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Knowledge should inform actions ...
Need to analyse different factors

Different trends (and drivers) exist across different segments:

• correspondence mail – C2C, digital substitution (telephony too!)
• transactional mail – B2C (cost savings, also level of digital take-up)
• government mail – as above but also policy driven
• marketing mail – B2C (cost effectiveness)
• goods mail – mainly B2C (e-commerce growth)

Cost
Unlikely to be major factor for consumers
Hard to evaluate for businesses:
• bespoke discounts
• availability of data

Digitisation
Internet usage and digital skills
Government digitisation initiatives
No clear relationship but …
Some discernible rationale but not clear-cut

Most have higher levels of internet usage, digital skills, e-government

but many exceptions:
IT, PL, CY – low on digital skills and e-government
MT, LU – high on all digital scores

Most have lower levels of internet usage, digital skills, e-government
Implications

No “one size fits all”!

- likely to be national, regional and cultural reasons underlying mail patterns
- cross-border traffic, especially where significant in volume, could continue to affect user needs
- digital divide is still a major issue to consider

internet usage
In 15 EU countries in 2022, 15% of people used internet less than once per month

digital skills
In 15 EU countries in 2021, 40% of people have digital skills below the basic level

e-government
In 12 EU countries in 2021, e-government provision and delivery was below 70%

Should the “vulnerable” still have the “right” to receive paper?
Information sources and gaps

Breakdown of letter volume trends largely based on tariff-based traffic types:
• single piece, bulk, unaddressed, cross-border

More revealing and helpful to look at mail flow segments:
• correspondence, transactional, government, marketing, goods

Such information is not commonly collected as standard (or is commercially confidential)
• burdensome (+ practical obstacles) to require this breakdown from providers
• suggestion: NRAs conduct periodic surveys of letter traffic (will not be comprehensive but will still provide useful indication)
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