



EUROPEAN COMMISSION
DG Competition

Case M.10799 - REGGEBORGH / ELLAKTOR

Only the English text is available and authentic.

**REGULATION (EC) No 139/2004
MERGER PROCEDURE**

Article 6(1)(b) NON-OPPOSITION
Date: 07/07/2022

***In electronic form on the EUR-Lex website under document
number 32022M10799***



EUROPEAN COMMISSION

Brussels, 7.7.2022
C(2022) 4939 final

PUBLIC VERSION

Reggeborgh Invest B.V.
Reggesingel 12
7461 BA Rijssen
The Netherlands

**Subject: Case M.10799 – REGGEBORGH / ELLAKTOR
Commission decision pursuant to Article 6(1)(b) of Council Regulation
(EC) No 139/2004¹ and Article 57 of the Agreement on the European
Economic Area²**

Dear Sir or Madam,

1. On 15 June 2022, the European Commission received notification of a proposed concentration pursuant to Article 4 of the Merger Regulation by which the undertaking Reggeborgh Invest B.V. (“Reggeborgh”, the Netherlands), jointly controlled by Mrs. G. Wessels-Holterman and Mrs. I. Wessels-Bouwens, will acquire within the meaning of Article 3(1)(b) of the Merger Regulation sole control over the whole of the undertaking Ellaktor S.A. (“Ellaktor”, Greece) by way of purchase of shares.³
2. The business activities of the undertakings concerned are the following:
 - Reggeborgh is a diversified investment firm with its roots in the Dutch construction sector. Reggeborgh's portfolio spans a variety of different sectors and includes construction, prefab, industrial supply and infrastructure, waste processing, renewables and energy transition, financial services, technology and cybersecurity and medical research. Reggeborgh is also active in both private and public equity,
 - Ellaktor is an infrastructure group headquartered in Greece with activities mainly in Greece and Romania in five key segments: construction, concessions, environment, renewable energy sources and real estate development and services.

¹ OJ L 24, 29.1.2004, p. 1 (the ‘Merger Regulation’). With effect from 1 December 2009, the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (‘TFEU’) has introduced certain changes, such as the replacement of ‘Community’ by ‘Union’ and ‘common market’ by ‘internal market’. The terminology of the TFEU will be used throughout this decision.

² OJ L 1, 3.1.1994, p. 3 (the ‘EEA Agreement’).

³ Publication in the Official Journal of the European Union No C 245, 28.6.2022, p.21.

3. After examination of the notification, the European Commission has concluded that the notified operation falls within the scope of the Merger Regulation and of paragraph **5(b)** of the Commission Notice on a simplified procedure for treatment of certain concentrations under Council Regulation (EC) No 139/2004.⁴
4. For the reasons set out in the Notice on a simplified procedure, the European Commission has decided not to oppose the notified operation and to declare it compatible with the internal market and with the EEA Agreement. This decision is adopted in application of Article 6(1)(b) of the Merger Regulation and Article 57 of the EEA Agreement.

For the Commission

(Signed)
Olivier GUERSENT
Director-General

⁴ OJ C 366, 14.12.2013, p. 5.