

elnvoicing Workshop

Vienna, Austria Exchange-Summit 2nd October

Connecting Europe Facility



Today's speakers

Christian Vindinge Rasmussen

Christian is an experienced eProcurement Expert specialized in the execution of large scale ICT projects with past experience from the Nordic region. Christian has been involved in the past EUfunded large scale pilots PEPPOL.eu and eSENS.eu as Work packager leader with focus on new eProcurement and eDelivery development.

Christian works as a business development manager for e-Boks, Denmark.

Martin Forsberg

Martin Forsberg works as an subject matter expert in the area of electronic business, customs and financial processes. Martin was involved in the PEPPOL and eSENS Large Scale Pilots. He is active in standardization committees such as CEN TC434 and OASIS UBL.

Martin works as a consultant for ECRU, Sweden across EU.

Today's agenda

Introduction to CEF, their tools and supporting service

How to benefit from CEF: Which and where are the resources?
Examples of CEF funded projects that have enabled countries *Round table discussion / Open floor debate*

Ways of implementing the EN

• CIUS creation, Validation, and handling of the invoices Round table discussion / Open floor debate



Methods for exchange electronic invoices

 How to enable cross border and domestic solutions
 How to combine four corner model and central hub 3 corner model
 Round table discussion / Open floor debate

Taking E-Invoicing to the next level

• How to work with automation. Onboarding of suppliers *Round table discussion / Open floor debate*

Mentimeter

www.menti.com

Enter #11 10 31



What is an implementation workshop?

- Build knowledge on the European standard
- Share best practices
- Strong cross-border
 perspective
- Help with roadmap on mass adoption
- Give practical input to
 implementation projects
- Give ideas on how to proceed and prioritize
- Learn about CEF services



A short retrospect

A short retrospect

Workshops so far...

- Finland
- Cyprus
- Poland
- Estonia
- Greece
- Sweden
- Austria
- Denmark

- Malta
- Ireland
- Lithuania
- Czech Republic
- Germany
- EESPA
- Romania
- Latvia



Common themes brought up by the participants

- What are other countries doing?
- What does it mean to comply with the European Standard?
- Intellectual Property Rights and Copyright
- Cross border exchange of eInvoices
- Policy what is necessary for smooth adoption



Level of Readiness

- Growing sense of urgency
- Plans are getting more and more concrete
- Still focus on technical aspects
- Lack of appreciation of the change process
- Focus on compliance rather than potential gains

Look ahead and reap the benefits

Focus for 2019, 2020

-

- Take advantage of the investments
 made
- Strategies for supplier onboarding
- Digitalization of the full procurement
 process
- Beyond compliance bring e-Invoicing to the next level





Introduction to CEF, our tools and supporting service

Christian Vindinge Rasmussen DIGIT

Mentimeter

www.menti.com

Enter #11 10 31



What are the CEF building blocks?



HOW IS IT REGULATED?

CEF Regulation

The Connecting Europe Facility (CEF) is a regulation that defines how the Commission can finance support for the establishment of trans-European networks to reinforce an interconnected Europe.

CEF Telecom Guidelines

The CEF Telecom guidelines cover the specific objectives and priorities as well as eligibility criteria for funding of broadband networks and Digital Service Infrastructures (DSIs).

CEF Work Programmes

Translates the CEF Telecom Guidelines in general objectives and actions planned on a yearly basis.

CEF Funding

From 2014-2020 1.040M Euro will be reinvested into adoption of the core building blocks in the DSIs.

Budget indications from 2020-2024 gives additional 1.6-9.2M Euro for further funding of implementation

* - 100 M Juncker Package



The Commission provides the legal framework and technical enablers to help build services people can trust, across sectors and across borders.



Technical Enablers

The **building blocks of the Connecting Europe Facility** promote the adoption of the same **open standards and technical specifications**, by the **different sectors** of the Union, for the most basic & common functionalities of any sectorial project/ platform.

These core commonalities will enable interoperability across borders and sectors.













The CEF Building Blocks are creating a common digital platform across Europe





Phase 1: eGovernment.

However Europe cannot fully benefit from it because we are still working in silos, we still have digital borders....





Phase 2: Platforming Government. We need to remove digital barriers to create a fully functioning Digital Single Market.



Phase 3: Smart Government. This is how we will ensure high quality, user-centric digital public services for citizens and seamless cross-border public services for businesses.



🚊 Citizens 🛛 🛄 Businesses 🏦 Public Administrations

As we become more connected, ensuring trust, privacy and cybersecurity becomes more challenging



Digital Europe's building blocks are designed to help you build **digital services people can trust**



Uptake of the CEF building blocks

Deployment in the CEF Digital Programme

			Ŕ		$\overset{\bigcirc}{\simeq}$	$\overline{\mathbb{R}}$	101 011
Digital 6	onvico Infractr		EXCHANGE with	SIGN with		TRANSLATE with	INVOICE with
Digital Service Infrastructures		eDelivery	esignature	eib	enansiation	ernolenig	
	Europeana	DG CONNECT					
	Safer internet	DG CONNECT					
European Data Portal DG CONNE		DG CONNECT					
Cybersecurity DG CONNECT		DG CONNECT					
[ERN	DG SANTE					
eHealth Pa	tient summary	DG SANTE					
	eCertis	DG GROW					
eProcureme	nt ESPD	DG GROW					
	eTendering	DG GROW					
	eInvoicing	DG GROW					
- T	ELRC service	DGT					
	eTrans. service	DGT					
		Reusing Com	mitment to reuse	Commitment to analyse	Not applicable	Not going to reuse	2



Deployment in the CEF Digital Programme

					$\overset{\bigcirc}{\frown}$	$\overline{\mathbb{R}}$	101 011
Digital Service Infrastructures			EXCHANGE <i>with</i> eDelivery	SIGN <i>with</i> eSignature	IDENTIFY <i>with</i> eID	TRANSLATE with eTranslation	INVOICE with eInvoicing
	e-Justice portal	DG JUST					
	E-evidence	DG JUST					
e-Justice	IRI	DG JUST					
	Standard forms	DG JUST					
	Me-CODEX	DG JUST					
	e-Justice BRIS	DG JUST					
ODR DG JUST							
ESSI DG GROW							
P2P Mobile Payments DG FISMA							
eArchiving DG CNECT							



Significant growth in the last year. Since November 2017...

Reuse + 128 %

41 more projects at the EC are reusing the CEF Building Blocks

73 EC projects reusing BBs

Nov. 2017

EC projects reusing BBs

RRRRRRR

品品品品品品品

M M M M M M M

RRRRRRR

的的的的

32

Nov. 2018

Monitoring dashboard on CEF Digital



Success Stories + 350%

21 more teams told us how they have successfully re-used the CEF Building Blocks



Nov. 2017

6

Success Stories

Nov. 2018

View Success Stories on CEF Digital



How does CEF support projects to use the building blocks?

In two ways:

- **One**, it provides services to help you implement them in your system. There are a range of services across the building blocks but services typically include training, sample software, testing services.
- **Two**, CEF provides grant funding. You can apply for grant funding to pay for the implementation of a building block in you system. More information on how you can apply, grant winners and ongoing projects is available via INEA's website.





How does CEF support projects to use the building blocks?

In two ways:

- **One**, it provides services to help you implement them in your system. There are a range of services across the building blocks but services typically include training, sample software, testing services.
- **Two**, CEF provides grant funding. You can apply for grant funding to pay for the implementation of a building block in you system. More information on how you can apply, grant winners and ongoing projects is available via INEA's website.

Visit INEA Website



Funding opportunities

Call	Open Calls	Deadline for submissions
CEF-TC-2019-1 Automated Translation (indicative budget: €4M)	14 February 2019	14 May 2019
CEF-TC-2019-1 eID & eSignature (indicative budget: €5M)	14 February 2019	14 May 2019
CEF-TC-2019-1 eDelivery (indicative budget: €1M)	14 February 2019	14 May 2019
CEF-TC-2019-1 eInvoicing (indicative budget: €6.2M)	14 February 2019	14 May 2019



How many projects have used the building block?



Connected Europe

Vision



Great experience for citizens and businesses



Building a data-economy



Promoting cross-border interoperability



Main Benefits

How do we support you?



The building blocks are mature, ready to deploy solutions that will save projects time and money.

The building blocks are based on open European standards so you avoid vendor lock-in.

They help public administrations connect to collaborate to deliver a great European experience for citizens and businesses.

Apply for grant funding to pay for the implementation of a building block





The CEF Digital website has details of a a range of services across to support your implementation

Our service desk is available for you to provide answers to any questions you may have



Join us, we're Connecting Europe!

The vision is to deliver user-centric digital public services for citizens and seamless cross-border public services for businesses.

Public administrations must exchange data securely across borders in order to collaborate effectively and deliver a great experience to citizens and businesses.

Projects using the building blocks are supporting the digital transformation of Europe by implementing eIDAS and contributing to the digital single market.





CEF Digital





CEF eInvoicing User Community



Stakeholder management services

Knowledge base

OBJECTIVE OF THE SERVICE

This service provides public entities and solution & service providers an easy reference repository for eInvoicing related information.

It includes information about access to the different code lists, codes used and their meaning, and a glossary of elements used in the European eInvoicing standard.

The Knowledge base provides information on EU and country specific levels.

CEF Digital Connecting Europe USERS CEF Digital > News **CEF elnvoicing Video and** Infographic: Available Now Directive 2014/55/EU More info

BENEFITS

- · This service provides a useful and reliable information repository that helps users to find, consult and interpret information resources about eInvoicing in Europe.
- · It provides useful information that public administrations can use to plan, initiate and execute eInvoicing implementation plans and strategies.

Public entities Policy makers Economic operators & suppliers Solution & service providers

CEF Digital

>

Get started




2018 Country Factsheets

CEF Digital Connecting Europe	Q MENU - COMMUNITY
CEF Digital Home > elnvoicing	Summary
Situation per country	Organisation responsible for elnvoicing elnvoicing legislation
Interested in the uptake of elnvoicing in Europe?	elnvoicing is mandatory for elnvoicing standard(s) elnvoicing platform
Every European Union Member State has a unique approach to dealing with elnvoicing. For each country you can find out more about their: • policy framework • elnvoicing platform (if existing) • approach for receiving and processing electronic invoices	Full Country Factsheet
	elnvoicing platform and elnvoicing management solutions Approach for receiving and processing elnvoices

Additional information

Country factsheets EU Member States Austria Italy Belgium Latvia Bulgaria Lithuania Croatia Luxembourg Cyprus Malta Czech Republic The Netherlands Denmark Poland Estonia Portugal Finland Romania Slovakia France Slovenia Germany Spain Greece Sweden Hungary United Kingdom Ireland ADDITIONAL EEA (European Economic Area) COUNTRIES Iceland Norway Liechtenstein



Connecting Europe Success Stories



News Event calendar Digital Service Infrastructures Media library Success stories

Latest

Read all the Connecting Europe success stories on CEF Digital

View >

Ready to get started?

Reach out to us to learn more!

Or visit our website www.ec.europa.eu/cefdigital

Funding and Grants – possibilities within CEF



Funding opportunities

Call	Open Calls	Deadline for submissions	
CEF-TC-2019-1 Automated Translation (indicative budget: €4M)	14 February 2019	14 May 2019	
CEF-TC-2019-1 eID & eSignature (indicative budget: €5M)	14 February 2019	14 May 2019	
CEF-TC-2019-1 eDelivery (indicative budget: €1M)	14 February 2019	14 May 2019	
CEF-TC-2019-1 eInvoicing (indicative budget: €6.2M)	14 February 2019	14 May 2019	



Member States Number of projects and CEF funding (€ thousand)



EEA and Third Countries Number of projects and CEF funding (€ thousand)



2,324

Norway

1

714

7

CEF Telecom 2014-2016

List of grant agreements for elnvoicing DSI building blocks

Project Code	Title	Reneficiary countries	Start Date	End Date	Project Status	CEF funding for the
		nemenciary countries	Start Date		Profession Status	
2015-AT-IA-0049	EVA - e-Invoicing for Austria	AT	01/09/2016	31/12/2017	Ongoing	183,000
2015-CY-IA-0052	E-invoicing Cyprus	CY	01/10/2016	31/12/2017	Ongoing	561,430
2015-ES-IA-0055	SMART eINVOICING PLATFORM TO ENFORCE CROSS-BORDER DOCUMENTATION EXCHANGE (SEINPEX)	ES	01/08/2016	31/12/2017	Ongoing	222,781
2015-EU-IA-0050	eIGOR - eInvoicing GO Regional	IT,UK	01/01/2017	31/12/2017	Ongoing	1,252,500
2015-EU-IA-0054	Semantic conversion of business documents (SCOBDO)	DE,NL	01/09/2016	31/12/2017	Ongoing	283,199
2015-EU-IA-0058	GOVeIn European eInvoicing Project: implementation of the European electronic invoice within the Public Health area	ES,FR,HU,IE,IT,NL,PL,RO ,UK	01/10/2016	31/10/2017	Ongoing	770,249
2015-HR-IA-0048	Croatian eInvoicing Business-to-Administration Exchange Project	HR	02/06/2016	30/05/2017	Closed	251,328
2015-UK-IA-0056	eInvoice Expansion	UK	01/10/2016	31/12/2017	Ongoing	901,624
2016-CY-IA-0105	Cy e-Invoicing (Local Authorities)	CY	01/01/2018	31/12/2018	Ongoing	802,134
2016-EL-IA-0130	Interoperable eInvoicing in Greece (GRinv)	EL	01/10/2017	30/09/2018	Ongoing	710,065
2016-ES-IA-0117	FACe - The core platform of the Spanish public authorities to process the European standard on electronic invoice	ES	01/09/2017	30/11/2018	Ongoing	298,691
2016-ES-IA-0134	EUeInvoicing.cat - European standards adoption for eInvoicing in Catalonia	ES	01/09/2017	31/08/2018	Ongoing	622,833
2016-EU-IA-0086	Tools and support towards the adoption of the future EN on electronic invoicing in SMEs	BE,ES,IT	01/09/2017	31/08/2018	Ongoing	372,054
2016-EU-IA-0096	GOV2EU - Supporting public entities to adopt EU Standard on electronic invoice for cross-border transactions	BE,DE,ES,FR,HU,IT,PL,PT ,SK	01/09/2017	31/10/2018	Ongoing	1,248,208
2016-EU-IA-0109	SAPHeIN – Implementing SAPHetydoc for the wide adoption of eINvoicing	ES,PT	01/06/2017	31/08/2018	Ongoing	908,837
2016-EU-IA-0119	Facilitate and increase the use of the European Norm on e-invoice and the use of access point in the EU	FI,NO,SE	15/12/2016	01/06/2018	Ongoing	887,879
2016-EU-IA-0120	Internet of Business (IoB)	EE,FI,LV	01/06/2017	31/05/2018	Ongoing	795,248
2016-EU-IA-0126	Promote uptake of e-invoicing in Ireland	IE,UK	01/07/2017	30/06/2018	Ongoing	755,904
2016-HR-IA-0090	eINVOICING For Croatian Public Authorities (eICPA)	HR	19/09/2017	19/09/2018	Ongoing	264,201
2016-LT-IA-0104	eInvoicing cross-border LT	LT	01/09/2017	01/09/2018	Ongoing	744,553
2016-NL-IA-0088	NL eInvoicing	NL	16/12/2016	31/05/2018	Ongoing	705,068
2016-PL-IA-0106	European cross-border e-invoice in local public procurement in Poland	PL	01/10/2017	30/11/2018	Ongoing	420,442
2016-SI-IA-0103	Readiness of Slovenian E-invoicing	SI	01/06/2017	01/06/2018	Ongoing	570,248

European Commission

Curious to learn more?!

Contact info

• <u>CEF-BUILDING-BLOCKS@ec.europa.eu</u>

Planning for 2019

Several workshops in planning

Meanwhile – take a look at the available material on CEF Digital

 <u>https://ec.europa.eu/cefdigital/wiki/disp</u> <u>lay/CEFDIGITAL/eInvoicing</u>



Mentimeter

www.menti.com

Enter #11 10 31









Methods for exchange of electronic invoices

Martin Forsberg Christian Vindinge Rasmussen DIGIT





Different solutions for interconnectivity



Exchange infrastructure – a challenge which will take time to solve





Four-corner model

A common approach for service provider collaboration



System environments tend to be very complex today

Many critical business functions are carried out as services provided by third parties



Contractual view

on four-corner-model









Functional view and common added services

in four-corner-model



Use of standards

in four-corner-model





Four-corner model characteristics (in the context of elnvoicing/EDI)

- End Entities (Supplier/Customer) may choose any Service Provider connected to the network.
- The Service Providers are acting on behalf of the End Entities.
- The Service Provider collaborates in networks, either with bilateral or multilateral collaboration agreements
- The collaboration agreements specifies technical aspects (such as type of transport protocol) but also service levels and issue resolution procedures
- The Exchange Format of payloads/messages used between the Service Provider are often pre-agreed.
- Each End Entity only needs to enter into a contractual agreement with its selected Service Provider .
- Service Providers may transform data to/from the agreed Exchange Format before sending or after receiving depending of the End Entity's preferences. The creation of the business document, in its Exchange Format, can happen either in the issuer's own systems or it may be translated from an In-house Format to the Exchange Format by the Service Provider.
- The *Service Provider* often offers more added value services to the *End Entity* (such as archiving, syntax validation, syntax transformation).

What about the three-corner model?





Collaboration between service providers is necessary!





- Recommends best practices
- Promotes interoperability
- Advocates wide adoption of einvoicing



- Non-for-profit association with 300 member organisations (260 service provider/Access points)
- Recommends and develops standards for use in eprocurement
- Provides the legal framework and technical services for an exchange network



••••••••••)
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •



A short introduction

What is **PEPPOL**

Infrastructure where Buyers and Sellers can exchange e-documents

Specifications for electronic invoice, order, catalogue...

Non-for-profit organisation which maintains and governs












GÖTEBORGS UNIVERSITET

Gothenburg 2016-09-23

Billing the University of Gothenburg

E-invoice

The University of Gothenburg prefers e-invoicing. Our suppliers can send e-invoices via PEPPOL, which enables European businesses to easily deal electronically with any European public sector buyer in their procurement process. Our PEPPOL-id is 0007:2021003153.

KUSTBEVAKNINGEN swedish coast guard				Lis	8) Sea ten
About us Sustainable environmen	t Safety at sea	Cooperation	Technology	Education & Work	
About this website	Start page / About us / Invoicing				
Command centre	Invoicing				
Contact us	The Swedish Coast Guard	is gradually changing	g over to receiving	only electronic	
Invoicing	nvoices. The Swedish Coa e-mail. There are several w	ast Guard does not a vavs in which to subn	ccept invoices in Pl nit e-invoices:	DF format sent via	
News - About us					
Organisation	Our preferred method for re	eceiving electronic in	voices is via the PE	EPPOL network. The	
Printed material	Swedish Coast Guard's ele	ectronic address in Pi	EPPOL IS 0007:202	21003997.	
Retrospect	Via the Swedish Coast f you are unable to send e charge to register them ma ssue a few invoices. Log ir	t Guard's invoice lectronic invoices, yo nually. This solution n and register at: fakt	e portal ou can use our invo is intended for sma turaportalen.se	ice portal free of ller suppliers who only	



PEPPOL today

+200 Certified Access Points in **20** European countries, plus Singapore, Canada and USA. More than **150.000** e-Invoice receiving organizations connected. **60 million** e-invoices between APs in 2017.

12 PEPPOL Authorities

- Agency for Digital Italy (AgID) (Italy)
- Agency for Public Management and eGovernment (Difi) (Norway)
- Danish Business Authority (Denmark)
- Department of Health (UK)
- Department of Public Expenditure and Reform (Ireland)
- Federal Public Service Policy and Support (BOSA) (Belgium)
- Agency for Digital Government (DIGG) (Sweden)
- Free Hanseatic City of Bremen KoSIT (Germany)
- Ministry of Economic Development (Poland)
- SimplerInvoicing (Netherlands)
- Info-communications Media Development Authority (IMDA) (Singapore)
- OpenPEPPOL AISBL



2C Solution SRL	italy
216 Accountants B.V	Netherlan
AdValvas Europe	Belgium
Advanced Business Software and Solutions	UK
Advania Holding hf.	Iceland
Aksess Innkjøp (Prosjektservice AS)	Norway
Aksesspunkt Norge AS	Norway
Aliquid Italy	Italy
Amesto Solutions Purchasing A/S	Norway
Azets Insight AS	Norway
Order2Cash – (Anachron B.V.)	Netherlan
Apix Messaging Oy	Finland
Apro Consulting Services B.V.	Netherlan
Archiva S.r.L.	Italy
Archivium SrL	Italy
Arco Information N.V.	Belgium
At Work Systems	Norway
B2B Router (Invinet Sistemes)	Spain
B4 value.net GmbH	Germany
Babelway	Belgium
Basware	EU
BEAst AB	Sweden
Billit	Belgium
BIZbrains A/S	Denmark
Bluzor B.V.	Netherlan
Brain2	Belgium
Bundesrechenzentrum GmbH (BRZ)	Austria
Calvi Business Software BV	Netherlan
Catalog360 Limited	UK
CEGEDIM	France
Celtrino – EDI Factory	Ireland
Centric Netherlands	Netherlan
CGI Sverige AB	Sweden
CloudOffice AS	Norway
Cloud Trade Technology Ltd.	UK
CodaBox N.V.	Belgium
Comarch SA	Poland
Commerce-Connections	UK
Consorci Administració Oberta de Catalunya (AOC)	Spain
Consumer Cloud Technology	Singanora
C I DU LL DU L	Singapore

Services Pte Limited

Services Pte Limited rlands Compello AS Credemtel S.p.A. Crediflow Försäljnings AB Consip SpA (Italy) crossinx GmbH CS Amed SRL Daldata AS DataPost Pte Ltd Data Interchange Danish Business Authority (ERST) Dcode Websolutions AS DERWID.com GmbH erlands Desk Drive Digital Cab ApS rlands Danish Business Authority (ERST) DocFlow Italia S.p.A. Document Centric Solutions Docuten (Enxendra Technologies) Spain Doxee S.p.A. Dynatos NV DXC Easy Systems B.V. EC/DG DIGIT eConnect Internatio (eVerbinding) ecosio InterCom Gr eDelivery s.r.o. - for EDI Plus Ltd erlands EDICOM CAPITAL S.L. EDIGard AS EDISON S.A Effektus AS eFinans AS erlands Flcom Electronic Data Transfer S.A.S. Enable-U B.V. Enercom Swiss Finance SA erlands Epoca S.r.l. Esker S.A. Eesti Post AS (Omniva) EVRY AS Exact F.R. Biernat Faber system Srl FIKEN AS FinHill Hilversum B.V. Financijska agencija Fitek Group Fylkesmannen i Sogn og Fjordane Norway

Consumer Cloud Technology

Singapore

Norway

Sweden

Germany

Norway

Singapore

Denmark

Norway

Austria

Belgium

Denmark

Denmark

Italia

Italy

UK

Belgium

Relgium

Spain

Norway

Poland

Norway

Norway

France

Italy

France

Estonia

Norway

Norway

Norway

Croatia

Estonia

Italy

UK

Ireland

Italy

Italy

Italy

UK

Genesis IT AB **Generix Group Benelux** GHX UK **Goldman Solutions & Services** Itd. GXS (OpenText) Hafslund Tellier AS Hogia Business Products AB Ibistic **IBM** Corporation **IBM Danmark ApS** iEDI ApS IFIN Sisstemi S.r.L. a socio unico ILGE Sybscription Management **BVBA** Implema AB In.Te. S.A. Inaras NV InExchange Factorum AB Infinite Sp. z.o.o. Infocert S.p.A. **INPOSIA Solutions GmbH** Integrasjonssystemer AS Netherlands Intercent-ER

Sweden **Onetrail BV** Belgium Oppgiorskontoret AS UK **Opus Capita Group Oy** Outsourcia AS Bakke Cyprus Pagero USA Pagero HBS GmbH Norway Pagero Norway Sweden Palette Software AB Norway Pavt B.V. USA PaperLess Innovation Ltd. Denmark Pearl Norge AS Denmark PIMEC, Petita i Mitjana Empresa Italy de Catalunya Belgium PinkRoccade Local Government BK.V. Sweden PostNord (Strålfors Svenska AB) Italy PowerOffice Software AS Belgium PracBiz Pte Ltd Sweden Prosjektservice AS Poland Qvalia Group AB Italy **Reknes AS** Germany Norway Italy

Access points in PEPPOL

KBC Commercial Finance KMD Denmark Kofax Sweden Services AB LBMX Inc. Liaison Technologies Ov Logiq AS Lyanthe Maritech Systems AS Netherlands Millum AS Switzerland Ministry of Finance, Republic of Slovenia Miracle A/S Moneybird mySupply ApS Netherlands NetClient AS NetEDI Netropolix Software NV Nets Norway AS Netherlands nexMart GmbH & Co. KG Norwegian Labour and Welfare Service (NAV)

Belgium Denmark Sweden Canada Finland Norway Netherlands Norway Norway Slovenia Denmark Netherlands Denmark Norway UK Belgium Norway Germany Norway

Resforma AS **Ricoh Netherlands B.V. ΓΛΤΛ** sted Services Science Warehouse Limited SEEBURGER AG Seen Solution SrL Seres Seres SA SIA S.p.A. Simpler Invoicing SINGAPORE E-BUSINESS PTE LTD Skaitos kompiuteriu servisas Smartbook Technology AS Sorvive Technologies Inc. STDM SrL StarHub Ltd Storecove (Datajust B.V.) SYMTRAX S.A. System Kreditt AS Svea Ekonomi AB TB Okonomi AS Tecmarket Servizi S.p.A. Teal IT Belgium

Netherlands Telema AS Norway Finland **Telenor Norge AS** Norway Tesisguare S.p.A Sweden Germany **TIE Kinetix** Norway Tieto Sweden Tradeinterop Netherlands Tradeshift Malta Norway Tradeshift AB Transalis I td Spain Tripletex AS Netherlands True Commerce (Coventry) Ltd. Sweden TrueCommerce ApS Denmark Norway Truelink A/S Singapore Tungsten Network Ltd. Norway Tyringe Konsult AB Sweden TX2 Concept Norway Norway UNI MICRO AS Netherlands UnifiedPost Italy Unimaze Software Germany Unit4 Agresso Portugal Upheads AS Sweden UPRC Greece UK ValidatedID S.L. Germany Van Meijel Italy France Viaduct AB Spain Virtualstock I td. Italy Visma Labs Netherlands Visma Software International AS Singapore Lithuania Voxel Media S.L. Norway Wax Digital Ltd. USA Webware Internet Solutions Italy GmbH Singapore Netherlands Workflow Management & Document Consulting Asia Pte Ltd France Norway **Xledger Labs AS** Sweden XS Offfice AS Norway Zirius AS Italy ZZI d.o.o

Estonia Norway Italy Netherlands Finland Netherlands Denmark Sweden UK Norway UK Denmark Denmark UK Sweden Singapore Norway Netherlands Iceland Norway Norway Greece Spain Netherlands Sweden UK Sweden Norway Spain UK Germany Singapore Norway Norway Norway

Slovenia



The CEF eDelivery Discovery Model approach

Discovery models

CEF eDelivery

Static

In a Static Service Location model the IP address and related attributes are static. The IP address of all the Access Points in the network are stored on a central location for the other Access Points to reference. To send a message, the sending Access Point looks a the static list of IP addresses on the networks' Domain Name System (DNS) to locate the Access Point of the receiver.

Dynamic

Dynamic Service Location enables the sending AP to dynamically discover the IP address and capabilities of the receiver. Instead of looking at a static list of IP addresses, the sender consults a **Service Metadata Publisher (SMP)** where information about every participant in the data exchange network is kept up to date. As at any point in time there can be several SMPs, every participant must be given a unique ID that must be published by **the Service Metadata Locator (SML)** on the network's Domain Name System (DNS). By knowing this URL, the sender is able to dynamically locate the right SMP and therefore the right receiver.

PROS & CONS

High speed as there is no overhead processing

Less flexible, change of irrelevant references



More automated and flexible

Slower speed, as some overhead processing is required

PEPPOL – A deployment of CEF eDelivery DSI

AP

The role of the AP (Access Point) is to send and receive messages in a secure and reliable way, on behalf of the participants. The AP is essentially a simple which is often offered together with other value added services by a service provider.

SMP

Once the sender discovers the address of the receiver's SMP, it is able to retrieve the needed information (i.e. metadata) about the receiver. With such information, the message can be sent. The SMP is usually a distributed component in an eDelivery Messaging Infrastructure.

SML

The role of the SML (Service Metadata Locator) is to manage the resource records of the participants and SMPs (Service Metadata Publisher) in the DNS (Domain Name System). The SML is usually a centralised component in an eDelivery Messaging Infrastructure.





SML

The role of the SML (Service Metadata Locator) is to manage the resource records of the participants and SMPs (Service Metadata Publisher) in the DNS (Domain Name System). The SML is usually a centralised component in an eDelivery Messaging Infrastructure.

Participant Participant **Buyer** Seller ORIGINAL SENDER RECIPIENT SML (centralised) Access Point Provider C3 Access Point Provider DNS Access Access Point Point

SMP

STEP 1. SUBMIT

METADATA

Internet

1. Buyer ID, Supported Message type and End point is

SMP

published

SMP

Once the sender discovers the address of the receiver's SMP, it is able to retrieve the needed information (i.e. metadata) about the receiver. With such information, the message can be sent. The SMP is usually a distributed component in an eDelivery Messaging Infrastructure.



ADMINISTRATOR

Phase 1: Registration

SML

The role of the SML (Service Metadata Locator) is to manage the resource records of the participants and SMPs (Service Metadata Publisher) in the DNS (Domain Name System). The SML is usually a centralised component in an eDelivery Messaging Infrastructure.

SMP

Once the sender discovers the address of the receiver's SMP, it is able to retrieve the needed information (i.e. metadata) about the receiver. With such information, the message can be sent. The SMP is usually a distributed component in an eDelivery Messaging Infrastructure.

Phase 1: Registration



2. The SMP creates a record in the SML which associates the participant with the SMP



SML

The role of the SML (Service Metadata Locator) is to manage the resource records of the participants and SMPs (Service Metadata Publisher) in the DNS (Domain Name System). The SML is usually a centralised component in an eDelivery Messaging Infrastructure.

SMP

Once the sender discovers the address of the receiver's SMP, it is able to retrieve the needed information (i.e. metadata) about the receiver. With such information, the message can be sent. The SMP is usually a distributed component in an eDelivery Messaging Infrastructure.

Phase 1: Registration



2. The SMP creates a record in the SML which associates the participant with the SMP

3. The SML updates the DNS which creates a DNS record for the participant, pointing to the SMP



SML

The role of the SML (Service Metadata Locator) is to manage the resource records of the participants and SMPs (Service Metadata Publisher) in the DNS (Domain Name System). The SML is usually a centralised component in an eDelivery Messaging Infrastructure.

SMP

Once the sender discovers the address of the receiver's SMP, it is able to retrieve the needed information (i.e. metadata) about the receiver. With such information, the message can be sent. The SMP is usually a distributed component in an eDelivery Messaging Infrastructure.

Phase 2: Operations



1. Seller issues an eInvoice (or other eDocument) and hands it over to the AP



SML

The role of the SML (Service Metadata Locator) is to manage the resource records of the participants and SMPs (Service Metadata Publisher) in the DNS (Domain Name System). The SML is usually a centralised component in an eDelivery Messaging Infrastructure.

SMP

Once the sender discovers the address of the receiver's SMP, it is able to retrieve the needed information (i.e. metadata) about the receiver. With such information, the message can be sent. The SMP is usually a distributed component in an eDelivery Messaging Infrastructure.

Phase 2: Operations



1. Seller issues an eInvoice (or other eDocument) and hands it over to the AP 2. The AP makes a lookup using a HTTP GET. The DNS directs the AP to the participant's SMP



SML

The role of the SML (Service Metadata Locator) is to manage the resource records of the participants and SMPs (Service Metadata Publisher) in the DNS (Domain Name System). The SML is usually a centralised component in an eDelivery Messaging Infrastructure.

SMP

Once the sender discovers the address of the receiver's SMP, it is able to retrieve the needed information (i.e. metadata) about the receiver. With such information, the message can be sent. The SMP is usually a distributed component in an eDelivery Messaging Infrastructure.

Phase 2: Operations



Service Metadata Example

xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8" standalone="yes"?
<ns3:signedservicemetadata xmlns="http://busdox.org/transport/identifiers/1.0/" xmlns:ns2="http://www.w3.org/2005/08/addressing" xmlns:ns3="</td></tr><tr><td>http://busdox.org/serviceMetadata/publishing/1.0/"></ns3:signedservicemetadata>
<ns3:servicemetadata></ns3:servicemetadata>
<ns3:serviceinformation></ns3:serviceinformation>
<participantidentifier scheme="iso6523-actorid-upis">0088:50512318800008</participantidentifier> <documentidentifier scheme="busdox-docid-gns"></documentidentifier>
urn:oasis:names:specification:ubl:schema:xsd:Invoice-2::Invoice##urn:www.cenbii.eu:transaction:biitrns010:ver2.0:extended:urn:www.peppol.eu:b
<ns3:processlist></ns3:processlist>
<ns3:process></ns3:process>
<pre><processidentifier scheme="cenbii-procid-ubl">urn:www.cenbii.eu:profile:bii05:ver2.0</processidentifier></pre>
<ns3:serviceendpointlist></ns3:serviceendpointlist>
<pre><ns3:endpoint transportprofile="busdox-transport-as2-ver1p0"></ns3:endpoint></pre>
<ns2:endpointreference></ns2:endpointreference>
<pre><ns2:address>https://peppol.zzz.com/yyy/adapter/inbound/as2peppol</ns2:address></pre>
<ns3:requirebusinesslevelsignature>false</ns3:requirebusinesslevelsignature>
<ns3:minimumauthenticationlevel>1</ns3:minimumauthenticationlevel>
<ns3:serviceactivationdate>2017-03-13Z</ns3:serviceactivationdate>
<ns3:serviceexpirationdate>2027-03-13Z</ns3:serviceexpirationdate>
<ns3:certificate>MIIENiCCAx6gAwIBAgI0AovA/eZvvKgJmu+nvl1PdDANBgkqhkiG9w0BAOsFADBX</ns3:certificate>

- The Participant's identifier
- Type of supported business message
- Type of business process
- Type of transport protocol to use for this message
- Technical endpoint/address to where the message should be sent



SML

The role of the SML (Service Metadata Locator) is to manage the resource records of the participants and SMPs (Service Metadata Publisher) in the DNS (Domain Name System). The SML is usually a centralised component in an eDelivery Messaging Infrastructure.

SMP

Once the sender discovers the address of the receiver's SMP, it is able to retrieve the needed information (i.e. metadata) about the receiver. With such information, the message can be sent. The SMP is usually a distributed component in an eDelivery Messaging Infrastructure.

Phase 2: Operations



SML

The role of the SML (Service Metadata Locator) is to manage the resource records of the participants and SMPs (Service Metadata Publisher) in the DNS (Domain Name System). The SML is usually a centralised component in an eDelivery Messaging Infrastructure.

SMP

Once the sender discovers the address of the receiver's SMP, it is able to retrieve the needed information (i.e. metadata) about the receiver. With such information, the message can be sent. The SMP is usually a distributed component in an eDelivery Messaging Infrastructure.

Phase 2: Operations



Mentimeter

www.menti.com

Enter #11 10 31







•••••	
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
•• •••	
• • • • • •	
	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
	•• ••••••

Ways of implementing the EN

Martin Forsberg
DIGIT

Initiation of the standardisation

From article 3

...

The Commission shall request that the relevant **European standardisation organisation** draft a European standard for the semantic data model of the core elements of an electronic invoice (the 'European standard on electronic invoicing').

The Commission shall request that the relevant European standardisation organisation provide a list with a limited number of syntaxes which comply with the European standard on electronic invoicing, the appropriate syntax bindings and guidelines on transmission interoperability, in order to facilitate the use of such standard.



CEN/TC 434 was established

- CEN European Committee for Standardisation
- The work started in a project committee (PC434) but was later changed into a technical committee (TC434)
- TC434 has over 100 committee members from 31 countries
- Participation in the work must go through the national standardisation committees.
- The committee is about to finalize all deliverables defined in the standardisation request







Current status

Number	Title	Status
EN 16931-1	Semantic data model of the core elements of an electronic invoice	Approved!
CEN/TS 16931-2	List of syntaxes that comply with EN 16931-1	Approved!
CEN/TS 16931-3-1	Methodology for syntax bindings of the core elements of an electronic invoice	Approved!
CEN/TS 16931-3-2	Syntax binding for ISO/IEC 19845 (UBL2.1) invoice and credit note	Approved!
CEN/TS 16931-3-3	Syntax binding for UN/CEFACT XML Cross Industry Invoice D16B	Approved!
CEN/TS 16931-3-4	Syntax binding for UN/EDIFACT D16B	Approved!
CEN/TR 16931-4	Guidelines on interoperability of electronic invoices at the transmission level	Approved!
CEN/TR 16931-5	Guidelines on the use of sector or country extensions in conjunction with EN 16931-1, methodology to be applied in the real environment	Approved!
CEN/TR 16931-6	Result of the test of EN 16931-1 with respect to its practical application for an end user	Approved!



Introduction to key concepts of the standard

EUROPEAN STANDARD EN 16931-1 NORME EUROPÉENNE EUROPÄISCHE NORM June 2017 ICS 35.240.20; 35.240.63

English Version

Electronic invoicing - Part 1: Semantic data model of the core elements of an electronic invoice

Facturation électronique - Partie 1: Modèle sémantique de données des éléments essentiels d'une facture électronique Elektronische Rechnungsstellung - Teil 1: Semantisches Datenmodell der Kernelemente einer elektronischen Rechnung

This European Standard was approved by CEN on 17 April 2017.

CEN members are bound to comply with the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations which stipulate the conditions for giving this European Standard the status of a national standard without any alteration. Up-to-date lists and bibliographical references concerning such national standards may be obtained on application to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre or to any CEN member.

This European Standard exists in three official versions (English, French, German). A version in any other language made by translation under the responsibility of a CEN member into its own language and notified to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre has the same status as the official versions.

CEN members are the national standards bodies of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Coratia, Cyprus, Carch Republic, Demark, Estonia, Finland, Former Yuogalva Republic of Macedonia, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Lenlan, Ireland, Iraly, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and United Kingdom.



© 2017 CEN All rights of exploitation in any form and by any means reserved worldwide for CEN national Members. Ref. No. EN 16931-1:2017 E

reserved

Section 1-3 - Scope, references, terms & definitions

Section 4 – The concept of a core invoice

Section 5 – Business process to support

Section 6 – The semantic model, rules and data types

Section 7 – Core Invoice Usage Specification (and compliance)

Annex A – Examples (Informative)

Annex B – Assessment of the EN towards the Standardization request (Informative)

Annex C – How does the EN meet legal requirements (Informative)

Annex D – BPMN symbols (informative)



© CEN, reproduced with permission





Reasons for a core invoice

The European standard recognises the following reasons:

- Business environment is diverse also the need for information exchange
- Invoices from different situations may potentially contain many information elements a complete model becomes very large and complex
- Even if it would technically be possible to have a large model, it would be challenging and costly
- When different countries/industries use subset of large standards, interoperability is hampered and silo-implementations are created



Common understanding





The concept of a core invoice – How?

The norm identifies a few **guiding principles**:

- It should be easier to use than paper invoicing
- Standardised information elements makes processing more efficient (than paper invoices)
- It should be possible to use without prior consultation or bilateral agreements
- It should contain information to enable efficient and automatic processing
- Software should be able to present all information, and automatically process structured data
- Structured data should result in optimised business processes
- The core invoice model should not make assumptions on the method of creation, delivery or processing
- The core invoice model should not make assumptions on the syntax or transmission technology



Requirement driven approach on defining the model

- Each business term in the model comes from one or more documented (and numbered) requirement
- The requirements give a good understanding of the background





Business processes to support

The invoice model contains information elements to support the following processes

- P1: Invoicing of deliveries of goods and services against purchase orders, based on a contract
- P2: Invoicing deliveries of goods and services based on a contract
- P3: Invoicing the delivery of an incidental purchase order
- P4: Pre-payment
- P5: Spot payment
- P6: Payment in advance of delivery
- P7: Invoices with references to a despatch advice
- P8: Invoices with references to a despatch advice and a receiving advice
- P9: Credit notes or invoices with negative amounts, issued for a variety of reasons including the return of empty packaging
- P10: Corrective invoicing (cancellation/correction of an invoice)
- P11: Partial and final invoicing
- P12: Self billing



© CEN, reproduced with permission

Business requirements derived from the processes

- Based on the identified processes and listed invoice functions, requirements are defined
- Each requirement has an assigned identifier

- R5 information to trace to a single related purchase order from the document level (all processes, except P2 and P5);
- R6 information to trace to a single related purchase order line from the invoice line (all processes, except P2 and P5);
- R7 information to trace to a single contract and the underlying call for tenders from the document level (all processes, except P3 and P5);



Europear



Examples of business terms

ID	Level	Cardinality	Business Term	Description	Usage Note	Req. ID	Semantic data type ²
BT-1	+	11	Invoice number	A unique identification of the Invoice.	The sequential number required in Article 226(2) of the directive 2006/112/EC [2], to uniquely identify the Invoice within the business context, time-frame, operating systems and records of the Seller. It may be based on one or more series of numbers, which may include alphanumeric characters. No identification scheme is to be used.	R56	Identifier
BT-2	+	11	Invoice issue date	The date when the Invoice was issued.		R56	Date
BT-3	+	11	Invoice type code	A code specifying the functional type of the Invoice.	Commercial invoices and credit notes are defined according the entries in UNTDID 1001 [6]. Other entries of UNTDID 1001 [6] with specific invoices or credit notes may be used if applicable.	R44	Code

ID – Unique id for each business term

Level – indicates depth in model (+, ++, +++, ++++)

Cardinality – Indicates optionality, repetitions allowed

Business term – name of the business term

Description – short description/definition

Usage note – guiding/explanatory information

Req id – reference to underlying requirement

Data type – the type of



EN 16931-1:2017 Chapter 6.3 © CEN, reproduced with permission

Semantic datatypes

Primitive types

- Binary
- Date
- Decimal
- String

-					 -	-	-
1	Component	Use	Primitive Type	Example			
	Content	Mandatory	Binary				
	Mime Code	Mandatory	String	"image/jpeg"			
	Filename	Mandatory	String	"drawing5.jpg"			

A Receiver of an Invoice, conformant to this document shall accept and process attachments that are of the following mime types (commonly used file extensions are added between brackets):

- application/pdf (.pdf)
- image/png (.png)
- image/jpeg (.jpg)
- text/csv (.csv)
- application/vnd.openxmlformats-officedocument.spreadsheetml.sheet (.xslx)
- application/vnd.oasis.opendocument.spreadsheet (.ods)

Primitive types used in

Semantic datatypes

- Amount (two decimals)
- Unit Price Amount
- Quantity
- Percentage
- Identifier
- Document reference
- Code
- Date
- Text
- Binary object

Data types can have suplamentary components/attributes



EN 16931-1:2017 Chapter 6.5 © CEN, reproduced with permission

Business rules

- Conditions dependencies between terms
- Integrity constraints (In many cases, the data model cardinality indicates the same thing)

	Description	Target / context	Busine ss term / group
BR-CO-8	Invoice line charge reason code and Invoice line charge reason shall indicate the same type of charge reason.	Invoice line Charges	BT- 144, BT-145
BR-CO-9	The Seller VAT identifier, Seller tax representative VAT identifier, Buyer VAT identifier shall have a prefix in accordance with ISO code ISO 3166-1 alpha-2 by which the country of issue may be identified. Nevertheless, Greece may use the prefix 'EL'.	VAT identifiers	BT-31, BT-48, BT-63
BR-CO-10	Sum of Invoice line net amount = \sum Invoice line net amount.	Document totals	BT-106

ID – Unique id for each business rule

Description – textual description of the rule

Target/Context – the cgroup/class for where the rule applies

Business term/group – reference to the term for which the rule applies



Business rules – VAT Rules

• VAT Rules – Rules for each VAT category

ID	Description	or reduced	Exports Other exemption reasons	
BR-Z-1	An Invoice that contains a line, a document level allowanc where the Invoiced item VAT category code (BT-151, BT- shall contain in the VAT breakdown (BG-23) exactly one equal with "Zero rated".	rate Category "Standard rate"	Categories "Intracommunty supply", "Exports", "Exempt"	.,
BR-Z-2	An Invoice that contains a line where the Invoiced item VA "Zero rated" shall contain the Sellers VAT Identifier (BT-31) identifier (BT-32) or the Seller tax representative VAT identif	i category , the Seller ier (BT-63)	code (BT-151) • Tax registratic).	ıs on
BR-Z-3	An Invoice that contains a document level allowance who category code (BT-95) is "Zero rated" shall contain the Seller Seller Tax registration identifier (BT-32) or the Seller tax re (BT-63).	ere the Inv s VAT Ident epresentati	voiced item VA tifier (BT-31), tl ve VAT identifie	IT 1e er



EN 16931-1:2017 Chapter 6.4.3



Each rule is implemented as Schematron validation rules

RuleID	Rule	Popularity
REPROL EN16921 P120	Invoice line net amount MUST equal (Invoiced quantity * (Item net price/item price base quantity) + Sum of invoice line charge amount - sum of	2204
FLFFOL-EN10931-K120	invoice line allowance amount	
PEPPOL-EN16931-R008	Document MUST not contain empty elements.	3055
BR-CO-15	BR-CO-15]-Invoice total amount with VAT (BT-112) = Invoice total amount without VAT (BT-109) + Invoice total VAT amount (BT-110).	2951
	[BR-S-08]-For each different value of VAT category rate (BT-119) where the VAT category code (BT-118) is "Standard rated", the VAT category	
	taxable amount (BT-116) in a VAT breakdown (BG-23) shall equal the sum of Invoice line net amounts (BT-131) plus the sum of document level	
BR-3-08	charge amounts (BT-99) minus the sum of document level allowance amounts (BT-92) where the VAT category code (BT-151, BT-102, BT-95) is	2025
	"Standard rated" and the VAT rate (BT-152, BT-103, BT-96) equals the VAT category rate (BT-119).	
UBL-CR-412	[UBL-CR-412]-A UBL invoice should not include the PaymentMeans PaymentDueDate	2563
BR-CO-16	[BR-CO-16]-Amount due for payment (BT-115) = Invoice total amount with VAT (BT-112) -Paid amount (BT-113) +Rounding amount (BT-114).	2381
BR-CL-10	[BR-CL-10]-Any identifier identification scheme identifier MUST be coded using one of the ISO 6523 ICD list.	2312
BR-CL-11	[BR-CL-11]-Any registration identifier identification scheme identifier MUST be coded using one of the ISO 6523 ICD list.	2187
BR-CO-17	[BR-CL-17]-Invoice tax categories MUST be coded using UNCL5305 code list	2004
PEPPOL-EN16931-R004	Specification identifier MUST have the value 'urn:cen.eu:en16931:2017#compliant#urn:fdc:peppol.eu:2017:poacc:billing:3.0'.	1919
BR-CL-23	[BR-CL-23]-Unit code MUST be coded according to the UN/ECE Recommendation 20 with Rec 21 extension	1831
PEPPOL-EN16931-R003	A buyer reference or purchase order reference MUST be provided.	1797
UBL-CR-657	[UBL-CR-657]-A UBL invoice should not include the DocumentCurrencyCode listID	1766
RR 5 00	[BR-S-09]-The VAT category tax amount (BT-117) in a VAT breakdown (BG-23) where VAT category code (BT-118) is "Standard rated" shall equal	1710
BK-3-09	the VAT category taxable amount (BT-116) multiplied by the VAT category rate (BT-119).	1/10
UBL-CR-656	[UBL-CR-656]-A UBL invoice should not include the InvoiceTypeCode listID	1701
UBL-CR-663	[UBL-CR-663]-A UBL invoice should not include the unitCodeListID	1689
BR-CO-13	[UBL-DT-13]-Unit code list identifier attribute should not be present	1670
BR-07	[BR-07]-An Invoice shall contain the Buyer name (BT-44).	1661
UBL-CR-660	[UBL-CR-660]-A UBL invoice should not include the Country Identification code listID	1655
PEPPOL-EN16931-CL008	Electronic address identifier scheme must be from the codelist "Electronic Address Identifier Scheme"	1641
	[BR-CO-09]-The Seller VAT identifier (BT-31), the Seller tax representative VAT identifier (BT-63) and the Buyer VAT identifier (BT-48) shall have a	
BR-CO-09	prefix in accordance with ISO code ISO 3166-1 alpha-2 by which the country of issue may be identified. Nevertheless, Greece may use the pr	
	'EL'.	
BR-CO-10	[BR-CO-10]-Sum of Invoice line net amount (BT-106) = Σ Invoice line net amount (BT-131).	1520
PEPPOL-EN16931-R010	Buyer electronic address MUST be provided	1412


Access to the specifications

EC is sponsoring access – to the EN and the list of syntaxes. These specifications are available for free download

The other specifications must still be purchased

ceo		Contact	us
European Committee for Standardization			
CEN COMMUNITY TECHNICAL BODIES STANDARDS EVOLUTION AND FORECAST SEARCH	STANDARDS		
Technical Bodies > CEN/TC 434	di la constante de la constante		
CEN/IC 434 - Electronic Invoicing			
General Structure work programme Published Standards		54 55 51	
CTN /TC 424 Published Object and			i i
CEN/TC 434 Published Standards			1
Reference, Title	Publication date	Sales Points	
CEN/TR 16931-4:2017 (WI=00434004) Electronic invoicing - Part 4: Guidelines on interoperability of electronic invoices at the transmission level	2017-07-05	7	
CEN/TR 16931-5:2017 (WI=00434005) Electronic involcing - Part 5: Guidelines on the use of sector or country extensions in conjunction with EN 16931-1, methodology to be applied in the real environment	2017-07-05	\ 	
CEN/TR 16931-6:2017 (WI=00434006) Electronic involcing - Part 6: Result of the test of EN 16931-1 with respect to its practical application for an end user	2017-10-18) ,	
CEN/TS 16931-2:2017 (WI=00434002) Electronic invoicing - Part 2: List of syntaxes that comply with EN 16931-1	2017-06-28	` 	
CEN/TS 16931-3-1:2017 (WI=00434007) Electronic invoicing - Part 3-1: Methodology for syntax bindings of the core elements of an electronic invoice	2017-07-05	\ 	
CEN/TS 16931-3-2:2017 (WI=00434008) Electronic involcing - Part 3-2: Syntax binding for ISO/IEC 19845 (UBL 2.1) involce and credit note	2017-10-18	\₩	
CEN/TS 16931-3-2:2017/AC:2018 (WI=00434C01) Electronic involcing - Part 3-2: Syntax binding for ISO/IEC 19845 (UBL 2.1) involce and credit note	2018-07-18	<u>ک</u>	
CEN/TS 16931-3-3:2017 (WI=00434009) Electronic invoicing - Part 3-3: Syntax binding for UN/CEFACT XML Industry Invoice D16B	2017-10-18) ,	
CEN/TS 16931-3-4:2017 (WI=00434010) Electronic involcing - Part 3-4: Syntax binding for UN/EDIFACT INVOIC D16B	2017-10-18	7	
EN 16931-1:2017 (WI=00434001) Electronic invoicing - Part 1: Semantic data model of the core elements of an electronic invoice	2017-06-28	`₩	



	••••••••
• •••••••••	
••••••	
•••••	
	• •••••
	•• •••••• •••••

Experiences from implementers

Martin Forsberg DIGIT

Challenges in implementation (Based on a survey and dialog with 25 Nordic implementers)



5 = very challenging, 0 = not challenging

Supporting tools

- All implementers are regularly using validation services
- Most of them have been in contact with some support channel (CEF, SFTI, others)
- Most of them have attended trainings and seminars
- Few are using online forums







Syntaxes which comply with the European standard on eInvoicing

Martin Forsberg DIGIT

Many syntaxes – a problem?

- There are a large number of syntaxes in use
- Many communities are already using e-invoicing since a long time
- Use of many syntaxes result in interoperability problems

(9)

In order to further simplify the use of electronic invoicing and to reduce costs, one of the long-term objectives should be to **limit the number of syntaxes used**, preferably by concentrating on those most commonly used.

Article 3 Establishment of a European standard

The Commission shall request that the relevant European standardisation organisation **provide a list with a limited number of syntaxes** which comply with the European standard on electronic invoicing, the appropriate syntax bindings and guidelines on transmission interoperability, in order to facilitate the use of such standard.

Article 7

Receipt and processing of electronic invoices

Member States shall ensure that contracting authorities and contracting entities receive and process electronic invoices which comply with the European standard on electronic invoicing whose reference has been published pursuant to Article 3(2) and with **any of the syntaxes on the list** published pursuant to Article 3(2).



The standardization request from EC defined a number of criteria

Req ID Requirement of sub-requirement

1	Comply with the core invoice semantic data model specified in the EN
2	Be international, open and free to use
3	Have a governance and sustainability model
3.1	There is an established organisation maintaining the syntax (format)
3.2	There is a maintenance process that is: - documented with defined participation and voting rules; - governed; - open to participation for stakeholders.
3.3	There is a funding model allowing further development and maintenance.
3.4	Support can be provided (consulting, educating, training) to solution providers (implementers) or users (companies, PAs etc.).
4	Be part of a coherent set of standards and technical specifications to support the broader e-procurement process or the broader e- invoicing supply chain
5	Be widely used in the EU or worldwide
6	Be used in production environments (and not just test) by both the public and the private sector
7	Reflect well-accepted technology and aim to incorporate the latest technological developments considered to be state of the art
8	Have guidelines, code lists, validating tools freely available to ease implementation by ICT vendors and suppliers
9	Have a set of official, freely available syntax-dependent artefacts for validation (the XML Schema or Schematron) to support tool independent validation
10	Have an official updating and versioning strategy that takes due account of backward compatibility, as well as appropriate guidelines for customisation that explain how to extend and restrict the syntax



Specifications from CEN/TC434

Reference	WG	Title
EN 16931-1	WG1	Electronic invoicing - Part 1: Semantic data model of the core elements of an electronic invoice
TS 16931-2	WG2	Electronic invoicing - Part 2: List of syntaxes that comply with EN 16931-1
TS 16931-3-1	WG3	Electronic invoicing - Part 3-1: Methodology for syntax bindings of the core elements of an electronic invoice
TS 16931-3-2	WG3	Electronic invoicing - Part 3-2: Syntax binding for ISO/IEC 19845 (UBL 2.1) invoice and credit note
TS 16931-3-3	WG3	Electronic invoicing - Part 3-3: Syntax binding for UN/CEFACT XML Cross Industry Invoice D16B
TS 16931-3-4	WG3	Electronic invoicing - Part 3-4: Syntax binding for UN/EDIFACT INVOIC D16B
TS 16931-3-5	₩G3	Electronic invoicing Part 3-5: Syntax binding for the Financial Invoice based on ISO 20022
TR 16931-4	WG4	Electronic invoicing - Part 4: Guidelines on interoperability of electronic invoices at the transmission guideline
TR 16931-5	WG5	Electronic invoicing - Part 5: Guidelines on the use of sector or country extensions in conjunction with EN 16931-1, methodology to be applied in the real environment
TR 16931-6	WG6	Electronic invoicing - Part 6: result of the test of EN 16931-1 with respect to its practical application for an end user



Specifications from CEN/TC434

Reference	WG	Title
EN 16931-1	WG1	Electronic invoicing - Part 1: Semantic data model of the core elements of an electronic invoice
TS 16931-2	WG2	Electronic invoicing - Part 2: List of syntaxes that comply with EN 16931-1
TS 16931-3-1	WG3	Electronic invoicing - Part 3-1: Methodology for syntax bindings of the core elements of an electronic invoice
TS 16931-3-2	WG3	Electronic invoicing - Part 3-2: Syntax binding for ISO/IEC 19845 (UBL 2.1) invoice and credit note
TS 16931-3-3	WG3	Electronic invoicing - Part 3-3: Syntax binding for UN/CEFACT XML Cross Industry Invoice D16B
TS 16931-3-4	WG3	Electronic invoicing - Part 3-4: Syntax binding for UN/EDIFACT INVOIC D16B
TS 16931-3-5	WG3	Electronic invoicing Part 3-5: Syntax binding for the Financial Invoice based on ISO 20022
TR 16931-4	WG4	Electronic invoicing - Part 4: Guidelines on interoperability of electronic invoices at the transmission guideline
TR 16931-5	WG5	Electronic invoicing - Part 5: Guidelines on the use of sector or country extensions in conjunction with EN 16931-1, methodology to be applied in the real environment
TR 16931-6	WG6	Electronic invoicing - Part 6: result of the test of EN 16931-1 with respect to its practical application for an end user



Specifications from CEN/TC434

Reference	WG	Title	
EN 16931-1	WG1	Electronic invoicing - Part 1: Semantic data model of the core elements of an electronic invoice	
TS 16931-2	WG2	Electronic invoicing - Part 2: List of syntaxes that comply with EN 16931-1	•
TS 16931-3-1	WG3	Electronic invoicing - Part 3-1: Methodology for syntax bindings of the core elements of an electronic invoice	
TS 16931-3-2	WG3	Electronic invoicing - Part 3-2: Syntax binding for ISO/IEC 19845 (UBL 2.1) invoice and credit note	
TS 16931-3-3	WG3	Electronic invoicing - Part 3-3: Syntax binding for UN/CEFACT XML Cross Industry Invoice D16B	
TS 16931-3-4	WG3	Electronic invoicing - Part 3-4: Syntax binding for UN/EDIFACT INVOIC D16B	
TS 16931-3-5	WG3	Electronic invoicing Part 3-5: Syntax binding for the Financial Invoice based on ISO 20022	
TR 16931-4	WG4	Electronic invoicing - Part 4: Guidelines on interoperability of electronic invoices at the transmission guideline	
TR 16931-5	WG5	Electronic invoicing - Part 5: Guidelines on the use of sector or country extensions in conjunction with EN 16931-1, methodology to be applied in the real environment	
TR 16931-6	WG6	Electronic invoicing - Part 6: result of the test of EN 16931-1 with respect to its practical application for an end user	





Syntax bindings

Syntax binding specifications





Syntax binding – Semantic model \rightarrow Syntax

ID	Level	Card.	ВТ	Desc.	DT	Path	Type	Card.	Match	Rules
BT-1	1	11	Invoice number	A unique identification of the Invoice.	Ι	/Invoice/cbc:ID	Ι	11		
BT-2	1	11	Invoice issue date	The date when the Invoice was issued.	D	/Invoice/cbc:IssueDate	D	11		
BT-3	1	11	Invoice type code	A code specifying the functional type of the Invoice.	С	/Invoice/cbc:InvoiceTypeCode	С	01	CAR-2	
BT-5	1	11	Invoice currency code	The currency in which all Invoice amounts are given, except for the Total VAT amount in accounting currency.	С	/Invoice/cbc:DocumentCurrencyCode	С	01	CAR-2	
BT-6	1	01	VAT accounting currency code	The currency used for VAT accounting and reporting purposes as accepted or required in the country of the Seller.	С	/Invoice/cbc:TaxCurrencyCode	С	01	SEM-2	



Syntax binding – Syntax \rightarrow Semantic model

Path	Card.	ID	Level	Card.	BT	Desc.		
/Invoice								
/Invoice/cbc:CustomizationID	01	BT- 24	2	11	Specification identifier	An identification of the specification containing the total set of rules regarding semantic content, cardinalities and business rules to which the data contained in the instance document conforms.	Ι	
/Invoice/cbc:ProfileID	01	BT- 23	2	01	Business process type	Identifies the business process context in which the transaction appears, to enable the Buyer to process the Invoice in an appropriate way.	Т	
/Invoice/cbc:ID	11	BT-1	1	11	Invoice number	A unique identification of the Invoice.	Ι	
/Invoice/cbc:IssueDate	11	BT-2	1	11	Invoice issue date	The date when the Invoice was issued.	D	
/Invoice/cbc:DueDate	01	BT-9	1	01	Payment due date	The date when the payment is due.	D	
/Invoice/cbc:InvoiceTypeCode	01	BT-3	1	11	Invoice type code	A code specifying the functional type of the Invoice.	С	



Not a simple pair matching game

- Not all business terms can be mapped to a single element, often qualifiers are necessary
- The syntaxes have different structures and order of elements
- The syntaxes may have different cardinalities or even datatypes
- The syntax mappings have additional and separate validation rules





•••••	
••••	
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••

Usage specifications and compliance

Martin Forsberg DIGIT

Compliance and conformance - The European standard defines these concepts

Compliant

some or all features of the core invoice model are used and all rules of the core invoice model are respected Conformant

all rules of the core invoice model are respected and some additional features not defined in the core invoice model are also used



Extensions

Core Invoice Usage Specifications

From article 7 in the directive

Receipt and processing of electronic invoices

Member States shall ensure that contracting authorities and contracting entities receive and process electronic invoices which **comply** with the European standard on electronic invoicing whose reference has been published pursuant to Article 3(2) and with any of the syntaxes on the list published pursuant to Article 3(2).



Core – something in common

International Standard Syntax (CII/UBL)

IMPORTANT

An invoice which follows a CIUS MUST ALWAYS also be compliant towards the (non-restricted) norm.



Requirements for the contracting authorities/entities

From article 7

Receipt and processing of electronic invoices

Member States shall ensure that contracting authorities and contracting entities **receive and process electronic invoices which comply with the European standard on electronic invoicing** whose reference has been published pursuant to Article 3(2) and with any of the syntaxes on the list published pursuant to Article 3(2).



Claiming compliance towards the norm

Compliance of sending or receiving party

A receiving party may only claim compliance to the core invoice model if he accepts invoices that comply with the core invoice model in general, **or with a CIUS**, that is itself compliant with the core invoice model.



What is allowed to restrict in a Core Invoice Usage Specification

- "Forbid" optional elements 0..n/0..1 → 0..0
- Make definition narrower
- Add synonyms or explanatory text
- Make optional element mandatory
- Limit allowed number of repetitions
- Change data type to narrower representation (alphanumeric
 → numeric)
- Limited allowed code values
- Add additional business rules or make existing more restrictive
- Restrict field lengths
- Require certain formatting on values
- Restrict number of decimals/fractions

IMPORTANT

An invoice which follows a CIUS MUST ALWAYS also be compliant towards the (non-restricted) norm.



A few scenarios



Assuming the invoices are conformant against its specifcation (EN/CIUS/Extension)



A few more scenarios





≡ 📷 Spaces 🕶 People



Pages > elnvoicing User Community > Contribute

SPACE SHORTCUTS

CEF Knowledge Base

PAGE TREE

elnvoicing news & events

- > Forum
- ➤ Contribute
- CEF elnvoicing Implementation Work
- Guidance Paper for EU public admini:
- Invoicing Pioneer Group
- Community-driven Registry of CIU
- Catalogue of Good Practices to supp
- Older posts (CONTRIBUTE)
- Follow-up actions after the CEF elnvc
- > Archive
- Meta
- Links

Community-driven Registry of CIUS (Core Invoice Usage Specifications) and Extensions

Created by Ines COSTA, last modified by Philip HELGER on Oct 29, 2018

Торіс	Registry of CIUS (Core Invoice Usage Specifications) and Extensions
Excerpt	This page aims to give the elnvoicing community the opportunity to share the ongoing and planned initiatives across Member States and sectors to create CIUS and Extensions on the European standard on elnvoicing.
Status	OPEN
Deadline	Ongoing

Provide information on CIUS and Extensions

The table below aims to give the elnvoicing community the opportunity to share the ongoing and planned initiatives across Member States and sectors to create CIUS and Extensions on the European standard on elnvoicing. The content is community-driven and the contributors take the sole responsibility of the information shared. Please note that the information available does not have an authoritative character.

We invite you to contribute to build on the information available about the CIUS and Extensions on the European standard on elnvoicing by filling the table below:

Name	Туре	Country	Sector	Purpose of the CIUS or Extension	Publisher	Governor	Underlying specification	Further info	Status	Contact
OpenPEPPOL BIS 3.0 5A	CIUS	Any	Any	Restricts the business process scope of the EN with reference to BIS2 business processes.	OpenPEPPOL	OpenPEPPOL	EN16931	http://docs.peppol.eu/poacc/billing/3.0/	ACTIVE	@ Olav Astad KRISTIANSEN
Icelandic national CIUS	CIUS	IS	Any	Applies national regulations and imposes data format to payment instructions when using national payment clearing services.	IST	ISgov	PEPPOL BIS 3.0 5A	http://www.stadlar.is/stadlastarf /fagstadlarad-i-upplysingataekni.aspx	DEVELOPMENT	@ Georg BIRGISSON
Austrian national CIUS	CIUS	AT	Any	Apply national regulations	BRZ	BRZ	EN16931	Publication on eRechnung.gv.at asap	ACTIVE	Philip HELGER
Austrian government CIUS	CIUS	AT	Any	Additional regulations only applying to the mandatory government interface. This CIUS builds on top of the Austrian national CIUS!	BRZ	BRZ	AT national CIUS	Publication on eRechnung.gv.at asap	ACTIVE	@ Philip HELGER
Energy elnvoice	Extension	NL	Energy	Enables the addition of information concerning: 1) Measured energy use, including meter info, meter readings, fuel type etc. 2) VAT specification for more than one party, which is a consequence of the so called supplier-centered model.	Energy elnvoice steering committee	Energy elnvoice steering committee	Simplerinvoicing (SI-UBL)	https://energie-efactuur.nl/en/	DEVELOPMENT	Wouter van den Berg (TNO
Italian national CIUS	CIUS	IT	Any	Applies national regulations and restricts data format in compliance with elnvoice national format (FatturaPA)	AgID, AdE	AgID, AdE	EN16931	http://www.agid.gov.it/agenda-digitale /pubblica-amministrazione/cef- telecom-einvoicing-eigor	DEVELOPMENT	Fabio MASSIMI
NLCIUS	CIUS	NL	Any	Applies national regulations and conventions. The purpose of	NEN / SMeF	NEN / SMeF	EN16931	NLCIUS is a joint initiative of	ACTIVE	Michiel Stornebrink (TNO)

Q

ଷ

Identification of a CIUS

- Business term Specification Identifier indicates that the message complies to the European standard
- Also used to identify if it follows a specific CIUS

```
_<CreditNote xmlns="urn:oasis:names:specification:ubl:schema:xsd:CreditNote-2"</pre>
xmlns:cac="urn:oasis:names:specification:ubl:schema:xsd:CommonAggregateComponents-2"
xmlns:cbc="urn:oasis:names:specification:ubl:schema:xsd:CommonBasicComponents-2">
  <cbc:CustomizationID>urn:cen.eu:en16931:2017#compliant#urn:fdc:peppol.eu:2017:poacc:billing:3.0</cbc:CustomizationID>
  <cbc:ProfileID>urn:fdc:peppol.eu:2017:poacc:billing:01:1.0</cbc:ProfileID>
  <cbc:ID>018304 / 28865</cbc:ID>
  <cbc:IssueDate>2019-09-23</cbc:IssueDate>
  <cbc:CreditNoteTypeCode listID="UNCL1001">381</cbc:CreditNoteTypeCode>
  <cbc:DocumentCurrencyCode>EUR</cbc:DocumentCurrencyCode>
  <cbc:BuyerReference>018304 / 28865</cbc:BuyerReference>
  <cac:InvoicePeriod>
-)
    <cbc:StartDate>2019-02-01</cbc:StartDate>
    <cbc:EndDate>2019-02-28</cbc:EndDate>
  </cac:InvoicePeriod>
  <cac:AccountingSupplierParty>
    <cac:Party>
       <cbc:EndpointID schemeID="9956">0000000196</cbc:EndpointID>
       <cac:PartvName>
.)
```



General rules and country-qualified rules

- A general rule applies for all invoices
 - The rule is triggered by the existence of a spefic business term

```
Rule text from the standardIn an Invoice line where the Invoice item VAT category code(BT-151) is "Export outside the EU" the Invoiced item VATrate (BT-152) shall be 0 (zero).Context (what triggers the rule)Existence ofInvoiceLine/Item/ClassifiedTax/CategoryCode='XYZ'
```

Example rule text from a CIUS The Seller Name must not have more than 50 characters Context (what triggers the rule) Existence of

Seller/Name

- A **country-qualified rule** applies only for invoices issued in a specific country
 - The rule is triggered by the given country code of the seller

Example rule text from a Country specific CIUS When the Seller is Swedish, the Legal Registration Number must be numeric with 10 digits. Context (what triggers the rule) Existence of Seller/Address/CountryCode='SE' AND existence of Seller/LegalRegistrationNumber



Layers of validation rules in **PEPPOL**





National rules in PEPPOL CIUS

To avoid creation of national CIUS'es:

- affected based on the country of the seller.
- Don't affect invoices issued in other countries.
- PEPPOL Authority responsible

Appendix C: National rules

The following rules have been defined by PEPPOL Authorities in addition to the rules for <u>PEPPOL</u> BIS in general. These rules are affected based on the country of the seller, and will not affect invoices issued in other countries. They apply in **all** profiles that use this transaction specification.

National rules are provided by each country's PEPPOL Authority, and if you need any changes or additions to these rules, please contact your PEPPOL Authority.

Table 18. National transaction business rules

Rule	Message/Context/Test						
DK-R-001 (warning)	For Danish suppliers when the Accounting code is known, it should be referred on the Invoice.						
	ubl-creditnote:CreditNote ubl-invoice:Invoice						
	not(cac:AccountingSupplierParty/cac:Party/cac:PostalAddress/cac:Country/cbc:IdentificationCode = 'DK' and (normalize-space(cbc:AccountingCost/text()) = ''))						
DK-R-002 (fatal)	Danish suppliers MUST provide legal entity (CVR-number).						
	ubl-creditnote:CreditNote ubl-invoice:Invoice						
	not(cac:AccountingSupplierParty/cac:Party/cac:PostalAddress/cac:Country/cbc:IdentificationCode = 'DK' and (normalize- space(./cac:AccountingSupplierParty/cac:Party/cac:PartyLegalEntity/cbc:CompanyID/text()) = ''))						



Example - Swedish rules

- Formats for VAT and organisation numbers
- Swedish VAT rates
- Tax registration F-Skatt
- Payment means Bankgiro and Plusgiro

	SE-R-001 For Swedish suppliers, Swedish VAT-numbers must consist of 14 characters.	fatal
	SE-R-002 For Swedish suppliers, the Swedish VAT-numbers must have the trailing 12 characters in numeric form	fatal
	SE-R-003 Swedish organisation numbers should be numeric.	fatal
	SE-R-004 Swedish organisation numbers consist of 10 characters.	fatal
	SE-R-005 For Swedish suppliers, when using Seller tax registration identifier, 'Godkänd för F-skatt' must be stated	fatal
	SE-R-006 For Swedish suppliers, only standard VAT rate of 6, 12 or 25 are used	fatal
	SE-R-007 For Swedish suppliers using Plusgiro, the Account ID must be numeric	warning
	SE-R-008 For Swedish suppliers using Bankgiro, the Account ID must be numeric	warning
	SE-R-009 For Swedish suppliers using Bankgiro, the Account ID must have 7-8 characters	warning
	SE-R-010 For Swedish suppliers using Plusgiro, the Account ID must have 2-8 characteres	warning
	SE-R-011 For Swedish suppliers using Swedish Bankgiro or Plusgiro, the proper way to indicate this is to use Code 30 for PaymentMeans and FinancialInstitutionBranch ID with code SE:BANKGIRO or SE:PLUSGIRO	warning



Mentimeter

www.menti.com

Enter #11 10 31









Taking e-Invoicing to the next level

Martin Forsberg Christian Vindinge Rasmussen DIGIT

Requirements for the contracting authorities/entities

From article 7

Receipt and processing of electronic invoices

Member States shall ensure that contracting authorities and contracting entities **receive and process electronic invoices** which comply with the **European standard on electronic invoicing** whose reference has been published pursuant to Article 3(2) and with **any of the syntaxes on the list** published pursuant to Article 3(2).

a list with a limited number of syntaxes which comply with the European standard on electronic invoicing Semantic data model of the core elements of an electronic invoice



Definitions

(1) **'electronic invoice**' means an invoice that has been issued, transmitted and received in a structured electronic format which allows for its automatic and electronic processing;

(2) **'core elements of an electronic invoice**' means a set of essential information components which an electronic invoice must contain in order to enable cross-border interoperability, including the necessary information to ensure legal compliance;

(3) '**semantic data model**' means a structured and logically interrelated set of terms and their meanings that specify the core elements of an electronic invoice;

(4) **'syntax**' means the machine readable language or dialect used to represent the data elements contained in an electronic invoice;

(5) **'syntax bindings**' means guidelines on how a semantic data model for an electronic invoice could be represented in the various syntaxes;









16 April 2014 Directive 2014/55/EU

17 October 2017

Publication of the reference to the European Standard on eInvoicing in the Official Journa

18 April 2019

Deadline for Member States to transpose into national law

18 April 2020

Extended deadline (upon request) for contracting authorities and entities which are not central government authorities

So eInvoicing, in the context of the Directive, is

• Formatted in a structured way so that it can be processed efficiently

• Issued, transmitted and received electronically

This rules out:

• Paper invoices which are scanned by the receiver but managed in an electronic workflow system

• PDF-invoices created by the issuer and sent to the receiver
Implementation of the Directive – requirements on public entities and suppliers

- 1. As is no additional restrictions
- 2. As 1 but with policy that requirement for eInvoice must be part of contracts/call for tenders
- 3. Requirement for suppliers to also send

Level of readiness



From the buyer's perspective

Buyer process



- The invoice is received
- The invoice is routed automatically to the workflow
- The supplier is known by the buyer
- There is a buyer reference in the invoice for forwarding in the workflow
- · The invoice is assessed, approved and payment is initiated



Buyer process Automated assessment/validation



- The invoice is received
- The invoice is routed automatically to the workflow
- The supplier is known by the buyer
- The invoice has a reference to an order or a registered object (e.g subscription number, rent object id). Rules for approval is associated with the registered object
- The invoice is automatically assessed, approved and payment is initiated



Buyer process Unknown supplier



- The invoice is received
- The invoice is routed automatically to the workflow
- The supplier is not known by the buyer and is placed in a queue for handling
- The supplier is accepted and registered in the system
- There is a reference in the invoice for forwarding in the workflow
- The invoice is assessed, approved and payment is initiated





Workflow using BuyerReference 47 445 37,70% Order matched invoices 32 000 25,43% Periodical/non-ordering invoices 23149 18,39% Paper invoices 23 255 18,48% Total 125 849 100%

City of Skövde, Sweden – an example



Payment process

Payment reconciliation

- Payment reconciliation is the process where the supplier determines whether the invoices have been paid
- The supplier must verify that the records match are all invoices paid?
- Often payments are done in batches and do not correspond one-to-one with the invoice





Payment reconciliation

- Payment reconciliation is the process where the supplier determines whether the invoices have been paid
- The supplier must verify that the records match are all invoices paid?
- Often payments are done in batches and do not correspond one-to-one with the invoice





Referencing in payments

- Remittance information in the invoice can be used to connect the events
- Extra important when handling many customers





Referencing in payments

- Remittance information in the invoice can be used to connect the events
- Extra important when handling many customers





Referencing in payments

- Remittance information in the invoice can be used to connect the events
- Extra important when handling many customers



In the European Standard, the business

Governence and policy

Governance...

Characteristics from countries with high penetration of e-Invoicing

- Strong initiative from public sector
- Either a governmental authority or collaboration between several
- Provide policy/directions standards and infrastructure
- Give support and provide capacity building
- Involvement in EU-level initiatives
- EMSFEI (High level and policy issues)
- OpenPEPPOL (Operational and practical issues)



Examples of things to consider when developing a roadmap for eInvoicing **Policy for For the supplier/issuer** Suppliers have a diverse environment (such as different technical solutions, processes, maturity level) Clear policy around standards helps System/service of the supplier Supporting tools can be provided – validation tools, presentation style sheets, translations Aligned requirements on use of references and identifiers if possible **Policy for Interconnectivity** • Minimum requirement on technology for how to connect and for service providers to collaborate Publicly available strategy on how to handle the European standard – both domestically and cross border (CIUS) Transmission cross border (eDelivery) Receive -0 System/service of the customer Policy for the public sector • Up to each entity to tender for solutions or centrally provided? Maturity level of the public entities – are temporary solutions necessarv? Mandate eInvoicing? Through law/contracts? Also below threshold? Centrally provided supporting tools, help desk, training and capacity building?

Level of readiness



Mentimeter

www.menti.com

Enter #11 10 31







Introduction from a European Point of View

Martin Forsberg DIGIT

Background

- Problems with many standards
- Lack of normative contextualised standards (only workshop agreements)
- **Different approaches and ambitions** in Member States to implementing eInvoicing and eProcurement
- The Directive on electronic invoicing in public procurement (<u>Directive 2014/55/EU</u>) was developed, setting a **minimum requirement** for the public sector
- The Directive can in the transposition add further requirements

From the Directive

The benefits of electronic invoicing are maximised when the generation, sending, transmission, reception and processing of an invoice can be fully automated.

• • •

A mere image file should not be considered to be an electronic invoice for the purpose of this Directive.



Requirements for the contracting authorities/entities

From article 7

Receipt and processing of electronic invoices

Member States shall ensure that contracting authorities and contracting entities **receive and process electronic invoices** which comply with the **European standard on electronic invoicing** whose reference has been published pursuant to Article 3(2) and with **any of the syntaxes on the list** published pursuant to Article 3(2).

a list with a limited number of syntaxes which comply with the European standard on electronic invoicing Semantic data model of the core elements of an electronic invoice



Definitions

(1) **'electronic invoice**' means an invoice that has been issued, transmitted and received in a structured electronic format which allows for its automatic and electronic processing;

(2) **'core elements of an electronic invoice**' means a set of essential information components which an electronic invoice must contain in order to enable cross-border interoperability, including the necessary information to ensure legal compliance;

(3) '**semantic data model**' means a structured and logically interrelated set of terms and their meanings that specify the core elements of an electronic invoice;

(4) **'syntax**' means the machine readable language or dialect used to represent the data elements contained in an electronic invoice;

(5) **'syntax bindings**' means guidelines on how a semantic data model for an electronic invoice could be represented in the various syntaxes;









16 April 2014 Directive 2014/55/EU

17 October 2017

Publication of the reference to the European Standard on eInvoicing in the Official Journa

18 April 2019

Deadline for Member States to transpose into national law

18 April 2020

Extended deadline (upon request) for contracting authorities and entities which are not central government authorities

So eInvoicing, in the context of the Directive, is

• Formatted in a structured way so that it can be processed efficiently

• Issued, transmitted and received electronically

This rules out:

• Paper invoices which are scanned by the receiver but managed in an electronic workflow system

• PDF-invoices created by the issuer and sent to the receiver

Implementation of the Directive – requirements on public entities and suppliers

- 1. As is no additional restrictions
- 2. As 1 but with policy that requirement for eInvoice must be part of contracts/call for tenders
- 3. Requirement for suppliers to also send

Round table discussion



Our contact details

Christian Vindinge Rasmussen



Martin Forsberg



Lessons learned

QUESTIONS?



• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••

	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	• • • •

Country facts and plans for the future

Country Facts

- Growing sense of urgency
- Plans are getting more and more concrete
- Still focus on technical aspects
- Lack of appreciation of the change process
- Focus on compliance rather than potential gains





- Responsible
 Danish Business Authority (Ministry of Industry, Business and Financial Affairs)
 - Consolidation Law on public payments, etc. Act. No.798 of 02.28.2007
 - Order on electronic settlement with public administrations, Order No. 206 of 11.03.2011
 - Order on Information and transport of OIOUBL electronic invoice used for electronic settlement with public authorities, Order No. 354 of 03.26.2010

B2G elnvoicing is mandatory in Denmark since 2005

Transposed the Directive 2014/55/EU

Legislation

YES

NO

YES

Mandatory for Submitting: Economic operators Receiving and processing: Central authorities, Regional authorities & Local authorities

- Standard(s)
 OIOUBL (national UBL 2.0 standard) mandatory, BIS 3

 UBL optional
- Platform NemHandel

Use of CIUS and/or Extensions



Responsible	Ministry of Finance and the Agency for Digital Government (DIGG)	Before 2019	2019 →
Legislation	elnvoicing is mandatory in Sweden since 2008 for central government agencies	el nvoice usage in public sector 50% local/regional authorities 60% governmental authorities	PEPPOL CIUS (+Rules for domestic suppliers)
Transposed the Directive 2014/55/EU	VES	oo // governmentar autionties	
Use of the extra year for compliance of non-central entities	NO	<u>Main syntax standard</u> ISO/IEC 19845:2015 UBL	Plans for infrastructure PEPPOL
(by 19 Apr 2020) Mandatory for	Receiving, processing and sending: All public authorities i.e including municipalities and regions and their	Lnfrastructure	Legislation (transposition of the directive)
Standard(s)	suppliers (by 1 April 2019) PEPPOL BIS invoice	Various	Law mandating suppliers to invoice electronically both above and below threshold.
	Svefaktura version 1 (a national subset of UBL 1.0 defined in 2004)		
	SFTI Fulltextfaktura (an Edifact D96A invoice aligned to GS1 EANCOM specifications)		
	These standards are mandatory for central government and recommended for regional and local authorities. The regulations also include PEPPOL-based standards for ordering and delivery processes.		
Platform	Provided to central authorities by solution providers. Use of PEPPOL is encouraged.		
Use of CIUS and/or Extensions	PEPPOL 3 as CIUS		

Responsible	Ministry for the Economy and Finance (policy making) Agency for State Financial Information (AIFE) (implementation and maintenance)	el nvoice usage
egislation	Ordinance No. 2014-697 of 26 June 2014 on the development of electronic invoicing	Small n
	Business Growth and Transformation law - PACTE (currently under Parliamentary examination)	Main syntax sta
	B2G eInvoicing will be mandatory in France gradually from 2017 until 2020	ISO/IEC
ransposed the Directive 2014/55/EU	VES Directive 2014/55/EU was transposed as part of the draft law for the Business Growth and Transformation (PACTE) which was adopted in the first quarter of 2019.	Centrali
Jse of the extra year or compliance of non-central entities by (19 Apr 2020)	YES	
landatory for	Submitting: Economic operators Receiving and processing: Central authorities, Regional authorities & Local authorities	
itandard(s)	OASIS UBL 2.1CII UN/CEFACT	
Platform	Chorus Pro (in production)	

:



CIUS for Chorus ProCIUS for Factur-X, Basic profile

YES

Use of CIUS and/or

Extensions

- Responsible
- Ministry of Economy and Finances, supported by the Revenue Agency

Legislation

Multiple legislation B2G eInvoicing is mandatory since 2014

YES

YES

Transposed the Directive 2014/55/EU

Use of the extra year for compliance of non-central entities (by 19 Apr 2020)

Mandatory forSubmitting: Economic operatorsReceiving and processing: Central authorities, Regional
authorities & Local authorities, Economic operators

Standard(s) FatturaPA (national XML standard)

YES

Platform

Exchange system (Sistema di Interscambio)

:

Use of CIUS and/or Extensions



Mentimeter

www.menti.com

Enter #11 10 31

