Implementation of NACE Rev. 2 in BCS
Brussels, November 2007 14th
European timeframe

› Regulation (EC) No 1893/2006
  ✓ Establishing NACE Rev. 2 classification
  ✓ Adopted in December 2006 (20\textsuperscript{th} )
  ✓ With implications for Business surveys

› Task force on BCS
  ✓ Launched before the adoption of the text
  ✓ Three key issues
    1) Implementation date
    2) Backcasting methods
    3) Sampling adjustments

Appropriate Survey
Survey about implementation of NACE 2 in BCS

› Made to collect the opinions and intentions of each BCS producer

› Conducted in June 2007

› A questionnaire divided in three parts
  ✓ Part A: Implementation date
  ✓ Part B: Reconstructing historical time series
  ✓ Part C: Impact on sample design
Part A : headlines

› Enables to know
  ✓ Which data are needed to compute balances in NACE Rev.2
  ✓ When institutes
    1) could possibly implement NACE Rev 2…
    2) intend to implement NACE Rev. 2…
    3) Would prefer to implement NACE Rev. 2…
    … according to the availability of these data

› And therefore enables to split BCS producers into different categories
Two main categories

› Institutes who use Business Registers to compute BCS balances in NACE Rev.2
  ✓ 17 out of 25

› Institutes who use SBS data to compute BCS balances
  ✓ 8 out of 25
Two distinct periods to implement NACE 2

- Before January 2010
- After January 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Source</th>
<th>01/01/2008</th>
<th>01/01/2009</th>
<th>01/04/2009</th>
<th>01/01/2010</th>
<th>01/06/2010</th>
<th>01/01/2011</th>
<th>01/09/2011</th>
<th>Average Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Business Registers data</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Aug/2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Definitive SBS data</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sept/2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Nov/2009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Length of the implementation process

› 5 years long in the whole
   A time consuming operation for the BCS as well

› 5.5 months on average
  ✓ 1 month min
  ✓ 12 months max

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Computation time</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 month</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 months</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 months</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>25</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Three dates collected

› First possible date
  = date at which the needed data will be available
  + computation time

› Intended date
  = date at which institutes intend to start producing
    balances in NACE Rev. 2

› Best date
  = what would be the best moment to start producing BCS
    in NACE Rev. 2
Setting a common implementation date (1/4)

First possible date (Moving average)

14 answers

2008 or 2011…
Setting a common implementation date (2/4)

First possible and intended dates (Moving average)

- 15 answers for the intended date
- 2009 or 2010…
Setting a common implementation date (3/4)

20 answers for the best date

2009 or 2011…
Setting a common implementation date (4/4)

First possible, intended and best dates distributions

- Later than possible…
- Sooner than possible?
To conclude

- Possibly in 2008
- After January 2010

- Short term statistics
  - January 2009
- National Accounts
  - September 2011

- Many possibilities and pros and cons for each
- Still a strong element of indecision

⚠️ January 2010 as a compromise solution
Thank you for your attention