ANNEX A. FULL INDICATIVE DECISION TREE

Assuming an assessment has confirmed a humanitarian need which is consistent with the objective of the applicable decision Are the identified needs in the Are the identified needs in the proposal related to proposal related to the provision of HEALTH SERVICES in direct benefit No **INSTITUTIONAL HEALTH** of population at risk? **CAPACITY BUILDING in direct** benefit of Commission humanitarian implementing partners? Yes If Yes, go to No Do the identified needs relate to the following crisis section 7 events? Abrupt Hydro-meterological/Floods Abrupt Geophysical Do not fund Climatological/Slow Onset Drought **Epidemic** Technological 7) An INSTITUTIONAL HEALTH **Acute and Protracted Conflict CAPACITY BUILDING** intervention in benefit of **Commission humanitarian** Yes implementing partners. **ENTRY CRITERIA: Are all 4 criteria applied?** 1. Is there an elevated level of risk of excess mortality, disability, and/or disease in a significantly vulnerable population? 2. Does the situation surpass the ability or willingness of local/national/regional authorities to cope? 3. Is independent humanitarian action and objective monitoring and evaluation possible? 4. Does ECHO have either the remit or a comparative advantage that makes it an appropriate donor of financial or other assistance? If Yes, go to sections 1-6 No 1) Does Proposal Respond to Needs? Do not fund 2) Does Proposal Adhere to Standards? 3) Does Proposal Do No Harm? 4) Does Proposal Have Beneficiary Participation? 5) Is Proposal Integrated? 6) Is there a Monitoring and Evaluation Component?













