

• •••••••	
••••••	
••••••••••••••••••	
••••••••••••••••	
•••••	

CEF Digital and eInvoicing – Our services and how to get started

Christian Vindinge Rasmussen Georg Birgisson DIGIT

Today's speakers

Christian Rasmussen

Christian is an experienced eProcurement Expert specialized in the execution of large scale ICT projects with past experience from the Nordic region including Denmark, Norway and Sweden. Christian has also been involved in the past EU-funded large scale pilots PEPPOL.eu and eSENS.eu as Work packager leader including focus on new eProcurement and eDelivery development.

Georg Birgisson

Georg works as an expert in the area of electronic business, customs and financial processes. Georg is one of EU's key subject matter experts on the EN and he is deeply involved in the OpenPEPPOL and was part of the PEPPOL project. He is active in standardization committees such as CEN TC434 and OASIS UBL.

What are the CEF building blocks?

Who are you?

What is most important for you?



HOW IS IT REGULATED?

CEF Regulation

The Connecting Europe Facility (CEF) is a regulation that defines how the Commission can finance support for the establishment of trans-European networks to reinforce an interconnected Europe.

CEF Telecom Guidelines

The CEF Telecom guidelines cover the specific objectives and priorities as well as eligibility criteria for funding of broadband networks and Digital Service Infrastructures (DSIs).

CEF Work Programmes

Translates the CEF Telecom Guidelines in general objectives and actions planned on a yearly basis.

CEF Funding

From 2014-2020 1.040M Euro will be reinvested into adoption of the core building blocks in the DSIs.

Budget indications from 2020-2024 gives additional 1.600M Euro for further funding of implementation

* - 100 M Juncker Package



CEF Building Blocks

The **building blocks of the Connecting Europe Facility** promote the adoption of the same **open standards and technical specifications**, by the **different sectors** of the Union, for the most basic & common functionalities of any sectorial project/ platform.

These core commonalities will enable interoperability across borders and sectors.











The CEF 'Big Picture'



Funding for the MEMBER STATES

Grants - Projects in the Member States

... ---- ---... _ _ _ _ ____ ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~ ---------...

Typically 'deployment' projects at national level (up to 75% of eligible cost)



More building blocks are coming







eArchive with

What are the fundamental characteristics of a Building Block / DSI?



(*) A Building Block is a package of technical specifications, services and sample software that can be reused in different policy domains:



The CEF Building Blocks are creating a common digital platform across Europe





Phase 1: eGovernment.

However Europe cannot fully benefit from it because we are still working in silos, we still have digital borders....





Phase 2: Platforming Government. We need to remove digital barriers to create a fully functioning Digital Single Market.



🚊 Citizens



Phase 3: Smart Government. This is how we will ensure high quality, user-centric digital public services for citizens and seamless cross-border public services for businesses.



😫 Citizens 🛛 💶 Businesses 🏦 Public Administrations

The European Commission's Digital Strategy



The CEF Building Blocks



The CEF Building Blocks are at the core of Europe's Digital Transformation - What is changing?

eGovernment	Connecting Europe	Full Digital Government
Silo thinking • ← → •	€	Cross Sectorial
Vertical Value Creation	∂	Ecosystem Value Creation 🔗
Custom Specifications	∂	Open Standards 🗐
Organisational Structure	€	Service Design 🌐

We have the building blocks of the new paradigm expressed in the Tallinn declaration i.e. Europe without digital borders





- 1. Digital-by-default
- 2. Once-only
- 3. Trustworthiness and Security
- 4. Openness and transparency
- 5. Interoperability by default
- 6. Horizontal enabling policy steps
- 7. Cross-border by default

Uptake of the CEF building blocks

Deployment in the CEF Digital Programme

			Ŕ		$\overset{\bigcirc}{\simeq}$	$\overline{\mathbb{R}}$	101 011
Digital Sen	vice Infrastr	uctures	EXCHANGE with	SIGN with	IDENTIFY with	TRANSLATE with	INVOICE with
Digital Serv	vice minasti	uctures	ebenvery	congriatare	CID	erransiación	cinvolenig
	Europeana	DG CONNECT					
Sa	afer internet	DG CONNECT					
European	Data Portal	DG CONNECT					
C	ybersecurity	DG CONNECT					
1	ERN	DG SANTE					
eHealth Patie	nt summary	DG SANTE					
	eCertis	DG GROW					
eProcurement	ESPD	DG GROW					
	eTendering	DG GROW					
	eInvoicing	DG GROW					
Translation	ELRC service	DGT					
erranslation eTr	ans. service	DGT					
		Reusing Com	mitment to reuse	Commitment to analyse	Not applicable	Not going to reuse	2



Deployment in the CEF Digital Programme

			Ŕ		$\overset{\bigcirc}{\frown}$	R	101 011
Digital	Service Infrastru	ictures	EXCHANGE with eDelivery	SIGN with eSignature	IDENTIFY with eID	TRANSLATE with eTranslation	INVOICE <i>with</i> eInvoicing
	e-Justice portal	DG JUST				_	
e-Justice	IRI	DG JUST					
	Standard forms Me-CODEX	DG JUST DG JUST					
	e-Justice BRIS	DG JUST					
	ESSI	DG GROW					
P2P	Mobile Payments eArchiving	DG FISMA DG CNECT					



Significant growth in the last year. Since November 2017...

Reuse + 128 %

41 more projects at the EC are reusing the CEF Building Blocks

73 EC projects reusing BBs

Nov. 2017

EC projects reusing BBs

RRRRRRR

因因因因因因因

PAPAPAPAPA

RRRRRRR

的的的的

32

Nov. 2018

<u>Ionitoring dashboard on CEF Digital</u>



Success Stories + 350%

21 more teams told us how they have successfully re-used the CEF Building Blocks



Nov. 2017

6

Success Stories

Nov. 2018

View Success Stories on CEF Digital



CEF eInvoicing: Legal milestones & services

(*) optional deadline





CEF Digital

CEF	Digital	Home	

 \odot

elnvoicing

Helping public entities adopt the European standard on electronic invoicing.

Learn about elnvoicing

Everything you need to know about elnvoicing

CEF Digital

Connecting Europe

Use elnvoicing

For public entities getting started with elnvoicing in public procurement

Make your solution conformant

For solution & service providers looking to adopt the European standard on elnvoicing

Join the community

Join one or more communities or help promote the uptake of elnvoicing

Featured

Call for grants opens 28 June 2017

MENU -

COMMUNITY

Communities

elnvoicing User Community 🔒

European Multi-Stakeholder Forum on elnvoicing

Quick Links

+

+

+

+

- 📞 Contact support
- All elnvoicing Services
- 👄 Readiness Checker
- 🔒 Monitoring dashboard

Latest

CEN Publishes elnvoicing Semantic Data Model

The Innovation and Networks Executive Agency (INEA) launches grants of up to €10 million to support electronic invoicing (elnvoicing) in Europe.



CEF eInvoicing User Community



Stakeholder management services

Knowledge base

OBJECTIVE OF THE SERVICE

This service provides public entities and solution & service providers an easy reference repository for eInvoicing related information.

It includes information about access to the different code lists, codes used and their meaning, and a glossary of elements used in the European eInvoicing standard.

The Knowledge base provides information on EU and country specific levels.

CEF Digital Connecting Europe USERS CEF Digital > News Public entities **CEF elnvoicing Video and** Policy makers Infographic: Available Now Economic operators & suppliers Solution & service providers Directive 2014/55/EU More info **CEF** Digital > Get started

Contact us

>

BENEFITS

- This service provides a useful and reliable information repository that helps users to find, consult and interpret information resources about eInvoicing in Europe.
- It provides useful information that public administrations can use to plan, initiate and execute eInvoicing implementation plans and strategies.

2018 Country Factsheets

CEF Digital Connecting Europe	Q MENU ~ COMMUNITY
CEF Digital Home > eInvoicing	Summary
Situation per country	Organisation responsible for elnvoicing elnvoicing legislation
Interested in the uptake of elnvoicing in Europe?	elnvoicing is mandatory for elnvoicing standard(s) elnvoicing platform
Every European Union Member State has a unique approach to dealing with elnvoicing. For each country you can find out more about their: • policy framework • elnvoicing platform (if existing) • approach for receiving and processing electronic invoices	Full Country Factsheet
	elnvoicing platform and elnvoicing management solutions Approach for receiving and processing elnvoices

Additional information

Country factsheets	
EU Member States	
Austria	Italy
Belgium	Latvia
Bulgaria	Lithuania
Croatia	Luxembourg
Cyprus	Malta
Czech Republic	The Netherlands
Denmark	Poland
Estonia	Portugal
Finland	Romania
France	Slovakia
Germany	Slovenia
Greece	Spain
Hungary	Sweden
Ireland	United Kingdom
ADDITIONAL EEA (European Economi	c Area) COUNTRIES
Iceland	Norway
Liechtenstein	

Community-driven Registry of CIUS and Extensions

Community-driven Registry of CIUS (Core Invoice Usage Specifications) and Extensions

Created by Ines COSTA, last modified by Fred VAN BLOMMESTEIN on May 16, 2018

Торіс	Registry of CIUS (Core Invoice Usage Specifications) and Extensions
Excerpt	This page aims to give the elinvoicing community the opportunity to share the ongoing and planned initiatives across Member States and sectors to create CIUS and Extensions on the European standard on elinvoicing.
Status	OPEN
Deadline	Ongoing

Provide information on CIUS and Extensions

The table below aims to give the elivoicing community the opportunity to share the ongoing and planned initiatives across Member States and sectors to create CIUS and Extensions on the European standard on elivoicing. The content is community-driven and the contributors take the sole responsibility of the information shared. Please note that the information available does not have an authoritative character.

We invite you to contribute to build on the information available about the CIUS and Extensions on the European standard on elnvoicing by filling the table below.

Name	Туре	Country	Sector	Purpose of the CIUS or Extension	Publisher	Governor	Underlying specification	Further info	Status	Contact
OpenPEPPOL BIS 3.0 5A	CIUS	Any	Any	Restricts the business process scope of the EN with reference to BIS2 business processes.	OpenPEPPOL	OpenPEPPOL	EN16931	http://docs.peppol.eu/poacc/billing/3.0/	ACTIVE	@ Olav Astad KRISTIANSEN
Icelandic national CIUS	CIUS	IS	Any	Applies national regulations and imposes data format to payment instructions when using national payment clearing services.	IST	ISgov	PEPPOL BIS 3.0 5A	http://www.stadiar.is/stadiastarf/fagstadiarad- i-upplysingataekni.aspx	DEVELOPMENT	@ Georg BIRGISSON
Austrian national CIUS	CIUS	AT	Any	Apply national regulations	BRZ	BRZ	EN16931		DEVELOPMENT	@Philip HELGER
Austrian government CIUS	CIUS	AT	Any	Additional regulations only applying to the mandatory government interface. This CIUS builds on top of the Austrian national CIUS!	BRZ	BRZ	AT national CIUS		DEVELOPMENT	@ Philip HELGER
Energy elnvoice	Extension	NL	Energy	Enables the addition of information concerning: 1) Measured energy use, including meter info, meter readings, fuel type etc. 2) VAT specification for more than one party, which is a consequence of the so called supplier-centered model.	Energy elnvoice steering committee	Energy elnvoice steering committee	Simplerinvoicing (SI-UBL)	https://energie-efactuur.ni/en/	DEVELOPMENT	Wouter van den Berg (TNO)
Italian national CIUS	CIUS	IT	Any	Applies national regulations and restricts data format in compliance with elnvoice national format (FatturaPA)	AgID, AdE	AgID, AdE	EN16931	http://www.agid.gov.it/agenda- digitale/pubblica-amministrazione/cef- telecom-einvoicing-eigor	DEVELOPMENT	Fabio MASSIMI





Read all the Connecting Europe success stories on CEF Digital

View >

eInvoicing Success Stories

In addition to the workshops, CEF eInvoicing has also recorded the success stories from Individual countries with regards to their eInvoicing implementation journey.



CEF grant accelerated eInvoicing roll-out in Croatia's public sector

Croatia's eInvoicing implementation plan:

The Ministry of Economy, Entrepreneurship and Crafts of Croatia responded to CEF's eInvoicing grant call in 2016 to:

- Advance cross-border eInvoicing possibilities
- Develop national eInvoicing efforts within Croatia

• Connect new stakeholders to the Croatian eInvoice Exchange Hub

How CEF contributed:

The CEF program supported the Croatian Ministry by providing a standardised set of technical details for electronic invoicing to shorten the implementation process.

In addition to this, they were supported through the use of:

- the European eInvoicing standard;
- CEF Digital 2018's conformance testing;
- Training and Desk services to support the implementation.

Success Story #1 Croatia





Finland is using AI in attempt to achieve one-hundred per cent eInvoicing

Finland has reached a mature level of eInvoicing implementation:

- The Finnish Bankers' Association launched a standard eInvoicing format in 2003, and by 2007 Finland had a B2C eInvoicing solution.
- By introducing eInvoicing a decade ago, over 90% of invoices are now electronic in Finland.

Greatest eInvoice Benefits for Finland:

- Finland's payment system is fully digitalised, helping the transition from paper to electronic formats.
- New payment products such as eInvoice have measurable climate benefits reviewed by the Finnish government annually.

Future eInvoicing plans:

• Finland plans to implement 100% automated eInvoice handling by utilising AI. AI can place eInvoices on its payment flow within seconds, facilitating further automation.

Success Story #2 Finland



Finland is a best practice example of the future of einvoicing, By introducing einvoicing in the last decade, the Finnish Statt Fraeway confirms that today, over integrity per cent of invoices are electronic, up from eight per cent in the early 2000: By utiliang Artificial Intelligence (A), Finland aims to achieve one-hundred per cent elinvoicing, and that invoices will not only be electronic but that they will be processed automatically too. Finland's story illustrates the future of elinvoicing and that electronic invoicing is only the beginning of your CEF journey.



eInvoicing in Sweden

The Single Face To Industry (SFTI) initiative was born out of a central effort to promote e-procurement in 1998. Today 63% of all invoices to the Swedish central government are electronic.

Financial Savings:

• The Swedish government anticipates 165,6 million EUR savings when the eInvoicing law enters into force on April 1st, 2019.

eInvoicing at the local government level:

- From the outset, SFTI has been a success for local authorities and regions.
- Today, eInvoicing is used by 87% of municipalities and 95% of regions.

Sweden's collaboration with CEF:

SFTI has been a active participant to eInvoice standardisation projects such as PEPPOL and E-SENS, facilitating the construction of a single digital market.

Success Story #3 Sweden





How Sweden built up elnvoicing from the ground-up and how you can leverage on the existing CEF services

Sweden's elimoicing excursion dates back to the 1990's before the Connecting Europe Facility (CEF) was established. This meant that the Swedish authorities developed electronic invoicing from the ground-up. Read their story here and realise how easily <u>CEF</u> <u>elimoicing services</u> can help you implement elimoicing and what impact elimoicing has already had on Sweden's public administration.



Ready to get started?

Reach out to us to learn more! Or visit our website www.ec.europa.eu/cefdigital





•••	
	•• ••••• ••••

Introduction to eInvoicing from a European Point of View

Georg Birgisson DIGIT
Background

- Problems with many standards
- Lack of normative contextualised standards (only workshop agreements)
- **Different approaches and ambitions** in Member States to implementing eInvoicing and eProcurement
- The Directive on electronic invoicing in public procurement (<u>Directive 2014/55/EU</u>) was developed, setting a **minimum requirement** for the public sector
- The Directive can in the transposition add further requirements

From the Directive

The benefits of electronic invoicing are maximised when the generation, sending, transmission, reception and processing of an invoice can be fully automated.

...

A mere image file should not be considered to be an electronic invoice for the purpose of this Directive.



Requirements for the contracting authorities/entities

From article 7

Receipt and processing of electronic invoices

Member States shall ensure that contracting authorities and contracting entities **receive and process electronic invoices** which comply with the **European standard on electronic invoicing** whose reference has been published pursuant to Article 3(2) and with **any of the syntaxes on the list** published pursuant to Article 3(2).

a list with a limited number of syntaxes which comply with the European standard on electronic invoicing Semantic data model of the core elements of an electronic invoice



Definitions

(1) **'electronic invoice**' means an invoice that has been issued, transmitted and received in a structured electronic format which allows for its automatic and electronic processing;

(2) **'core elements of an electronic invoice**' means a set of essential information components which an electronic invoice must contain in order to enable cross-border interoperability, including the necessary information to ensure legal compliance;

(3) '**semantic data model**' means a structured and logically interrelated set of terms and their meanings that specify the core elements of an electronic invoice;

(4) **'syntax**' means the machine readable language or dialect used to represent the data elements contained in an electronic invoice;

(5) **'syntax bindings**' means guidelines on how a semantic data model for an electronic invoice could be represented in the various syntaxes;









16 April 2014 Directive 2014/55/EU

17 October 2017

Publication of the reference to the European Standard on eInvoicing in the Official Journa

18 April 2019

Deadline for Member States to transpose into national law

18 April 2020

Extended deadline (upon request) for contracting authorities and entities which are not central government authorities

So eInvoicing, in the context of the Directive, is

- Formatted in a structured way so that it can be processed efficiently
- Issued, transmitted and received electronically

This rules out:

• Paper invoices which are scanned by the receiver but managed in an electronic workflow system

• PDF-invoices created by the issuer and sent to the receiver

Implementation of the Directive – requirements on public entities and suppliers

- 1. As is no additional restrictions
- 2. As is but also for contracts under the threshold
- 3. As 1 or 2 but with policy that requirement for eInvoice must be part of call for tenders
- 4. Requirement for suppliers to also send

Governance...

Characteristics from countries with high penetration of e-Invoicing

- Strong initiative from public sector
- Either a governmental authority or collaboration between several
- Provide policy/directions standards and infrastructure
- Give support and provide capacity building
- Involvement in EU-level initiatives
- EMSFEI (High level and policy issues)
- OpenPEPPOL (Operational and practical issues)



Status in your country?



•••	
•••••	

The European Norm and its content

Georg Birgisson DIGIT

Initiation of the standardisation

From article 3

...

The Commission shall request that the relevant **European standardisation organisation** draft a European standard for the semantic data model of the core elements of an electronic invoice (the 'European standard on electronic invoicing').

The Commission shall request that the relevant European standardisation organisation provide a list with a limited number of syntaxes which comply with the European standard on electronic invoicing, the appropriate syntax bindings and guidelines on transmission interoperability, in order to facilitate the use of such standard.



CEN/TC 434 was established

- CEN European Committee for Standardisation
- The work started in a project committee (PC434) but was later changed into a technical committee (TC434)
- TC434 has over 100 committee members from 31 countries
- Participation in the work must go through the national standardisation committees.
- The committee is about to finalize all deliverables defined in the standardisation request







Current status

Number	Title	Status
EN 16931-1	Semantic data model of the core elements of an electronic invoice	Approved!
CEN/TS 16931-2	List of syntaxes that comply with EN 16931-1	Approved!
CEN/TS 16931-3-1	Methodology for syntax bindings of the core elements of an electronic invoice	Approved!
CEN/TS 16931-3-2	Syntax binding for ISO/IEC 19845 (UBL2.1) invoice and credit note	Approved!
CEN/TS 16931-3-3	Syntax binding for UN/CEFACT XML Cross Industry Invoice D16B	Approved!
CEN/TS 16931-3-4	Syntax binding for UN/EDIFACT D16B	Approved!
CEN/TR 16931-4	Guidelines on interoperability of electronic invoices at the transmission level	Approved!
CEN/TR 16931-5	Guidelines on the use of sector or country extensions in conjunction with EN 16931-1, methodology to be applied in the real environment	Approved!
CEN/TR 16931-6	Result of the test of EN 16931-1 with respect to its practical application for an end user	Approved!



Introduction to key concepts of the standard

EUROPEAN STANDARD EN 16931-1 NORME EUROPÉENNE EUROPÄISCHE NORM June 2017

English Version

Electronic invoicing - Part 1: Semantic data model of the core elements of an electronic invoice

Facturation électronique - Partie 1: Modèle sémantique de données des éléments essentiels d'une facture électronique Elektronische Rechnungsstellung - Teil 1: Semantisches Datenmodell der Kernelemente einer elektronischen Rechnung

This European Standard was approved by CEN on 17 April 2017.

CEN members are bound to comply with the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations which stipulate the conditions of gring this European Standard the status of a national standard without any alteration. Up-to-date lists and bibliographic references concerning such national standards may be obtained on application to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre or to any CEN member.

This European Standard exists in three official versions (English, French, German). A version in any other language made by translation under the responsibility of a CEN member into its own language and notified to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre has the same status as the official versions.

CEN members are the national standards bodies of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Coratia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Demark, Estonia, Finland, Former Yuogolav Republic of Macedonia, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Lenland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and United Kingdom.



© 2017 CEN All rights of exploitation in any form and by any means reserved worldwide for CEN national Members.

Ref. No. EN 16931-1:2017 E

by any means reserved

Section 1-3 - Scope, references, terms & definitions

Section 4 – The concept of a core invoice

Section 5 – Business process to support

Section 6 – The semantic model, rules and data types

Section 7 – Core Invoice Usage Specification (and compliance)

Annex A – Examples (Informative)

Annex B – Assessment of the EN towards the Standardization request (Informative)

Annex C – How does the EN meet legal requirements (Informative)

Annex D – BPMN symbols (informative)



© CEN, reproduced with permission





Reasons for a core invoice

The European standard recognises the following reasons:

- Business environment is diverse also the need for information exchange
- Invoices from different situations may potentially contain many information elements a complete model becomes very large and complex
- Even if it would technically be possible to have a large model, it would be challenging and costly
- When different countries/industries use subset of large standards, interoperability is hampered and silo-implementations are created

Common understanding





The concept of a core invoice – How?

The norm identifies a few **guiding principles**:

- It should be easier to use than paper invoicing
- Standardised information elements makes processing more efficient (than paper invoices)
- It should be possible to use without prior consultation or bilateral agreements
- It should contain information to enable efficient and automatic processing
- Software should be able to present all information, and automatically process structured data
- Structured data should result in optimised business processes
- The core invoice model should not make assumptions on the method of creation, delivery or processing
- The core invoice model should not make assumptions on the syntax or transmission technology



Requirement driven approach on defining the model

- Each business term in the model comes from one or more documented (and numbered) requirement
- The requirements give a good understanding of the background





Business processes to support

The invoice model contains information elements to support the following processes

- P1: Invoicing of deliveries of goods and services against purchase orders, based on a contract
- P2: Invoicing deliveries of goods and services based on a contract
- P3: Invoicing the delivery of an incidental purchase order
- P4: Pre-payment
- P5: Spot payment
- P6: Payment in advance of delivery
- P7: Invoices with references to a despatch advice
- P8: Invoices with references to a despatch advice and a receiving advice
- P9: Credit notes or invoices with negative amounts, issued for a variety of reasons including the return of empty packaging
- P10: Corrective invoicing (cancellation/correction of an invoice)
- P11: Partial and final invoicing
- P12: Self billing



© CEN, reproduced with permission

Business requirements derived from the processes

- Based on the identified processes and listed invoice functions, requirements are defined
- Each requirement has an assigned identifier

- R5 information to trace to a single related purchase order from the document level (all processes, except P2 and P5);
- R6 information to trace to a single related purchase order line from the invoice line (all processes, except P2 and P5);
- R7 information to trace to a single contract and the underlying call for tenders from the document level (all processes, except P3 and P5);



Europear





Examples of business terms

ID	Level	Cardinality	Business Term	Description	Usage Note	Req. ID	Semantic data type ²
BT-1	+	11	Invoice number	A unique identification of the Invoice.	The sequential number required in Article 226(2) of the directive 2006/112/EC [2], to uniquely identify the Invoice within the business context, time-frame, operating systems and records of the Seller. It may be based on one or more series of numbers, which may include alphanumeric characters. No identification scheme is to be used.	R56	Identifier
BT-2	+	11	Invoice issue date	The date when the Invoice was issued.		R56	Date
BT-3	+	11	Invoice type code	A code specifying the functional type of the Invoice.	Commercial invoices and credit notes are defined according the entries in UNTDID 1001 [6]. Other entries of UNTDID 1001 [6] with specific invoices or credit notes may be used if applicable.	R44	Code

ID – Unique id for each business term

Level – indicates depth in model (+, ++, +++, ++++)

Cardinality – Indicates optionality, repetitions allowed

Business term – name of the business term

Description – short description/definition

Usage note – guiding/explanatory information

Req id – reference to underlying requirement

Data type - the type of



EN 16931-1:2017 Chapter 6.3 © CEN, reproduced with permission

Business rules

- Conditions dependencies between terms
- Integrity constraints (In many cases, the data model cardinality indicates the same thing)

	Description	Target / context	Busine ss term / group
BR-CO-8	Invoice line charge reason code and Invoice line charge reason shall indicate the same type of charge reason.	Invoice line Charges	BT- 144, BT-145
BR-CO-9	The Seller VAT identifier, Seller tax representative VAT identifier, Buyer VAT identifier shall have a prefix in accordance with ISO code ISO 3166-1 alpha-2 by which the country of issue may be identified. Nevertheless, Greece may use the prefix 'EL'.	VAT identifiers	BT-31, BT-48, BT-63
BR-CO-10	Sum of Invoice line net amount = \sum Invoice line net amount.	Document totals	BT-106

ID – Unique id for each business rule

Description – textual description of the rule

Target/Context – the cgroup/class for where the rule applies

Business term/group – reference to the term for which the rule applies



Business rules – VAT Rules

• VAT Rules – Rules for each VAT category

	ID	Description	or reduced	Exports Other exemption reasons	
BR-Z-1		An Invoice that contains a line, a document level allowanc where the Invoiced item VAT category code (BT-151, BT-)	rate	Categories "Intracommunty	Γ
	BR-Z-1	shall contain in the VAT breakdown (BG-23) exactly one equal with "Zero rated".	Category "Standard rate"	supply", "Exports", "Exempt"	"
	BR-Z-2	-Z-2 An Invoice that contains a line where the Invoiced item VAT category code (BT- "Zero rated" shall contain the Sellers VAT Identifier (BT-31), the Seller Tax regist identifier (BT-32) or the Seller tax representative VAT identifier (BT-63).		code (BT-151) Tax registratic	ıs on
BR-Z-3 An Invoice that contains a document level allowance where the Invoiced ite category code (BT-95) is "Zero rated" shall contain the Sellers VAT Identifier (BT-Seller Tax registration identifier (BT-32) or the Seller tax representative VAT ic (BT-63).		voiced item VA ifier (BT-31), tl ve VAT identifie	IT 1e er		



© CEN, reproduced with permission



Accesssion the specificat

EN and the list of syntaxes. These specifications are available for free download

The other specifications must still be purchased

European Committee for Standardization		<u>Contact us</u>
CEN COMMUNITY TECHNICAL BODIES STANDARDS EVOLUTION AND FORECAST SEARCH	STANDARDS	
Technical Bodies > CEN/TC 434		
CEN/TC 434 - Electronic Invoicing		
General Structure Work programme Published Standards		
		EN FR DE
CEN/TC 434 Published Standards		
Reference, Title	Publication date	Sales Points
CEN/TR 16931-4:2017 (WI=00434004) Electronic invoicing - Part 4: Guidelines on interoperability of electronic invoices at the transmission level	2017-07-05	Έ.
CEN/TR 16931-5:2017 (WI=00434005) Electronic invoicing - Part 5: Guidelines on the use of sector or country extensions in conjunction with EN 16931-1, methodology to be applied in the real environment	2017-07-05) <u> </u>
<u>CEN/TR 16931-6:2017</u> (WI=00434006) Electronic invoicing - Part 6: Result of the test of EN 16931-1 with respect to its practical application for an end user	2017-10-18) <u>=</u>
CEN/TS 16931-2:2017 (WI=00434002) Electronic invoicing - Part 2: List of syntaxes that comply with EN 16931-1	2017-06-28	\ <u>.</u>
CEN/TS 16931-3-1:2017 (WI=00434007) Electronic Invoicing - Part 3-1: Methodology for syntax bindings of the core elements of an electronic invoice	2017-07-05) <u>.</u>
CEN/TS 16931-3-2:2017 (WI=00434008) Electronic invoicing - Part 3-2: Syntax binding for ISO/IEC 19845 (UBL 2.1) invoice and credit note	2017-10-18	} ∏
CEN/TS 16931-3-2:2017/AC:2018 (WI=00434C01) Electronic invoicing - Part 3-2: Syntax binding for ISO/IEC 19845 (UBL 2.1) invoice and credit note	2018-07-18	<i>\</i> <u></u>
CEN/TS 16931-3-3:2017 (WI=00434009) Electronic invoicing - Part 3-3: Syntax binding for UN/CEFACT XML Industry Invoice D16B	2017-10-18	\mathbf{k}
CEN/TS 16931-3-4:2017 (WI=00434010) Electronic involcing - Part 3-4: Syntax binding for UN/EDIFACT INVOIC D16B	2017-10-18)#
EN 16931-1:2017 (WI=00434001) Electronic invoicing - Part 1: Semantic data model of the core elements of an electronic invoice	2017-06-28	7

Examples of questions which the standard gives answers to



Which document types can be attached to an invoice?



Which element should be used for a reference to the customer, similar to "Your reference" in a paper invoice?



We use "Reverse Charge" VAT. Should the Tax Amount always be 0?



Should a credit note always have negative amounts?



•••••	
•• •••	
• • • • • • • • • • • •	
• ••••••••••••	
•••••••••	

Syntaxes which comply with the European standard on eInvoicing

Georg Birgisson DIGIT

Many syntaxes – a problem?

- There are a large number of syntaxes in use
- Many communities are already using e-invoicing since a long time
- Use of many syntaxes result in interoperability problems

(9)

In order to further simplify the use of electronic invoicing and to reduce costs, one of the long-term objectives should be to **limit the number of syntaxes used**, preferably by concentrating on those most commonly used.

Article 3 Establishment of a European standard

The Commission shall request that the relevant European standardisation organisation **provide a list with a limited number of syntaxes** which comply with the European standard on electronic invoicing, the appropriate syntax bindings and guidelines on transmission interoperability, in order to facilitate the use of such standard.

Article 7

Receipt and processing of electronic invoices

Member States shall ensure that contracting authorities and contracting entities receive and process electronic invoices which comply with the European standard on electronic invoicing whose reference has been published pursuant to Article 3(2) and with **any of the syntaxes on the list** published pursuant to Article 3(2).



The standardization request from EC defined a number of criteria

Req ID Requirement of sub-requirement

1	Comply with the core invoice semantic data model specified in the EN
2	Be international, open and free to use
3	Have a governance and sustainability model
3.1	There is an established organisation maintaining the syntax (format)
3.2	There is a maintenance process that is: - documented with defined participation and voting rules; - governed; - open to participation for stakeholders.
3.3	There is a funding model allowing further development and maintenance.
3.4	Support can be provided (consulting, educating, training) to solution providers (implementers) or users (companies, PAs etc.).
4	Be part of a coherent set of standards and technical specifications to support the broader e-procurement process or the broader e- invoicing supply chain
5	Be widely used in the EU or worldwide
6	Be used in production environments (and not just test) by both the public and the private sector
7	Reflect well-accepted technology and aim to incorporate the latest technological developments considered to be state of the art
8	Have guidelines, code lists, validating tools freely available to ease implementation by ICT vendors and suppliers
9	Have a set of official, freely available syntax-dependent artefacts for validation (the XML Schema or Schematron) to support tool independent validation
10	Have an official updating and versioning strategy that takes due account of backward compatibility, as well as appropriate guidelines for customisation that explain how to extend and restrict the syntax



Specifications from CEN/TC434

Reference	WG	Title
EN 16931-1	WG1	Electronic invoicing - Part 1: Semantic data model of the core elements of an electronic invoice
TS 16931-2	WG2	Electronic invoicing - Part 2: List of syntaxes that comply with EN 16931-1
TS 16931-3-1	WG3	Electronic invoicing - Part 3-1: Methodology for syntax bindings of the core elements of an electronic invoice
TS 16931-3-2	WG3	Electronic invoicing - Part 3-2: Syntax binding for ISO/IEC 19845 (UBL 2.1) invoice and credit note
TS 16931-3-3	WG3	Electronic invoicing - Part 3-3: Syntax binding for UN/CEFACT XML Cross Industry Invoice D16B
TS 16931-3-4	WG3	Electronic invoicing - Part 3-4: Syntax binding for UN/EDIFACT INVOIC D16B
TS 16931-3-5	₩G3	Electronic invoicing - Part 3-5: Syntax binding for the Financial Invoice based on ISO 20022
TR 16931-4	WG4	Electronic invoicing - Part 4: Guidelines on interoperability of electronic invoices at the transmission guideline
TR 16931-5	WG5	Electronic invoicing - Part 5: Guidelines on the use of sector or country extensions in conjunction with EN 16931-1, methodology to be applied in the real environment
TR 16931-6	WG6	Electronic invoicing - Part 6: result of the test of EN 16931-1 with respect to its practical application for an end user



Specifications from CEN/TC434

Reference	WG	Title
EN 16931-1	WG1	Electronic invoicing - Part 1: Semantic data model of the core elements of an electronic invoice
TS 16931-2	WG2	Electronic invoicing - Part 2: List of syntaxes that comply with EN 16931-1
TS 16931-3-1	WG3	Electronic invoicing - Part 3-1: Methodology for syntax bindings of the core elements of an electronic invoice
TS 16931-3-2	WG3	Electronic invoicing - Part 3-2: Syntax binding for ISO/IEC 19845 (UBL 2.1) invoice and credit note
TS 16931-3-3	WG3	Electronic invoicing - Part 3-3: Syntax binding for UN/CEFACT XML Cross Industry Invoice D16B
TS 16931-3-4	WG3	Electronic invoicing - Part 3-4: Syntax binding for UN/EDIFACT INVOIC D16B
TS 16931-3-5	₩G3	Electronic invoicing - Part 3-5: Syntax binding for the Financial Invoice based on ISO 20022
TR 16931-4	WG4	Electronic invoicing - Part 4: Guidelines on interoperability of electronic invoices at the transmission guideline
TR 16931-5	WG5	Electronic invoicing - Part 5: Guidelines on the use of sector or country extensions in conjunction with EN 16931-1, methodology to be applied in the real environment
TR 16931-6	WG6	Electronic invoicing - Part 6: result of the test of EN 16931-1 with respect to its practical application for an end user


Specifications from CEN/TC434

Reference	WG	Title	
EN 16931-1	WG1	Electronic invoicing - Part 1: Semantic data model of the core elements of an electronic invoice	
TS 16931-2	WG2	Electronic invoicing - Part 2: List of syntaxes that comply with EN 16931-1	•
TS 16931-3-1	WG3	Electronic invoicing - Part 3-1: Methodology for syntax bindings of the core elements of an electronic invoice	
TS 16931-3-2	WG3	Electronic invoicing - Part 3-2: Syntax binding for ISO/IEC 19845 (UBL 2.1) invoice and credit note	
TS 16931-3-3	WG3	Electronic invoicing - Part 3-3: Syntax binding for UN/CEFACT XML Cross Industry Invoice D16B	
TS 16931-3-4	WG3	Electronic invoicing - Part 3-4: Syntax binding for UN/EDIFACT INVOIC D16B	
TS 16931-3-5	WG3	Electronic invoicing - Part 3-5: Syntax binding for the Financial Invoice based on ISO 20022	
TR 16931-4	WG4	Electronic invoicing - Part 4: Guidelines on interoperability of electronic invoices at the transmission guideline	
TR 16931-5	WG5	Electronic invoicing - Part 5: Guidelines on the use of sector or country extensions in conjunction with EN 16931-1, methodology to be applied in the real environment	
TR 16931-6	WG6	Electronic invoicing - Part 6: result of the test of EN 16931-1 with respect to its practical application for an end user	



Which syntaxes are predominant in your work?

A closer look at UBL and CII

For both UBL 2.1 and UN/CEFACT Cross Industry Invoice

- Overview of the Specifications, XML-schemas and other resources
- Use of namespaces, versioning and document types
- Handling of code lists
- Typical message design and key syntactical features



UBL Version 2.1 – ISO/IEC 19845:2015

Overview of the standard



- UBL stands for Universal Business Language
- OASIS UBL 2.1 is developed and maintained by the UBL Technical Committee within OASIS
- UBL is an ISO-standard (ISO/IEC 19845-2015)
- UBL was developed with starting point in the CBL/xCBL format
- Sweden and Denmark early adopters around 2003-2004





- UBL 1.0
- Published 2004
- Order To Invoice (8 Documents)
- >600 elements in common library





UBL 2.0

- 31 business documents
- >1900 elements in common library
- Input from European projects
- Published 2006



Sourcing (product and price synchronization)

- Catalogue Request, Catalogue, Catalogue Item Specification Update,
- Catalogue Pricing Update, Catalogue Deletion, Request For Quotation, Quotation

Fulfilment (shipping)

- Forwarding Instructions, Packing List, Bill Of Lading, Waybill, Certificate Of Origin
- Transportation Status

Billing

- Credit Note, Debit Note, Self Billed Invoice, Self Billed Credit Note, Freight
- Invoice, Reminder

Payment

• Remittance Advice, Statement

Additional document types

Application Response, Attached
 Document

UBL 2.1

- 62 business documents
- Library of >2300 elements
- Built based on input from projects like CEN/BII, PEPPOL, ePRIOR and freight management projects
- Backward compatible with UBL 2.0.
 - Any XML-instance produced based on UBL 2.0 will validate using UBL 2.1

Additional guidelines

- Customization Methodology
- Genericode Code list support
- Digital signature extension (XAdES)

Sourcing (product and price synchronization)

- Catalogue Request, Catalogue, Catalogue Item Specification Update,
- Catalogue Pricing Update, Catalogue Deletion, Request For Quotation, Quotation

Fulfilment (shipping)

- Forwarding Instructions, Packing List, Bill Of Lading, Waybill, Certificate Of Origin
- Transportation Status ,Fulfilment Cancellation

Billing

 Invoice, Credit Note, Debit Note, Self Billed Invoice, Self Billed Credit Note, Freight Invoice, Reminder

Payment

• Remittance Advice, Statement

Tendering

- Awarded Notification, Call for Tenders, Contract Award Notice, Contract Notice
- Guarantee Certificate, Prior Information Notice, Tender, Tender Receipt
- Tenderer Qualification, Tenderer Qualification Response, Unawarded Notification

VICS Collaborative Planning, Forecasting, and Replenishment

- Exception Criteria, Exception Notification, Forecast, Forecast Revision
- Item Information Request, Product Activity

Vendor Managed Inventory

- Instruction for Returns, Inventory Report, Retail Event, Stock Availability Report
- Trade Item Location Profile

Intermodal Freight Management

- Goods Item Itinerary, Packing List, Transport Execution Plan, Transport
 Execution Plan Request
- Transport Progress Status, Transport Progress Status Request, Transport Service Description
- Transport Service Description Request, Transportation Status, Transportation
 Status Request

Utility Billing

Utility Statement

Additional Documents

- Application Response, Attached Document
- Document Status, Document Status Request

Localization

- UBL TC has a number of localization subcommittees
- Translated business term names and definitions
- UBL 1 is translated into
 - Chinese (traditional and simplified)
 - Japanese
 - Korean
 - Spanish
 - Italian
- UBL 2 is translated into
 - Italian
 - Spanish
 - German
 - Slovak
- And partially to
 - Danish
 - Turkish
 - Hungarian
 - Lithuanian

	Arkiv Start Infoga	Sidlayout Formler Da	ta Granska Visa Til	lägg Team	
	Klipp ut	~ 8 ~ A A		Radbryt text	Allmänt
1	(listra in 🛪 🚿 Hämta format 🛛 F 🔏	r u - 🖽 - 🌺 - 🛕 -	' 토콜콜 伊伊 國	Centrera över kolumne	er 🛛 🕎 🕆 % ,
	Urklipp 🕞	Tecken	Justerin	g	😼 Tal
F	E7 🔻 💿	<i>f</i> ∗ Order			
	A A	В	С	D	E
	UBL Name	Description in Japanese	BIE Dictionary Entry Name	Object Class Qualifier	Object Class Prop
	Order	注文情報	Order. Details	C	Order
1	2				
	D	注文情報の識別子	Order. Identifier	c	Order
1	3	1 - Hall			
4	CopyIndicator	複製レベル(原本/複製)	Order. Copy. Indicator	c	Order
4	GUID	グローバル識別子	Order. Globally Unique Identifier	c	Order
E.	IssueDate	作成日	Order. Issue. Date	C	Order
	Note	備考	Order. Note. Text	c	Order
8	AcknowledgementResponseCo de	応答コード	Order. Acknowledgement_Response. Code	C	Order
	TransactionCurrencyCode	注文情報の通貨単位(ISO)	Order. Transaction_Currency.	C	Order
1	PricingCurrencyCode	価格情報の通貨単位(ISO)	Order. Pricing_Currency. Code	c	Order
Ľ	FarliestDate	有如期始日	Order Farliest Date)rder



UBL Architecture

- Built using the Core Component Technical Specification (ISO 15000-5, CCTS 2.01)
- UBL has its own "Naming and Design Rules for XML"
- A library of reusable components (ABIEs)
- Document models



Core Component Technical Specification + Naming and Design Rules for XML

• Core Component Technical Specification says how business terms (Business Information Entities) should be represented in a standardized manner

A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н		J	К	L M	N	0	Р	
UBL Name	Dictionary Entry Name	Obje	Object	Property	Property Term	Property	Property Term	Representatio	Dat	Data Type	As Asso	Alternative	Cardina	Compon	
		ct	Class	Term	Possessive Noun	Term		n Term	а		so ciate	Business Terms	ity	ent Type	
		Clas		Qualifier		Primary			Тур		ci d				
1 Address	Address. Details		Address											ABIE	A class to define common informatic
5 ID	Address. Identifier		Address			Identifier	Identifier	Identifier		Identifier. Type		DetailsKey	01	BBIE	An identifier for this address within a
6 AddressTypeCode	Address. Address Type Code.		Address		Address Type	Code	Address Type Code	Code		Code. Type			01	BBIE	A mutually agreed code signifying th
7 AddressFormatCode	Address. Address Format		Address		Address Format	Code	Address Format Code	Code		Code. Type			01	BBIE	A mutually agreed code signifying th
3 Postbox	Address. Postbox. Text		Address			Postbox	Postbox	Text		Text. Type		PostBox, PO Box	01	BBIE	A post office box number registered
) Floor	Address. Floor. Text		Address			Floor	Floor	Text		Text. Type		SubPremiseNumbe	01	BBIE	An identifiable floor of a building.
) Room	Address. Room. Text		Address			Room	Room	Text		Text. Type		SubPremiseNumbe	01	BBIE	An identifiable room, suite, or apartn
1 StreetName	Address. Street Name. Name		Address		Street	Name	Street Name	Name		Name. Type		Thoroughfare	01	BBIE	The name of the street, road, avenue
2 AdditionalStreetNam	Address. Additional_ Street		Address	Additional	Street	Name	Street Name	Name		Name. Type		Thoroughfare	01	BBIE	An additional street name used to fu
3 BlockName	Address. Block Name. Name		Address		Block	Name	Block Name	Name		Name. Type			01	BBIE	The name of the block (an area surro
1 BuildingName	Address. Building Name.		Address		Building	Name	Building Name	Name		Name. Type		BuildingName	01	BBIE	The name of a building.
5 BuildingNumber	Address. Building Number.		Address		Building	Number	Building Number	Text		Text. Type		PremiseNumber	01	BBIE	The number of a building within the s
6 InhouseMail	Address. Inhouse_ Mail. Text		Address	Inhouse		Mail	Mail	Text		Text. Type		MailStop	01	BBIE	The specific identifable location with
7 Department	Address. Department. Text		Address			Department	Department	Text		Text. Type		Department	01	BBIE	The department of the addressee.
8 MarkAttention	Address. Mark Attention. Text		Address		Mark	Attention	Mark Attention	Text		Text. Type			01	BBIE	The name, expressed as text, of a p

- Naming and Design rules (NDR) describes how to express in XSD/XML
- UBL also have syntax representations for binary format (ASN.1) and a JSON representation is under development



Semantic model transformed to XML syntax using naming and design rules

d	A	В	С	D	E	
	UBL Name	Dictionary Entry Name	Object Class Qualifier	Object Class	Property Term Qualifier	Property
	Invoice	Invoice. Details		Invoice		
	UBLVersionID	Invoice. UBL Version Identifier. Identifier		Invoice		UBL Versio
	CustomizationID	Invoice. Customization Identifier. Identifier		Invoice		Customizat
	ProfileID	Invoice. Profile Identifier. Identifier		Invoice		Profile
	ProfileExecutionID	Invoice. Profile Execution Identifier. Identifier		Invoice		Profile Exe
	ID	Invoice. Identifier		Invoice		
	CopyIndicator	Invoice. Copy_ Indicator. Indicator		Invoice	Сору	
	UUID	Invoice. UUID. Identifier		Invoice		
)	IssueDate	Invoice. Issue Date. Date		Invoice		Issue
	IssueTime	Invoice. Issue Time. Time		Invoice		Issue
2	DueDate	Invoice. Due Date. Date		Invoice		Due
1	InvoiceTypeCode	Invoice. Invoice Type Code. Code		Invoice		Invoice Typ
ł	Note	Invoice. Note. Text		Invoice		
5	TaxPointDate	Invoice. Tax Point Date. Date		Invoice		Tax Point
5	DocumentCurrencyCode	Invoice. Document_ Currency Code. Code		Invoice	Document	Currency
'	TaxCurrencyCode	Invoice. Tax_ Currency Code. Code		Invoice	Tax	Currency
;	PricingCurrencyCode	Invoice. Pricing_ Currency Code. Code		Invoice	Pricing	Currency
)	PaymentCurrencyCode	Invoice. Payment_ Currency Code. Code		Invoice	Payment	Currency
)	PaymentAlternativeCurrencyCod	Invoice. Payment Alternative_ Currency Code.		Invoice	Payment Alternative	Currency
	AccountingCostCode	Invoice. Accounting Cost Code. Code		Invoice		Accounting
2	AccountingCost	Invoice. Accounting Cost. Text		Invoice		Accounting
3	LineCountNumeric	Invoice. Line Count. Numeric		Invoice		Line
ł	BuyerReference	Invoice. Buyer_ Reference. Text		Invoice	Buyer	
5	InvoicePeriod	Invoice. Invoice_ Period. Period		Invoice	Invoice	
6	OrderReference	Invoice. Order Reference		Invoice		
'	BillingReference	Invoice. Billing Reference		Invoice		
	DespatchDocumentReference	Invoice. Despatch_Document Reference.		Invoice	Despatch	
1	ReceiptDocumentReference	Invoice. Receipt_Document Reference.		Invoice	Receipt	
)	StatementDocumentReference	Invoice. Statement_Document Reference.		Invoice	Statement	
	OriginatorDocumentReference	Invoice. Originator_ Document Reference.		Invoice	Originator	
1	ContractDocumentReference	Invoice. Contract_Document Reference.		Invoice	Contract	



UBL NDR

Semantic model transformed to XML syntax using naming and design rules

	٨	P	0	D
		Distingen Fater Name	Object	Ohiord
	UBL Name	Dictionary Entry Name	Object	Object
			Class	Class
			Qualifier	
	Invoice	Invoice. Details		Invoice
	UBLVersionID	Invoice. UBL Version Identifier. Identifier		Invoice
	CustomizationID	Invoice. Customization Identifier. Identifier		Invoice
	ProfileID	Invoice. Profile Identifier. Identifier		Invoice
	ProfileExecutionID	Invoice. Profile Execution Identifier. Identifier		Invoice
	D	Invoice. Identifier		Invoice
	CopyIndicator	Invoice. Copy_ Indicator. Indicator		Invoice
	UUID	Invoice. UUID. Identifier		Invoice
)	IssueDate	Invoice. Issue Date. Date		Invoice
	IssueTime	Invoice. Issue Time. Time		Invoice
2	DueDate	Invoice. Due Date. Date		Invoice
5	InvoiceTypeCode	Invoice. Invoice Type Code. Code		Invoice
Ļ	Note	Invoice. Note. Text		Invoice
;	TaxPointDate	Invoice. Tax Point Date. Date		Invoice
;	DocumentCurrencyCode	Invoice. Document_ Currency Code. Code		Invoice
1	TaxCurrencyCode	Invoice. Tax_ Currency Code. Code		Invoice
;	PricingCurrencyCode	Invoice. Pricing_ Currency Code. Code		Invoice
)	PaymentCurrencyCode	Invoice. Payment_ Currency Code. Code		Invoice
)	PaymentAlternativeCurrencyCod	Invoice. Payment Alternative_ Currency Code.		Invoice
	AccountingCostCode	Invoice. Accounting Cost Code. Code		Invoice
2	AccountingCost	Invoice. Accounting Cost. Text		Invoice
5	LineCountNumeric	Invoice. Line Count. Numeric		Invoice
F	BuyerReference	Invoice. Buyer_ Reference. Text		Invoice
5	InvoicePeriod	Invoice. Invoice_ Period. Period		Invoice
5	OrderReference	Invoice. Order Reference		Invoice
1	BillingReference	Invoice. Billing Reference		Invoice
5	DespatchDocumentReference	Invoice. Despatch_ Document Reference.		Invoice
)	ReceiptDocumentReference	Invoice. Receipt Document Reference.		Invoice
)	StatementDocumentReference	Invoice. Statement_ Document Reference.		Invoice
	OriginatorDocumentReference	Invoice. Originator_ Document Reference.		Invoice
2	ContractDocumentReference	Invoice, Contract Document Reference.		Invoice
-				



cbc:CustomizationID 庄 cbc:ProfileID 🕀 cbc:ProfileExecutionID

cbc:CopyIndicator

cbc:ID 🗄



0 m

cac:OriginatorDocumentReference 主

0 00

Use of code lists in XML Schemas

- Built in "enumerations" of code values is a common way of defining allowed value domains
- Code lists must then be published as an integrated part of the XML Schemas
- New versions of XML Schemas must be used to get access to new code values
- Potential compatibility issues between publications



• UBL is not using tightly bound code lists



Use of code lists in XML Schemas

- Built in "enumerations" of code values is a common way of defining allowed value domains
- Code lists must then be published as an integrated part of the XML Schemas
- New versions of XML Schemas must be used to get access to new code values
- Potential compatibility issues between publications





- UBL is not using tightly bound code lists
- However UBL is still referring to the code lists in supporting documentation



Use of namespaces, versioning and document types

- Each document type has its unique Namespace
 - **Invoice**: urn:oasis:names:specification:ubl:schema:xsd:Invoice-2
 - **CreditNote**: urn:oasis:names:specification:ubl:schema:xsd:CreditNote-2
- Only major version of UBL is "visible" in namespace
- Minor version number is stated in the message: <cbc:UBLVersionID>2.1</cbc:UBLVersionID>



UBL Extension

- All UBL Documents have an extension point as the first element
- Gives possibility to do custom extensions without breaking compatibility
- Structure contains
 - Placeholder for the XML
 - Metadata about the extension

This is NOT the same type of extension as defined by CEN/TC434





Overview of the standard

- CII stands for Cross Industry Invoice
- CII is developed and maintained by UN/CEFACT
- UN/CEFACT serves as the focal point for trade facilitation recommendations and electronic business standards, covering both commercial and government business processes that can foster growth in international trade and related services.
- UN/CEFACT develops and maintains UN/EDIFACT, XML Schemas, Code lists and a number of UNECE Recommendations (such as Recommendation N°. 20 Codes for Units of Measure)



Cross Industry messages

- Version 1 published 2009 (as part of D09A)
- In D09B, Cross Industry Order, Catalogue and DespatchAdvice were added
- New schemas are normally published 2 times a year
- Since 2016, UN/CEFACT publishes two branches of the Cross Industry Invoice XML Schemas
- One branch following the same method as before. Currently it contains 16 different Cross Industry (messages) XML schemas
- One branch called the Supply Chain Reference Data Model (SCRDM) which are process-driven schemas derived from the model. Currently it only contains the Cross Industry Invoice-message

XML Schemas

Issued	Document Title	Download
2017	XML Schemas version 17B	ZIP 🔍
	Validation Report	PDF 🔑
2017	XML Schemas version 17A	ZIP 🔍
	Validation Report	PDF 🔑
2016	XML Schemas 16B (SCRDM - CII)	ZIP 🔍
	XML Schemas version 16B	ZIP 🔍
	Validation Report	PDF 🔑
	Release notes	
2016	XML Schemas update 16A.1 (SCRDM - CII)	ZIP 🔍
	XIVIL Schemas Version T6A	ZIP 🖳
	Validation Report	PDF 🔑
	Release notes	PDF 🔑
2015	XML Schemas version 15B	ZIP 💷
	Validation Report	PDF 🔑
	Release notes	PDF 🔑
2015	XML Schemas version 15A	ZIP 🛄
	Validation Report	PDF 🔑
	Release notes	PDF 🔑
2015	XML Schemas version 14B	ZIP 💷
	Validation report	PDF 🔑
	Release notes	PDF 🔑
2014	XML Schemas version 13B	ZIP 🔍
	Validation report	PDF 🔑
2012	VAAL C-L	מוד 📾



Cross Industry Invoice Architecture

- Built using the Core Component Specification (ISO 15000-5, CCTS 2.01)
- Managment of reference model and subsetting using CCBDA (Core Components Business Document Assembly Technical Specification)
- UN/CEFACT has its own "Naming and Design Rules for XML"
- Several layers of components
- Guarantees coeherence within and between different messages as they inherit from the same super structures



Use of code lists in XML Schemas

- Built in "enumerations" of code values is a common way of defining allowed value domains
- Code lists must then be published as an integrated part of the XML Schemas
- New versions of XML Schemas must be used to get access to new code values
- Potential compatibility issues between publications



• CII XML Schemas are published in three variants

- Uncoupled: Message schemas without coupling to Code List Modules based on the SCRDM-branch
- Coupled: Message schemas with coupling to Code List Modules based on the SCRDM-branch
- Coupled: Message schemas with coupling to Code List Modules based on the "old"-branch



Use of namespaces, versioning and document types

- Each document type has its unique Namespace (Invoice and CreditNote use the same schema)
 - SCRDM branch CrossIndustryInvoice: urn:un:unece:uncefact:data:standard:CrossIndustryInvoice:100
 - "Old" branch CrossIndustryInvoice: urn:un:unece:uncefact:data:standard:CrossIndustryInvoice:13
- The List of syntaxes that comply with EN 16931-1 has evaluated and includes the SCRDM-version





Syntax bindings

Syntax binding specifications





Syntax binding – Semantic model \rightarrow Syntax

ID	Level	Card.	ВТ	Desc.	DT	Path	Type	Card.	Match	Rules
BT-1	1	11	Invoice number	A unique identification of the Invoice.	Ι	/Invoice/cbc:ID	Ι	11		
BT-2	1	11	Invoice issue date	The date when the Invoice was issued.	D	/Invoice/cbc:IssueDate	D	11		
BT-3	1	11	Invoice type code	A code specifying the functional type of the Invoice.	С	/Invoice/cbc:InvoiceTypeCode	С	01	CAR-2	
BT-5	1	11	Invoice currency code	The currency in which all Invoice amounts are given, except for the Total VAT amount in accounting currency.	С	/Invoice/cbc:DocumentCurrencyCode	С	01	CAR-2	
BT-6	1	01	VAT accounting currency code	The currency used for VAT accounting and reporting purposes as accepted or required in the country of the Seller.	С	/Invoice/cbc:TaxCurrencyCode	С	01	SEM-2	



Syntax binding – Syntax \rightarrow Semantic model

Path	Card.	ID	Level	Card.	ВТ	Desc.	DT
/Invoice							
/Invoice/cbc:CustomizationID	01	BT- 24	2	11	Specification identifier	An identification of the specification containing the total set of rules regarding semantic content, cardinalities and business rules to which the data contained in the instance document conforms.	Ι
/Invoice/cbc:ProfileID	01	BT- 23	2	01	Business process type	Identifies the business process context in which the transaction appears, to enable the Buyer to process the Invoice in an appropriate way.	Т
/Invoice/cbc:ID	11	BT-1	1	11	Invoice number	A unique identification of the Invoice.	Ι
/Invoice/cbc:IssueDate	11	BT-2	1	11	Invoice issue date	The date when the Invoice was issued.	D
/Invoice/cbc:DueDate	01	BT-9	1	01	Payment due date	The date when the payment is due.	D
/Invoice/cbc:InvoiceTypeCode	01	BT-3	1	11	Invoice type code	A code specifying the functional type of the Invoice.	С



Not a simple pair matching game

- Not all business terms can be mapped to a single element, often qualifiers are necessary
- The syntaxes have different structures and order of elements
- The syntaxes may have different cardinalities or even datatypes
- The syntax mappings have additional and separate validation rules







Invoice

xmlns:cac="urn:oasis:names:specification:ubl:schema:xsd:CommonAggregateComponents-2"
xmlns:cbc="urn:oasis:names:specification:ubl:schema:xsd:CommonBasicComponents-2"
xmlns="urn:oasis:names:specification:ubl:schema:xsd:Invoice-2">

<cbc:CustomizationID>urn:cen.eu:en16931:2017</cbc:CustomizationID>
<cbc:ProfileID>P3</cbc:ProfileID>

<cbc:ID>TOSL108</cbc:ID>

<cbc:IssueDate>2013-06-30</cbc:IssueDate>

<cbc:DueDate>2013-07-20</cbc:DueDate>

<cbc:InvoiceTypeCode>380</cbc:InvoiceTypeCode>

<cbc:Note>Ordered in our booth at the convention</cbc:Note>

<cbc:TaxPointDate>2013-06-30</cbc:TaxPointDate>

BT-1 Invoice number BT-23 Business process type BT-24 Specification identifier BT-2 Invoice Issue date]<rsm:CrossIndustryInvoice</pre>

xmlns:udt="urn:un:unece:uncefact:data:standard:UnqualifiedDataType:100"
xmlns:rsm="urn:un:unece:uncefact:data:standard:CrossIndustryInvoice:100"

xmlnsrrsm="urn:un:uncce:uncefact:data:standard:ReusableAggregateBusinessInformationEntity:100">

<rsm:ExchangedDocumentContext>

<ram:BusinessProcessSpecifiedDocumentContextParameter> <ram:ID>P3</ram:ID>

</ram:BusinessProcessSpecifiedDocumentContextParameter>

<ram:GuidelineSpecifiedDocumentContextParameter>

<ram:ID>urn:cen.eu:en16931:2017</ram:ID>

</ram:GuidelineSpecifiedDocumentContextParameter>

</rsm:ExchangedDocumentContext>

<rsm:ExchangedDocument>

<ram:ID>TOSL108</ram:ID>

<ram:TypeCode>380</ram:TypeCode>

<ram:IssueDateTime>

<udt:DateTimeString format="102">20130630</udt:DateTimeString>

</ram:IssueDateTime>

<ram:IncludedNote>

<ram:Content>Ordered in our booth at the convention</ram:Content>
</ram:IncludedNote>

</rsm:ExchangedDocument>

<cac:AccountingSupplierParty>

<cac:party></cac:party>		<ram:applicableheadertradeagreement></ram:applicableheadertradeagreement>					
<cac:partyidentification></cac:partyidentification>		<ram:sellertradeparty></ram:sellertradeparty>					
<pre><cbc:id ;;<="" pre="" schemeid="0088"></cbc:id></pre>	>1238764941386	<ram:globalid schemeid="0088">1238764941386</ram:globalid>					
		<ram:name>Salescompany ltd.</ram:name>					
<cac:postaladdress></cac:postaladdress>		<ram:specifiedlegalorganization></ram:specifiedlegalorganization>					
<cbc:streetname>Main str</cbc:streetname>	reet 34	<ram:id>123456789</ram:id>					
<cbc:additionalstreetnam< td=""><td>ne>Suite 123</td><td></td></cbc:additionalstreetnam<>	ne>Suite 123						
<cbc:cityname>Big city<!--</td--><td>/cbc:CityName></td><td><ram:definedtradecontact></ram:definedtradecontact></td></cbc:cityname>	/cbc:CityName>	<ram:definedtradecontact></ram:definedtradecontact>					
<pre><cbc:postalzone>303</cbc:postalzone></pre>	::Postal/one>	<pre><ram:personname>Antonio Salesmacher</ram:personname></pre>					
<cbc:countrysubentity>Re</cbc:countrysubentity>	egionA	<pre><ram:telephoneuniversalcommunication></ram:telephoneuniversalcommunication></pre>					
<pre>cac.country/</pre>	Tode>NO	<pre><ram:completenumber>46211230</ram:completenumber></pre>					
		<pre><ram:emailuriuniversalcommunication></ram:emailuriuniversalcommunication></pre>					
<cac:partytaxscheme></cac:partytaxscheme>		<pre><ram:uriid>antonio@salescompany.no</ram:uriid></pre>					
<pre><cbc:companyid>N01234567</cbc:companyid></pre>	789MVA	<pre></pre>					
<cac:taxscheme></cac:taxscheme>							
<cbc:id>VAT</cbc:id>	>	<ram:postaltradeaddress></ram:postaltradeaddress>					
		<ram:postcodecode>303</ram:postcodecode>					
		<ram:lineone>Main street 34</ram:lineone>					
<pre><cac:partylegalentity></cac:partylegalentity></pre>		<ram:linetwo>Suite 123</ram:linetwo>					
<pre><cbc:registrationname>Sa <cbc:companytd>122456780</cbc:companytd></cbc:registrationname></pre>	alescompany itd.	<ram:cityname>Big city</ram:cityname>					
(cac:PartylegalEntity)	CDC.COmpany107	<ram:countryid>NO</ram:countryid>					
<cac:contact></cac:contact>		<pre><ram:countrysubdivisionname>RegionA</ram:countrysubdivisionname></pre>					
<cbc:name>Antonio Salesn</cbc:name>	nacher						
<cbc:telephone>46211230<</cbc:telephone>		<pre>Kram:SpecifiedTaxRegistration></pre>					
<cbc:electronicmail>anto</cbc:electronicmail>	onio@salescompany.no	<pre><ram:id schemeid="VA">N0123456789MVA</ram:id></pre>					
	PT 20 Coller identifie	<pre></pre>					
	DI-29 Seller Identille						
	BT-30 Seller legal reg	gistration identifier					
104	BT-27 Seller name	European					
	BT-31 Seller VAT-ider	ntifier					



••••••
 •• •••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••

Usage specifications and compliance

Christian Vindinge Rasmussen Georg Birgisson DIGIT

Compliance and conformance - The European standard defines these concepts

Compliant

some or all features of the core invoice model are used and all rules of the core invoice model are respected

Conformant

all rules of the core invoice model are respected and some additional features not defined in the core invoice model are also used

Extensions

Core Invoice Usage Specifications

From article 7 in the directive

Receipt and processing of electronic invoices

Member States shall ensure that contracting authorities and contracting entities receive and process electronic invoices which **comply** with the European standard on electronic invoicing whose reference has been published pursuant to Article 3(2) and with any of the syntaxes on the list published pursuant to Article 3(2).



Core – something in common

International Standard Syntax (CII/UBL)

Extension

Core

Usage specification



IMPORTANT

An invoice which follows a CIUS MUST ALWAYS also be compliant towards the (non-restricted) norm.

Requirements for the contracting authorities/entities

From article 7

Receipt and processing of electronic invoices

Member States shall ensure that contracting authorities and contracting entities **receive and process electronic invoices which comply with the European standard on electronic invoicing** whose reference has been published pursuant to Article 3(2) and with any of the syntaxes on the list published pursuant to Article 3(2).


Claiming compliance towards the norm

Compliance of sending or receiving party

A receiving party may only claim compliance to the core invoice model if he accepts invoices that comply with the core invoice model in general, **or with a CIUS**, that is itself compliant with the core invoice model.



What is allowed to restrict in a Core Invoice Usage Specification

- "Forbid" optional elements 0..n/0..1 → 0..0
- Make definition narrower
- Add synonyms or explanatory text
- Make optional element mandatory
- Limit allowed number of repetitions
- Change data type to narrower representation (alphanumeric
 → numeric)
- Limited allowed code values
- Add additional business rules or make existing more restrictive
- Restrict field lengths
- Require certain formatting on values
- Restrict number of decimals/fractions

IMPORTANT

An invoice which follows a CIUS MUST ALWAYS also be compliant towards the (non-restricted) norm.



A few scenarios



Assuming the invoices are conformant against its specifcation (EN/CIUS/Extension)



A few more scenarios



Assuming the invoices are conformant against its specifcation (EN/CIUS/Extension)



≡ 📷 Spaces 🕶 People



Pages > elnvoicing User Community > Contribute

SPACE SHORTCUTS

CEF Knowledge Base

PAGE TREE

elnvoicing news & events

- > Forum
- ✓ Contribute
- CEF elnvoicing Implementation Work
- Guidance Paper for EU public admini:
- Invoicing Pioneer Group
- Community-driven Registry of CIU
- Catalogue of Good Practices to supp
- Older posts (CONTRIBUTE)
- Follow-up actions after the CEF elnvc
- > Archive
- Meta
- Links

Community-driven Registry of CIUS (Core Invoice Usage Specifications) and Extensions

Created by Ines COSTA, last modified by Philip HELGER on Oct 29, 2018

Торіс	Registry of CIUS (Core Invoice Usage Specifications) and Extensions
Excerpt	This page aims to give the elnvoicing community the opportunity to share the ongoing and planned initiatives across Member States and sectors to create CIUS and Extensions on the European standard on elnvoicing.
Status	OPEN
Deadline	Ongoing

Provide information on CIUS and Extensions

The table below aims to give the elnvoicing community the opportunity to share the ongoing and planned initiatives across Member States and sectors to create CIUS and Extensions on the European standard on elnvoicing. The content is community-driven and the contributors take the sole responsibility of the information shared. Please note that the information available does not have an authoritative character.

We invite you to contribute to build on the information available about the CIUS and Extensions on the European standard on elnvoicing by filling the table below:

Name	Туре	Country	Sector	Purpose of the CIUS or Extension	Publisher	Governor	Underlying specification	Further info	Status	Contact
OpenPEPPOL BIS 3.0 5A	CIUS	Any	Any	Restricts the business process scope of the EN with reference to BIS2 business processes.	OpenPEPPOL	OpenPEPPOL	EN16931	http://docs.peppol.eu/poacc/billing/3.0/	ACTIVE	Olav Astad KRISTIANSEN
Icelandic national CIUS	CIUS	IS	Any	Applies national regulations and imposes data format to payment instructions when using national payment clearing services.	IST	ISgov	PEPPOL BIS 3.0 5A	http://www.stadlar.is/stadlastarf /fagstadlarad-i-upplysingataekni.aspx	DEVELOPMENT	@ Georg BIRGISSON
Austrian national CIUS	CIUS	AT	Any	Apply national regulations	BRZ	BRZ	EN16931	Publication on eRechnung.gv.at asap	ACTIVE	Philip HELGER
Austrian government CIUS	CIUS	AT	Any	Additional regulations only applying to the mandatory government interface. This CIUS builds on top of the Austrian national CIUS!	BRZ	BRZ	AT national CIUS	Publication on eRechnung.gv.at asap	ACTIVE	@ Philip HELGER
Energy elnvoice	Extension	NL	Energy	Enables the addition of information concerning: 1) Measured energy use, including meter info, meter readings, fuel type etc. 2) VAT specification for more than one party, which is a consequence of the so called supplier-centered model.	Energy elnvoice steering committee	Energy elnvoice steering committee	Simplerinvoicing (SI-UBL)	https://energie-efactuur.nl/en/	DEVELOPMENT	Wouter van den Berg (TNO
Italian national CIUS	CIUS	IT	Any	Applies national regulations and restricts data format in compliance with elnvoice national format (FatturaPA)	AgID, AdE	AgID, AdE	EN16931	http://www.agid.gov.it/agenda-digitale /pubblica-amministrazione/cef- telecom-einvoicing-eigor	DEVELOPMENT	Fabio MASSIMI
NLCIUS	CIUS	NL	Any	Applies national regulations and conventions. The purpose of	NEN / SMeF	NEN / SMeF	EN16931	NLCIUS is a joint initiative of	ACTIVE	Michiel Stornebrink (TNO)

Q

ଷ

General rules and country-qualified rules

- A general rule applies for all invoices
 - The rule is triggered by the existence of a spefic business term

```
Rule text from the standardIn an Invoice line where the Invoice item VAT category code(BT-151) is "Export outside the EU" the Invoiced item VATrate (BT-152) shall be 0 (zero).Context (what triggers the rule)Existence ofInvoiceLine/Item/ClassifiedTax/CategoryCode='XYZ'
```

Example rule text from a CIUS The Seller Name must not have more than 50 characters Context (what triggers the rule) Existence of

Seller/Name

- A **country-qualified rule** applies only for invoices issued in a specific country
 - The rule is triggered by the given country code of the seller

Example rule text from a Country specific CIUS When the Seller is Swedish, the Legal Registration Number must be numeric with 10 digits. Context (what triggers the rule) Existence of Seller/Address/CountryCode='SE' AND existence of Seller/LegalRegistrationNumber



Layers of validation rules in **PEPPOL**





National rules in PEPPOL CIUS

To avoid creation of national CIUS'es:

- affected based on the country of the seller.
- Don't affect invoices issued in other countries.
- PEPPOL Authority responsible

Appendix C: National rules

The following rules have been defined by PEPPOL Authorities in addition to the rules for <u>PEPPOL</u> BIS in general. These rules are affected based on the country of the seller, and will not affect invoices issued in other countries. They apply in **all** profiles that use this transaction specification.

National rules are provided by each country's PEPPOL Authority, and if you need any changes or additions to these rules, please contact your PEPPOL Authority.

Table 18. National transaction business rules

Rule	Message/Context/Test		
DK-R-001 (warning)	For Danish suppliers when the Accounting code is known, it should be referred on the Invoice.		
	ubl-creditnote:CreditNote ubl-invoice:Invoice		
	not(cac:AccountingSupplierParty/cac:Party/cac:PostalAddress/cac:Country/cbc:IdentificationCode = 'DK' and (normalize-space(cbc:AccountingCost/text()) = ''))		
DK-R-002 (fatal)	Danish suppliers MUST provide legal entity (CVR-number).		
	ubl-creditnote:CreditNote ubl-invoice:Invoice		
	not(cac:AccountingSupplierParty/cac:Party/cac:PostalAddress/cac:Country/cbc:IdentificationCode = 'DK' and (normalize- space(./cac:AccountingSupplierParty/cac:Party/cac:PartyLegalEntity/cbc:CompanyID/text()) = ''))		

Example - Swedish rules

- Formats for VAT and organisation numbers
- Swedish VAT rates
- Tax registration F-Skatt
- Payment means Bankgiro and Plusgiro

SE-R-001 For Swedish suppliers, Swedish VAT-numbers must consist of 14 characters.	fatal
SE-R-002 For Swedish suppliers, the Swedish VAT-numbers must have the trailing 12 characters in numeric form	fatal
SE-R-003 Swedish organisation numbers should be numeric.	fatal
SE-R-004 Swedish organisation numbers consist of 10 characters.	fatal
SE-R-005 For Swedish suppliers, when using Seller tax registration identifier, 'Godkänd för F-skatt' must be stated	fatal
SE-R-006 For Swedish suppliers, only standard VAT rate of 6, 12 or 25 are used	fatal
SE-R-007 For Swedish suppliers using Plusgiro, the Account ID must be numeric	warning
SE-R-008 For Swedish suppliers using Bankgiro, the Account ID must be numeric	warning
SE-R-009 For Swedish suppliers using Bankgiro, the Account ID must have 7-8 characters	warning
SE-R-010 For Swedish suppliers using Plusgiro, the Account ID must have 2-8 characteres	warning
SE-R-011 For Swedish suppliers using Swedish Bankgiro or Plusgiro, the proper way to indicate this is to us Code 30 for PaymentMeans and FinancialInstitutionBranch ID with code SE:BANKGIRO or SE:PLUSGIRO	warning se







•••	
••••	
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
•••••••••	

Member state plans for the future

Christian Vindinge Rasmussen DIGIT

TOMORROW

Denmark

TODAY

eInvoice usage in public sector

98 %

Main syntax standard

ISO/IEC 19845:2015 UBL

Implementaion of the EN/CIUS

PEPPOL CIUS (+Rules for domestic suppliers)

Plans for infrastructure

PEPPOL and NemHandel in parallel. PEPPOL only long term.

Infrastructure

Legislation (transposition of the directive)

NemHandel

eInvoicing already mandated for suppliers by law. Additional types fo public entities will be affected.



TOMORROW

Sweden

TODAY

eInvoice usage in public sector

50% local/regional authorities 60% governmental authorities

Main syntax standard

ISO/IEC 19845:2015 UBL

Infrastructure

Various

Implementaion of the EN/CIUS

PEPPOL CIUS (+Rules for domestic suppliers)

Plans for infrastructure

PEPPOL

Legislation (transposition of the directive)

Law mandating suppliers to invoice electronically both above and below threshold.



TOMORROW

Norway

TODAY

eInvoice usage in public sector

70-80%

Main syntax standard

ISO/IEC 19845:2015 UBL

Infrastructure

PEPPOL

Implementaion of the EN/CIUS

PEPPOL CIUS (+Rules for domestic suppliers)

Plans for infrastructure

PEPPOL

Legislation (transposition of the directive)

Still under discussion. Potentially partial mandating.



Netherlands

TODAY

eInvoice usage in public sector

Central government 50% Regional/local 5%

Main syntax standard

ISO/IEC 19845:2015 UBL

Infrastructure

Central government - hub The rest - PEPPOL Implementaion of the EN/CIUS

Country CIUS but will also accept PEPPOL CIUS

TOMORROW

Plans for infrastructure

PEPPOL

Legislation (transposition of the directive)

As is from the directive. Mandate on the central government to require eInvoicing in new contracts.



TOMORROW

Austria

TODAY

eInvoice usage in public sector

Federal government 50% The rest - ?%

Main syntax standard

Domestic XML format ISO/IEC 19845:2015 UBL

Infrastructure

Central service (webform+upload) PEPPOL

Implementaion of the EN/CIUS

Austrian CIUS on 2 levels. Country specific rules and government specific rules) PEPPOL for cross boarder

Plans for infrastructure

Central service (webform+upload) PEPPOL

Legislation (transposition of the directive)

As is from the directive



TOMORROW

le

TODAY

eInvoice usage in public sector

0%

Cyprus

Main syntax standard

Infrastructure



Implementaion of the EN/CIUS

PEPPOL CIUS (+Rules for domestic suppliers)

Plans for infrastructure

PEPPOL

Legislation (transposition of the directive)

As is from the directive



TOMORROW

Croatia

TODAY

eInvoice usage in public sector

Small number

Main syntax standard

ISO/IEC 19845:2015 UBL

Infrastructure

Legislation (transposition of the directive)

Centralized solution

Under discussion but likely also below threshold, potentially mandating suppliers

Plans for infrastructure

PEPPOL + Connection to central solution directly or through service provider

Implementaion of the EN/CIUS

PEPPOL CIUS Domestic CIUS



European

Main take aways so far...



- Implementation of the EN is progressing slowly, but still progressing over the next 12 (-18) months
- **CIUS is being developed** across different domains
 - **PEPPOL CIUS** is currently expected to be the most used
- Some Member States (MS) have moved from little or some knowledge, to now good insight to the EN and have actual roadmap for implementations
- Some Member States are lacking behind...
 - Political backing
 - Lack of clear responsibility of eInvoicing within the MS
 - Lack of national expertise in implementation or governance of eInvoicing



•••	
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

Interconnectivity – cross border and on national level

Christian Vindinge Rasmussen DIGIT





Different solutions for interconnectivity



Many different options – Generation of the eInvoice

Generation of the eInvoice, examples

- Directly from the ERP/Accounting system
 - Often internal format which is transformed into exchange format
- Through a web-portal
 - Provided by the customer
 - By supplier's own choice
- Printer capture/Virtual printer
 - Software installed as printer
 - When printing, the data is captured and transformed to an eInvoice

Preferred option may depend on

- Volume of invoices
- Size of supplier
- Requirement from customer



System/service of the supplier



System/service of the customer



Many different options – transmission of the eInvoice

Transmission of the eInvoice

- 4-corner model often with help from a service provider
 - Connected to network of other service providers
 - Connected to an eDelivery network (PEPPOL)
- 3-corner model both trading partners are using the same platform
- 2-corner Peer-to-peer, direct connection
 - FTP, web service/API, e-mail



Reception of eInvoice - components to have in place

- Workflow for eInvoice/eProcurement solution
 - For handling the eInvoices in an efficient manner
 - Visualization, assessment/approval
 - Sometimes integrated in the ERP but often a separate service
- ERP/Accounting solution
 - For accounting and payment initiation
- Strategy for references and/or straight-through processing



Exchange infrastructure – a challenge which will take time to solve







			••
			••
• •••••••••			
	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
	•• •••••	•••••	
	••		

Infrastructure (eDelivery) in coherence with CEF Invoicing

Christian Vindinge Rasmussen Georg Birgisson DIGIT

Four-corner model

A common approach for service provider collaboration



System environments tend to be very complex today

Many critical business functions are carried out as services provided by third parties















Contractual view

on four-corner-model








Functional view and common added services

in four-corner-model



Use of standards

in four-corner-model





Four-corner model characteristics (in the context of elnvoicing/EDI)

- End Entities (Supplier/Customer) may choose any Service Provider connected to the network.
- The Service Providers are acting on behalf of the End Entities.
- The Service Provider collaborates in networks, either with bilateral or multilateral collaboration agreements
- The collaboration agreements specifies technical aspects (such as type of transport protocol) but also service levels and issue resolution procedures
- The Exchange Format of payloads/messages used between the Service Provider are often pre-agreed.
- Each End Entity only needs to enter into a contractual agreement with its selected Service Provider .
- Service Providers may transform data to/from the agreed Exchange Format before sending or after receiving depending of the End Entity's preferences. The creation of the business document, in its Exchange Format, can happen either in the issuer's own systems or it may be translated from an In-house Format to the Exchange Format by the Service Provider.
- The *Service Provider* often offers more added value services to the *End Entity* (such as archiving, syntax validation, syntax transformation).

What about the three-corner model?





Collaboration between service providers is necessary!



- Non-for profit trade association with 70 member organisations
- Recommends best practices
- Promotes interoperability
- Advocates wide adoption of einvoicing



- Non-for-profit association with 300 member organisations (260 service provider/Access points)
- Recommends and develops standards for use in eprocurement
- Provides the legal framework and technical services for an exchange network



•••	
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	•• •••• ••••
• •••••••	
•••••••••••••••	
	••• •• •• •• •• •• •• •• •• •• •• •• ••



A short introduction

What is PEPPOL

Infrastructure where Buyers and Sellers can exchange e-documents

Specifications for electronic invoice, order, catalogue...

Non-for-profit organisation which maintains and governs













SBDH



PEPPOL today

+200 Certified Access Points in **20** European countries, plus Singapore, Canada and USA. More than **150.000** e-Invoice receiving organizations connected. **60 million** e-invoices between APs in 2017.

12 PEPPOL Authorities

- Agency for Digital Italy (AgID) (Italy)
- Agency for Public Management and eGovernment (Difi) (Norway)
- Danish Business Authority (Denmark)
- Department of Health (UK)
- Department of Public Expenditure and Reform (Ireland)
- Federal Public Service Policy and Support (BOSA) (Belgium)
- Agency for Digital Government (DIGG) (Sweden)
- Free Hanseatic City of Bremen KoSIT (Germany)
- Ministry of Economic Development (Poland)
- SimplerInvoicing (Netherlands)
- Info-communications Media Development Authority (IMDA) (Singapore)
- OpenPEPPOL AISBL



2C Solution SRL	italy
216 Accountants B.V	Netherlands
AdValvas Europe	Belgium
Advanced Business Software and Solutions	UK
Advania Holding hf.	Iceland
Aksess Innkjøp (Prosjektservice AS)	Norway
Aksesspunkt Norge AS	Norway
Aliquid Italy	Italy
Amesto Solutions Purchasing A/S	Norway
Azets Insight AS	Norway
Order2Cash – (Anachron B.V.)	Netherlands
Apix Messaging Oy	Finland
Apro Consulting Services B.V.	Netherlands
Archiva S.r.L.	Italy
Archivium SrL	Italy
Arco Information N.V.	Belgium
At Work Systems	Norway
B2B Router (Invinet Sistemes)	Spain
B4 value.net GmbH	Germany
Babelway	Belgium
Basware	EU
BEAst AB	Sweden
Billit	Belgium
BIZbrains A/S	Denmark
Bluzor B.V.	Netherlands
Brain2	Belgium
Bundesrechenzentrum GmbH (BRZ)	Austria
Calvi Business Software BV	Netherlands
Catalog360 Limited	UK
CEGEDIM	France
Celtrino – EDI Factory	Ireland
Centric Netherlands	Netherlands
CGI Sverige AB	Sweden
CloudOffice AS	Norway
Cloud Trade Technology Ltd.	UK
CodaBox N.V.	Belgium
Comarch SA	Poland
Commerce-Connections	UK
Consorci Administració Oberta de Catalunya (AOC)	Spain
Consumer Cloud Technology	Cinganan
	Singapore

Services Pte Limited

0	Consumer Cloud Technology	Singapore
		Newsym
	Competito AS	Norway
	redemier S.p.A.	italy
0	rediflow Forsäljnings AB	Sweden
C	onsip SpA (Italy)	Italy
C	rossinx GmbH	Germany
C	S Amed SRL	Italy
C	Daldata AS	Norway
C	DataPost Pte Ltd	Singapore
C)ata Interchange	UK
C	anish Business Authority (ERST)	Denmark
C	code Websolutions AS	Norway
C	ERWID.com GmbH	Austria
0	Jesk Drive	Belgium
C	igital Cab ApS	Denmark
C	Danish Business Authority (ERST)	Denmark
C	ocFlow Italia S.p.A.	Italia
C	Ocument Centric Solutions	Ireland
C	Oocuten (Enxendra Technologies)	Spain
C	oxee S.p.A.	Italy
C	ynatos NV	Belgium
	XC	UK
0		
E	asy Systems B.V.	Netherlands
C E E	asy Systems B.V.	Netherlands Relgium
C E E	asy Systems B.V. C/DG DIGIT	Netherlands Relgium
C E e ((asy Systems B.V. C/DG DIGIT Connect Internatic eVerbinding)	Netherlands Relgium
[] E e () e	asy Systems B.V. C/DG DIGIT Connect Internatic eVerbinding) cosio InterCom Gr	Relation
C E e ((e e	asy Systems B.V. C/DG DIGIT :Connect Internation eVerbinding) cosio InterCom Gr Delivery s.r.o. – for	Relation Rel
[] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [asy Systems B.V. C/DG DIGIT COnnect Internatic eVerbinding) cosio InterCom Gr .Delivery s.r.o. – for DI Plus Ltd	Netherlands Relaium
[] E e ((e E E E	Asy Systems B.V. C/DG DIGIT Connect Internatic Everbinding) cosio InterCom Gr Delivery s.r.o for DI Plus Ltd DICOM CAPITAL S.L.	Netherlands Relgium CCCS UK Spain
[] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [Asy Systems B.V. C/DG DIGIT Connect Internatic Everbinding) cosio InterCom Gr Delivery s.r.o. – for DI Plus Ltd DICOM CAPITAL S.L. DIGard AS	Netherlands Relation CES UK Spain Norway
[] E e () e E E E E E E E E E E	Asy Systems B.V. C/DG DIGIT Connect Internatic eVerbinding) cosio InterCom Gr Delivery s.r.o for DI Plus Ltd DICOM CAPITAL S.L. DIGard AS DISON S.A.	Netherlands Relation CCCS UK Spain Norway Poland
C E e ((e E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E	asy Systems B.V. C/DG DIGIT Connect Internatic everbinding) cosio InterCom Gr Delivery s.r.o for DI Plus Ltd DI COM CAPITAL S.L. DIGard AS DISON S.A. fifektus AS	Netherlands Relation CCCS UK Spain Norway Poland Norway
[E e e E E E E E E E E E E	asy Systems B.V. C/DG DIGIT Connect Internatic Everbinding) cosio InterCom Gr Delivery s.r.o for DI Plus Ltd DICOM CAPITAL S.L. DIGard AS DISON S.A. ffektus AS Einans AS	Netherlands Relation CCCS UK Spain Norway Poland Norway Norway
[E e e e E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E	Asy Systems B.V. C/DG DIGIT Connect Internatic Everbinding) cosio InterCom Gr Delivery s.r.o for DI Plus Ltd DICOM CAPITAL S.L. DIGard AS DISON S.A. ffektus AS Finans AS Irom	Netherlands Belgium CCES UK Spain Norway Poland Norway Norway UK
[E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E	Asy Systems B.V. C/DG DIGIT Connect Internatic Verbinding) cosio InterCom Gr Delivery s.r.o. – for DI Plus Ltd DICOM CAPITAL S.L. DIGard AS DISON S.A. ffektus AS Finans AS Icom	Netherlands Relaium CCCS UK Spain Norway Poland Norway Norway UK Erance
C E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E	asy Systems B.V. C/DG DIGIT Connect Internatic everbinding) cosio InterCom Gr Delivery s.r.o for DI Plus Ltd DICOM CAPITAL S.L. DIGard AS DISON S.A. ffektus AS Finans AS Icom Iectronic Data Transfer S.A.S. nable JLB V	Netherlands Relaium CCES UK Spain Norway Poland Norway Norway UK France Netherlands
[] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [asy Systems B.V. C/DG DIGIT Connect Internatic Everbinding) cosio InterCom Gr Delivery s.r.o. – for DI Plus Ltd DICOM CAPITAL S.L. DIGard AS DISON S.A. ffektus AS Finans AS Icom lectronic Data Transfer S.A.S. nable-U B.V.	Netherlands Relaium CCES UK Spain Norway Poland Norway UK France Netherlands Switzerland
[] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [asy Systems B.V. C/DG DIGIT Connect Internatic Everbinding) cosio InterCom Gr Delivery s.r.o for DI Plus Ltd DICOM CAPITAL S.L. DIGArd AS DISON S.A. ffektus AS Finans AS Icom lectronic Data Transfer S.A.S. nable-U B.V. nercom Swiss Finance SA	Netherlands Relaium CCCS UK Spain Norway Poland Norway Norway UK France Netherlands Switzerland Irahr
C E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E	asy Systems B.V. C/DG DIGIT Connect Internatic Everbinding) cosio InterCom Gr Delivery s.r.o. – for DI Plus Ltd DICOM CAPITAL S.L. DIGard AS DISON S.A. ffektus AS Finans AS Icom Jectronic Data Transfer S.A.S. nable-U B.V. inercom Swiss Finance SA poca S.r.l. core S.A.	Netherlands Relaium CCSS UK Spain Norway Poland Norway Poland Norway UK France Netherlands Switzerland Italy
C E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E	asy Systems B.V. C/DG DIGIT Connect Internatic eVerbinding) cosio InterCom Gr Delivery s.r.o for DI Plus Ltd DI COM CAPITAL S.L. DIGard AS DISON S.A. ffektus AS Finans AS Icom Iectronic Data Transfer S.A.S. inable-U B.V. mercom Swiss Finance SA ipoca S.r.I. sker S.A. et Bes AS (Openeo)	Netherlands Relaium CCSS UK Spain Norway Poland Norway Norway UK France Switzerland Italy France
[] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [asy Systems B.V. C/DG DIGIT Connect Internatic Everbinding) cosio InterCom Gr Delivery s.r.o for DI Plus Ltd DICOM CAPITAL S.L. DIGard AS DISON S.A. ffektus AS Finans AS Icom lectronic Data Transfer S.A.S. nable-U B.V. nercom Swiss Finance SA poca S.r.I. sker S.A. esti Post AS (Omniva)	Netherlands Relaium CCES UK Spain Norway Poland Norway Norway UK France Netherlands Switzerland Italy France Estonia
[] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [asy Systems B.V. C/DG DIGIT Connect Internatic Everbinding) cosio InterCom Gr Delivery s.r.o for DI Plus Ltd DICOM CAPITAL S.L. DIGArd AS DISON S.A. ffektus AS Finans AS Icom lectronic Data Transfer S.A.S. nable-U B.V. nercom Swiss Finance SA poca S.r.I. sker S.A. testi Post AS (Omniva) VRY AS	Netherlands Relaium CCSS UK Spain Norway Poland Norway Norway UK France Estonia Norway
C E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E	asy Systems B.V. C/DG DIGIT Connect Internatic Everbinding) cosio InterCom Gr Delivery s.r.o. – for DI Plus Ltd DICOM CAPITAL S.L. DIGard AS DISON S.A. ffektus AS Finans AS lectronic Data Transfer S.A.S. inable-U B.V. inercom Swiss Finance SA poca S.r.I. sker S.A. esti Post AS (Omniva) VRY AS xact	Netherlands Relation Relation Norway Poland Norway Norway UK France Netherlands Switzerland Italy France Estonia Norway Netherlands
C E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E	asy Systems B.V. C/DG DIGIT Connect Internatic EVerbinding) cosio InterCom Gr Delivery s.r.o for DI Plus Ltd DICOM CAPITAL S.L. DIGArd AS DISON S.A. ffektus AS Finans AS Icom Iectronic Data Transfer S.A.S. inable-U B.V. mercom Swiss Finance SA poca S.r.I. sker S.A. Test Post AS (Omniva) VRY AS xact R. Biernat	Netherlands Relation CCCS UK Spain Norway Poland Norway UK France Netherlands Switzerland Italy France Estonia Norway Netherlands Switzerland
C 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	asy Systems B.V. C/DG DIGIT Connect Internatic Everbinding) cosio InterCom Gr Delivery s.r.o for DI Plus Ltd DICOM CAPITAL S.L. DIGard AS DISON S.A. ffektus AS Finans AS Icom lectronic Data Transfer S.A.S. nable-U B.V. nercom Swiss Finance SA poca S.r.I. sker S.A. esti Post AS (Omniva) VRY AS xact .R. Biernat aber system Srl	Netherlands Relaium CCES UK Spain Norway Poland Norway UK France Norway UK France Switzerland Italy France Estonia Norway Netherlands Norway Netherlands Norway Italy
C E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E	asy Systems B.V. C/DG DIGIT Connect Internatic Everbinding) cosio InterCom Gr Delivery s.r.o for DI Plus Ltd DICOM CAPITAL S.L. DIGOM C	Netherlands Relaium CCSS Spain Norway Poland Norway Norway UK France Notherlands Switzerland Italy France Estonia Norway Netherlands Norway Italy Norway
C 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	asy Systems B.V. C/DG DIGIT C/Onnect Internatic Verbinding) cosio InterCom Gr Delivery s.r.o fol DI Plus Ltd DI COM CAPITAL S.L. DIGard AS DISON S.A. ffektus AS Finans AS Icom Iectronic Data Transfer S.A.S. nable-U B.V. nercom Swiss Finance SA poca S.r.I. sker S.A. esti Post AS (Omniva) VRY AS xact .R. Biernat aber system Srl IKEN AS inHill Hilversum B.V.	Netherlands Relation CCCS UK Spain Norway Poland Norway UK France Netherlands Switzerland Italy France Estonia Norway Netherlands Norway Italy Norway Netherlands
C 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	asy Systems B.V. C/DG DIGIT Connect Internatic EVerbinding) cosio InterCom Gr Delivery s.r.o for DI Plus Ltd DICOM CAPITAL S.L. DIGArd AS DISON S.A. ffektus AS Finans AS Icom Iectronic Data Transfer S.A.S. nable-U B.V. nercom Swiss Finance SA poca S.r.I. sker S.A. testi Post AS (Omniva) VRY AS xact :R. Biernat aber system Srl IKEN AS inhill Hilversum B.V. inancijska agencija	Netherlands Relaium CCSS UK Spain Norway Poland Norway UK France Norway UK France Estonia Norway Norway Netherlands Norway Italy Norway Norway Norway Netherlands Norway Netherlands Norway
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	asy Systems B.V. C/DG DIGIT Connect Internatic EVerbinding) cosio InterCom Gr Delivery s.r.o for DI Plus Ltd DICOM CAPITAL S.L. DIGard AS DISON S.A. ffektus AS Finans AS icom lectronic Data Transfer S.A.S. nable-U B.V. nercom Swiss Finance SA poca S.r.I. sker S.A. festi Post AS (Omniva) VRY AS xact .R. Biernat aber system Srl IKEN AS inHill Hilversum B.V. inancijska agencija itek Group	Netherlands Relaium CCES UK Spain Norway Poland Norway Vorway UK France Norway UK France Estonia Norway Netherlands Norway Italy Norway Netherlands Croatia Estonia

Genesis IT AB	Sv
Generix Group Benelux	Be
GHX UK	U
Goldman Solutions & Services Ltd.	Cy
GXS (OpenText)	U
Hafslund Tellier AS	N
Hogia Business Products AB	Sv
Ibistic	N
IBM Corporation	U
IBM Danmark ApS	De
iEDI ApS	De
IFIN Sisstemi S.r.L. a socio unico	Ita
ILGE Sybscription Management BVBA	Be
Implema AB	Sv
In.Te. S.A.	Ita
Inaras NV	Be
InExchange Factorum AB	Sv
Infinite Sp. z.o.o.	Po
Infocert S.p.A.	Ita
INPOSIA Solutions GmbH	G
Integrasjonssystemer AS	N
Intercent-ER	Ita

weden **Onetrail BV** elgium **Oppgjorskontoret AS** к **Opus Capita Group Oy** Outsourcia AS Bakke vprus Pagero SA Pagero HBS GmbH orway Pagero Norway weden Palette Software AB orway Payt B.V. SA PaperLess Innovation Ltd. enmark Pearl Norge AS enmark PIMEC, Petita i Mitjana Empresa aly de Catalunya PinkRoccade Local Government elgium BK.V. weden PostNord (Strålfors Svenska AB) aly PowerOffice Software AS elgium PracBiz Pte Ltd weden Prosjektservice AS oland **Ovalia Group AB** aly **Reknes AS** ermany **Resforma AS** orway **Ricoh Netherlands B.V.** Italy CAT

Access points in PEPPOL

KBC Commercial Finance KMD Denmark Kofax Sweden Services AB LBMX Inc. Liaison Technologies Oy Logiq AS Lyanthe Maritech Systems AS letherlands Millum AS witzerland Ministry of Finance, Republic of Slovenia Miracle A/S Moneybird mySupply ApS letherlands NetClient AS NetEDI Netropolix Software NV Nets Norway AS letherlands nexMart GmbH & Co. KG Norwegian Labour and Welfare Service (NAV)

Belgium Denmark Sweden Canada Finland Norway Netherlands Norway Norway Slovenia Denmark Netherlands Denmark Norway UK Belgium Norway Germany Norway

d Services Science Warehouse Limited UK SEEBURGER AG Seen Solution SrL Italy Seres France Seres SA Spain SIA S.p.A. Italy Simpler Invoicing SINGAPORE E-BUSINESS PTE LTD Skaitos kompiuteriu servisas Smartbook Technology AS Sorvive Technologies Inc. USA STDM SrL Italy StarHub Ltd Storecove (Datajust B.V.) SYMTRAX S.A. France System Kreditt AS Svea Ekonomi AB **TB Okonomi AS** Tecmarket Servizi S.p.A. Italy Teal IT Belgium

Netherlands Telema AS Norway Finland **Telenor Norge AS** Norway Tesisguare S.p.A Sweden Germany **TIE Kinetix** Norway Tieto Sweden Tradeinterop Netherlands Tradeshift Malta Tradeshift AB Norway Transalis Ltd. Spain Tripletex AS Netherlands True Commerce (Coventry) Ltd. Sweden TrueCommerce ApS Denmark Norway Truelink A/S Singapore Tungsten Network Ltd. Norway Tyringe Konsult AB Sweden TX2 Concept Norway Norway UNI MICRO AS Netherlands UnifiedPost Italy Unimaze Software Germany Unit4 Agresso Portugal Upheads AS Sweden UPRC Greece ValidatedID S.L. Germany Van Meijel Viaduct AB Virtualstock I td. Visma Labs Netherlands Visma Software International AS Singapore Lithuania Voxel Media S.L. Norway Wax Digital Ltd. Webware Internet Solutions GmbH Singapore Workflow Management & Netherlands Document Consulting Asia Pte Ltd Norway Xledger Labs AS Sweden XS Offfice AS Norway Zirius AS

ZZI d.o.o

Estonia Norway Italy Netherlands Finland Netherlands Denmark Sweden UK Norway UK Denmark Denmark UK Sweden Singapore Norway Netherlands Iceland Norway Norway Greece Spain Netherlands Sweden UK Sweden Norway Spain UK Germany Singapore Norway Norway Norway

Slovenia



The CEF eDelivery Discovery Model approach

Discovery models

CEF eDelivery

European Commission

Static

In a Static Service Location model the IP address and related attributes are static. The IP address of all the Access Points in the network are stored on a central location for the other Access Points to reference. To send a message, the sending Access Point looks a the static list of IP addresses on the networks' Domain Name System (DNS) to locate the Access Point of the receiver.

Dynamic

Dynamic Service Location enables the sending AP to dynamically discover the IP address and capabilities of the receiver. Instead of looking at a static list of IP addresses, the sender consults a Service Metadata Publisher (SMP) where information about every participant in the data exchange network is kept up to date. As at any point in time there can be several SMPs, every participant must be given a unique ID that must be published by the Service Metadata Locator (SML) on the network's Domain Name System (DNS). By knowing this URL, the sender is able to dynamically locate the right SMP and therefore the right receiver.

PROS & CONS

+

High speed as there is no overhead processing

Less flexible, change of irrelevant references

More automated and flexible

Slower speed, as some overhead processing is required

PEPPOL – A deployment of CEF eDelivery DSI

AP

The role of the AP (Access Point) is to send and receive messages in a secure and reliable way, on behalf of the participants. The AP is essentially a simple which is often offered together with other value added services by a service provider.

SMP

Once the sender discovers the address of the receiver's SMP, it is able to retrieve the needed information (i.e. metadata) about the receiver. With such information, the message can be sent. The SMP is usually a distributed component in an eDelivery Messaging Infrastructure.

SML

The role of the SML (Service Metadata Locator) is to manage the resource records of the participants and SMPs (Service Metadata Publisher) in the DNS (Domain Name System). The SML is usually a centralised component in an eDelivery Messaging Infrastructure.





Transport Infrastructure Agreements (TIA)

- The Access Point Provider and the Service Metadata Publisher Provider must sign a contract with OpenPEPPOL (or any of the PEPPOL Authorities)
- Agreements defines responsibilities, expectations, service levels and more
- Only providers who have signed the agreements can participate in the network (controlled by digital certificates on a communication level)



SML

The role of the SML (Service Metadata Locator) is to manage the resource records of the participants and SMPs (Service Metadata Publisher) in the DNS (Domain Name System). The SML is usually a centralised component in an eDelivery Messaging Infrastructure.

Participant Participant **Buyer** Seller ORIGINAL SENDER RECIPIENT SML (centralised Access Point Provider C3 Access Point Provider DNS Access Access Point Point Internet SMP SMP ADMINISTRATOR STEP 1.

SUBMIT

METADATA

1. Buyer ID, Supported Message type and End point is published



SMP

Once the sender discovers the address of the receiver's SMP, it is able to retrieve the needed information (i.e. metadata) about the receiver. With such information, the message can be sent. The SMP is usually a distributed component in an eDelivery Messaging Infrastructure.

Phase 1: Registration

SML

The role of the SML (Service Metadata Locator) is to manage the resource records of the participants and SMPs (Service Metadata Publisher) in the DNS (Domain Name System). The SML is usually a centralised component in an eDelivery Messaging Infrastructure.

SMP

Once the sender discovers the address of the receiver's SMP, it is able to retrieve the needed information (i.e. metadata) about the receiver. With such information, the message can be sent. The SMP is usually a distributed component in an eDelivery Messaging Infrastructure.

Phase 1: Registration



European Commission

participant with the SMP

SML

The role of the SML (Service Metadata Locator) is to manage the resource records of the participants and SMPs (Service Metadata Publisher) in the DNS (Domain Name System). The SML is usually a centralised component in an eDelivery Messaging Infrastructure.

SMP

Once the sender discovers the address of the receiver's SMP, it is able to retrieve the needed information (i.e. metadata) about the receiver. With such information, the message can be sent. The SMP is usually a distributed component in an eDelivery Messaging Infrastructure.

Phase 1: Registration



1. Buyer 1D, Supported Message type and End point is published 2. The SMP creates a record in the SML which associates the

2. The SMP creates a record in the SML which associates the participant with the SMP

3. The SML updates the DNS which creates a DNS record for the participant, pointing to the SMP



SML

The role of the SML (Service Metadata Locator) is to manage the resource records of the participants and SMPs (Service Metadata Publisher) in the DNS (Domain Name System). The SML is usually a centralised component in an eDelivery Messaging Infrastructure.

SMP

Once the sender discovers the address of the receiver's SMP, it is able to retrieve the needed information (i.e. metadata) about the receiver. With such information, the message can be sent. The SMP is usually a distributed component in an eDelivery Messaging Infrastructure.

Phase 2: Operations



1. Seller issues an eInvoice (or other eDocument) and hands it over to the AP



SML

The role of the SML (Service Metadata Locator) is to manage the resource records of the participants and SMPs (Service Metadata Publisher) in the DNS (Domain Name System). The SML is usually a centralised component in an eDelivery Messaging Infrastructure.

SMP

Once the sender discovers the address of the receiver's SMP, it is able to retrieve the needed information (i.e. metadata) about the receiver. With such information, the message can be sent. The SMP is usually a distributed component in an eDelivery Messaging Infrastructure.

Phase 2: Operations



1. Seller issues an eInvoice (or other eDocument) and hands it over to the AP 2. The AP makes a lookup using a HTTP GET. The DNS directs the AP to the participant's SMP



SML

The role of the SML (Service Metadata Locator) is to manage the resource records of the participants and SMPs (Service Metadata Publisher) in the DNS (Domain Name System). The SML is usually a centralised component in an eDelivery Messaging Infrastructure.

SMP

Once the sender discovers the address of the receiver's SMP, it is able to retrieve the needed information (i.e. metadata) about the receiver. With such information, the message can be sent. The SMP is usually a distributed component in an eDelivery Messaging Infrastructure.

Phase 2: Operations



Service Metadata Example

xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8" standalone="yes"?					
<ns3:signedservicemetadata xmlns="http://busdox.org/transport/identifiers/1.0/" xmlns:ns2="http://www.w3.org/2005/08/addressing" xmlns:ns3="</td></tr><tr><td>http://busdox.org/serviceMetadata/publishing/1.0/"></ns3:signedservicemetadata>					
<ns3:servicemetadata></ns3:servicemetadata>					
<ns3:serviceinformation></ns3:serviceinformation>					
<participantidentifier scheme="iso6523-actorid-upis">0088:50512318800008</participantidentifier> <documentidentifier scheme="busdox-docid-gns"></documentidentifier>					
rn:oasis:names:specification:ubl:schema:xsd:Invoice-2::Invoice##urn:www.cenbii.eu:transaction:biitrns010:ver2.0:extended:urn:www.peppol.eu:b					
<pre><ns3:processlist></ns3:processlist></pre>					
<ns3:process></ns3:process>					
<pre><processidentifier scheme="cenbii-procid-ubl">urn:www.cenbii.eu:profile:bii05:ver2.0</processidentifier></pre>					
<ns3:serviceendpointlist></ns3:serviceendpointlist>					
<pre><ns3:endpoint transportprofile="busdox-transport-as2-ver1p0"></ns3:endpoint></pre>					
<ns2:endpointreference></ns2:endpointreference>					
<pre><ns2:address>https://peppol.zzz.com/yyy/adapter/inbound/as2peppol</ns2:address></pre>					
<ns3:requirebusinesslevelsignature>false</ns3:requirebusinesslevelsignature>					
<ns3:minimumauthenticationlevel>1</ns3:minimumauthenticationlevel>					
<ns3:serviceactivationdate>2017-03-13Z</ns3:serviceactivationdate>					
<ns3:serviceexpirationdate>2027-03-13Z</ns3:serviceexpirationdate>					
<ns3:certificate>MIIENiCCAx6gAwIBAgIOAovA/eZvvKgJmu+nvl1PdDANBgkqhkiG9w0BAOsFADBX</ns3:certificate>					

- The Participant's identifier
- Type of supported business message
- Type of business process
- Type of transport protocol to use for this message
- Technical endpoint/address to where the message should be sent



SML

The role of the SML (Service Metadata Locator) is to manage the resource records of the participants and SMPs (Service Metadata Publisher) in the DNS (Domain Name System). The SML is usually a centralised component in an eDelivery Messaging Infrastructure.

SMP

Once the sender discovers the address of the receiver's SMP, it is able to retrieve the needed information (i.e. metadata) about the receiver. With such information, the message can be sent. The SMP is usually a distributed component in an eDelivery Messaging Infrastructure.

Phase 2: Operations



SML

The role of the SML (Service Metadata Locator) is to manage the resource records of the participants and SMPs (Service Metadata Publisher) in the DNS (Domain Name System). The SML is usually a centralised component in an eDelivery Messaging Infrastructure.

SMP

Once the sender discovers the address of the receiver's SMP, it is able to retrieve the needed information (i.e. metadata) about the receiver. With such information, the message can be sent. The SMP is usually a distributed component in an eDelivery Messaging Infrastructure.

Phase 2: Operations





Consequences for the users

Consequences for the users

- A participant registered in the PEPPOL Infrastructure is visible as a receiver by everybody. The SML/SMP is open for queries.
- Only certified and approved Access points can send messages in the infrastructure
- Receiving Access points are not allowed to refuse an incoming message if it comes from a certified Access point
- Participants must implement routines for handling new connections!

Scenario - Known business partner



Consequences for the users

- A participant registered in the PEPPOL Infrastructure is visible as a receiver by everybody. The SML/SMP is open for queries.
- Only certified and approved Access points can send messages in the infrastructure
- Receiving Access points are not allowed to refuse an incoming message if it comes from a certified Access point
- Participants must implement routines for handling new connections!

Scenario – Unknown business partner



CEF eDelivery is not a one-size fits all solution

			e=codex	Your CEF eDelivery implementation
	TOPOLOGY	4-corner model	4-corner model	Your choice
EXCHANGE MODEL	PROTOCOL	PEPPOL AS2 profile	e-SENS AS4 profile	e-SENS AS4 profile recommended
	INTEGRATION APPROACH	Service Providers (Market)	Specific Connector	Your choice
DISCOVERY MODEL		Dynamic	Static	Your choice
SECURITY	TRUST CIRCLE	PKI	Mutual trust	Your choice
MODEL	SECURITY CONTROL	Liberal inner security	Inner security with connector	Your choice



Technical specifications
CEF eDelivery specifications

The approach employed by eDelivery is to promote the use of existing technical specifications and standards rather than to define new ones.

The profiling work of e-SENS and PEPPOL on these standards, i.e. constraining configuration choices, is equally taken on board. Even though eDelivery makes software available implementing these specifications, the use of commercial software or other Open Source software projects is also possible.

COMPONENT **KEY SPECIFICATIONS** e-SENS AS4 profile of the ebMS3/AS4 OASIS Standards \geq Access PEPPOL AS2 profile of AS2 and SBDH (for the post award \geq Point eProcurement only) Digital \geq ETSI - Electronic Signatures and Infrastructures profile Certificates Connector \geq ETSI REM for evidences Service Metadata e-SENS Profile based on the OASIS BDXL Specification \geq Locator (SML) e-SENS ebCore Party ID Profile \geq Service Metadata \geq e-SENS Profile based on the OASIS BDX-SMP Specification Publisher (SMP)





	••••••••••••• •••••• •••••••••••••••••
•••	
•• •••	
	•••

Governance Models and implementation roadmaps in eInvoicing, and eDelivery

Christian Vindinge Rasmussen DIGIT

CEF's IT Governance Model



EMSFEI – European Multi Stakeholder Forum for eInvoicing



- Member States national foras for eInvoicing
- Subject Matter Experts
- EESPA, OpenPEPPOL, CEN

WHO...?

The European Multi-Stakeholder Forum on Electronic Invoicing (EMSFEI) brings together stakeholders from national e-invoicing forums and from the user side of the market.

WHY...?

Its objective is to help pave the way for a broadscale adoption of e-invoicing at national and EUlevel. The Forum creates a unique opportunity to exchange experiences and best practice across borders. It also discusses issues of common interest and may issue recommendations to the Commission.

WHEN & WHERE ...?

2 times per year in Brussels

A number of sub groups with key focus areas



EMSFEI -Subgroups

- Guidance paper on the implementation of the EN and Directive
- CIUS creation
- New opportunities
- And much more

Checklist for the transposition and general implementation of the eInvoicing Directive (2014/55/EU)

Guidance for EU public administrations

Version 1.0



OpenPEPPOL's Governance Model



Your checklist:

- Which OpenPEPPOL Authority should I sign up with?
- Where should I invest my time in the OpenPEPPOL governance model?
- How should I involve my stakeholders?

- If your country has a PEPPOL Authority then this should be priority one for you
- If your PEPPOL Authority has specific rules make sure to study these first before entering into an agreement
- Get onboard and start to interact with the community
 - Not only at CEF Digital but also at OpenPEPPOL Coordinating Communities
- Involve your primary stakeholders early in the process and make sure it is transparent what you intend to do
- And remember many other people around EU is doing the same thing right now as you – thinking, asking and doing eInvoicing implementations

Roadmap for mass adoption of eInvoicing

Success factors from early adopters are

- Policy on standard for format and content
- Policy on transmission technology
- Support available to implementers
- Tools which helps on conformance testing
- Legal requirements



Examples of things to consider when developing a roadmap for eInvoicing Policy for For the supplier/issuer Suppliers have a diverse environment (such as different technical solutions, processes, maturity level) Clear policy around standards helps System/service of the supplier Supporting tools can be provided – validation tools, presentation style sheets, translations Aligned requirements on use of references and identifiers if possible **Policy for Interconnectivity** • Minimum requirement on technology for how to connect and for service providers to collaborate Publicly available strategy on how to handle the European standard – both domestically and cross border (CIUS) Transmission cross border (eDelivery) Receive -0 System/service of the customer Policy for the public sector Up to each entity to tender for solutions or centrally provided? Maturity level of the public entities – are temporary solutions necessarv? Mandate eInvoicing? Through law/contracts? Also below threshold? Centrally provided supporting tools, help desk, training and capacity building?

Roadmap to deploy CEF **eInvoicing** on a country level



Roadmap to deploy CEF eDelivery



Need a checklist?

- At CEF Digital you can find a comprehensive checklist on how to implement CEF eInvoicing on EU public level
- The checklist is created by other Member States who already implemented the EN standard and eDelivery infrastructure

elnvoicing

If you are a public administration in the EU, or you would like to do business with one, you will need to comply with the European standard for sending, receiving and processing electronic invoices.

Learn about elnvoicing

Understand how elnvoicing will impact public procurement in your country.

Use elnvoicing

Start your elnvoicing implementation with our support services and knowledge articles.

Make your solution conformant

Find out if your elnvoicing solution complies with the European standard on elnvoicing (EN 16391).

Join the community

Featured

elnvoicing in each Member State

Quick Links

- 📕 Join the Community
- II Monitoring dashboard
- Media Library

Key documents

- elnvoicing infographic (PDF)
- Conformance testing Service Offering Description (PDF)
- ★ EMSFEI guidance on implementation for EU public administrations (PDF)

Latest

+

+

CEF elnvoicing: Publication of the Electronic Address Scheme Code List

Contact us >





•••	
••••	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	•• ••• ••••
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

Funding and Grants – possibilities within CEF

Christian Rasmussen DIGIT



2019 CEF Telecom eInvoicing call: Context

- eInvoicing **Directive deadline**: 17/4/2019 for both transposition and implementation;
- Public authorities must be able to process eInvoices compliant with the European standard (EN);
- Some Member States are still **lagging behind** in the implementation of the Directive;
- The regional authorities of those countries that have opted for the deadline **extension**;
- Innovative **solutions** are essential to help Member States in the path to more efficient eInvoicing.









2019 CEF Telecom eInvoicing call: Details

2019-1 call:

- **Budget**: €6.2 million
- Co-funding rate: 75% of eligible costs
- **Pre-financing**: up to 50% of maximum grant amount
- Indicative **duration** of the actions: 12 months







2019 CEF Telecom eInvoicing call: Eligibility

The 2019 Work Programme makes the following proposals eligible:

- Proposals from one or more EU/EEA Member States;
- Proposals from international organisations, joint, public or private undertakings or bodies, from EU/EEA countries;
- Proposals from **third countries** and applicants without legal identity may be accepted (see <u>eInvoicing call text</u> for info).





2019 CEF Telecom eInvoicing call: Objectives

Objective 1:

- Proposals that increase the national readiness to accept and process EN compliant invoices;
- All proposals submitted to include relevant national or regional public authorities responsible for the implementation of the **Directive 2014/55/EU**.







2019 CEF Telecom eInvoicing call: Objectives

Objective 2:

- Update of **existing eInvoicing solutions** (from public and private providers) to achieve compliance with the **EN**;
- In the update of solutions, only **CIUS** (Core Invoice Usage Specifications) could be funded.







2019 CEF Telecom eInvoicing call: Objectives

Objective 3:

- Implementation of innovative solutions that enable advanced eInvoicing/eProcurement functionalities using the EN;
- This includes proposals aiming to **fully digitise processes** using robotics or other innovative solutions;
- The goal of proposals submitted under this objective is to produce an **improved processing** of invoices.





2019 CEF Telecom eInvoicing call: Award criteria

Award will be determined by the following:

- 1) The **Relevance** of the proposal;
- 2) Its Quality & Efficiency;
- 3) **Impact** & **Sustainability**.

A score will be applied to the three objectives on a scale from 0 to 5. The threshold for **individual criteria is 3** and the **overall threshold** is **10.** Proposals with a score **on/above** these thresholds may be recommended for funding.



Past eInvoicing calls

2015-1 eInvoicing call

- Call opening: 15 September 2015 Call closure: 11 February 2016
- Call objective:
- Increase uptake and the use of the eInvoicing DSI by supporting authorities

 especially at the regional and local levels – in meeting the requirements of the eInvoicing Directive
- Overall indicative budget: €7 million
- **Co-funding rate:** 75% of eligible costs
- Proposals received: 10
- Eligible proposals received: 8
- Grant agreements: 8
- Maximum EU contribution: €4,426,111

- **1 action** already completed
- **7 actions** on-going (all aiming to implement European eInvoicing standard), supporting:
 - Solution providers (AT ecasio, ES -EDICOM, UK - ELCOM) in upgrading their solution to the eInvoicing standard + supporting users of the solutions
 - Uptake and upgrade of national eInvoicing platforms (CY, HR, ES, IT)
 - Tool for eInvoicing format mapping (NL, DE)



2015-1 eInvoicing call: Member States involved (13)





European Commission

2016-3 eInvoicing call

- Call opening: 13 September 2016 Call closure: 15 December 2016
- Call objective:
- Increase uptake and the use of the eInvoicing DSI by supporting authorities

 especially at the regional and local levels – in meeting the requirements of the eInvoicing Directive
- Overall indicative budget: €7 million
- **Co-funding rate:** 75% of eligible costs
- Proposals received: 21
- Eligible proposals received: 20
- Recommended proposals: 15
- Recommended funding: €10,401,818

- Info below still indicative: grant agreement preparation now on-going (to be finalised by mid-September)
- Most of the actions to start between May and September 2017 and run until end of 2018
 - All will ensure the compliance with the European eInvoicing standard
 - Most will also deploy eDelivery
- Actions will support:
 - Solution providers and national eInvoicing solutions, including at the local level, to make them complaint with eInvoicing standard
 - Strong focus of some of the actions on onboarding suppliers and engaging with SMEs





2017-3 eInvoicing call

- Call opening: 28 June 2017 Call closure: 28 November 2017
- Call objective:
- Increase uptake and the use of the eInvoicing DSI by supporting authorities

 especially at the regional and local levels – in meeting the requirements of the eInvoicing Directive
- Overall indicative budget: €10 million
- **Co-funding rate:** 75% of eligible costs
- Proposals received: 23
- Eligible proposals received: 21
- Recommended proposals: 10
- Recommended funding: €8,800,000

- Info below still indicative: grant agreement preparation will start in May 2018
- Most of the actions to start between May and September 2018 and run until end of 2019
 - All will ensure the compliance with the European eInvoicing standard
 - Most will also deploy eDelivery
- Actions will support:
 - Solution providers and national eInvoicing solutions, including at the local level, to make them complaint with eInvoicing standard
 - Strong focus of some of the actions on onboarding suppliers and engaging with SMEs



2017-3 eInvoicing call Member States involved (16)





















Member States Number of projects and CEF funding (€ thousand)



EEA and Third Countries Number of projects and CEF funding (€ thousand)



2,324

Norway

1

714

7

CEF Telecom 2014-2016

List of grant agreements for elnvoicing DSI building blocks

Broject Code	Title	Ronoficiany countries	Start Data	End Data	Broject Status	CEF funding for the
Protect Code		Frentenciary countries	Sight Date	HIG DATE	Proteor status	
2015-AT-IA-0049	EVA - e-Invoicing for Austria	AT	01/09/2016	31/12/2017	Ongoing	183,000
2015-CY-IA-0052	E-invoicing Cyprus	CY	01/10/2016	31/12/2017	Ongoing	561,430
2015-ES-IA-0055	SMART EINVOICING PLATFORM TO ENFORCE CROSS-BORDER DOCUMENTATION EXCHANGE (SEINPEX)	ES	01/08/2016	31/12/2017	Ongoing	222,781
2015-EU-IA-0050	eIGOR - eInvoicing GO Regional	IT,UK	01/01/2017	31/12/2017	Ongoing	1,252,500
2015-EU-IA-0054	Semantic conversion of business documents (SCOBDO)	DE,NL	01/09/2016	31/12/2017	Ongoing	283,199
2015-EU-IA-0058	GOVeIn European eInvoicing Project: implementation of the European electronic invoice within the Public Health area	ES,FR,HU,IE,IT,NL,PL,RO ,UK	01/10/2016	31/10/2017	Ongoing	770,249
2015-HR-IA-0048	Croatian eInvoicing Business-to-Administration Exchange Project	HR	02/06/2016	30/05/2017	Closed	251,328
2015-UK-IA-0056	eInvoice Expansion	UK	01/10/2016	31/12/2017	Ongoing	901,624
2016-CY-IA-0105	Cy e-Invoicing (Local Authorities)	CY	01/01/2018	31/12/2018	Ongoing	802,134
2016-EL-IA-0130	Interoperable eInvoicing in Greece (GRinv)	EL	01/10/2017	30/09/2018	Ongoing	710,065
2016-ES-IA-0117	FACe - The core platform of the Spanish public authorities to process the European standard on electronic invoice	ES	01/09/2017	30/11/2018	Ongoing	298,691
2016-ES-IA-0134	EUeInvoicing.cat - European standards adoption for eInvoicing in Catalonia	ES	01/09/2017	31/08/2018	Ongoing	622,833
2016-EU-IA-0086	Tools and support towards the adoption of the future EN on electronic invoicing in SMEs	BE,ES,IT	01/09/2017	31/08/2018	Ongoing	372,054
2016-EU-IA-0096	GOV2EU - Supporting public entities to adopt EU Standard on electronic invoice for cross-border transactions	BE,DE,ES,FR,HU,IT,PL,PT ,SK	01/09/2017	31/10/2018	Ongoing	1,248,208
2016-EU-IA-0109	SAPHeIN – Implementing SAPHetydoc for the wide adoption of eINvoicing	ES,PT	01/06/2017	31/08/2018	Ongoing	908,837
2016-EU-IA-0119	Facilitate and increase the use of the European Norm on e-invoice and the use of access point in the EU	FI,NO,SE	15/12/2016	01/06/2018	Ongoing	887,879
2016-EU-IA-0120	Internet of Business (IoB)	EE,FI,LV	01/06/2017	31/05/2018	Ongoing	795,248
2016-EU-IA-0126	Promote uptake of e-invoicing in Ireland	IE,UK	01/07/2017	30/06/2018	Ongoing	755,904
2016-HR-IA-0090	eINVOICING For Croatian Public Authorities (eICPA)	HR	19/09/2017	19/09/2018	Ongoing	264,201
2016-LT-IA-0104	eInvoicing cross-border LT	LT	01/09/2017	01/09/2018	Ongoing	744,553
2016-NL-IA-0088	NL eInvoicing	NL	16/12/2016	31/05/2018	Ongoing	705,068
2016-PL-IA-0106	European cross-border e-invoice in local public procurement in Poland	PL	01/10/2017	30/11/2018	Ongoing	420,442
2016-SI-IA-0103	Readiness of Slovenian E-invoicing	SI	01/06/2017	01/06/2018	Ongoing	570,248

European Commission



2019 CEF Telecom eInvoicing call: Additional information

- Link to call webpage: <u>https://ec.europa.eu/inea/en/connecting-europe-facility/cef-telecom/apply-funding/2019-einvoicing;</u>
- For more information concerning the technical specifications, you may access the <u>call text;</u>
- For information concerning eInvoicing and the EU Commission's efforts to promote it, please consult <u>CEF Digital</u> and its services;
- CEF eInvoicing country <u>Factsheets</u>.





More information on the calls...



inea-cef-telecom-calls@ec.europa.eu inea@ec.europa.eu



https://ec.europa.eu/inea/en/connectingeurope-facility/cef-telecom/applyfunding/2019-cef-telecom-calls-proposals



@inea_eu #CEFTelecom
#ConnectingEurope
#CEFTelecomDay

INEA





Discussion

Lessons learned

QUESTIONS?