EIP-AGRI Workshop Tools for environmental farm performance

7 - 8 February 2017 – Zagreb, Croatia







EIP-AGRI Workshop 'Tools for environmental farm performance' Tuesday 7 February 2017, Zagreb - Croatia

12:00 – 13:00 Registration and buffet lunch

13:00 - 13:10 Welcome words

- Iman Boot, DG Agriculture and Rural Development
- Krešimir Ivanĉić, Croatian Ministry of Agriculture

13:10 - 13:20 Icebreaker

- 13:20 13:40 Introduction to the theme of the workshop by DG AGRI
- 13:40 14:00 Setting the scene by the coordinating experts of the workshop
- 14:00 14:45 Elevator pitches, highlighting the three main reasons for which farmers may use sustainability tools (farm initiative, food chain, legislation) Consecutive panel reflections
 - Martijn Buijsse, Skylark, The Netherlands
 - Vincenzo Angileri, Joint Research Centre, European Commission
 - Simon Miller, Cool Farm Tool, UK

14:45 – 15:45 Presentations of existing environmental sustainability tools

- John Lynch, TEAGASC, Ireland
- Romain Dieulot, FNCIVAM, France
- Kathryn Green, LEAF, UK
- François Lerin, CIHEAM-IAMM & HNV-Link Thematic Network, France
- Josien Kapma, Boer & Bunder, The Netherlands
- Dóra Mészáros, SMART, Hungary

15:45 – 16:15 Coffee break

- 16:15 18:00 Break-out sessions
- 19:00 Networking dinner









EIP-AGRI Workshop 'Tools for environmental farm performance' Wednesday 8 February 2017, Zagreb - Croatia

09:00 – 09:30 Energiser exercise Summary of previous day and conclusions by coordinating experts Janet Dwyer and Marta Pérez-Soba

09:30 - 10:30 Break-out session

- What does the ideal tool look like to you?
- What can you do to make such a tool become a reality?

10:30 - 11:00 Coffee break

11:00 – 11:45 Break-out session (continued)

- If you would start an EIP-AGRI Operational Group to design the ideal tool, what would be the main problem to solve or opportunity to take, who would be the partners and how would you design the project?
- 11:45 12:00 Harvesting
- 12:00 12:30 Plenary session
 - What happens after the event? Concrete ideas for follow-up actions
- 12:30 13:30 Lunch and departure





funded by the European Commission

EIP-AGRI Workshop 'Tools for environmental farm performance'



John Lynch

Rural Economy and Development Programme, Teagasc, Athenry



Environmental Farm Performance Tools in Ireland

- Greenhouse gas emissions
 - Carbon navigator (dairy + beef)
- Nutrient balance
 - Nutrient management plan



Carbon navigator

- Tool aimed to show farmers 'win-win' efficiency gains
 - Reduce emissions and increase profitability
- Dairy and beef versions
- Completed by advisor/consultant and farmer together
- Input current herd and management details
- Discuss and set targets to reduce with advisor
- Uptake linked with funding and/or assurance scheme
 - Dairy Carbon navigator part of Bord Bia Sustainable Dairy Assurance
 - Beef Carbon navigator part of Beef Data and Genomics Programme



This facility will apply Farm Enterprise Information collected at the last audit to the Carbon Navigator.

Herd	A9999000 Update Download Excel File	Input another herd number
Farmer Name	Dan Murphy	
County	Galway West 🗸	
Soil Type	Moderately Drained ✓	
Area farmed (ha)	35	
Average number of suckler cows	38	
Average number of yearlings/followers	35	



Year 2010		Current	Target	Chart	GHG change	€ benefit
Grazing season - suckler cows	Turnout Date	24/03/2010	10/03/2010	Grazing Season Suckler Cows	-2.5%	+€1509
	Housing Date	01/11/2010	15/11/2010	Excellent	2.070	
Grazing season -	Turnout Date	24/03/2010	10/03/2010	Set current and "ers	-1.9%	€0
yearlings/followers	Housing Date	01/11/2010	15/11/2010	target performance	-1.9%	€U
				Age At First Calving		
Age at first calving	Age at first calving (months)	34.5	22.0	Current Target	-3.8%	+€4392
Calving Rate	Calving rate (calves/cow)	0.0	0.0	Impact on GHG emissions per kg	nproveme	
	System	Steers & Heifers 💌	Steers & Heifers 💌		profitabil	-
Live weight performance	Lifetime live weight per day of age (g)	860.00	946.0	Current Target Low Good Excellent	-0.4%	€∪
	Total CAN used (t			Nitrogen Efficiency		
Nitrogen Efficiency	Total urea used (t)	uitive scor	ring	Current Target	0%	€0
	Output kg beef live	chart		Low Good Excellent		
	% in Spring			Manure Management		
	% Summer following 1st cut	0 🖵	0 -		00/	
Slurry Spread Timing	% Later in Summer	0 -	0 💌	Current Target	0%	+€325
					Internet	🖓 👻 🔍 100% 🔹



Possible actions to reduce GHG emissions

Grazing Season Length:

□ Focus on effective autumn and spring grassland management. Give particular attention to minimising damage, backfenceing if necessary to limit poaching

Early nitrogen is essential for early grass. Spread 33 Kg/Ha from mid-February weather permitting

Carefully manage early spring grazing, limiting grazing time in wet conditions

Manage soil fertility - sample your soil and apply P, K and lime as required

Omnitor grass covers to ensure that good quality grass is available at all times

Improve your grassland management throu Sharing experiences in a dairy discussion group is the most effective way to improve skills.

Grazing Season Length:

Early nitrogen is essential for early grass. Spread 1.5 bags of Urea Kg/Ha from mid-February weather permitting. Manage soil fertility - sample your soil and apply P, K and lime as required Improve your grassland management throu Sharing experiences in a dairy discussion group is the most effective way to improve skills.



Grazing Season Length:

Early nitrogen is essential for early grass. Spread i.5 bags of urea from mid-February weather permitting

Manage soil fertility - sample your soil and apply P, K and lime as required

EBI:

Choose a panel of 5 high EBI bulls that compliment your herd. For most farmers fertility is the main weakness that needs to be improved.

Focus on your heifers - breeding heifers to carefully selected high EBI bulls is the fastest way to improve herd EBI and profitability

Order sufficient straws, e.g. 55 straws per 10 heifers required

Nitrogen efficiency:

Use urea, especially early in the season.

Try treated urea on a portion of the farmer for late spring, early summer applications.

Slurry Spreading:

Join GLAS selecting Low Emissions Spreading Option

CO Energy Use:

Make sure your plate cooler is working effectively. Measure the temperature of your milk entering

Nutrient Management Plan

- Mapping based tool for nutrient efficiency
- Input map and crop data, livestock, soil data
- Advisor consultation with farmer
- Outputs farmers plan in form of map
- Statutory plan in form of applications and activities undertaken
- Mandatory for GLAS (EU co-funded agri-env scheme) and derogation





AnimalNumbers Org N&P Summary Concentrate Feeds Manure Storage Storage Requirements Winter Housing Soiled Water Winter Dairy Herd Soiled Water Locations Collecting Yard Yards to Solled H2O Dairy Washings Summary Storage FYM Production Straw Requirement FYM Storage FYM Storage Balance Slurry Produced Slurry Storage Available Slurry Storage Balance Facility Map Storage Summary Fertiliser Plan Cereal crop yields Land & Fert Max Organic fertiliser Chemical fertiliser Fertiliser Plan Summary

eagasc

6	-0	20	Home	Add S	Soil Sampl	e							
Aus	name any Paco De	nanenar Annour			Sa	ample Code	SS7						and the second second
93 - 2	2015 - Me	ath - A Cl	ient - A123	3		Batch Code	EAL - 1	390			The second secon		the second second
			100		S	ample Date	06-12-2	D13		11	Send t	netxe c	al entity Map Viewer
20		and the second			S	oil Texture	Loam		1.00	•	1		
Plan -	Soil Sampl	es			P	1.6	mg/l	к	53.9	mg/l	40	2	Soil Samples Land Setup
					pH		5.83	Lime Req.	4	T/Ha	Next >		Livestcok AnimalNumbers Org N&P Summary
				В	Mg		mg/l	Org. M	0	%			Concentrate Feeds Manure Storage
					Has Trac	e Elements	No		hi	•			Storage Requirements Winter Housing Solled Water
+							Delete	Save	Save + No	ew Cance	TOL 2		Winter Dairy Herd Soiled Water Location Collecting Yard Yards to Soiled H2O
	5521	EAL- 1727	26/11/2014	6.56	0	2.94	30.5					ł	Dairy Washings Summary Storage
	S522	EAL- 1728	25/11/2014	6.31	15	211	.24.1					1	FYM FYM Production Straw Requirement
	551	EAL- 1384	06/12/2013	5.66	25	522	124					ł	FYM Storage FYM Storage Balance Slurry
	552	EAL- 1385	95/12/2013	6,06	45	5.88	183					+	Slurry Produced Slurry Storage Available
	S\$3	EAL+ 1305	06/12/2013	5.51	45	3.31	182					3	Siurry Storage Balanc Facility Map Storage Summary
	554	EAL- 1387	96/12/2013	6.55	25	289	48					1	Fertiliser Plan Lime Land & Fert Max
	\$\$5	EAL+ 1388	06/12/2013	6.86	3	1.68	85.1					1	Organic fertiliser Chemical fertiliser Fertiliser Plan Summary



easasc



UNITED KINGDOM · CHINA · MALAYSIA









Input

EIP-Agri

Famer tools for environmental performance



UNITED KINGDOM · CHINA · MALAYSIA









Input

Farmscoper (ADAS)

http://www.adas.uk/Service/farmscoper



Table 5-3 Mitigation methods and agreed levels of prior uptake representing the present day, circa 2010. The modifiers refer to the lettered categories, such that a 'C' baseline value modified by -1 becomes a 'B'. Note that implementation rates for methods named in italics are based solely on expert opinion.

	•		Baseline	Values		Modifiers	
 Group	ID	Method Name	Free Draining	Other Soils	NVZ	Intensive Grazing	Extensive grazing
1	4	Establish cover crops in the autumn	С	в		-1	-1
1	5	Early harvesting and establishment of crops in the autumn	E	E			
1	6	Cultivate land for crops in spring rather than autumn	F	В			
1	7	Adopt reduced cultivation systems	С	E		-1	-1
1	8	Cultivate compacted tillage soils	D	D		-1	-1
7	9	Cultivate and drill across the slope	D	С			
1	10	Leave autumn seedbeds rough	D	D		-1	-1
7	11	Manage over-winter tramlines	D	D		-1	-1
7	13	Establish in-field grass buffer strips	в	в			
7	14	Establish riparian buffer strips	D	D		-1	-1
1	15	Loosen compacted soil layers in grassland fields	с	С			
7	16	Allow grassland field drainage systems to deteriorate	А	в			
7	180	Ditch management on arable land	А	E			
7	181	Ditch management on grassland	А	D			
5	19	Make use of improved genetic resources in livestock	С	С			
2	20	Use plants with improved nitrogen use efficiency	А	Α			
2	21	Fertiliser spreader calibration	E	E	1		-1
2	22	Use a fertiliser recommendation system	F	F	1		-1
3	23	Integrate fertiliser and manure nutrient supply	E	Е	1		-1
2	25	Do not apply manufactured fertiliser to high-risk areas	E	Е	1		-1
2	26	Avoid spreading manufactured fertiliser to fields at high-risk times	F*	F*	1		







Output

Input



		*														RESEARCH FEAT ORM
9	Capital Cost (£m)	Operational Cost (£m)	Total Cost (£m)	Environmental Benefit (£m)	Nitrate (%)	Phosphorus (%)	Sediment (%)	Ammonia (%)	Methane (%)	Nitrous Oxide (%)	Pesticides (%)	FIOS (%)	Soil Carbon (%)	Energy Use (%)	Production (%)	
4	0	42	42	108	3.7	6.7	13.1	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.4	0.1	0.0	-0.4	0.0	
5	0	40	40	13	0.4	0.8	1.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	eld edge ponds
6	0	170	170	16	0.7	2.1	1.6	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	-0.1	1.7	
7	0	-45	-45	36	1.6	0.9	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.6	-2.1	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.0	
8	0	37	37	28	0.4	1.6	3.3	0.0	0.0	0.5	2.1	0.1	0.0	-0.6	0.0	Sediment traps Flood attenuation
9	0	8	8	18	0.3	0.9	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.9	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	Biodiversity
10	0	30	30	247	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.2	0.0	0.0	-0.1	0.0	
11	0	1	1	2	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	Game & Wildle conservation
13	1	24	25	26	0.1	1.5	3.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	
14	5	43	47	54	0.8	2.5	5.3	0.4	0.0	0.5	6.3	0.1	0.0	0.8	0.6	
15	0	52	52	30	0.4	2.2	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	
16	0	82	82	-18	0.2	0.6	0.3	0.0	0.0	-1.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.2	0.0	A Dever of sealing
19	0	-240	-240	20	0.2	0.4	0.0	0.9	1.7	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.7	BE CARE
20	0	-52	-52	78	2.9	0.0	0.0	3.3	0.0	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.9	0.0	20040000
21	0	-25 -7	-25 -7	0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3 0.0	Martin Contraction
22	0				0.3	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	Marine Marine
23	0	-138	-138	10	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3		THE R. L.
25	0	16 134	16 134	4	0.1 0.2	0.0 1.5	0.0 0.0	0.2	0.0 0.0	0.1	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.2	0.1 0.9	
26 27	23	-42	-20	27	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.6	0.9	
	0	-42	-20	4	1.0	0.1	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
28	0	-197	-197	66	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.6	0.0	The second state of the se
31 32	0	-197	-197	6	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.0	Output
34	1	-24	-24	1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	Output

Input









Input

Augmentation using FarmScoper

- Collection of sub-models
 - PSYCHIC: phosphorus (and sediment)
 - NEAP-N: nitrate
 - NARSES: ammonia
 - MANNER: manures
 - IPCC methodologies: GHGs
- Outputs of particular env. interest e.g.
 - Nitrate
 - Ammonia
 - Nitrous Oxide



Benchmarking – per hectare

Main Farm Type	NO₃-N (kg ha⁻¹)		P (kg ha⁻¹)		NH₃ (kg ha⁻¹)				
	\overline{x}	σ	\overline{x}	σ	\overline{x}	σ	\overline{x}	σ	
Cereals	21.2	5.6	0.30	0.17	11.6	5.38	3.26	0.93	
Dairy	24.0	10.6	0.91	0.74	37.2	15.36	12.0	4.38	









Input



UNITED KINGDOM · CHINA · MALAYSIA





Farm Business Survey Benchmarking

http://www.farmbusinesssurvey.co.uk/benchmarking/



Input

Enterprise Gross Margins

Rural Business Research

RBR

Enterprise Gross Margins England : Winter wheat (conventional)

FBS Values All performers High performers (Gross 	Margins est. in to		mpare On Percentages
Enterprise Measures (£ per hectare unless stated otherwise)	FBS Values	Your values (£ per hectare unless sta	ted otherwise) Comparison with FB
Crop area - conventional (non-organic) - (ha)	65.6	100	High (1)
Yield (tonnes per ha)	9.0	7.5	Low [i]
Price (£ per tonne)	129.8	107	Low []
Grain sales	1,167	805	Low [1]
Straw output	56	70	Average [i]
Enterprise output	1,223	875	Low [1]
Seeds	72	85	Average to High [i]
Fertilizers	206	150	Low [1]
Crop Protection	211	211	Average [i]
Other crop costs	29	12	Average [1]
Drying & Heating	6.4	2	Average to High [i]
Total Variable Costs	525	460	Average to Low [i]
Gross Margin	698	415	Low [i]

國

Home	Resources	Region Reports	Farm Benchmarkin	Data Builder		Projection Calc		
Enterpris	se gross margins	Farm profitability	Performance ratios	Balance sheets	EU20	13 Definitions	Links	[?]

Performance Ratios England : Dairy (conventional) : Mixed

Please note that these results are from the 2014/15 Farm Business Survey (FBS) database, where the year end of the farm accounts falls between 31 December 2014 and 5 April 2015.

FBS Values

Livestock Unit (LU))

Compare On

Ill performers O High performers (Ratio of output to input [totals] in the top 25% of FarmType)

There are 151 farms in the FBS (All performers) sample fitting the above enterprise classification. The values for the measures listed below are averages for the FBS population.

			Compar	re with FBS (All performers)
Peformance Ratio Measures (% unless stated otherwise)	FBS values	Your values (per Farm)	Compariso	on with FBS
Return on tenants capital (%)	11.6	13.4	115.5%	
Return on total capital (%)	3.6	4.5	125.0%	Standard
Labour costs per £100 turnover	8.63	6.3	73.0%	Stanuaru
Machinery costs per £100 turnover	11.95	12.4	103.8%	Econ
Labour plus machinery costs per £100 turnover	20.58	18.7	90.9%	indicators
Farm business income per £100 turnover	14.05	17.40	123.8%	indicators
Estimated electricity consumption (cost@standard-2014-input-price of 11p/kWh) (kilo Watt hours per dairy cows Livestock Unit	363	454	125.1%	+ Energy
(LU))				•••
Estimated fuel and oils consumption (cost@standard-2014-input-price of 47p/L for heat and 55p/L for fuels) (Litres per dairy cows	144	123	85.4%	and Fuel

EIP-AGRI Workshop 'Tools for environmental farm performance'

All presentations & background documents are available on the <u>event webpage</u>.

www.eip-agri.eu

funded by the European Commission

