

## **EUROPEAN COMMISSION - PRESS RELEASE**

# Antitrust: Commission imposes €169 million fine on freight forwarders for operating four price fixing cartels

Brussels, 28 March 2012 - The European Commission has fined 14 international groups of companies a total of € 169 million for participating in the period 2002-2007 in four distinct cartels aimed at fixing prices and other trading conditions for international air freight forwarding services, in breach of EU antitrust rules. The freight forwarders colluded on surcharges and charging mechanisms concerning important trade lanes, in particular the Europe-USA and the China/Hong Kong-Europe lanes. Participants and duration varied in each of the four cartels. Deutsche Post (including its subsidiaries DHL and Exel) received full immunity from fines under the Commission's 2006 leniency notice for all four cartels, as it was the first to reveal their existence the Commission.

Commission Vice President in charge of competition policy, Joaquín Almunia, said: "In times of crisis, it is all the more important to stamp out the hidden tax that cartels impose on our economy. These cartels affected individuals and companies shipping goods on important trade lanes. Many European exporters and consumers of imported goods may have been harmed as a result. Companies should be aware that crossing the line and colluding on prices comes at a high price, as today's decision illustrates."

Freight forwarders offer door-to-door air freight forwarding services to businesses and consumers. In four distinct cartels, the cartelists established and coordinated four different surcharges and charging mechanisms, which are component elements of the final price billed to customers for these services.

In most cases, the freight forwarders took specific measures to conceal the cartel behaviour. In one of the cartels ("new export system" cartel, see below), the participants organised their contacts in a so-called "Gardening Club" and code names based on names of vegetables – such as asparagus and baby courgettes – were used when talking about fixing prices. In another, a specific yahoo email account was set up to facilitate exchanges between the cartel participants ("currency adjustment factor" cartel, see below).

The fines were set on the basis of the <u>EU 2006 Guidelines on fines</u>. Deutsche Post (including its subsidiaries DHL and Exel) received full immunity from fines. Deutsche Bahn (including Schenker and BAX), CEVA, Agility and Yusen received reductions of fines ranging from 5 to 50 %. The reductions reflected the timing of their cooperation and the extent to which the evidence they provided helped the Commission to prove the respective cartels.

#### The four cartels

When the UK decided to introduce an electronic declaration for exports in 2003, freight forwarders agreed on establishing a surcharge on this reporting service and to fix its amount according to the size of the customer (the "new export system" or NES cartel).

The "advanced manifest system" (AMS cartel) refers to a regulatory requirement by the US customs to provide advance information on goods to be shipped to the US. In 2003-2004, a group of forwarders agreed to introduce a surcharge for the AMS service, i.e. for processing the electronic transmission of such information to the US customs authorities. They also agreed not to use the surcharge as a tool for competition.

The NES and AMS cartels related to exports respectively from Europe to the rest of the world and from Europe to the US.

In the "currency adjustment factor" (CAF) cartel, following the appreciation of the Chinese currency (RMB) against the USD in 2005, international freight forwarders agreed on a shift of contracts from USD to RMB or, if this was not possible, on the introduction of a CAF surcharge and on its level. The collusion was driven by the fact that in general, the local services at Chinese airports were paid for by forwarders in RMB, while the customers of forwarders were billed in USD which consequently might have led to losses.

In the "peak season surcharge" (PSS) cartel the freight forwarders agreed in so called "Breakfast Meetings" held in Hong Kong on the introduction and timing of a PSS, to be charged during the peak season transport period in the run up to Christmas (lasting generally from September to December) and on occasions also discussed the level of the surcharge.

The CAF and PSS cartels concerned imports of goods from China/Hong Kong to Europe.

# **Fines**

The individual fines per cartel are as follows:

New Export System cartel	Reduction under the Leniency Notice	Fine (EUR)
Kuehne + Nagel Ltd. <sup>1</sup> and Kuehne + Nagel International AG		5 320 000
Schenker Limited (as an economic successor of BAX Global Ltd. (UK))		3 673 000
UPS Supply Chain Solutions, Inc. (as an economic successor of Menlo Worldwide Forwarding, Inc.)		2 264 000
CEVA Freight (UK) Limited, and EGL, Inc.	35%	2 094 000
DHL Global Forwarding (UK) Limited and Deutsche Post AG	100%	0
Exel Freight Management (UK) Limited and Exel Limited	100%	0

<sup>1</sup> Entity based in the United Kingdom.

Advanced Manifest System cartel	Reduction under the Leniency Notice	Fine (EUR)
Kuehne + Nagel Management AG and Kuehne + Nagel International AG		36 686 000
Panalpina Management AG and Panalpina World Transport (Holding) Ltd		23 649 000
Schenker AG and Deutsche Bahn AG	25%	23 091 000
UPS Supply Chain Solutions, Inc. and United Parcel Service, Inc.		3 582 000
UTi Worldwide, Inc., UTi Worldwide (UK) Ltd and UTi Nederland B.V. <sup>2</sup>		3 068 000
Agility Logistics Limited	30%	2 296 000
DSV Air & Sea SAS		379 000
DHL Management (Schweiz) AG and Deutsche Post AG	100%	0
Exel Limited, Exel Freight Management (UK) Limited and Exel Group Holdings (Nederland) B.V.	100%	0

Currency Adjustment Factor cartel	Reduction under the Leniency Notice	Fine (EUR)
UPS SCS (China) Ltd. and United Parcel Service, Inc.		3 916 000
Panalpina China Ltd and Panalpina World Transport (Holding) Ltd		3 251 000
Schenker China Ltd. and Deutsche Bahn AG	20%	3 071 000
Schenker China Ltd. (as an economic successor of BAX Global (China) Co. Ltd.)	20%	2 444 000
CEVA Freight Shanghai Limited and EGL, Inc.	50%	935 000
Nippon Express (China) Co., Ltd.		812 000
Beijing Kintetsu World Express Co.,		623 000

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Legal entities within the undertaking are held jointly and severally liable for the whole or part of the fine imposed.

Ltd.		
Kuehne + Nagel Ltd. <sup>3</sup> and Kuehne + Nagel International AG		451 000
Yusen Shenda Air & Sea Service (Shanghai) Ltd.	5%	319 000
DHL Global Forwarding (China) Co. Ltd.	100%	0
DHL Logistics (China) Co., Ltd.	100%	0

<sup>3</sup> Entity based in Shanghai.

Peak Season Surcharge cartel	Reduction under the Leniency Notice	Fine (EUR)
Panalpina China Ltd and Panalpina World Transport (Holding) Ltd		19 584 000
Kuehne + Nagel Ltd. <sup>4</sup> and Kuehne + Nagel International AG		11 217 000
Hellmann Worldwide Logistics Ltd. Hong Kong and Hellmann Worldwide Logistics GmbH & Co. KG		4 281 000
Expeditors Hong Kong Ltd. and Expeditors International of Washington, Inc.		4 140 000
Toll Global Forwarding (Hong Kong) Limited and Toll Global Forwarding Limited		2 918 000
Agility Logistics Limited (Hong Kong)	25%	2 662 000
Schenker International (H.K.) Ltd. and Deutsche Bahn AG	50%	2 656 000
DHL Global Forwarding (Hong Kong) Limited and Deutsche Post AG	100%	0
DHL Supply Chain (Hong Kong) Limited and Exel Limited	100%	0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Entity based in Hong Kong.

# **Background**

Article 101 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU) prohibits cartels and restrictive business practices.

The Commission's investigation started with unannounced inspections in October 2007 (see MEMO/07/406). A Statement of Objections was issued on 5 February 2010 (see MEMO/10/149) on which the companies had the opportunity to comment and to be heard.

A non-confidential version of today's decision will be published when available at the following address:

http://ec.europa.eu/competition/elojade/isef/case\_details.cfm?proc\_code=1\_39462

For more information on the Commission's action against cartels, see its <u>cartels</u> website.

## **Action for damages**

Any person or firm affected by anti-competitive behaviour as described in this case may bring the matter before the courts of the Member States and seek damages. The case law of the Court and Council Regulation 1/2003 both confirm that in cases before national courts, a Commission decision is binding proof that the behaviour took place and was illegal. Even though the Commission has fined the companies concerned, damages may be awarded without these being reduced on account of the Commission fine.

The Commission considers that meritorious claims for damages should be aimed at compensating, in a fair way, the victims of an infringement for the harm done. More information on antitrust damages actions, including the public consultation and a citizens' summary, is available at:

http://ec.europa.eu/comm/competition/antitrust/actionsdamages/documents.html

### Contacts:

Antoine Colombani (+32 2 297 45 13)

Marisa Gonzalez Iglesias (+32 2 295 19 25)