ENRD Thematic Group 'Carbon Farming'

2nd TG Meeting | 9 June 2022
Raising awareness and building capacity amongst land managers, advisers, stakeholders, and Managing Authorities on carbon farming

Summary of previous discussion:

Raising awareness and building capacity amongst land managers, advisers, stakeholders, and Managing Authorities on carbon farming

Farmers take an holistic approach to their businesses + climate. Advice should reflect this. Definition of CF can be limiting	Carbon farming is not a priority topic for advisers. How to change this?	Demonstration activities & peer to peer learning are critical	Info can get lost in translation between researchers, advisers and farmers	System barriers to certification may be reduced by alternative approaches
Advice should promote multiple benefits of carbon farming - not just carbon aspects - economic - environmental	Public should drive as there is 'nothing to sell' - lack of interest from private input suppliers	Advice should be context specific	Must make translatable to advisors / farmers within their daily work	Similar to organics, administrative barriers / costs may prevent uptake
Cost-benefit analysis is important to understand (e.g. price structure per ha expense for soil testing		Opportunities for government actors to learn too	Need to integrate with other obligations (e.g. energy, innovation, digitalisation)	Collective certification may allow for aggregation / more competitive advantage in the markets
versus C credit payments)		Payment inspections are not the way to provide advice		
	approach to their businesses + climate. Advice should reflect this. Definition of CF can be limiting Advice should promote multiple benefits of carbon farming - not just carbon aspects - economic - environmental Cost-benefit analysis is important to understand (e.g. price structure per ha - expense for soil testing	Advice should promote multiple benefits of carbon farming - not just carbon aspects - economic - environmental Cost-benefit analysis is important to understand (e.g. price structure per haexpense for soil testing	Advice should reflect this. Definition of CF can be limiting Advice should promote multiple benefits of carbon farming - not just carbon aspects - economic - environmental Cost-benefit analysis is important to understand (e.g. price structure per ha - expense for soil testing versus C credit payments) Advice should promote multiple benefits of carbon farming - not just carbon aspects - economic - environmental Public should drive as there is 'nothing to sell' - lack of interest from private input suppliers Opportunities for government actors to learn too Payment inspections are not the way to	Advice should promote multiple benefits of carbon farming - not just carbon aspects - environmental Cost-benefit analysis is important to understand (e.g. price structure per hae expense for soil testing versus C credit payments) Advice a priority topic for advisers. How to change this? Advice should promote multiple benefits of carbon farming - not just carbon aspects - economic - environmental Public should drive as there is 'nothing to sell' - lack of interest from private input suppliers Advice should be context specific Opportunities for government actors to learn too Need to integrate with other obligations (e.g. energy, innovation, digitalisation) Payment inspections are not the way to

Discussion



Recommendations

