

Radiobiology and Epidemiology  
associated with exposure to tritium

# Radiobiology

## Uptake and retention in oocytes

Issues concerning the RBE:

Transmutation to helium

Accumulation of tritium in the hydration shell of DNA

# Uptake and retention in Oocytes

Tritium can be taken up into foetal oocytes

If this results in DNA labelling the tritium could be retained until the oocyte is ovulated, fertilised [or lost by atresia] – this could be more than 30 + years

Animal expts. suggest labelling of DNA is likely to be small

Does DNA turnover ?

Effects not likely to be significant

# Assessment of tritium RBE

Many studies (> 45) – endpoints include cell transformation and mutation, cell death, developmental changes, chromosome damage and carcinogenesis

Reference radiation – recommend gamma

Dose and dose rate – should match (seldom do)

Recommend concurrent reference radiation controls

In vitro studies preferred

Carcinogenesis studies theoretically best

# RBE values

Straume and Carsten (1993) 2 - 3

Kocher et al (2005) 2.4 ± 1.4 (95% CI 1.2-5)

## Animal carcinogenesis studies

Re: chronic X-rays 1.2 (0.8 – 1.5)  
chronic gamma 2.5 ( 2 – 3)

## Little and Lambert (2007):

Re: chronic X-rays 1.2 (1 – 1.4)  
chronic gamma 2.2 (2 – 2.3)

Overall – recommend a value of 2 for radiation protection purposes

# Tritium Epidemiology

Several studies of workers and the public in which there was potential for exposure

UKAEA workers

AWE workers

UK classified radiation workers \*

Savannah River and other US nuclear workers \*

Capenhurst uranium enrichment workers \*

Sellafield workers \*

Canadian nuclear workers and their offspring \*

Children in the vicinity of Kruemmel and Savannah River

Children near Canadian NPP

Birth defects and infant mortality near Pickering NPP

No reliable tritium doses in any study – therefore no useful conclusions

Some studies\* have potential when tritium doses evaluated