Assessing the results of events
Ideas for discussion

Edina Ocsko, ENRD CP
ENRD Contact Point
Brussels, 19 October 2015

From outputs...

OUTPUTS

- **Number of events** organised – breakdown by theme/ main focus – Also depends on type of event (workshops, conferences)

- **Number of participants** (those who came to the events), by category of key ENRD stakeholder groups (NSU, MA, PA, LAG, other…); breakdown by Member States

Outputs give us a 'basic idea' / overview on key activities (did we address all key stakeholder groups; do we manage to reach all MS)

...towards results

What do we really want to achieve through our events?

- Starting point - ENRD objectives: Improve the implementation of RDP; increase stakeholder involvement in RD implementation

- **How can events contribute to this?**

- Participants go away with information/ examples that they implement / transfer into their own practices...

Evaluation forms: starting point (result indicators):

- Was the event (topic chosen) *relevant*?

- Was the event (information provided) *useful*?

- "What key message(s) did you take away?"

Better understanding results:

- *Workshops*: **Improved skills** of participants (that they can apply in their work)

- *Conferences/meetings*: **Improved practices** of participants (e.g. NSUs from NRN meeting) – e.g. through transfer of relevant experience into their practices

Follow up surveys

- How much time after the event?

- How many times do we follow up?

- With how many participants? (representativeness)

- Defining the right questions…

Any other methods? (Case studies)

Sharing of practices…