of logging and harvesting permits, including a pilot project of an electronic register of logging tickets for timber harvesting and online checking of timber legality by label number, waybill and vehicle number.

### RELEVANT LEGISLATION AND POLICY

For further details on Ukrainian legislation relevant to EUTR, see: FSC (2017) *FSC National Risk Assessment of Controlled Wood for Ukraine*, the Ukraine country page on FAOLEX and the national website Legislation of Ukraine.

- **Land Code of Ukraine**, 2001, № 2768-III
- **Law of Ukraine On Environmental Protection**, 1991, № 1264-XII
- **Rules on forest regeneration**, 01.03.2007 № 303
- **Rules of improving quality of forest stands**, 12.05.2007 № 724
- **On regulation of issues related to the special use of forest resources**, 23.05.2007 № 761
- **Rules of conducting state forest cadastre and forest survey**, 20.06.2007 № 848
- **Nature-Reserve Fund in Ukraine**, 1992, № 2456-XII
- Amendments to some legislative acts of Ukraine on protection of Biodiversity, 2015, № 25
- **Procedure of Forest Division into Categories and the Allocation of Specially Protected Forest Sites**, 2007, № 733
- **On approval of the Regulation on State Forest Protection**, 16.09.2009 № 975
- **Rules of use of useful utilities of forest** [i.e. non-wood forest products and other services], 14.08.2012 № 502
- **Moratorium on Clear Cutting in Fir-beech Forests of Carpathian Mountains, 2000, № 1436-III
- **Temporary ban on export of unprocessed timber**, 10.07.2015
- **State Specific Programme for the Forests of Ukraine for the Years 2010-2015**
- **Taxes on evaluation of size of damage made to forest**, 23.07.2008 № 665
- **On rules of fire safety in Ukrainian forests**, 27.12.2004 № 278
- Temporary Instruction on Electronic Accounting of Logging, Sawmill and Wood-processing Products at Enterprises of the State Agency of Forest Resources of Ukraine, 2012, № 202
- **Specifics of State Regulation of Activities of Subjects of Entrepreneurial Activity Related to Selling and Exporting Timber, 2005, № 2860-IV**
- **Special Form of the Waybill for Timber Transportation by Road, 2013 № 961/707**
- **Regulation on judicial practice in cases of crimes and other offences against the environment, 2004, № 17**
- **Concept for the Reform and Development of Forestry, 2006**
- **Instruction on the Approval and Adoption of the Annual Allowable Cut, 2007, № 38**
- **Regulation on Resin Harvest in Ukrainian Forests, 1996, № 185**
- **Regulation on Second-Quality Timber Harvest and Non-Timber Products and Services in Ukrainian Forests, 1996, № 449**
- **Sanitary regulations in Ukrainian Forests**, 1995, №555
  - Amended by Decree of the Cabinet of Ministers of Ukraine 2016, №. 756

---

1 The following list may not be exhaustive and is intended as a guide only on required documents.
### LEGALLY REQUIRED DOCUMENTS

- **Certificate of timber origin issued by Regional Forest Departments** (required for products under HS codes: 4401 10 00 00; 4401 21 00 00; 4401 22 00 00; 4403; 4404; 4406; and 4407)
- **Felling tickets issued by Regional Forest Departments and by State Forest Enterprises**
- **Waybill of transportation issued by the State Forest Enterprises**
- **Invoice issued by the selling party (must include name of product, species and volume)**
- **Customs documentation issued by the customs authorities (including phytosanitary certificate)**

---

2 The following list may not be exhaustive and is intended as a guide only on required legislation.
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