

# SCOs under RDP 2014-2020 in Poland practical approach - implementation

Marzena Cieślak Paying Agency in Poland

- The Agency for Restructuring and Modernisation of Agriculture (ARMA)









## Main reason for change

- A great number of invoices or documents with an equal evidential value (other finance document), which <u>LAGs needed to submit to the</u> <u>implementing bodies</u>, together with payment claim.
- Each expense was confirmed with an invoice or other finance document, together with a payment confirmation.
- Each invoice or other finance document was marked with information that it was submitted for a refund within the scope of EAFRD (European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development).
- Taking the data from various LAGs into consideration, the average number of invoices reached about 1 500 (from 100 to 3000). However, these are average data. There were some LAGs, which presented a greater number of documents.



## Main reason for change

- ALL THOSE DOCUMENTS WITH SUPPORTING CONTRACTS, PAY ROLLS ETC. HAD TO BE COPIED AND VERIFIED BY IMPLEMENTING BODIES
  - It was time-consuming and required sufficient human resources
    - We want to use this spare time and human resources to work with LAGs, beneficiaries, .....



# SCOs for LAGs under LEADER in RDP 2014-2020

- Preparatory support (lump sum)
  - Already implemented
    - Contracts are signed (299 with about 8,5 MEUR)
    - Last payment claims are submitted
    - LDS are submitted
    - LAG's wait for settlements
- Running and animation costs (flat rate)
  - Under implementation
    - LDS are submitted
    - LAG's wait for LDS selection



- Three thresholds of support depended on the size of population covered with CLLD strategy:
  - 110 000 PLN when 30 000 59 999 inhabitants
    - **27 500 EUR**
  - 132 000 PLN when 60 000 99 999 inhabitants
    - **33 000 EUR**
  - 154 000 PLN when 100 000 150 000 inhabitants
    - **38 500 EUR** 
      - (in terms of 4 PLN/EUR)

•



- Two payments for each LAG supported
  - first 70%
    - when the contract is signed
  - second settlement
    - only if the LDS submitted meets formal requirements
      - does not have to be selected



- Commitments:
  - assuring minimum number of employees
    - 1, 1,5 or 2
  - at least one meeting with local community in each commune
  - Running the website
  - office open
    - **2**, 3 or 4 days a week
  - drafting and submission of the LDS
    - the most important



#### Preparatory support settlement

- Running the office (2, 3 or 4 days a week depending on the size of LAG) Website, on spot control, before final instalment.
- **Assuring minimum number of employees** (1, 1,5 and 2 depending on the size of LAG)

Work agreements together with scopes of the employees' duties.

Payrolls with specification of all remuneration components

- Running the website
- Preparation of LDS
- At least one meeting with local community in each commune (Invitation/notice about a meeting, photographic documentation, program, meeting protocol, the social consultations participants attendance list, training materials).



- When strategy submitted covers less inhabitants then LAG has been going to cover
  - there are updated:
    - amount support in agreement
    - amount of second payment (settlement)



#### Running and animation costs

- Eight thresholds of support depended on the size of population covered with CLLD strategy:
  - 312 500 EUR when 30 000 39 999 inhabitants
  - 362 500 EUR when 40 000 49 999 inhabitants
  - 412 500 EUR when 50 000 59 999 inhabitants
  - 462 500 EUR when 60 000 69 999 inhabitants
  - 512 500 EUR when 70 000 79 999 inhabitants
  - 562 500 EUR when 80 000 89 999 inhabitants
  - 612 500 EUR when 90 000 99 999 inhabitants
  - 662 500 EUR when 100 000 150 000 inhabitants



# Running and animation costs when contract is signed

- Max amount of support for the LAG (limit of payments)
  - is divided by limit of support under 19.2 sub-measure for the LDS area
    - giving the percentage of flat-rate financing
      - From 17% up to 25% depending on the LDS budged (19.2 sub-measure



# Running and animation costs when payment claim is submitted

- The percentage of flat rate
  - Is multiplied by reimbursements under 19.2 sub-measure
    - Giving current limit of support
- The basis for ongoing payment is a financial progress under 19.2 submeasures
- The other commitments should be fulfilled, if not depending of the commitment financial penalties for LAG are foreseen



### Running and animation costs

- Not more then 4 payments a year for each LAG supported
- Payment of advances
  - Up to 50% with bank guarantee
    - **art.** 42.2 and 63 of 1305/2013
  - Up to 37% (equivalent of national public contribution) without bank guarantee
    - National advanced payments scheme



#### Running and animation costs

- Main commitments:
  - financial progress of LDS
    - The most important
  - implementation of communication plan,
  - assuring minimum office staff (from 2 up to 5 employees)
  - running the office
  - running the website
  - advisory service
  - Conducting calls for proposals
  - Verification and selection of operations



### Thank you for your attention

Marzena Cieślak
Deputy Director od Delegated Task Department
The Agency for Restructuring and Modernisation of Agriculture (ARMA)

marzena.cieslak@arimr.gov.pl