Compound	ACETALDEHYDE		Data collection sheet		
N° CAS: 75-07-0	EU classification: 67/548/EEC: F+; R12, Carc. Cat. 3; R40, Xi; R36/37 CLP: Flam. Liqu. 1, Carc. 2, Eye Irrit. 2 STOT SE 3				
1 ppm = 1.83 mg/m ³	Supporting studies for inhalatory repeated toxicity on website available				
Organization Name	ОЕННА	Santé Cana	da	US EPA	
Risk Value Name	Inhalation REL	TC		RfC	
Risk Value (μg/m³)	140	390		9	
Risk Value (ppb)	80	220		5	
Reference period	Chronic	Chronic		Chronic	
Year	2008	2000		1991	
Key Study	Appleman et al., 1982; 1986; supported by Saldiva et al., 1985; Woutersen et al., 1986, 1984; Woutersen and Feron, 1987	Appleman et al. (1982, 1986).		Appleman, L.M., et al., 1986. Effect of variable versus fixed exposure levels on the toxicity of acetaldehyde in rats	
Study type	4-weeks study on rats	4-weeks study on rats		4-weeks study on rats	
Species	Wistar rats	Wistar rats		Wistar rats	
Duration of exposure in key study	Inhalation exposure 6 h/d, 5 d/w, 4 w	Inhalation exposure 6 h/d, 5 d/w, 4 w		Inhalation exposure 6 h/d, 5 d/w, 4 w	
Critical effect	Respiratory system: degenerative, inflammatory ans hyperplasic changes of the nasal mucosa in animals	No neoplasic effects in respiratory system		Degeneration of olfactory epithelium	
Critical dose value	NOAEL: 270 mg/m³ (150 ppm)	CA (acceptable concentration): 218 mg/m³ (120 ppm)		NOAEL: 275 mg/m ³ (150 ppm)	
	LOAEL: 720 mg/m³ (400 ppm)			LOAEL: 728 mg/m ³ (400 ppm)	
Adjusted critical dose	BMC ₀₅ : 178 mg/m ³ (99 ppm)	Temporal		Temporal + HEC	
	Human equivalent concentration: 242.1 mg/ m³ (134.6 ppm)	218 x 6/24 x 5/7 = 39 mg/m ³ (0.20 ppm)		NOAEL(ADJ): 48.75 mg/cu.m (26 ppm) = 273 mg/m ³ x 6/24 x 5 d/7 d	
	Time-adjusted exposure: 43.2 mg/m³ (24 ppm) = (134.6x6/24x5/7)			NOAEL(HEC): 8.7 mg/m ³ *	
Single assessment factors (see table R.8.6)	UF _A $\sqrt{10}$ x UF _S $\sqrt{10}$ x UF _H (10 x $\sqrt{10}$) = 300			UFs 10 x UF _A 10 x UF _H 10 = 100	
Other effects					
$UF_L \ used \ LOAEL; UF_H \ intraspecies \ variability; UF_S \ used \ subchronic \ study; UF_D \ data$					

deficiencies

^{*}The NOAEL(HEC) was calculated for a gas:respiratory effect in the ExtraThoracic region.

MVa = 0.23 m³/day, MVh = 20 m³/day, Sa(ET) = 11.6 sq. cm, Sh(ET) = 177 sq. cm.

RGDR(ET) = (MVa/Sa) / (MVh/Sh) = 0.18.

NOAEL(HEC) = NOAEL(ADJ) x RGDR = 8.7 mg/m³

Compound	ACETALDEHYDE		Factsheet	
Parameter	Note	Comments	Value / descriptor	
EU-LCI Value and Status				
EU-LCI value	1	Mass/volume [µg/m³]	1200	
EU-LCI status	2	Draft / Final	Final	
EU-LCI year of issue	3	Year when the EU-LCI value has been issued	2012	
General Information				
CLP-INDEX-Nr.	4	INDEX	605-003-00-6	
EC-Nr.	5	EINECS – ELINCS - NLP	200-836-8	
CAS-Nr.	6	Chemical Abstracts Service number	75-07-0	
Harmonised CLP classification	7	Human Health Risk related classification	Flam. Liq. 1 Eye Irrit. 2 STOT SE 3 Carc. 2	
Molar mass	8	[g/mol]	44.1	
Key Data / Database				
Key study, Author(s), Year	9	Critical study with lowest relevant effect level	Appelman et al., (1982) Toxicol.23, 293-307	
Read across compound	10	Where applicable		
Species	11	Rat, human	Rat (also hamster inhalation studies available which show lower sensitivity)	
Route/type of study	12	Inhalation, oral feed,	Inhalation	
Study length	13	Days, subchronic, chronic	28 days	
Exposure duration	14	Hrs/day, days/week	6 hrs/ day, 5 days a week	
Critical endpoint	15	Effect(s), site of	Nasal irritation	
Point of departure (POD)	16	LOAEC*L, NOAEC*L, NOEC*L, Benchmark dose,	NOAEC	
POD Value	17	[mg/m³] or [ppm]	275 mg/m ³	
Assessment Factors (AF)	18			
Adjustment for exposure duration	19	Study exposure hrs/day, days/week	5.6	
AF Study Length	20	sa→ sc→ c (R8-5)	2	
Route-to-route extrapolation factor	21			
AF Dose-response	22 a	Reliability of dose-response, LOAEL → NOAEL		
	22 b	Severity of effect (R 8-6d)	2	
<u>Inter</u> species differences	23 a	Allometric Metabolic rate (R8-3)		
	23 b	Kinetic + dynamic		
<u>Intra</u> species differences	24	Kinetic + dynamic Worker - General population	10	

AF (sensitive population)	25	Children or other sensitive groups	
Other adjustment factors Quality of whole database	26	Completeness and consistency Reliability of alternative data (R8-6 d,e)	
Result			
Summary of assessment factors	27	Total Assessment Factor (TAF)	224
POD/TAF	28	Calculated value (µg/m³ <u>and</u> ppb)	1227.6 μg/m ³ 676.6 ppb
Molar adjustment factor	29	Used in read-across	
Rounded value	30	[µg/m³]	1200
Additional Comments	31		

Rationale Section	32		
Canada (TC: 390 µg/m ³) a	and US-EPA (I	nhalation reference concentration: RfC: 80	0 μg/m ³), all evaluations are

based on the studies by Appleman et al., 1982 and 1986:

POD and assessment factors

The key study (Appleman et al., 1982) shows the key effect (nasal irritation) and the NOAEC in the course of a 28 days study. For acetic aldehyde and also for other aldehydes is has been experimentally consistently shown that the NOAECs for this local effect don't change much with exposure time. Hence, the time extrapolation factor for length of study could be confined to 2. A factor of 2 is proposed for severity of effects; this has been made with regard to the carcinogenic effect (nasal tumors in rats if concentrations were driven into a massive irritating state). Aldehydes react directly without metabolic activation, hence enzyme polymorphism is not considered to play a significant role. However, interindividual defense mechanisms may vary and this is considered by an intraspecies factor of 10.

References:

Acetaldehyde: CASRN 75-07-0. IRIS Risk information system. US-EPA: http://www.epa.gov/iris/subst/0290.htm

OEHHA: Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, California: Acetaldehyde Reference Exposure Levels: Acetaldehyde: http://oehha.ca.gov/air/toxic contaminants/pdf zip/acetaldehyde 112508.pdf

<u>Canadian Canadian Environmental Protection Act, 1999 Priority assessment substance list assessment report:</u>
<u>Acetaldehyde: http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/ewh-semt/alt_formats/hecs-sesc/pdf/pubs/contaminants/psl2-lsp2/acetaldehyde/acetaldehyde_fin-eng.pdf</u>