



# Improving the delivery of Rural Development Programmes

## **WORKSHOP A**

### ***How to improve the design of programmes?***

Brussels – 9 December 2011



Connecting Rural Europe



## ***Two short cases...***

- **Consultation and preparation of NSP/RDP**
- Wide and articulated consultation process taking into account local issues (participatory process)
- Started early (2004)
- Definition of NSP/RDP overlapping
- Challenge: coordinate the big number of people involved and keeping momentum





## ***Two short cases...***

- **Effective policy design and coordination**
- Focused national strategic framework
- Strong coherence with EU and National priorities
- Flexible use of axes and measures driven by thematic priorities (national schemes)





## ***Envisaged questions for discussion***

**How to achieve high quality of programmes and consultation process?**

**How to ensure the strategic approach is kept throughout delivery?**

**How to ensure vertical coherence and answer to bottom-up needs?**

**How to ensure coordination with other EU policies?**





## ***GET IT RIGHT FORM THE BEGINNING!***

- **What is the role of the NSP?** Central issue in the future (PC)
- Strategy should deal with ensuring coordination between “tools” (i.e. policies) and amongst different priorities. **Are we ready?**
- Ensure coherence between national and regional (local) level strategies
- Strategy, at what level? **Members States do it differently!**
- **Get the right balance and be flexible!** Appropriate tools at EU level are needed.





## Theory is not practice

- Ensure strategy is the reference for programming (and not vice versa).
- Ensure results of evaluation are taken into account (**rigorous Ex ante evaluation!**)
- **Be practical**, with an eye to future priorities (EU 2020 is a good starting point)

## Effective consultation

- Tool to achieve coherent and consistent strategy
- **NRNs** (can) play an important role
- Keep in mind the future: wider interests to balance and more complex solutions (rural areas will be neglected). **Clear goals!**







## ***Coordination***

- Existing **national strategies** for rural development are a value added
- Coordination with the **CAP 1<sup>st</sup> Pillar** not to be neglected.





# Improving the delivery of Rural Development Programmes

## WORKSHOP B

***How to make the implementation of  
programmes more effective and to  
enhance coordination between  
implementing bodies?***

Brussels – 9 December 2011



Connecting Rural Europe



## ***Two short cases...***



Catalonia Global Farming Contract  
Includes RDP measures which have  
Farmers as beneficiaries

Coordinated delivery ensures a direct link with  
RDP objectives

### **Integrated food-chain projects in Italy**

**Support the reorganisation and integration of  
food chains with an emphasis on  
Cooperation**





## ***Workshop B - Implementation and coordination***

### **Ensuring coordination through the delivery chain**

- **Pre-requisite is a common understanding of the objectives between MA, PA, other implementing bodies**
- **Clear roles and lines of responsibilities of and between implementing bodies**
- **Use integrated teams for integrated approaches**
- **International exchange between MAs**
- **Coordinating body required?**





# ***Workshop B – Implementation and coordination***

## **Role of the NRNs in enhancing exchanges and implementation**

- **NRN should bring together more the different bodies involved in implementation (MAs and PAs)**
- **Exchange and implementation support at regional level**
- **More use of existing networks on-the-ground / by theme**
- **Perception of independence of NRN important AND need for constructive dialogue with MA**





## ***Workshop B – Implementation and coordination***

### **Eligibility conditions / selection criteria matching the strategy – and – administrative capacity**

- Selection criteria important to ensure objectives of RDPs are met – sufficient administrative capacity needs to be put in place
- Objectives and targets need to be defined in a way that facilitates the definition of ‘intelligent’ and coherent selection criteria
- Re LDS: Need to balance national and regional needs with LAG autonomy to set own priorities and criteria. Can be done but administrative capacity and capacity building needed
- Risk in too much use of outsourcing
- During implementation - more use of MC and on-going evaluation



- **How to ensure that regional/local issues are taken into account in the Strategy?**
- **Do we need EU Guidelines on strategy definition?**
- **Clarification is needed about the PC**
- **Look more into Pillar 1 – Pillar 2 coordination**
- **Ensuring coherence through the delivery chain requires administrative capacity which is not always in place**
- **How to balance focus on achieving objectives while maintaining accountability?**