



#5 OOTS Implementers' Café

OOTS Preview Space and Projectathon Debrief

5 May 2023, 09:30-12:15

European Commission

DG GROW

Directorate-General
for Internal Market, Industry,
Entrepreneurship and SMEs

DIGIT

Directorate-General
for Informatics



Agenda

- 09:30–09:40** **Welcome** – *Thomas Fillis, David Blanchard*
- 09:40–10:00** **The Once-Only Preview Space** – *Nils McGrath*
- 10:00–10:20** **The Once-Only Preview Space, Italy** – *Alessandra Pieroni and Martina Mina*
- 10:20–10:30** **The Once-Only Preview Space, Sweden** – *Staffan Bornehäger*
- 10:30–10:45** **Q&A**
- 10:45–11:00** **DE4A, Multilingual Ontology Repository and User Interface** – *Ana Rosa Guzmán*
- 11:00–12:00** **Debrief of the April Projectathon and the road to June** – *Maarten Daniels and Marie-Laure Watrinet*
- 12:00–12:15** **Q&A**
- 12:15** **Wrap-up** – *Thomas Fillis*

Welcome

David Blanchard




Instructions for this live webinar:

When in doubt, write in the chat – our team are there to help

This is an interactive session. There will be time for questions and answers throughout the session via the chat.



Klick “Connect audio” to hear the presenters and please mute your microphones when not speaking.



Please note this webinar will be recorded, but is not published publicly.



OOTTS


The Once-Only Preview Space

Nils McGrath



**Tell me about
the Preview Space**

OOTS Architecture




3 Evidence request

The request for evidence is sent to the Evidence Provider

User's explicit request

Processing of requests for evidence is only permitted at the explicit request of the user, unless the exemption from article 14.4 of the SDGR applies. To enable users to provide an informed explicit request they should be provided with:

1. The name(s) of the Evidence Provider(s);
2. The identifier of the evidence type(s) that will be requested.
3. Optionally a description of the evidence type



Procedure Portal

Confirm the evidence you want to request:

- Evidence: Diploma di Laurea
- Issued by: Ministero dell'Istruzione


Request

The evidence request is sent

Once the user requests an evidence, the first (1 of 2) request-response dialogue is triggered:

1. The evidence requester sends an evidence request message for each evidence to the evidence providers
2. The evidence provider(s) respond with a link to the preview space for each evidence request

The user then clicks on the URLs to be re-directed to the provider space.




4 Redirect

The user is redirected to the provider side

User is redirected to the preview space

When a user confirms they want to preview an evidence, the evidence requester sends another message the provider; it includes the URL to re-direct the user back to the portal or intermediary platform.

After the user has been directed to the preview space provider side and confirms they want to proceed with evidence exchange, the evidence provider respond to the message with the evidence itself.



Procedure Portal



Second request msg



Evidence Provider





User may need to authenticate again

The user may have to re-authenticate; this is up to the evidence provider to decide. If the user is required to re-authenticate, the provider must check that the mandatory attributes of the minimum eIDAS data set retrieved in the re-authentication process match the ones received in the request for evidence sent by the procedure portal.

Authentication on provider side

How do you want to authenticate?

 eID + Card Reader

 Mobile App

Other


5 Preview

The user previews their evidence

User previews the evidence

If the user decides to proceed, the evidence provider will respond to the second evidence request message(s). from the requester, with the evidence(s).

Whether the user choose to confirm or cancel the evidence exchange, the user can then be redirected back to the portal or intermediary platform.




6 Evidence response

The Evidence Provider responds to the evidence request


Evidence Provider sends the evidence

In response to the second request message from the procedure portal the evidence provider returns a response containing the evidence (if the user decided to use the evidence) or an error (in case the user decides not to use the evidence, if the evidence cannot be located or if the provider cannot match the user's identity).



User retrieves other evidences

If the user wants to retrieve evidences from different Member States or if Member States have multiple preview areas, the user must repeat the steps 'redirect' and 'preview' for each evidence. Once the user has collected and confirmed all the evidences they want to use in their procedure they will be redirected back to the procedure portal.



●●●

Procedure Portal

Confirm the evidence you want to request:

- Evidence: Diploma di Laurea
- Issued by: Ministero dell'Istruzione

Request

Show me the prototype...

Imagine...

Bianca is **Italian**, she completed **secondary school in Italy**, she **moved to Belgium** where she completed a **bachelor degree and got married**. She is **disabled**. She wants to **apply for a study grant from Germany**.

To complete the procedure, the German portal asks Bianca, to provide:

- Secondary school diploma (from Italy)
- Bachelors degree (From Belgium)
- Marriage certificate (From Belgium)
- Disability certificate (No evidence provider defined)

Lets pick up the story we started at the last

Implementers Cafe

Collect the official documents you need to provide, directly from public authorities across the EU.

- This service allows you to retrieve official documents about you or a company you represent, directly from official sources across the EU so you can use them to complete the online procedure.
- Not all official documents across the EU are available, yet. If the document you need to provide is not available through this service, you will have to contact the issuer directly to get a copy and then upload the file yourself.

Request your documents

To complete the procedure: *Apply for a study grant*, please provide the following documents:

Secondary education diploma

SecondaryEducationDiploma.pdf 23KB, pdf



Retrieved



[Locate another secondary diploma](#)

I want to upload another secondary diploma manually from the Procedure Portal

Bachelor certificate

BachelorDiploma.pdf 23KB, pdf



Retrieved



[Locate another Bachelor diploma](#)

I want to upload another bachelor diploma manually from the Procedure Portal

Marriage Certificate

Locate evidence

**What are the
UX Recommendations?**

UX recommendations

Create a single national preview space

To enable users to preview all the evidences from your MS in one space.

Offer a cookie

To ensure users don't need to re-authenticate if they have to visit your preview space more than once.

Use the authentication UX components

Available on the wiki

Next, we're working on:

- Common UX components the preview space
- Previewing multiple evidences at the same time
- Handling errors

UX components

The screenshot shows a login screen titled "Log-in with European digital ID". A dashed purple box highlights the instruction "Select the country where your digital identity was issued". Below this is a grid of 28 country options, each with a flag and name. Belgium is selected, indicated by a green checkmark. A second dashed purple box highlights the "Continue" button at the bottom right. A third dashed purple box highlights the "Cancel" button at the bottom left. Below the grid, there is a note: "If your country is not on this list, then the cross-border authentication option might not be available yet. If this is the case, then please go back to the service. Your country will become available in the future." and a link: "Learn more about eIDAS Regulation".

Log-in with European digital ID

Select the country where your digital identity was issued

Austria	Belgium ✓	Bulgaria	Croatia
Cyprus	Czech Republic	Denmark	Estonia
Finland	France	Germany	Greece
Hungary	Iceland	Ireland	Italy
Latvia	Lithuania	Luxembourg	Malta
Netherlands	Norway	Poland	Portugal
Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain
Sweden			

If your country is not on this list, then the cross-border authentication option might not be available yet. If this is the case, then please go back to the service. Your country will become available in the future.

[Learn more about eIDAS Regulation](#)

Cancel Continue

eID Issuance Country Selector


Ask users to "select the country where their digital identity is issued", not the country of origin or country of residence.

List the countries in alphabetical order and add the country flag to each option.

Disable the countries where the cross-border authentication is not yet.

Add a "continue" or "choose another country" button to allow for mistakes.

UX components



Pop-up

Clearly explain that the request for personal data has been sent.
Explain what will happen next and where (which party's screen) the user will be directed.

Want to get involved?

Join the OOTS UX community!

UX Lab wiki

A series of wiki pages to discuss the best practices and document the UX patterns and components


[Go to wiki](#) →

UX Lab meet-up

A biweekly meeting to bring ideas together and discuss with UX teams from other MSs

[Become a member](#) →

Thanks for listening



Italy

The Once-Only Preview Space

Alessandra Pieroni and Martina Mina



Demo of Italian Preview Space


05 Maggio 2023

Single Digital Gateway

accenture

 **AGID**

Procedure Portal Italian Flow



1. The Italian Procedure Portal (PP) retrieves the requirement list the user needs to satisfy from the IT Evidence Broker (EB);
2. PP consults the EB to retrieve the Evidence type list linked to each Requirement;
3. PP questions the IT Data Service Directory (DSD) to determine which competent authorities of the selected Member State provide the selected Evidence type;
4. An Evidence request based on the selected Data service is built and sent to the IT Architecture common services (ACS);
5. The IT Data service retrieves the Evidence request sent by the PP from ACS;
6. The IT Data service checks the availability of the Evidence and generates the Preview link through the IT Preview space
7. An Evidence response is set up and sent to the ACS
8. PP retrieves the Evidence response from ACS and shows the link to the user.

Preview Space Italian Flow

The screenshot displays the 'National Preview Space' interface. At the top right, there is a language dropdown menu set to 'ENG'. The main heading is 'National Preview Space'. Below this, a section titled 'Automatic document retrieval' explains that users can view and retrieve documents requested through the OOTS system. A 'REQUEST SUMMARY' box provides details: Procedure: 'Iscrizione all'albo degli avvocati stabiliti', Requirement: 'Documento che attesti l'iscrizione alla organizzazione professionale dello Stato membro di origine', Requested to: 'HSRHFCV', and Received from: 'Consiglio Nazionale Forense'. Below the summary, it states 'The documents we have retrieved' and 'Select the documents you intend to retrieve and attach to your application'. There are two document entries: 'nomeFileEV1.pdf' (4.5MB) and 'nomeFileEV2.pdf' (4.6MB), each with a 'SHOW DOCUMENT' link. At the bottom of the interface, there are buttons for 'LEAVE THE RETRIEVAL' and 'RETRIEVE SELECTED ITEMS'. The footer contains logos for AGID, the Italian Republic, MITD, the European Union, and 'Your Europe'.

Let's see the demo live


Sweden

The Once-Only Preview Space

Staffan Bornehäger



Q&A



DE4A

Multilingual Ontology Repository and User Interface

Ana Rosa Guzmán





Multilingual Ontology Repository and User Interface

DE4A WP3 Semantics

Ana Rosa Guzmán
Spanish Secretariat-General for Digital Administration
OOTs Implementer's Café (May 5, 2023)





DE4A has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program, under G.A. No. 870635

Consortium



TIMELEX



= Federal Ministry
Republic of Austria
Digital and
Economic Affairs

*DE4A Coordinator

**Linked Third Party

Project Contacts

Coordinator: Ana Piñuela Marcos, Atos, ana.pinuela AT atos.net

Lead Architect: Alexander Bielowski, ICTU, Alexander.Bielowski AT ictu.nl

Communication: info@de4a.eu | Project website: www.de4a.eu

Social Media: Twitter: [@DE4A_eu](https://twitter.com/DE4A_eu) | LinkedIn: <https://www.linkedin.com/showcase/de4a/>



DE4A has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program, under G.A. No. 870635

Melting pot of European languages and cultures: European Semantic Interoperability for the OOP

Amelie is French and wants to access a PhD programme in her country after completing an international Master's degree in Bulgaria. At the Master's and with her friends she spoke English, so she didn't learn Bulgarian...



Darius is Lithuanian and needs his birth certificate to get married. He was born in Portugal during his parents' short stay. He cannot speak or read Portuguese and knows very little English....



«Common dialogs with the user for the OOP»




Explicit Request

Additional Parameters

Preview Area




Semantic Design: Multilingual Ontology Repository



- Term URI
- Type
 - Inheritance
 - Overloading

Semantic Expert



- Cardinality
- Multilingual definition
 - Label
 - Description
 - Example



Domain Expert

i18n Recommendation

Term English definition

- Label
- Description
- Example



Automatic
Translation

Lang-specific

- Label
- Description
- Example
- Verified




Domain Expert
Verification

Lang-specific

- Label
- Description
- Example
- Verified

Term
(URI)

Light MOR Json-Like Storage



MOR REUSABLE CLIENT-SIDE COMPONENTS





		Customizable and integrable dialog interface for users
		Semantic Web component for existing pages






MOR client-side dialog web interface






Explicit Request: select issuing authority

explicitRequest (morTermList, defaultLang) :
userRequestList




Explicit Request: informed request by showing the Information to request

explicitRequest (morTermList, defaultLang) :
userRequestList



Explicit Request: informed request by user consent

explicitRequest (morTermList, defaultLang) :
userRequestList



Preview Area

previewConfirm (xmlList, defaultLang)
: userConfirmationList




Additional Parameters

additionalPars (pars, defaultLang) :
userParValueList





MOR Semantic Web component




Preview Area



MOR REUSABLE CLIENT-SIDE COMPONENTS

Preview Space





Thank You

Ana Rosa Guzmán

anarosa.guzman@correo.gob.es

www.de4a.eu



DE4A has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program, under G.A. No. 870635

00TS

**Debrief of the April
Projectathon and the road to
June**

Maarten Daniels and Marie-Laure Watrinet



Projectathon: we are now in stage five – Post Projectathon




April Projectathon Debrief

- First of all, a **big thanks to everybody** that was joining the April Projectathon. It was a **great success** and we all advanced a lot!
- We have collected your feedback to build the April Projectathon report that includes test reports, lessons learned and best practices
 - It will be shared soon, and introduced to you in the next slides
- We are preparing the material for June Projectathon including the updated version of the Playbook including your comments


Overall results

Global tests status



Running To be verified Partially verified Verified

Test Cases



TC01 TC02 TC03 TC04 TC05 TC06

Running tests are some tests that were initiated but due to a mix of reasons and could not progress to the stage where a full test case validation could take place. Therefore, these instances stayed in the state of "running".

To be verified are tests executed by the Member States, and ready to be reviewed by Monitors.

Partially verified concerns tests under verification by monitors, needing more information from Member States.

Verified means the tests is verified by Monitors and is successful.

Tests cases of April Projectathon

- Without preview

TC01: Basic evidence request without preview

TC02: Basic evidence request without evidence match

TC03: Evidence request error flow due to Basic Registry error

TC04: Evidence request error flow due to Access Point error

TC05: Evidence request, with an additional DSD conversation

- With preview

TC06: Basic evidence request with preview and reauthentication

TC07: Evidence request with preview and reauthentication at two different Evidence Providers

TC08: Evidence request with preview rejection by user and reauthentication

TC09: Evidence request with preview error (closure or timeout) and reauthentication

TC10: Evidence request with preview (including human readable transformation) and reauthentication


Note that the following requests and components are used within the tests:

- **Common Service requests** are lookups to determine what to find and where.
- **Evidence requests responses** are the actual messages to request and transfer the evidence.
- **Preview spaces** are the components needed for users that are making the request to preview their data before an actual transfer takes place and to decide whether or not the transfer can take place.

Overall result

Test cases status without preview - Day 1 - 2 - 3


Running To be verified Partially verified Verified



Overall results

Test cases status with preview - Day 1 - 2 - 3

Running To be verified Partially verified Verified




Overall results

	19-21 April Projectathon					
Status	Participant		Observer		No	
Number of Member States	16 Member States		9 Member States 1 EEA Member State		2 Member States	
	On-site	Remote	On-site	Remote		
						
Joined as participant during the April Projectathon days						

Current participation in April Projectathon

Overall results



■ Participants ■ Observers ■ No ■ Switched from observer to participant

Lessons learned

- We collected feedback from all sides
 - Participants, observers, monitors, exploratory room teams, etc..
- This feedback has been summarised as lessons learned under the following categories
 - General lessons learned
 - Connectivity related lessons learned
 - Testing related lessons learned
 - Exploration rooms related lessons learned
 - Logistics related lessons learned
- More details will be available in the test report

Best practices derived from lessons learned

- Participate to as many Projectathons as possible as each one is an opportunity to learn and boost your progress
- Even if you think you are not completely ready, join as an observer and be prepared to become an active participant during the event
- On site participation is key for a good experience
- If the whole team cannot be on site, having 1 or 2 coordinators on site will increase your level of effective involvement
- Read the playbook and annexes to get the necessary background information and guidelines
- Register to the MS Teams collaborative space
- Respect the timelines
 - Register all your team members in time
 - Register your SUT in time, including the test and connection details
- Perform as many preparatory tests as possible
- Be sure to perform the connectivity test to not lose valuable testing time during the event (and keep your own connection details stable)
- Reach out to the support team before, during or after the event as they are very effective in sorting out questions and problems
- Reach out to the monitors during the event for any question related to testing or documenting/logging test cases
- Check out the exploratory sessions details in advance so you can plan to participate to them while still having other team members covering the test case executions
- Come with a mindset to learn and help each other

Projectathon report

- The Projectathon report that will be shared soon is structured this way:

- Introduction: context, scope and organisation
- Test results:
 - Participating countries
 - Tests performed
 - Tests results
 - Key outcomes & lessons learned from testing

Was presented in previous slides

- Exploration rooms
 - Usability testing – Common Services Administration Tool
 - Once-Only Technical System Support services
 - eDelivery “open door”
 - Semantic services

- Social events
- Communication
- Conclusion
- And Next steps

Will be presented in next slides

Individual reports

- In addition to the Projectathon report that contains global information, you can download your MS individual report in Gazelle
- How to do it:
 - Go to Menu > Evaluation
 - Click on the “Download connectathon report” button
- However, as the default report contains some IHE references and misses a visualisation we find useful, you can contact us ([EC OOTS Support](#)) for an improved report.
- We are checking with the Kereval team to make these improvements available for upcoming Projectathons.

June Projectathon Preparation

- We are preparing the June Projectathon as it will take place soon from 14 to 16 June
- The dates in the next slide are close to final
- The stages are a bit more condensed than the April Projectathon
 - Mainly the **registration period has been shortened**, so please register in time (and take into account that there is a holiday period from 18 to 21 May for a lot of teams)
 - There are still **2.5 weeks of preparatory testing and 2 weeks of connectivity testing**
 - As we have seen in April, these pre-projectathon tests are crucial to get you and others up to speed before the actual event
- About Gazelle input
 - For the participants that were registered in Gazelle for the April Projectathon:
 - You will not have to recreate your organisation and users that will still be there in Gazelle
 - You will be able to duplicate your SUT from April Projectathon test session to June Projectathon test session. The Playbook will be updated to include how to proceed.

Projectathon Overview (participant) – June Projectathon

MAY

JUNE

1 Information

05 May 2023

Attend the **Projectathon Deep-Dive session** during the **OOTS Implementers Café** on 05 May 2023.

Signal your intention to participate to the June 2023 Projectathon as Members States (can be with multiple teams)

Implementers Café #5

"Common Services Admin App & Projectathon Debrief (April event) and Preparation (June event)"
05/05/2023

2 Registration

8 – 23 May 2023

1. Register for the event via the **EU survey** and provide your consent to the Projectathon personal data privacy statement.

2. Register* your organization, system under test (SUT), SUT operator(s) and features to be tested in **Gazelle**.

* or duplicate it from previous registration

3 Pre-projectathon test

Projectathon Kick-off session with all participants
Remote event on 24 May

25 May – 9 June 2023

Perform **Preparatory Test** to get your system, test data and yourself ready before Projectathon.

29 May - 9 June 2023

Perform **Connectivity Test** to ensure network connection of SUTs are well configured before Projectathon.

4 Projectathon

14-16 June 2023

Game on!

Connect and perform Peer-to-Peer marathon test!

5 Post Projectathon

29 June 2023

Publication of **Test Reports**, lessons learned and best practices

Implementers Café #6

"Common Services Admin App & Projectathon Debrief (June event) and Preparation (October event)"
29/06/2023



June Projectathon preparation: collecting your suggestions

- Looking back at the April event and forward to the future events, we suggest to currently group the Projectathon test cases into 4 levels
 - Level 1:
 - TC01 to TC05: the No-Preview test cases
 - Level 2:
 - TC06 to TC10: the Preview test cases
 - TC11 to TC12: the CS update test cases (via LCM updates or GUI updates)
 - Level 3
 - Adding more dynamic eID test cases by integrating eID nodes into the transactions
 - Adding test cases covering related systems (e.g. EUCARIS)
 - Level 4
 - Adding actual Base Registries to the test cases (e.g. population registry, tax authorities, etc.)
 - Running full end-to-end test cases in dynamic mode with dynamic data, from user at Procedure Portal to Base Registry at Competent Authority

June Projectathon preparation: collecting your suggestions

- Do these levels make sense?
 - Should we add some levels?
 - Should we add types of tests to levels or move tests between levels
- In Level 1 we expect mainly
 - Observers in April that will join as Participants in June
 - Participants in April that corrected some bugs or made some improvements and want retest some L1 tests
 - Participants in April that performed some steps in manual or test tool mode and now want to execute those tests in automated mode
 - Participants in April that want to repeat L1 tests against more counterparties

June Projectathon preparation: collecting your suggestions

- In Level 2 we expect mainly
 - Participants in April that mainly tested L1 tests and now want to move to L2 tests
 - Participants in April that corrected some bugs or made some improvements and want retest some L2 tests
 - Participants in April that performed some steps in manual or test tool mode and now want to execute those tests in automated mode
 - Participants in April that want to repeat L2 tests against more counterparties

June Projectathon preparation: collecting your suggestions

- In Level 3 we expect mainly
 - Participants that have a test eIDAS node available for testing, to make some initial tests
 - This will allow us to test the test cases and/or test data and make improvements for the October event if needed
 - Participants that want to start testing with related systems
 - E.g. a EUCARIS technical bridge where EUCARIS runs an eDelivery Access Point so that
 - Evidence Requesters can request vehicle related evidences via the EUCARIS bridge
 - Evidence Providers that choose to make the OOTS connection via the EUCARIS bridge (instead of a national or sub-national eDelivery Access Point) can provide vehicle related evidences

June Projectathon preparation: collecting your suggestions

- In Level 4 we expect mainly
 - Participants that are advanced and almost ready to go into production because they can
 - Use dynamic data at all points of the evidence flow
 - Test an end-to-end connection from user at Procedure Portal to Base Registry at Competent Authority

June Projectathon preparation: collecting your suggestions

- What about the test levels and cases?
 - Do they make sense?
 - The test cases in L1 and L2 are the ones that were available in the April Projectathon.
 - Are they easy to execute? Do you have all the information or can you get it easily?
 - Should some tests be modified, deleted, added before the June Projectathon?
 - Please provide your suggestions for test cases in L3 and L4
 - E.g. on eID testing in L3, please let us know
 - Whether your teams can use these test eIDAS nodes in the Projectathon testing
 - If you have any recommendations on how to test them (e.g. based on the eIDAS interoperability testing)
 - If you have test data sets (identities) available for sharing or whether you can insert additional test data upon request
- What level do you expect to achieve during the June Projectathon
- If possible, how much time do you think you (with your Projectathon team) will spend on each level during the June Projectathon, calculated in percentage of time

June Projectathon preparation: exploration rooms

- As the exploration room concept was successful, we plan to repeat the concept (with different/more topics) during the June Projectathon
- We are considering to add the following exploration room topics:
 - LCM updates to the Common Services
 - UX key patterns (e.g. "evidence not available flow")
 - eID exploration and integration
 - Related systems: e.g. how some related systems could be (optionally) connected via a technical bridge
 - Operational Governance
 - ...
- Do you have any suggestions at this stage?

Projectathon preparation: Access to Common Services management GUI

- Preparatory Test Case PTC19 and Projectathon Test Case TC11 is about getting access to the Common Services management GUI
- The Common Service team has made available these connections in preparation of and during the Projectathon to many users already
 - These users are invited to explore the Common Service GUI and provide any relevant feedback to our [Support team](#)
 - All other users are invited to request access by sending your EULogin username and the country you are representing to our [Support team](#)
- Please note that authentication is based on the ACCEPTANCE instance of EULogin
 - You must log in with your ACCEPTANCE EULogin username
 - If you already had one, but this one was inactive, you will get a request to perform 'ACCEPTANCE EU Login Password Initialisation'
 - If you did not yet have one, you can register via <https://ecas.acceptance.ec.europa.eu/cas/login>
 - After you select a new password you will get access to the CS GUI
 - In case of any issue, [contact us](#)

TDD version baseline for the June Projectathon

- For the June Projectathon we suggest
 - using the [Q1 2023 TDD release](#) as the basis
 - amended with any corrections from the errata list <https://ec.europa.eu/digital-building-blocks/wikis/display/TDD/ERRATA+for+Q1+2023+release>
 - With a cut-off date of 12/05 for errata list updates that could impact implementations
 - making a testing service Q1 2023 release in sync with these TDDs on 23/05
- This allows all MS teams to start the Pre-projectathon testing phase as from 25/05 in a stable environment

Test data sets

- The dataset used during the Projectathon are still available in the MS Teams Projectathon channel
 - Those MSs that want to go further and create custom datasets for bilateral tests can do so
 - In case someone has data suggestions for the June Projectathon, please [let us know](#)
 - The current data set about natural persons is expected to cover the Member State testing capacity of the June Projectathon
 - In case some participants anyway want to include business / legal person related test data, please [let us know](#)

Projectathon preparation: test case flows

- The test case flows executed in the April Projectathon are described in test case document version 0.8, available in the [T&D Document Repository](#), and this is still the up-to-date version.
- The test cases are also available in [Gazelle](#), together with more details on the test steps and expected results.
- In case someone has test case suggestions for the June Projectathon, please [let us know](#)
 - In case a concept is not yet completely stable, we could add it to an exploratory session to discuss the concept in a limited setting
 - In case a concept is relatively stable, we could add it as a test case for all teams to test

Upcoming Projectathons – general remarks

- The first Projectathon in April has been an opportunity for all parties to make mistakes, to **learn**, to **help each other** and to assess their current state of play regarding their OOTS developments
- Please [send us](#) your feedback about any issues or suggestions for improvements, both during the actual event and during the preparatory stages
- By sharing these items, we can all together make corrections and improvements before the upcoming Projectathons in June and October
- Discussions can be facilitated at any point in time between now and the next June Projectathon

June Projectathon – Why you must be there

- There is a broad set of test cases for you to test, from L1 to L4 test cases
- You can test against more counterparties
- You can attend new exploration room topics
- You can share experiences with colleagues from other Member States and help each other getting up to speed and to the next level
- It will be lots of fun (...)

Any additional feedback from your side?

- Is there something you would like to add?
- Did we forget to highlight something?
- Do you want to say some encouraging words for wrapping up the April Projectathon or for preparing the June Projectathon?

Upcoming relevant testing related meetings

- The next planned T&D SG session M16 is foreseen on Tuesday 09/05. As that is Europe Day, the EU institutions will have a holiday
 - We can shift the session to Wednesday 10/05, to Friday 12/05 or to Tuesday 16/05
 - Alternatively, we can drop the 09/05 session and set up ad-hoc meetings if needed
- The subsequent planned T&D SG session is foreseen on Tuesday 23/05
- The Projectathon Kick-off session with all participants is foreseen on Wednesday 24/05
- **Contact us for any other sessions (bilaterals, multilaterals or plenary sessions) you find useful**

Q&A



Next steps....

1. Complete the [satisfaction survey](#) for this meeting (it really does help).
2. Sign-up to the [OOTS Projectathon Collaborative Space](#) and access useful materials and MS Teams.
3. We invite all Projectathon participants to join us for the [Projectathon Kick-Off Meeting on 24 May 2023](#).
4. Join us for the **#6 Implementers' Café in July 2023**. You will receive an email with the session's topics and how to register.
5. Vote for the Once-Only Technical System in the [European Ombudsman Award for Good Administration](#) (vote for Project **37** (Reducing red tape in cross-border administrative procedures))

Further questions?

We're here for you at
EC-00TS@ec.europa.eu

