

## Workshop

## "Tackling key issues in RDP implementation"



- Guidance -

Wolfgang Löhe Federal Ministry of Food and Agriculture, Germany

#### **Situation**

## **Example I: Guidance paper for EIP Operational Groups**

- ✓ EIP AGRI: New measure in RDP's
- ✓ Approach: "Interactive innovation model" to find focused solutions to a practical problem
- ✓ Operational Groups (OG) as the core for the EIP implementation:
  - → "Establishment and operation" eligible for EAFRD-Funding
- ✓ Members of OG's: farmers, scientists, advisers etc.
  - → No experts in EU-related administration, control and financial issues

#### **Challenges**

# **Example I: Guidance paper for EIP Operational Groups**

- ✓ Guidance paper for members of OG's and innovation brokers
- ✓ "Living paper": Further development in the light of first Experience
- ✓ Flexible to apply to different approaches across "Bundesländer"
- ✓ First Acknowledgement of first OG's in Germany in Sept. 2015.
- ✓ Facilitate first steps of the OG's

## Example I: Approach

### **Guidance paper for EIP Operational Groups**

Recommendations relating to internal procedures as well as project activities:

- ✓ Member of the OG as beneficiary / responsible person for paying agency
- ✓ Internal sharing of possible risks and benefits
- Decision making process: transparency, majority etc.
- ✓ How to prepare a cooperation agreement?
- ✓ Preparation of the action plan
- ✓ State aid issues (non-annex I)
- ✓ Template for reporting of results



http://www.netzwerk-laendlicher-raum.de/fileadmin/sites/ELER/Dateien/01\_Hintergrund/EIP/Informationsblatt\_OGs.pdf

### **Example I:**

#### Poss. items for discussion

### **Guidance paper for EIP Operational Groups**

- → Develop a Guidance paper for members of OG's on EU-level ?
- → What is your experience / expectation ?
- → Which topics are most important for starting an OG?
- → How to ensure learning from best practices ?
- → How to find the right balance between providing sufficient information and avoidance of unnecessary administrative burden?
- → How to encourage exchange of information / discussion between actors of administration (MA, PA, audit) ?
- $\rightarrow$  ???

## Example II: Implementation of umbrella schemes within LEADER

- ✓ Bottom-up approach of LEADER/CLLD
- ✓ Flexibility for LAG's within the objectives of their regional strategy
- ✓ Option : Apply "umbrella schemes" (to be defined in the RDP) to disburse small grants fitting to a determined scope of objectives to specific beneficiaries by the LAG's
- ✓ "umbrella schemes" can be treated as one single operation (Art. 2(9) of Reg. (EU) 1303/2013). LAG is beneficiary of the operation (Art. 2(10)).
  - → Possible approach to reduce administrative burden

# Example II: Implementation of umbrella schemes within LEADER

- ✓ LAG is responsible to keep a detailed list of beneficiaries
- ✓ Avoid risk of ineligible expenditure
- ✓ Focus the umbrella scheme on a very specific type of support (to increase transparency and reduce risk)
- ✓ Since the scheme itself has been approved, the specific payments under "umbrella schemes" do not underly authorisations from approval bodies
- ✓ But: Paying agencies have to fulfill a comprehensive set of provisions for checks (art. 48 – 52 of Reg. 809/2014)
  - → sufficient determination of the grant

# Example II: Implementation of umbrella schemes within LEADER

- ✓ Some "Bundesländer" implemented in their RDP's "umbrella schemes" (with a huge variety of the approach)
- ✓ Still many questions left open on implementation, especially control issues
- ✓ First informal contact with DG AGRI (H.1, LEADER)
- ✓ Exchange on national level together with selected Member States (probably 28 January 2016)
- Necessity to get paying agencies on board

#### Poss. items for discussion

### **Example II:**

### Implementation of umbrella schemes within LEADER

- → Do you consider to apply "umbrella schemes" in LEADER / CLLD in your countries?
- → What are your experiences / expectations?
- → Any restrictions from the paying agencies on control matters wich contradict to a simple implementation ?
- → Would it be useful to exchange experiences / best practices e.g. in the LEADER-/CLLD subgroup ?
- → How to achieve an amendment of the relevant CLLD-guidance paper of the DG AGRI in the light of the discussion of the subgroup ?
- → Could it be helpful to give special reflection to "umbrella schemes" in the guidance document on control and penalties?
- **→** ???

