



**Review of Scientific Literature Relevant to the
Food/Feed and Environmental Risk Assessment of
FG72 soybean**

TEST GUIDELINE(S):

Not Applicable

AUTHOR(S):



COMPLETION DATE:

November 21, 2022

PERFORMING LABORATORY:

Syngenta Crop Protection, LLC
410 Swing Road
Post Office Box 18300
Greensboro, NC 27419-8300 USA

LABORATORY PROJECT ID:

Report Number: RIR-0010912_22

SPONSOR(S):

Syngenta Crop Protection, LLC
410 Swing Road
Post Office Box 18300
Greensboro, NC 27419-8300 USA

STATEMENT OF DATA CONFIDENTIALITY CLAIMS

The following statement applies to submissions to the United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA).

Statement of No Data Confidentiality Claim

No claim of confidentiality is made for any information contained in this report on the basis of its falling within the scope of Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) Section 10 (d) (1) (A), (B), or (C).

Company: *Syngenta Seeds, LLC*

Company Representative:

[REDACTED]

November 18, 2022

[REDACTED]

Date

Team Lead, Regulatory Affairs

These data are the property of Syngenta Seeds, LLC and, as such, are considered to be confidential for all purposes other than compliance with the regulations implementing FIFRA Section 10. Submission of these data in compliance with FIFRA does not constitute a waiver of any right to confidentiality that may exist under any other provision of common law or statute or in any other country.

The following statement applies to submissions to regulatory agencies and other competent authorities other than the US EPA and all other viewers.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Without the prior written consent of Syngenta, this information may (i) not be used by any third party including, but not limited to, any other regulatory authority for the support of regulatory approval of this product or any other product, and (ii) not be published or disclosed to any third party including, but not limited to, any authority for the support of regulatory approval of any products.

Its submission does not constitute a waiver of any right to confidentiality that may exist in any other country.

GOOD LABORATORY PRACTICE COMPLIANCE STATEMENT

This is not a study as defined by 40 CFR Part 160.3 and is therefore not subject to Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act Good Laboratory Practice Standards (GLPS; US EPA, 1989). However, all components of this analysis were performed according to accepted scientific practices, and relevant records have been retained.

Author:

[REDACTED]

November 21, 2022

[REDACTED]

Date

Technical Expert, Product Safety
Syngenta Crop Protection, LLC
9 Davis Drive
Post Office Box 12257
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709-2257 USA

Submitted by:

[REDACTED]

November 18, 2022

[REDACTED]

Date

Team Lead, Regulatory Affairs
Syngenta Crop Protection, LLC
9 Davis Drive
Post Office Box 12257
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709-2257 USA

Sponsor:

[REDACTED]

November 18, 2022

[REDACTED]

Date

Technical Leader, Product Safety
Syngenta Crop Protection, LLC
410 Swing Road
Post Office Box 18300
Greensboro, NC 27419-8300 USA

TABLE OF CONTENTS

STATEMENT OF DATA CONFIDENTIALITY CLAIMS	2
GOOD LABORATORY PRACTICE COMPLIANCE STATEMENT	3
TABLE OF CONTENTS	3
LIST OF TABLES	5
LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS	6
1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	7
2.0 INTRODUCTION	7
3.0 METHODS	8
3.1 Formulating the Review Question and Clarifying its Purpose.....	8
3.2 Searching for/Identifying Relevant Publications	14
3.2.1 Database searches.....	14
3.2.2 Internet searches.....	17
3.2.3 Manual searches	19
3.2.4 Use of multiple languages.....	19
3.2.5 Time period.....	19
3.3 Reviewing Publications for Relevance	20
3.3.1 Review of database records.....	20
3.3.2 Review of internet records from key organizations	20
4.0 SUMMARISING AND REPORTING THE DATA, AND CONSIDERING THE IMPLICATIONS OF THE FINDINGS	21
4.1 Summary of the Search and Publication Selection Process	21
4.2 Lists of Bibliographic References for Relevant Publications	26
4.3 Lists of Bibliographic References for all Excluded Publications After Detailed Assessment of Full-Text Documents for Relevance	26
4.4 List of the Bibliographic References for all Unobtainable Publications.....	33
4.5 List of the Bibliographic References for all Unclear Publications	33
4.6 Full-Text Documents	33
4.7 Implications of Relevant Publications to the Risk Assessment of FG72 soybean.....	33
5.0 STUDY RECORDS	35
5.1 Records Maintained	35
5.2 Archiving of Study Records.....	35
6.0 REFERENCES	35
APPENDICES SECTION	38
APPENDIX A. Key Personnel Qualifications and Expertise.....	39
APPENDIX B. Pilot Study.....	40

APPENDIX C.	Database Information	41
APPENDIX D.	Development of the Database Search Strategy	42
APPENDIX E.	Reference Publications	45
APPENDIX F.	Search History and Subject Indexing	46

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 1	Review question in PICO/PECO structure	8
TABLE 2	Eligibility/inclusion criteria to establish relevance	9
TABLE 3	Overview of main categories of information/data requirements.....	11
TABLE 4	Search string strategy	15
TABLE 5	Key organization pages included in the search	17
TABLE 6	Results of the publication selection process, for each review question and/or category of information/data requirement or group of information/data requirements searched	23
TABLE 7	Electronic bibliographic database search details.....	24
TABLE 8	Regulatory agency webpage search details.....	24
TABLE 9	Report of all relevant internet publications retrieved after detailed assessment of full-text documents for relevance: ordered by category of information/data requirement.....	26
TABLE 10	Report of database publications excluded from the risk assessment after detailed assessment of full-text documents, giving the reason(s) for exclusion	27
TABLE 11	Report of internet publications excluded from the risk assessment after detailed assessment of full-text documents, giving the reason(s) for exclusion	27
TABLE 12	Report of the reliability and implications for the risk assessment of all relevant internet publications retrieved after detailed assessment of full- text documents for relevance: ordered by category of information/data requirement(s)	34

LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

2mEPSPS	double mutant 5-enolpyruvylshikimate-3-phosphate synthase
CAB	Commonwealth Agricultural Bureaux
CFIA	Canadian Food Inspection Agency
CONABIA	National Advisory Commission on Agricultural Biotechnology (<i>Comisión Nacional Asesora de Biotecnología Agropecuaria</i>)
CTNBio	National Technical Commission on Biosafety (<i>Comissão Técnica Nacional de Biossegurança</i>)
ECCC	Environment and Climate Change Canada
EFSA	European Food Safety Authority
EU	European Union
FIFRA	Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act
GMO	Genetically Modified Organism
HC	Health Canada
HPPD W336	4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase
MAFF	Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries
MEDLINE	MEDical Literature Analysis and Retrieval System (online version)
NTO	Nontarget organisms
OGTR	Office of the Gene Technology Regulator
PICO/PECO	Population, Intervention/Exposure, Comparator, Outcomes
PMEM	Post-Market Environmental Monitoring
US EPA	US Environmental Protection Agency
US FDA	US Food and Drug Administration
USDA	US Department of Agriculture

1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The objective of this systematic literature search and scoping review was to collect, identify, and assess information relevant to the risk assessment of FG72 soybean (*Glycine max*, soybean) and its newly expressed proteins, 2mEPSPS and HPPD W336, published between June 1, 2021-July 1, 2022, for use as food/feed. This literature search was performed in the context of an annual post-market environmental monitoring (PMEM) report on GMOs authorized in the European Union (EU) market, and was conducted in compliance with the 2019 EFSA explanatory note on literature searching for GMO applications (EFSA 2019).

Electronic databases and regulatory agency webpages were searched using a validated, comprehensive search strategy. Two technical experts independently reviewed the retrieved records to determine their relevance. A total of 173 records were retrieved from the database search, none of which were classified as relevant. A total of 60 records were retrieved from the internet search, one of which was classified as relevant. The two reviewers evaluated the relevant records in detail and assessed their implications on the current risk assessment of FG72 soybean. Overall, the relevant records did not indicate any hazards, modified exposure pathways, or scientific uncertainties for FG72 soybean.

In conclusion, the results of this literature search and scoping review do not change the risk assessment of FG72 soybean.

2.0 INTRODUCTION

Soybean (*Glycine max*) was transformed to produce Event FG72, which confers tolerance to glyphosate and HPPD-inhibiting herbicide products. Soybean plants derived from Event FG72 express the genes *2mepsps* derived from maize (*Zea mays*) and *hppdPf W336* derived from *Pseudomonas fluorescens*. The gene *2mepsps* encodes a double mutant 5-enolpyruvylshikimate-3-phosphate synthase (2mEPSPS) protein. The native EPSPS from *Z. mays* is a key enzyme in the shikimic acid pathway involved in the biosynthesis of aromatic amino acids (phenylalanine, tyrosine, and tryptophan). The transgenic protein 2mEPSPS produced by FG72 soybean has low affinity for glyphosate molecules compared to the native EPSPS, thus conferring tolerance to glyphosate-based herbicide products (Lebrun *et al.* 2003; Spencer *et al.* 2000). The gene *hppdPf W336* encodes the 4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase protein of *P. fluorescens* strain A32, modified by the replacement of the amino acid glycine at position 336 with a tryptophan, as described by (Boudec *et al.* 2001). HPPD catalyzes the formation of homogentisic acid, the aromatic precursor in plastoquinone and vitamin E biosynthesis. The transgenic protein HPPD W336 has lower binding affinity for herbicides that inhibit HPPD than native HPPD, thus conferring tolerance to HPPD-inhibiting herbicide products.

The objective of this systematic literature search and scoping review was to collect, identify, and assess information relevant to the risk assessment of FG72 soybean and its newly expressed proteins, 2mEPSPS and HPPD W336, for use in food/feed. Information published between June 1, 2021-July 1, 2022 was evaluated. This literature search was performed in the context of an annual post-market environmental monitoring (PMEM) report on GMOs authorized in the European Union (EU) market, and was conducted in compliance with the 2019 EFSA explanatory note on literature searching for GMO applications (EFSA 2019).

This scoping literature search and review was conducted by an experienced information specialist and a team of technical experts with knowledge of genetically modified (GM) crop research, development, and safety assessment (Appendix A).

3.0 METHODS

3.1 Formulating the Review Question and Clarifying its Purpose

The literature search and scoping review outlined in this report was aimed at identifying potential adverse effects of FG72 soybean and its newly expressed proteins, 2mEPSPS and HPPD W336, on human/animal health and the environment. Therefore, the associated review question was defined as:

Do either food/feed products derived from FG72 soybean or the intended traits have adverse effects on human/animal health and/or the environment?

This review question follows the Population, Intervention/Exposure, Comparator, Outcome (PICO/PECO) structure. Key elements of the review question are defined in Table 1.

TABLE 1 Review question in PICO/PECO structure

Element	Components of Review Question
<u>P</u> opulation	Human and animal health and the environment
<u>I</u> ntervention/ <u>E</u> xposure	FG72 soybean, derived food/feed products, and/or the newly expressed proteins double mutant 5-enolpyruvylshikimate-3-phosphate synthase (2mEPSPS) and 4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase (HPPD W336) proteins and closely related variants
<u>C</u> omparator	conventional counterpart (if applicable)
<u>O</u> utcome	adverse effects

Pre-defined eligibility/inclusion criteria (Table 2) were used to identify records relevant to answering the review question. Eligibility/inclusion criteria were derived from relevant factors outlined in Section 3.1.2 of the 2019 EFSA explanatory note on literature searching for GMO applications (EFSA 2019) and refined by technical experts in the fields of GMO research, development, and product safety. The eligibility/inclusion criteria were assessed and validated using a pilot study (Appendix B), and have a history of successful use in literature reviews for identifying information relevant to the food/feed and environmental risk assessment of GM crops.

Table 2 provides high-level key concepts for eligibility/inclusion. A detailed breakdown of specific information/data requirements used to assess the associated eligibility/inclusion criteria is provided in Table 3. The criteria are ordered by importance/expected ease of locating the information in a publication. The first failed eligibility/inclusion criterion was used as the primary reason for exclusion and the remaining criteria were not assessed (Frampton *et al.* 2017).

TABLE 2 Eligibility/inclusion criteria to establish relevance

Concepts	Criteria	Comment
Intervention/exposure	FG72 soybean, derived food/feed products, and/or the intended or closely related trait(s)	The intended trait of FG72 is tolerance to glyphosate and hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase (HPPD)-inhibiting herbicides (such as isoxaflutole). Any records on enzymes classified as EPSPS conferring glyphosate tolerance or HPPD-inhibitor conferring isoxaflutole tolerance were considered for relevance.
Information/data requirements	Data inform one or more information/data requirement(s) for the GMO and derived food/feed products under consideration, including the intended trait(s)	Publications that potentially contribute to the knowledge informing the risk assessment of FG72 soybean (information/data requirements provided in Table 3) were considered. Publications addressing issues such as benefits, socio-economics, ethics, crop protection, detection methods, efficacy, public perception, and risk communication were excluded using this criterion, as they were not relevant to the risk assessment as defined in this document.
Scope of GMO application	The pathways and level of exposure to the GMO, derived food/feed products, and the intended trait(s) addressed in the publication are relevant for the intended uses of the GMO and derived food/feed products under regulatory review	Publications must address pathways and levels of exposure relevant to the scope of the application: import and processing of FG72 soybean for food/feed uses.
Reporting format	Original/primary data are presented in the publication or it is a risk assessment from a relevant key organization (such as regulatory agencies and risk assessment bodies involved in the risk assessment of GMOs)	Records that did not present original/primary data (e.g., editorials, reviews, position papers) were excluded. Risk assessments performed and reported by relevant key organizations were included if they addressed FG72 soybean or 2mEPSPS and/or HPPD W336 proteins.
Previously risk assessed publications	As indicated by EFSA, a publication should be included if it has not been previously risk assessed by EFSA and/or its GMO Panel and is not cited/referenced in an EFSA/GMO Panel output	Publications previously considered by EFSA were excluded. Any cited/referenced publications contained within documents produced by EFSA and/or its GMO Panel were excluded.
Access	Full-text document is accessible	If potentially relevant full-text documents could not be obtained, they were listed in a table with a description of the (unsuccessful) methods used to attempt obtaining a copy.
Population	Human and animal health, and/or the environment are addressed as general protection goals	All of the information/data requirements categories described in Table 3 are thought to inform the risk assessment related to human and animal health, and/or the environment. Therefore, a publication was considered relevant if it met the inclusion criteria described in this table and was relevant to the information/data requirements in Table 3.

Concepts	Criteria	Comment
Outcomes	Effects/impacts on human and animal health, and/or the environment are addressed	Publications need to address effects/impacts on entities of concern, and potential determinants of exposure that place these entities at risk, to be relevant to the risk assessment of FG72 soybean.
Comparator	If the publication is a comparative study that uses plant material as a test material, eligible publications must report a non-GM variety	Publications that address FG72 soybean must also include a conventional counterpart as a comparator in those cases where comparative analysis is conducted and plant material is used as test material. Any uncertainties about the appropriateness of the comparator will be addressed in the assessment of the publication.
Plant species	The publication may address the same plant species as the GMO under consideration, but could also address any plant species producing the 2mEPSPS and/or HPPD W336 proteins.	The review question addresses the safe use of the intended trait(s) of FG72 soybean. Therefore, GMOs that contain 2mEPSPS and/or HPPD W336 proteins, or closely-related variants, but are introduced into another plant species were included. For event-specific information/data requirements (Table 3), the presence of the transgenic proteins in a different plant species do not impact the assessment of FG72 soybean.
Target pest/organisms	Target pests/organisms addressed in the study are established in the EU	Records related to the intervention/exposure and target pests/organisms were excluded because the scope of the application is import for food/feed uses and this would only be relevant for cultivation applications.
Reporting format	A study should only be presented once, but if it is presented in more than one publication, all publications should be listed and grouped.	Duplicate publications were excluded at the initial screening stage. Only one copy of a study is presented even if it is reported in different publications.

TABLE 3 Overview of main categories of information/data requirements^a

Information/data requirement	Non-exhaustive list of specific information/data requirements
Molecular characterization of the genetic modification of the GMO	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Information on the insert including: sequence, size, copy number, genetic element arrangement, deletions, location, sequence similarity searches, and analysis of open reading frames (FG72 soybean only) • Expression data of inserted/modified sequences (FG72 soybean only) • Genetic stability (FG72 soybean only) • Molecular and biochemical characterization of the protein(s) such as: primary structure, molecular weight, post-translational modifications (2mEPSPS and/or HPPD W336 proteins as expressed in FG72 soybean only) • Assessment of enzymatic activity including substrate specificity and reaction products with respect to safety and/or nutritional balance • Data on the equivalence between plant-produced and microbially-produced proteins (2mEPSPS and/or HPPD W336 proteins from FG72 soybean plants and a microbial source)
Agronomic, phenotypic and compositional characterization of the GM plant	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Comparative assessment of agronomic and phenotypic characteristics under field or controlled conditions (FG72 soybean only) • Comparative analysis of key nutritional constituents (FG72 soybean)
Toxicological assessment of newly expressed protein(s), new constituents other than proteins, and the whole GM food/feed	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amino acid sequence comparison between the newly expressed protein(s) (2mEPSPS and/or HPPD W336 proteins as expressed in FG72 soybean only) and toxic proteins • Stability of the protein(s) under relevant processing and storage conditions • Investigation of proteolytic susceptibility of the newly expressed protein • Toxicity studies • Feeding studies that use plant material (FG72 soybean only)
Allergenicity assessment of the newly expressed protein and the GM food/feed, and adjuvanticity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amino acid sequence comparison between the newly expressed protein(s) (2mEPSPS and/or HPPD W336 proteins as expressed in FG72 soybean only) and known allergens or celiac disease peptide sequences • Serum screening • Pepsin susceptibility testing • <i>In vivo</i> tests in animal models • Expression data for endogenous allergens (FG72 soybean only) • Comparison of newly expressed proteins to known strong adjuvants

Information/data requirement	Non-exhaustive list of specific information/data requirements
Nutritional assessment of the newly expressed protein(s), other new constituents, as well as potential alterations in the total diet of the consumer or the animal	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Anticipated dietary intake of food/feed from FG72 soybean and the resulting nutritional impact • Comparative growth performance studies with young rapidly growing animal species (FG72 soybean only if the diet contains transgenic plant material)
Post-market monitoring	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Description of mechanisms for determining actual changes to overall dietary intake patterns of the GM food, to what extent this has occurred and whether or not the product induces known (side) effects or unexpected side effects • Information on the reliability, sensitivity, and specificity of the post market monitoring
Persistence and invasiveness assessment, including plant-to-plant gene transfer	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Measurements of volunteer occurrence and establishment (FG72 soybean only) • Replacement capacity (i.e., # of plants present at specific observation time/# of plants present after initial sowing) (FG72 soybean only) • Fitness of the GM plant expressing 2mEPSPS and/or HPPD W336 proteins in various environmental conditions – in the same or in a different plant species may be considered relevant
Assessment of plant to micro-organism gene transfer	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Homology searches at nucleotide level between the GM event and microorganisms (FG72 soybean only)
Assessment of interactions with target organisms	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Excluded based on the scope of the application. The scope of this application covers the import, processing and food/feed use of FG72 soybean in the EU. According to the EFSA ERA Guidance (EFSA 2010): “<i>resistance development is only relevant for applications with scope cultivation of GM plants and not for applications restricted to import and processing of GM plants and their products.</i>” Therefore, an assessment of the potential resistance development in target organisms resulting from the import, processing and food/feed use of FG72 soybean was not relevant for this application.
Assessment of interactions with non-target organisms (NTO)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The EFSA ERA Guidance (EFSA 2010) states that: “<i>In cases where the application does not include cultivation in the EU, direct environmental exposure of NTOs to the GM plant is via accidental release into the environment of seeds or propagules during transportation and processing. This may result in sporadic occurrence of feral plants and therefore exposure of NTO populations is likely to be negligible. The ERA will then focus on indirect exposure to products of the GM plant (e.g., through manure and faeces from animals fed the GM plant, and other by-products of industrial processes).</i>” Therefore, any publications that discussed direct exposure in test protein and laboratory studies or field survey data were considered not relevant based on the scope of application.
Assessment of interactions with biogeochemical and abiotic processes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Excluded based on the scope of the application. The scope of this application covers the import, processing and food/feed use of FG72 soybean only in the EU. According to the EFSA ERA Guidance (EFSA 2010): “<i>Applications concerning food/feed uses and import and processing do not require scientific information on possible environmental effects associated with the cultivation of the plant.</i>” Therefore, an assessment of the impacts of FG72 soybean on biogeochemical processes resulting from specific cultivation, management, and harvesting techniques were not relevant given the scope of this application.

Information/data requirement	Non-exhaustive list of specific information/data requirements
Assessment of impact of specific cultivation, management and harvesting techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Excluded based on the scope of the application. The scope of this application covers the import, processing, and food/feed use of FG72 soybean in the EU. Cultivation of FG72 soybean in the EU is not included in the scope. According to the EFSA ERA guidance (EFSA 2010): “for GM plants for import and processing that are not intended for cultivation in the EU, there is no need for an ERA for altered cultivation, management and harvesting techniques.” Therefore, an assessment of impact of specific cultivation, management, and harvesting techniques of FG72 soybean were not relevant for this application.
Risk mitigation	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Excluded based on the scope of the application. Risk mitigation measures such as high dose/refuge strategy, isolation distance from protected habitats hosting species of conservation concern that are at risk, and integrated pest/weed management are only relevant to cultivation. The scope of this application covers the import, processing and food and feed use of FG72 soybean.
Post-market environmental monitoring	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Excluded based on the scope of the application. Monitoring such as insect resistance is relevant only to cultivation. The scope of this application covers the import, processing and food and feed use of FG72 soybean.

a. If a record did not contain enough information to determine if the protein being evaluated was a closely related variant, it was included.

3.2 Searching for/Identifying Relevant Publications

3.2.1 Database searches

3.2.1.1 Electronic bibliographic databases

To search for different types of publications and unpublished work that could provide information on the review question, multidisciplinary citation databases, which include grey literature (i.e., not peer reviewed), were used. Two large, multi-disciplinary databases (Ovid Medline and BIOSIS Previews) and two databases specializing in topics relevant to agricultural and nutrition sciences (AGRICOLA and Commonwealth Agricultural Bureaux (CAB) abstracts) were searched via Ovid® search interface (provided by Ovid® Technologies). These four databases were selected because of their extensive coverage of scientific literature related to relevant subjects that include, but are not limited to, biomedicine, plant disease, agriculture, life sciences, pesticides, human health and nutrition, animal health, plant science, biotechnology, and environmental studies (see Appendix C for further details on each database and the reason(s) for selection). Each database has a thesaurus. The document types contained in these databases encompasses a wide range of formats, including journal articles, technical letters and notes, conference proceedings, book chapters, reports, and articles in press. Detailed specifications of these databases are outlined in Appendix C.

The selection of databases for this study complied with the 2019 explanatory note on literature searching (EFSA 2019), which indicates that a minimum of two large/multi-disciplinary databases are necessary to provide adequate coverage while still providing some level of complementary results. Using a combination of multi-disciplinary and specialized databases provides valuable results (Stevinson and Lawlor 2004). Therefore, the present combination of databases was suitable for retrieving publications relevant to the risk assessment of FG72 soybean as it relates to food/feed and the environment, while adhering to EFSA's definition of "best" search strategy practices (defined in Glanville *et al.* (2014) as "a situation whereas few resources as possible are searched with a high probability that most of the relevant research evidence will be identified").

3.2.1.2 Database search strategy

The electronic bibliographic databases search strategy was designed to retrieve information on FG72 soybean. The same search strategy was used in all databases through the Ovid® search interface (outlined in Table 4). The search strategy was developed by an information specialist in collaboration with technical experts with experience in GM crop research, development, and safety assessment (Appendix A). Database search strategy construction is described in a detailed synopsis in Appendix D.

TABLE 4 Search string strategy

Set	Field	Search String	Concepts/Key Elements
1	Topic	FG72 OR FG 72 OR MST-FG#72	Event FG72
2	Topic	GT27*	Trade name for FG72
3	Topic	((5 enolpyruvylshikimate 3 phosphate synthase OR 5 enolpyruvyl shikimate 3 phosphate synthase OR 5 enol pyruvyl shikimate 3 phosphate synthase) ADJ5 (double mutat* OR double modif*)) OR 5 enolpyruvylshikimate 3 phosphate synthase OR 5 enolpyruvyl shikimate 3 phosphate synthase OR 5 enol pyruvyl shikimate 3 phosphate synthase OR EPSP synthase OR MEPSP synthase OR EPSPS OR MEPSPS OR 2MEPSPS OR 2 MEPSPS OR 2M EPSPS OR 2 M EPSPS OR "EC 2.5.1.19" OR "E.C. 2.5.1.19"	Newly expressed protein in FG72 (herbicide tolerance)
4	Topic	HPPDW336 OR HPPD W336 OR HPPD W 336 OR ((hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase OR hydroxy phenylpyruvate dioxygenase OR hydroxyphenyl pyruvate dioxygenase OR hydroxy phenyl pyruvate dioxygenase OR HPPD) ADJ5 (mutat* OR modif*))	Newly expressed protein in FG72 (herbicide tolerance)
5		3 OR 4	
6	Topic	(HPPD inhibit* OR isoxaflutole* OR diketonitrile* OR pyrazolone* OR triketone* OR gl#phosate OR gl#fosate OR G360 OR G 360 OR roundup* OR round up* OR herbicide* OR pesticide*) ADJ2 (toleran* OR resistan* OR protect* OR control*)	Intended trait (herbicide tolerance)
7	Topic	GMO* OR LMO* OR GM OR GE OR transgen* OR ((genetic* OR living OR biotech*) ADJ3 (modif* OR transform* OR manipul* OR improv* OR engineer* OR deriv*))	GMO general
8	Topic	GMHT OR GEHT OR GMHR OR GEHR OR GMHTs OR GEHTs OR GMHRs OR GEHRs	GMO general × intended trait-HT
9	Topic	Soy OR soya OR soja OR soybean* OR soyabean* OR sojabean* OR Glycine max OR G max	Plant species
10		5 AND (7 OR 9)	Newly expressed proteins AND (GMO general OR Plant species)
11		(6 AND 7) OR 8	(Intended trait AND GMO general) OR GMO general × intended trait-HT
12		11 AND 9	((Intended trait AND GMO general) OR GMO general × intended trait-HT) AND Plant species
13		1 OR 2 OR 10 OR 12	Event OR (Newly expressed proteins AND (GMO general OR plant species)) OR (((Intended trait AND GMO general) OR GMO general × intended trait-HT) AND Plant species)

a. The mandated wildcard symbol (#) is used as a substitute for one required character on the Ovid platform.

3.2.1.3 Reference Publications

Prior to starting this literature search and review, the search strategy was assessed and validated using reference publications. All reference publications were retrieved from at least one of the four searched databases (100% overall retrieval), indicating satisfactory performance of the search strategy for acquiring the breadth of information available for the key elements highlighted in the search strategy (event, newly expressed proteins, and intended traits). Details of this process (including rationale for selection of the reference publications) and the outcomes (including the percentage of reference publications retrieved from each database) are outlined in Appendix E.

3.2.2 Internet searches

3.2.2.1 Key organizations

The internet pages of relevant regulatory agency websites (Table 5) were searched for documents related to GMO applications, risk assessments, and approvals. Only the websites of agencies that conduct and post risk assessments to their websites are considered relevant for searching. Records were collected from webpages (Table 5) that listed regulatory documents/information specific to the safety assessment of GMOs. All records from these webpages that were published during the relevant time period were collected for full-text review.

TABLE 5 Key organization pages included in the search^{a,b,c,d,e}

Regulatory agency/risk assessment body	Webpage address	Search strategy and limits applied
Food Standards Australia New Zealand (FSANZ)	https://www.foodstandards.gov.au/consumer/gmfood/applications/Pages/default.aspx	The list of current GM applications and approvals was examined. Safety assessments and approval documents (when available) for foods produced using gene technology (plant origin) that have a status of “Approved” or “Under assessment” and were published during or after 2021 were retrieved for assessment.
Health Canada (HC) ^f	https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/food-nutrition/genetically-modified-foods-other-novel-foods/approved-products.html	The list of completed safety assessments of GM foods was examined. The technical summaries linked to the novel food safety assessments with a “Decision Date” listed as 2021/06/01 or later were retrieved for review.
Canadian Food Inspection Agency (CFIA) ^f	https://inspection.canada.ca/plant-varieties/plants-with-novel-traits/approved-under-review/decision-documents/eng/1303704378026/1303704484236	The table of decision documents for determination of environmental and livestock feed safety was examined. All documents for decisions made during or after 2021 were retrieved for review.
Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries (MAFF)	https://www.biodic.go.jp/bch/lmo/OpenSearch.do	The “Genetically modified organism search system approved under the Cartagena method” on the Japan Biosafety Clearing House website was examined (this website is referenced as the relevant repository for documents related to GM organism approvals on the MAFF webpage dedicated to the approval of GM crops - https://www.maff.go.jp/j/syouan/nouan/carta/torikumi/). The documents were searched by limiting “Approval Dates” to 2021-2022.

Regulatory agency/risk assessment body	Webpage address	Search strategy and limits applied
National Advisory Commission on Agricultural Biotechnology (CONABIA)	https://www.argentina.gob.ar/agricultura/alimentos-y-bioeconomia/ogm-vegetal-eventos-con-autorizacion-comercial	Items were sorted by approval date. All documents with an approval date on or after 2021-06-01 were retrieved for review. The table of "Plant GMO: Events with commercial authorization" was examined. All documents with an approval date on or after 2021-06-01 were retrieved for review.
National Technical Commission on Biosafety (CTNBIO)	http://ctnbio.mctic.gov.br/liberacao-comercial#/liberacao-comercial/consultar-processo	The webpages dedicated to the commercial releases of plants (<i>plantas</i>) were searched for technical opinion documents. The subfolder "plantas" was accessed from the noted link, and each subfolder contained within ("Soja," "Milho," "Feijão," "Eucalipto," "Cana," and "Algodão") was searched for technical opinion documents. Those published during or after 2021 were retrieved for review.
Office of the Gene Technology Regulator (OGTR)	https://www.ogtr.gov.au/what-weve-approved/dealings-involving-intentional-release	The list of dealings involving the intentional release of GMOs into the environment were examined. Documents with an "Issue Date" falling on or after 1-Jun-2021 were retrieved for review. If no "Issue Date" was listed, the document was collected for review.
US Department of Agriculture (USDA)	https://www.aphis.usda.gov/aphis/ou/focus/biotechnology/permits-notifications-petitions/petitions/petition-status	The list of petitions was sorted by "Effective Date." Documents from petitions with an "Effective Date" falling on or after 06/01/2021 were collected. Documents listed as "Final Environmental Assessment," "Finding of No Significant Impact," and/or "Final Plant Pest Risk Assessment" were retrieved for review.
US Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA)	https://www.epa.gov/ingredients-used-pesticide-products/current-and-previously-registered-section-3-plant-incorporated	The table of "PIP Active Ingredients" was sorted by "Year Registered" and all documents listed under "BRAD and other Regulatory Documents" with a "Year Registered" of 2021 or later, were retrieved for review.
US Food and Drug Administration (USFDA)	https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/fdcc/?set=Biocon	The list of New Plant Variety Consultations was sorted by "Date Completed" and all items completed on or after June 1, 2021 were retrieved for review.

- Reports that reflect individual reviewer opinions were excluded from evaluation because they are considered when developing the official final opinion of the agency.
- The regulatory agency of Mexico (Intersecretarial Commission on Biosafety of GMOs) does not post the relevant document types on their agency website and was not searched.
- The Genetic Engineering Appraisal Committee of India (part of the Ministry of Environment, Forest, and Climate Change) has not posted updates to their website regarding clearance decisions for GMOs since 2014 and, therefore, was not searched (<https://moef.gov.in/en/project-approvals/geac-clearances/>).
- Draft and partial reports were excluded since there is no new information contained in these reports and they are not the official final opinion of the agency.
- Documents must have been authored by the key organizations and not applicants to qualify as relevant. Therefore, dossiers and risk assessments submitted to regulatory authorities were excluded.
- HC and CFIA are responsible for regulating GM plants in Canada. Environment and Climate Change Canada (ECCC) does not regulate GM plants and, therefore, the ECCC website was not searched.

3.2.2.2 Internet search strategy for regulatory agency webpages

The regulatory agency webpages outlined in Table 5 contain information related to GMO applications, risk assessments, and approvals (see “Webpage address and description” column for individual webpage details). Records published between June 1, 2021-July 1, 2022 were retrieved from each webpage as described in the “Search Limits” column. If a record’s publication date could not be determined, it was retrieved for review.

3.2.2.3 Web-based search engines and databases

General search engines such as GOOGLE Scholar and web-based databases known to contain information specifically on effects of GMOs were not searched. The search of the databases and key organization websites was considered adequate for a comprehensive search of literature.

3.2.3 Manual searches

3.2.3.1 Checking reference lists

If any relevant records were retrieved from the internet searches of regulatory agency websites, their reference list(s) were manually checked/scanned by both reviewers for new records within the relevant time period (June 1, 2021-July 1, 2022) and that met the eligibility/inclusion criteria. The full-text documents of any titles from the reference lists that appeared potentially relevant were obtained and evaluated by both reviewers to determine relevance.

3.2.3.2 Hand searching

Hand searching was not conducted. The search of the databases and key organization websites was considered adequate for a comprehensive search of literature.

3.2.3.3 Citation searching

Citation searching was not conducted. The search of the databases and key organization websites was considered adequate for a comprehensive search of literature.

3.2.4 Use of multiple languages

All search terms were in English or utilized the Roman alphabet. Translations are unlikely to exist for event and trade names, and therefore search terms were not translated.

3.2.5 Time period

All searches were conducted after July 1, 2022 (Table 7 and Table 8). The database search was limited, using the Ovid search platform, to records published between June 1, 2021 and the date of the last database update prior to the search (see Table 7). The records retrieved from regulatory agency webpages were limited by manually excluding publications dated prior to June 1, 2021. If a date could not be determined for a given record, it was retained for review.

3.3 Reviewing Publications for Relevance

3.3.1 Review of database records

The process for selecting relevant database publications was conducted in two stages, and was assessed/validated, using a pilot study, alongside the eligibility/inclusion criteria (Appendix B). Two independent reviewers evaluated each database record using the eligibility/inclusion criteria (Table 2 and Table 3) at all stages of the review process.

The first stage (Stage 1) was a preliminary assessment of titles and abstracts where records were classified as either (1) relevant/unclear relevance or (2) clearly not relevant. Records that were clearly irrelevant upon reviewing the title were excluded from further review. Records with titles that appeared relevant, or had unclear relevance, were retained for abstract review. Only records that were deemed clearly irrelevant by both reviewers upon assessment of the abstract were excluded from further review. This conservative approach ensured that all potentially relevant records were further evaluated. A kappa test was performed after Stage 1 review was completed and prior to discussing disagreements from Stage 1 abstract review. Records with abstracts that appeared relevant, or had unclear relevance, were retained for the second stage of review.

The second stage (Stage 2) was a detailed review of full-length articles. During Stage 2 review, a final decision on record relevance/irrelevance was made. Articles deemed relevant at Stage 2 were subjected to a reliability assessment and evaluation of the record's implications on the food and feed or environmental risk assessment for FG72 soybean. An explanation of exclusion was provided for articles deemed irrelevant at Stage 2.

The reviewers discussed disagreements after Stage 2 (full-text) review of articles. If a disagreement on a record's relevance could not be resolved at Stage 2, an additional reviewer was brought in as a tie-breaker. Considering the tie-breaker's opinion, the majority position of relevance on the record became the agreed position.

3.3.2 Review of internet records from key organizations

Records from the webpages of key organizations were considered potentially relevant if they were risk assessments or scientific opinions/reports sponsored by the key organization. The regulatory agencies of interest (Table 5) do not post primary data; therefore, all other document types were considered irrelevant. The eligibility/inclusion criteria did not include risk assessments/dossiers submitted to regulatory authorities, only "risk assessments performed and reported by relevant key organizations." Therefore, only documents authored by the key organizations and not the applicants qualified as potentially relevant. A rationale for exclusion, based on the eligibility/inclusion criteria, was provided when applicable, except for records excluded based on "Reporting Format" (e.g., submissions by applicants, meeting agendas, tables of approval dates, and draft documents).

Two independent reviewers evaluated each internet record using the eligibility/inclusion criteria (Table 2 and Table 3). Internet records from key organizations were not amenable to a multi-stage review (i.e., title and abstract were often not provided in the search results), therefore, these records were only assessed in Stage 2 (full-text) review. Accordingly, a Kappa test (required for Stage 1 review only, as outlined in the 2019 explanatory note (EFSA 2019)) was not conducted for internet reviews.

Some agencies post information in languages other than English. During these instances, publications were translated to English using a neural machine translation software (i.e.

Google Translate) prior to review. If translations were unclear or ambiguous, a native speaker of the language was consulted to provide a more accurate translation.

For the purposes of reporting and statistics, we defined a unique internet record as a unique uniform resource locator (URL). If the URLs for two documents were identical except for file format (e.g. pdf versus .doc or .docx), one of the documents was considered a duplicate document and it was excluded from reporting and review. Suspected duplicates (i.e. documents with similar URLs) were visually examined by the reviewer. If the content was identical, the record was removed so that only one record was reviewed and reported/used for statistics. If additional duplicates were identified during the review process (i.e. documents with different URLs, but identical content), they were removed such that only one document was used for reporting and statistics.

4.0 SUMMARISING AND REPORTING THE DATA, AND CONSIDERING THE IMPLICATIONS OF THE FINDINGS

4.1 Summary of the Search and Publication Selection Process

A complete summary of the search results and selection process, including the number of records reviewed, included, and excluded during each stage of review, is outlined in Table 6. Across all searches (database, internet, and manual), a total of 233 unique publications were retrieved for review. Of these, 173 were retrieved from the database search and 60 were retrieved from the internet search. There were no records retrieved from the manual search of reference lists from relevant internet records.

For electronic bibliographic databases, the date on which the search was conducted, the date of the most recent update of the database, the service provider used, date span of the search, any limits applied to the search (e.g., dates), and the total number of records retrieved across all databases was recorded (Table 7). The records were de-duplicated after combining records retrieved from all the databases. Additionally, the search strategy as it was run for each database (including the fields searched), the number of publications identified for each bibliographic database prior to de-duplication (on a line-by-line basis), and the subject indexing used by each database (shown within brackets after each search term), were recorded (see Appendix F for screenshots of the search containing these details).

The database search returned a total of 173 records (after deduplication) that covered the dates of June 1, 2021 to the date of the search (Table 7). During Stage 1, the reviewers agreed to include 2 records and exclude 170 records. Reviewers disagreed on the relevance of one record at Stage 1. This yielded a kappa score of 0.797, which is generally considered to indicate substantial agreement. We consider the level of reviewer agreement to be acceptable for identifying all relevant literature.

The reviewers discussed the one disagreement after title/abstract review and agreed to exclude this record at Stage 1. In total, 171 records were excluded at Stage 1 review and 2 records were moved to Stage 2 review. During Stage 2 review, both records were classified as not relevant (Table 10). There were no conflicts during Stage 2 review; therefore, a tie-breaker reviewer was not needed.

For internet webpages of regulatory agency websites, the date on which the search was conducted, the date of the most recent update of the webpage (if available), the date span of the search, and the total number of records retrieved from each site were recorded (Table 8). The

records from each website were de-duplicated individually. In total, the internet search yielded 60 records from regulatory agency websites that were evaluated only at Stage 2 (full-text) review. The reviewers agreed that one of the internet records was relevant (Table 9) and 59 were irrelevant (Table 11). There were no conflicts between reviewers over internet records.

The reference lists of relevant internet publications were also searched for additional records published during the relevant time period (June 1, 2021-July 1, 2022), but there were no records listed that fell within the relevant time period.

TABLE 6 Results of the publication selection process, for each review question and/or category of information/data requirement or group of information/data requirements searched

Review question and/or category of information/data requirement(s) captured in the search	Number of publications in each subcategory			
	Databases	Internet	Manual ^b	Total
Publications identified after all searches (database, internet, and manual search of references from relevant internet publications) of the scientific literature (excluding duplicates ^a)	173	60	0	233
Publications excluded from the search results after screening of title and abstracts (Stage 1)	171	NA ^d	0	171
Publications screened using full-text (Stage 2) ^c	2	60	0	62
Publications excluded after full-text screening ^e	2	59	0	61
Unobtainable/Unclear publications	0	0	0	0
Publications considered relevant	0	1	0	1

a. A total of 356 publications were identified from the database search. Of these, 183 publications were removed because they were duplicates.

b. Manual refers to the records obtained from manually searching the reference lists of internet publications classified as relevant.

c. Internet results are not screened at stage 1 because they have no title or abstract.

d. NA=Not Applicable.

e. There was one record excluded based on reporting format (i.e., documents excluded based on reporting format are drafts, individual opinions, dossiers submitted by the applicant, meeting agendas, etc.)

TABLE 7 Electronic bibliographic database search details

Database	Search date (dd/mm/yyyy)	Service provider	Date span of the search (dd/mm/yyyy) ^a	Any limits applied to the search	Total number of records retrieved after removing duplicates ^b
Agricola	27/10/2022	Ovid Technologies	01/06/2021 to 17/10/2022	Dates	4
BIOSIS Previews	27/10/2022	Ovid Technologies	01/06/2021 to 26/10/2022	Dates	54
CAB Abstracts	27/10/2022	Ovid Technologies	01/06/2021 to 19/10/2022	Dates	50
Medline	27/10/2022	Ovid Technologies	01/06/2021 to 26/10/2022	Dates	65

a. The end date is the date of the most recent database update in Ovid. Ovid only allows results to be limited by year. The frequency of database update varies. Ovid has provided us with the following update information: Agricola updated monthly, BIOSIS Previews updated weekly, CAB Abstracts updated weekly, and Medline updated daily.

b. The results were de-duplicated across databases.

TABLE 8 Regulatory agency webpage search details^a

Regulatory agency name	URL	Date of search (dd/mm/yyyy)	Date of most recent website update (dd/mm/yyyy)	Total records retrieved after removing duplicates ^b	Number of relevant records
Canadian Food Inspection Agency	https://inspection.canada.ca/industry-guidance/eng/1374161650885/1374161737236?gclid=3&gc=25&ga=4#gdr_results	12/07/2022	08/07/2022	0	0
Food Standards Australia New Zealand	http://www.foodstandards.gov.au/consumer/gmfood/applications/Pages/default.aspx	12/07/2022	May 2022	13	0
Health Canada	https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/food-nutrition/genetically-modified-foods-other-novel-foods/approved-products.html	12/07/2022	09/06/2022	12	0
Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries	https://www.biodic.go.jp/bch/lmo/OpenSearch.do	12/07/2022	No update information available	0	0
National Advisory Commission on Agriculture Biotechnology	https://www.argentina.gob.ar/agricultura/bioeconomia/biotecnologia/documentos-de-decision-conabia	13/07/2022	No update information available	1	0
National Technical Commission on Biosafety	http://ctnbio.mctic.gov.br/inicio	13/07/2022	No update information available	9	1

Regulatory agency name	URL	Date of search (dd/mm/yyyy)	Date of most recent website update (dd/mm/yyyy)	Total records retrieved after removing duplicates ^b	Number of relevant records
Office of the Gene Technology Regulator	https://www.ogtr.gov.au/what-weve-approved/dealings-involving-intentional-release	13/07/2022	No update information available	5	0
US Department of Agriculture	https://www.aphis.usda.gov/aphis/ourfocus/biotecnology/permits-notifications-petitions/petitions/petition-status	13/07/2022	14/03/2022	6	0
US Environmental Protection Agency	https://www.epa.gov/ingredients-used-pesticide-products/current-and-previously-registered-section-3-plant-incorporated	13/07/2022	14/07/2020	0	0
US Food and Drug Administration	https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/fdcc/?set=Biocon	13/07/2022	13/06/2022	14	0

a. Records published prior to June 1, 2021 were manually excluded.

b. Record deduplication was conducted within the results from individual agency websites.

4.2 Lists of Bibliographic References for Relevant Publications

After detailed review of the full-text documents in Stage 2, none of the database records were deemed relevant and one out of 60 internet records was deemed relevant. Bibliographic information for the relevant record (author, publication year, title, and source) is included in the table below with the category of information/data requirement it fulfills. A more detailed description of the relevant publication, including an assessment of its reliability and significance to the risk assessment of FG72 soybean, is provided in Section 4.7.

Report of all relevant database publications retrieved after detailed assessment of full-text documents for relevance

There were no relevant database records identified.

TABLE 9 Report of all relevant internet publications retrieved after detailed assessment of full-text documents for relevance: ordered by category of information/data requirement

List of bibliographic references for all relevant internet publications, classified by category of information/data requirements			
Category of Information/Data Requirement(s)	Study (Author(s) and Year)	Title	Source
Risk assessment or scientific opinion	National Technical Commission on Biosafety (2022)	Technical Opinion No. 7897 - 2022	http://ctnbio.mctic.gov.br/documents/566529/2302517/Pa+recer+T%C3%A9cnico+n%C2%BA+7897+-+2022/

Report of all relevant manually retrieved publications after review of references from relevant internet documents

There were no records retrieved during the manual search of reference lists from relevant internet documents.

4.3 Lists of Bibliographic References for all Excluded Publications After Detailed Assessment of Full-Text Documents for Relevance

After detailed review of the full-text documents in Stage 2, 2 out of 2 database records were excluded (Table 10) and 59 out of 60 internet records were excluded (Table 11). Bibliographic information for the excluded records (author, publication year, title, and source) are included in the following tables, along with the eligibility/inclusion criteria used as a reason for exclusion (see Table 2 for a full list of the eligibility/inclusion criteria used during review). Out of 59 irrelevant internet records, one was excluded based on reporting format (this document was a draft), and was not included in Table 12. A rationale for exclusion was included for each record classified as one of the relevant document types, authored by the agency, and excluded based on an eligibility criteria other than “Reporting Format.”

TABLE 10 Report of database publications excluded from the risk assessment after detailed assessment of full-text documents, giving the reason(s) for exclusion

List of bibliographic references for all database publications excluded from the risk assessment, classified by authors			
Study author(s) and year	Title	Source	Reason(s) for exclusion based on eligibility/inclusion criteria listed in Table 2
Qian <i>et al.</i> (2021)	Analysis of physiochemical composition and antioxidant properties between hulls of the genetically modified glyphosate-tolerant soybean and northeast soybean	<i>Food Science & Biotechnology</i>	Intervention/exposure
Liu <i>et al.</i> (2022)	Fitness and Ecological Risk of Hybrid Progenies of Wild and Herbicide-Tolerant Soybeans With EPSPS Gene	<i>Frontiers of Plant Science</i>	Intervention/exposure

TABLE 11 Report of internet publications excluded from the risk assessment after detailed assessment of full-text documents, giving the reason(s) for exclusion ^a

List of bibliographic references for all internet publications excluded from the risk assessment, classified by authors			
Study author(s) and year	Title	Source	Reason(s) for exclusion based on eligibility/inclusion criteria listed in Table 2
Food Standards Australia New Zealand (2021)	A1216 -- MON-94100-2 _ Approval Report - 12 May 2021	https://www.foodstandards.gov.au/code/applications/Documents/A1216+Approval+Report.pdf	Intervention/Exposure
Food Standards Australia New Zealand (2021)	A1216 -- MON-94100-2 _ Supporting document 1 - Safety Assessment	https://www.foodstandards.gov.au/code/applications/Documents/A1216+Health+Canada+SD1.pdf	Intervention/Exposure
Food Standards Australia New Zealand (2021)	A1216 -- MON-94100-2 _ Supporting document 2 - Safety Assessment supplement	https://www.foodstandards.gov.au/code/applications/Documents/A1216+SD2.pdf	Intervention/Exposure
Food Standards Australia New Zealand (2021)	A1198 -- DP-202216-6 _ Supporting document 1 - Risk assessment -- 6 August 2020	https://www.foodstandards.gov.au/code/applications/Documents/A1198_SD1.pdf	Intervention/Exposure
Food Standards Australia New Zealand (2021)	A1202 -- DP-023211-2 _ Approval Report	https://www.foodstandards.gov.au/code/changes/circulars/Documents/A1202_Approval+Report+post+Board.pdf	Intervention/Exposure
Food Standards Australia New Zealand (2021)	A1202 -- DP-023211-2 _ Supporting document 1	https://www.foodstandards.gov.au/code/applications/Documents/A1202+SD1.pdf	Intervention/Exposure

List of bibliographic references for all internet publications excluded from the risk assessment, classified by authors			
Study author(s) and year	Title	Source	Reason(s) for exclusion based on eligibility/inclusion criteria listed in Table 2
Food Standards Australia New Zealand (2021)	A1198 -- DP-202216-6 _ Approval Report - 15 December 2020	https://www.foodstandards.gov.au/code/applications/Documents/A1198+Approval+Report.pdf	Intervention/Exposure
Food Standards Australia New Zealand (2022)	A1239 -- BPS-BFLFK-2 _ Supporting document 1 - Safety assessment	https://www.foodstandards.gov.au/code/applications/Documents/A1239+SD1.pdf	Intervention/Exposure
Food Standards Australia New Zealand (2022)	A1239 -- BPS-BFLFK-2 _ Supporting document 2 - Nutrition Risk Assessment	https://www.foodstandards.gov.au/code/applications/Documents/A1239+SD2.pdf	Intervention/Exposure
Food Standards Australia New Zealand (2022)	A1232 -- IND-00412-7 _ Approval report - 6 May 2022	https://www.foodstandards.gov.au/code/applications/Documents/A1232+Approval+Report+final.pdf	Intervention/Exposure
Food Standards Australia New Zealand (2022)	A1232 -- IND-00412-7 _ Supporting document 1 - Safety assessment	https://www.foodstandards.gov.au/code/applications/Documents/01_A1232_SD1.pdf	Intervention/Exposure
Food Standards Australia New Zealand (2022)	A1226 -- MON-95379-3 _ Supporting document 1 - Safety Assessment	https://www.foodstandards.gov.au/code/applications/Documents/01_A1226_SD1.pdf	Intervention/Exposure
Food Standards Australia New Zealand (2022)	A1226 -- MON-95379-3 _ Approval report - 10 February 2022	https://www.foodstandards.gov.au/code/applications/Documents/A1226+GM+corn+ApprovalReport.pdf	Intervention/Exposure
Health Canada (2021)	Insect Resistant and Herbicide Tolerant Maize Event DP-023211-2 -- Technical Summary	https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/food-nutrition/genetically-modified-foods-other-novel-foods/approved-products/insect-resistant-herbicide-tolerant-maize-event-dp-023211-2/document.html	Intervention/Exposure
Health Canada (2021)	Quizalofop tolerant rice - RTA1 -- Technical Summary	https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/food-nutrition/genetically-modified-foods-other-novel-foods/approved-products/quizalofop-tolerant-rice/document.html	Intervention/Exposure
Health Canada (2021)	Lepidopteran Protected Corn - MON 95379 -- Technical Summary	https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/food-nutrition/genetically-modified-foods-other-novel-foods/approved-products/lepidopteran-protected-corn/document.html	Intervention/Exposure

List of bibliographic references for all internet publications excluded from the risk assessment, classified by authors			
Study author(s) and year	Title	Source	Reason(s) for exclusion based on eligibility/inclusion criteria listed in Table 2
Health Canada (2021)	Napin-rich Canola Protein Isolate -- Technical Summary	https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/food-nutrition/genetically-modified-foods-other-novel-foods/approved-products/napin-rich-canola-protein-isolate/document.html	Intervention/Exposure
Health Canada (2021)	Soy leghemoglobin (LegH) preparation as an ingredient in all simulated meat and poultry products -- Technical Summary	https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/food-nutrition/genetically-modified-foods-other-novel-foods/approved-products/soy-leghemoglobin-simulated-meat-products/document.html	Intervention/Exposure
Health Canada (2021)	D-tagatose -- Technical Summary	https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/food-nutrition/genetically-modified-foods-other-novel-foods/approved-products/d-tagatose/document.html	Intervention/Exposure
Health Canada (2021)	Plum Pox Virus (PPV) Resistant C5 Plum -- Technical Summary	https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/food-nutrition/genetically-modified-foods-other-novel-foods/approved-products/virus-resistant-plum/document.html	Intervention/Exposure
Health Canada (2021)	2'-Fucosyllactose from genetically engineered E. coli K12 MG1655 strain -- Technical Summary	https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/food-nutrition/genetically-modified-foods-other-novel-foods/approved-products/fucosyllactose-escherichia-coli/document.html	Intervention/Exposure
Health Canada (2021)	D-tagatose -- The gastrointestinal effects of D-tagatose	https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/food-nutrition/genetically-modified-foods-other-novel-foods/approved-products/d-tagatose/gastrointestinal-effects.html	Intervention/Exposure
Health Canada (2022)	Herbicide Tolerant DT Sorghum -- Technical Summary	https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/food-nutrition/genetically-modified-foods-other-novel-foods/approved-products/herbicide-tolerant-dt-sorghum/document.html	Intervention/Exposure
Health Canada (2022)	High oleic acid soybean line SVX-4003 -- Technical Summary	https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/food-nutrition/genetically-modified-foods-other-novel-foods/approved-products/high-oleic-acid-soybean-line/document.html	Intervention/Exposure
Health Canada (2022)	Insect Resistant and Herbicide Tolerant Zea maize event DP-915635 -- Technical Summary	https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/food-nutrition/genetically-modified-foods-other-novel-foods/approved-products/insect-resistant-herbicide-tolerant-zea-maize/document.html	Intervention/Exposure

List of bibliographic references for all internet publications excluded from the risk assessment, classified by authors			
Study author(s) and year	Title	Source	Reason(s) for exclusion based on eligibility/inclusion criteria listed in Table 2
National Advisory Commission on Agricultural Biotechnology (2021)	IND-00410-5	https://magyp.gob.ar/sitio/areas/biotecnologia/conabia/pdf_dd/DD_soja_IND-oo41o-5_INDEAR.pdf	Intervention/Exposure
National Technical Commission on Biosafety (2021)	Technical Opinion No. 7501 - 2021	http://ctnbio.mctic.gov.br/documents/566529/2302408/Parecer+T%C3%A9cnico+n%C2%BA+7501+-+2021/	Intervention/Exposure
National Technical Commission on Biosafety (2021)	Technical Opinion No. 7788- 2021	http://ctnbio.mctic.gov.br/documents/566529/2292301/Parecer+T%C3%A9cnico+7788-2021/	Intervention/Exposure
National Technical Commission on Biosafety (2021)	Technical Opinion No. 7482 - 2021	http://ctnbio.mctic.gov.br/documents/566529/2303766/Parecer+T%C3%A9cnico+n%C2%BA+7482+-+2021/	Intervention/Exposure
National Technical Commission on Biosafety (2022)	Technical Opinion No. 7988 - 2022	http://ctnbio.mctic.gov.br/documents/566529/2303872/Parecer+T%C3%A9cnico+n%C2%BA+7988+-+2022/	Intervention/Exposure
National Technical Commission on Biosafety (2022)	Technical Opinion No. 8064 - 2022	http://ctnbio.mctic.gov.br/documents/566529/2302729/Parecer+T%C3%A9cnico+n%C2%BA+8064+-+2022/	Intervention/Exposure
National Technical Commission on Biosafety (2022)	Technical Opinion No. 8035 - 2022	http://ctnbio.mctic.gov.br/documents/566529/2302623/Parecer+T%C3%A9cnico+n%C2%BA+8035+-+2022/	Intervention/Exposure
National Technical Commission on Biosafety (2022)	Technical Opinion No. 7891 - 2022	http://ctnbio.mctic.gov.br/documents/566529/2302835/Parecer+T%C3%A9cnico+n%C2%BA+7891+-+2022/	Intervention/Exposure
National Technical Commission on Biosafety (2022)	Technical Opinion No. 8038 - 2022	http://ctnbio.mctic.gov.br/documents/566529/2303342/Parecer+T%C3%A9cnico+n%C2%BA+8038+-+2022/	Intervention/Exposure
Office of the Gene Technology Regulator (2021)	DIR 178 -- Risk assessment and risk management plan	https://www.ogtr.gov.au/sites/default/files/2021-09/dir178%20-%20Full%20Risk%20Assessment%20and%20Risk%20Management%20Plan.pdf	Intervention/Exposure
Office of the Gene Technology Regulator (2022)	DIR 189 -- Risk assessment and risk management plan	https://www.ogtr.gov.au/sites/default/files/2022-06/dir189_full_risk_assessment_and_risk_management_plan.pdf	Intervention/Exposure
Office of the Gene Technology Regulator (2022)	DIR 186 -- Risk assessment and risk management plan	https://www.ogtr.gov.au/sites/default/files/2022-02/Full+Risk+Assessment+and+Risk+Management+Plan_1.pdf	Intervention/Exposure
Office of the Gene Technology Regulator (2022)	DIR 188 -- Risk assessment and risk management plan	https://www.ogtr.gov.au/sites/default/files/2022-06/dir188_full_risk_assessment_and_risk_management_plan.pdf	Intervention/Exposure

List of bibliographic references for all internet publications excluded from the risk assessment, classified by authors			
Study author(s) and year	Title	Source	Reason(s) for exclusion based on eligibility/inclusion criteria listed in Table 2
Office of the Gene Technology Regulator (2022)	DIR 190 -- Risk assessment and risk management plan	https://www.ogtr.gov.au/sites/default/files/2022-06/dir190_risk_assessment_and_risk_management_plan_consultation_version.pdf	Intervention/Exposure
US Department of Agriculture (2021)	Non-Browning/PG451 -- Preliminary Plant Pest Risk Assessment	https://www.aphis.usda.gov/brs/aphisdocs/20-213-01ext_det-pprsa.pdf	Intervention/Exposure
US Department of Agriculture (2021)	Non-Browning/PG451 -- Record of Categorical Exclusion Determination	https://www.aphis.usda.gov/brs/aphisdocs/20-213-01ext-roced.pdf	Intervention/Exposure
US Department of Agriculture (2021)	Producing Phytase/Maize Event PY203 -- Final Environmental Assessment	https://www.aphis.usda.gov/brs/aphisdocs/19-176-01p-ea.pdf	Intervention/Exposure
US Department of Agriculture (2022)	GMB 151 -- Final Environmental Assessment	https://www.aphis.usda.gov/brs/aphisdocs/19-31701p-fea.pdf	Intervention/Exposure
US Department of Agriculture (2022)	GMB 151 -- Final Plant Pest Risk Assessment	https://www.aphis.usda.gov/brs/aphisdocs/19-31701p-fppra.pdf	Intervention/Exposure
US Food and Drug Administration (2021)	Biotechnology Notification File No. 171 . Animal food use - CVM (Aug 11, 2021)	https://www.fda.gov/media/153928/download	Intervention/Exposure
US Food and Drug Administration (2021)	Biotechnology Notification File No. 171 . Human Food Use - CFSAN (Aug 17, 2021)	https://www.fda.gov/media/153927/download	Intervention/Exposure
US Food and Drug Administration (2021)	Biotechnology Notification File No. 174 . Human Food Use - CFSAN (Aug 18, 2021)	https://www.fda.gov/media/154204/download	Intervention/Exposure
US Food and Drug Administration (2021)	Biotechnology Notification File No. 174 . Animal food use - CVM (Aug 13, 2021)	https://www.fda.gov/media/154205/download	Intervention/Exposure
US Food and Drug Administration (2021)	Biotechnology Notification File No. 166 . Animal food use - CVM (Jun 30, 2021)	https://www.fda.gov/media/151962/download	Intervention/Exposure
US Food and Drug Administration (2021)	Biotechnology Notification File No. 166 . Human Food Use - CFSAN (Jul 21, 2021)	https://www.fda.gov/media/151963/download	Intervention/Exposure

List of bibliographic references for all internet publications excluded from the risk assessment, classified by authors			
Study author(s) and year	Title	Source	Reason(s) for exclusion based on eligibility/inclusion criteria listed in Table 2
US Food and Drug Administration (2021)	Biotechnology Notification File No. 168 . Human Food Use - CFSAN (Oct 20, 2021)	https://www.fda.gov/media/154779/download	Intervention/Exposure
US Food and Drug Administration (2021)	Biotechnology Notification File No. 168 . Animal food use - CVM (Oct 14, 2021)	https://www.fda.gov/media/154780/download	Intervention/Exposure
US Food and Drug Administration (2022)	Biotechnology Notification File No. 172 . Human food use - CFSAN (Apr 25, 2022)	https://www.fda.gov/media/158266/download	Information/Data Requirements
US Food and Drug Administration (2022)	Biotechnology Notification File No. 172 . Animal food use - CVM (Apr 4, 2022)	https://www.fda.gov/media/158267/download	Information/Data Requirements
US Food and Drug Administration (2022)	Biotechnology Notification File No. 165 . Animal food use - CVM (Mar 18, 2022)	https://www.fda.gov/media/157488/download	Intervention/Exposure
US Food and Drug Administration (2022)	Biotechnology Notification File No. 162 . Human Food Use - CFSAN (Mar 24, 2022)	https://www.fda.gov/media/157484/download	Intervention/Exposure
US Food and Drug Administration (2022)	Biotechnology Notification File No. 162 . Animal food use - CVM (Mar 23, 2022)	https://www.fda.gov/media/157485/download	Intervention/Exposure
US Food and Drug Administration (2022)	Biotechnology Notification File No. 165 . Human Food Use - CFSAN (Mar 24, 2022)	https://www.fda.gov/media/157486/download	Intervention/Exposure

a. There was one record not presented in this table because it was excluded based on reporting format.

4.4 List of the Bibliographic References for all Unobtainable Publications

There were no publications classified as unobtainable.

4.5 List of the Bibliographic References for all Unclear Publications

There were no publications with unclear details.

4.6 Full-Text Documents

Full-text documents for all relevant records (Table 9) were compiled using a reference management software (.RIS format) and accompany this final report.

4.7 Implications of Relevant Publications to the Risk Assessment of FG72 soybean

For each relevant record, the reliability of the study and its implications on the risk assessment of FG72 soybean were assessed (Table 12). Overall, the one relevant record reviewed does not indicate any hazards, modified exposure pathways, or scientific uncertainties for FG72 soybean. Therefore, we conclude, based on current available knowledge, that food/feed products derived from the event FG72 soybean and intended traits do not pose a risk to human/animal health and/or the environment.

Report of the summary of all relevant database documents retrieved after detailed assessment of full-text documents for relevance

There were no database documents classified as relevant.

TABLE 12 Report of the reliability and implications for the risk assessment of all relevant internet publications retrieved after detailed assessment of full-text documents for relevance: ordered by category of information/data requirement(s)

List of bibliographic references for all relevant internet publications, classified by category of information/data requirements			
Category of information/data requirement(s)	Study author(s) and year	Summary of reliability appraisal	Implications for the risk assessment
Risk assessment or scientific opinion	National Technical Commission on Biosafety (2022)	Not assignable because no or insufficient information is reported in the study	This risk assessment is for 3272 × Bt11 × MIR162 × GA21 maize, which expresses the mEPSPS protein. The mEPSPS protein is a double mutated EPSPS with an amino acid sequence that is identical to 2mEPSPS expressed in FG72. A summary of findings from allergenicity and toxicity assessments of the mEPSPS protein are provided in this document. The conclusions of the risk assessment indicate that mEPSPS does not pose any risks to human/animal health or the environment. No new information is introduced and the information provided does not change the risk assessment of FG72 soybean.

5.0 STUDY RECORDS

5.1 Records Maintained

Records maintained include, but are not limited to, documentation of database search dates, database update dates, resolution of differences of opinion on records, the protocol, and any protocol amendments or deviations.

5.2 Archiving of Study Records

The protocol amendments, deviations, raw data, related documentation, and final report are archived at Syngenta in Research Triangle Park, NC, USA.

6.0 REFERENCES

- Boudec P, Rodgers M, Dumas F, Sailland A, & Bourdon H. 2001. Mutated hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase, DNA sequence and isolation of plants which contain such a gene and which are tolerant to herbicides. Washington, DC Patent No. 6,245,968. USP Office.
- Dreesen R, Capt A, Oberdoerfer R, Coats I, & Pallett KE. 2018. Characterization and safety evaluation of HPPD W336, a modified 4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase protein, and the impact of its expression on plant metabolism in herbicide-tolerant MST-FGØ72-2 soybean. *Regul Toxicol Pharmacol* 97:170-185.
- EFSA. 2010. Guidance on the environmental risk assessment of genetically modified plants. *EFSA Journal* 8(11).
- EFSA. 2019. Explanatory note on literature searching conducted in the context of GMO applications for (renewed) market authorisation and annual post-market environmental monitoring reports on GMOs authorised in the EU market. *EFSA Supporting Publications* 16(4).
- Fast BJ, Shan G, Gampala SS, & Herman RA. 2020. Transgene expression in sprayed and non-sprayed herbicide-tolerant genetically engineered crops is equivalent. *Regul Toxicol Pharmacol* 111:104572.
- Frampton GK, Livoreil B, & Petrokofsky G. 2017. Eligibility screening in evidence synthesis of environmental management topics. *Environmental Evidence* 6(1).
- Glanville J, Varley D, Brazier H, Arber M, Wood H, & Dooley G. 2014. Inventory of Sources of Scientific Evidence Relevant to EFSA's Risk Assessments and Information Sessions on Literature Searching Techniques (CFT/EFSA/SAS/2011/03 Inventory Report). *EFSA Supporting Publications* 11(6).

Jeong H-R, Sun H-J, Kang J-N, Kang H-G, & Lee H-Y. 2020. Allergenicity and toxicity evaluation of the PAT protein expressed in herbicide-tolerant genetically modified *Zoysia japonica*. *Journal of Plant Biotechnology* 47(4):316-323.

[REDACTED] 2021a. *Review of Scientific Literature Relevant to the Food/Feed al Risk Assessment of 5307 Maize*. Report No: SSB-109-21 (unpublished). Syngenta Crop Protection, LLC. 72 pp.

[REDACTED] 2021b. *Review of Scientific Literature Relevant to the Food/Feed l Risk Assessment of Bt11 Maize*. Report No: SSB-103-21 (unpublished). Syngenta Crop Protection, LLC. 75 pp.

[REDACTED] 2021c. *Review of Scientific Literature Relevant to the Food/Feed tal Risk Assessment of GA21 Maize*. Report No: SSB-104-21 (unpublished). Syngenta Crop Protection, LLC. 65 pp.

[REDACTED] 2021d. *Review of Scientific Literature Relevant to the Food/Feed l Risk Assessment of MIR162 Maize*. Report No: SSB-107-21 (unpublished). Syngenta Crop Protection, LLC. 65 pp.

[REDACTED] 2021e. *Review of Scientific Literature Relevant to the Food/Feed Risk Assessment of MIR604 Maize*. Report No: SSB-108-21 (unpublished). Syngenta Crop Protection, LLC. 63 pp.

Kim HJ, Kim DY, Moon YS, Pack IS, Park KW, Chung YS, Kim YJ, Nam K-H, & Kim C-G. 2019. Gene flow from herbicide resistant transgenic soybean to conventional soybean and wild soybean. *Applied Biological Chemistry* 62(1):54.

Köppel R, Bucher T, Frei A, & Waiblinger H-U. 2015. Droplet digital PCR versus multiplex real-time PCR method for the detection and quantification of DNA from the four transgenic soy traits MON87769, MON87708, MON87705 and FG72, and lectin. *European Food Research and Technology* 241(4):521-527.

Lebrun M, Sailland A, Freyssinet G, & Degryse E. 2003. Mutated 5-enolpyruvylshikimate-3-phosphate synthase, gene coding for said protein and transformed plants containing said gene. Washington, DC Patent No. 6,566,587 B1. USP Office.

Liu L, Zhang L, Fu J, Shen W, Fang Z, Dai Y, Jia R, Liu B, & Liang J. 2022. Fitness and Ecological Risk of Hybrid Progenies of Wild and Herbicide-Tolerant Soybeans With EPSPS Gene. *Frontiers of Plant Science* 13922215.

Liu W, Xu W, Li L, Dong M, Wan Y, He X, Huang K, & Jin W. 2018. iTRAQ-based quantitative tissue proteomic analysis of differentially expressed proteins (DEPs) in non-transgenic and transgenic soybean seeds. *Scientific Reports* 8(1):17681.

Qian B, Huang L, Zhao J, & Zhu J. 2021. Analysis of physiochemical composition and antioxidant properties between hulls of the genetically modified glyphosate-tolerant soybean and northeast soybean. *Food Science & Biotechnology* 30(4):505-512.

- Schafer BW, Embrey SK, & Herman RA. 2016. Rapid simulated gastric fluid digestion of in-seed/grain proteins expressed in genetically engineered crops. *Regul Toxicol Pharmacol* 81:106-112.
- Shi Z, Zou S, Lu C, Wu B, Huang K, Zhao C, & He X. 2019. Evaluation of the effects of feeding glyphosate-tolerant soybeans (CP4 EPSPS) on the testis of male Sprague-Dawley rats. *GM Crops Food* 10(3):181-190.
- Snell C, Bernheim A, Bergé J-B, Kuntz M, Pascal G, Paris A, & Ricroch AE. 2012. Assessment of the health impact of GM plant diets in long-term and multigenerational animal feeding trials: A literature review. *Food and Chemical Toxicology* 50(3):1134-1148.
- Spencer M, Mumm R, & Gwyn J. 2000. Glyphosate resistant maize lines. Washington, DC Patent No. 6,040,497. USP Office.
- Stevinson C, & Lawlor DA. 2004. Searching multiple databases for systematic reviews: added value or diminishing returns? *Complement Ther Med* 12(4):228-232.
- Xie Z, Zou S, Xu W, Liu X, Huang K, & He X. 2018. No subchronic toxicity of multiple herbicide-resistant soybean FG72 in Sprague-Dawley rats by 90-days feeding study. *Regul Toxicol Pharmacol* 94:299-305.

APPENDICES SECTION

APPENDIX A. Key Personnel Qualifications and Expertise

Table A1 Key Personnel

Name and Role	Qualifications and Expertise
<div data-bbox="250 380 407 407" style="background-color: black; width: 100px; height: 13px; margin-bottom: 5px;"></div> Author & Record Reviewer	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ph.D. Veterinary Medical Sciences (Toxicology concentration), University of Florida • M.S. Coastal Sciences, University of Southern Mississippi • B.S. Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Michigan Technological University • 6 years of experience in toxicology and molecular biology research (including experience with genetic manipulation of organisms)
<div data-bbox="250 575 407 602" style="background-color: black; width: 100px; height: 13px; margin-bottom: 5px;"></div> Record Reviewer	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • M.S. Environmental Assessment, North Carolina State University (NCSU) • Graduate Certificate in Applied Statistical Analysis & Data Management, NCSU • B.S. Agronomy with concentrations in Agronomic Business and Turfgrass Management, NCSU • 18 years of experience in regulatory science and product safety of GM crops.
<div data-bbox="250 743 342 770" style="background-color: black; width: 60px; height: 13px; margin-bottom: 5px;"></div> Tie-Breaker*	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ph.D. Pharmacology and Toxicology, West Virginia School of Medicine • B.M. Preventative Medicine, Shandong Medical University • Over 15 years of experience in research and development, regulatory science and product safety for GM crops
<div data-bbox="250 869 418 896" style="background-color: black; width: 100px; height: 13px; margin-bottom: 5px;"></div> Information Specialist	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MLIS (Master of Library and Information Science), UNC Greensboro • M.A., Wake Forest University • B.A., East Carolina University • 23 years of experience as a librarian at Colleges, Universities, and Private Research Libraries • Library Services for Syngenta Crop Protection since 2008

*The role of tie-breaker was assigned prior to starting the study. However, all conflicts were resolved by the reviewers and a tie-breaker was not needed. Therefore, the tie-breaker listed here did not participate in this study.

APPENDIX B. Pilot Study

The eligibility/inclusion criteria and process for selecting relevant database publications were assessed/validated using a pilot study. The pilot study followed recommendations from the explanatory note on literature searching (EFSA 2019) and Frampton *et al.* (2017). A set of 10 known publications (Table B1) were assessed following the two-stage review process outlined in Section 3.3. One record reviewer selected a variety of publications for evaluation in the pilot study that spanned a range of relevance (i.e., definitely relevant, unclear relevance, definitely irrelevant) (Frampton *et al.* 2017). Both kappa score and percent agreement were calculated following Stage 1 review. At Stage 1, Reviewer A included 1 record that Reviewer B excluded, and Reviewer B included 2 records that reviewer A excluded. This resulted in a kappa score of 0.4, which suggests fair agreement between reviewers. The percent agreement at Stage 1 was 70%, indicating that the reviewers agreed on the classification of most records. Taking both metrics into account, we would consider this an acceptable level of agreement for identifying all relevant publications. Furthermore, after Stage 2 (full-text review) it was expected that the reviewers should classify three known records as relevant (Dreesen *et al.* 2018; Schafer *et al.* 2016; Xie *et al.* 2018), and all three records were classified as clearly relevant after Stage 2. This outcome demonstrates that relevant publications are retained using the outlined review process and eligibility/inclusion criteria. Additionally, the review process and criteria have been successfully used in previous PMEM literature scoping reviews for other GM crops (██████ and ██████ 2021a, 2021b, 2021c, 2021d, 2021e). Therefore, we conclude the eligibility/inclusion criteria are clear and sufficient for accurately categorizing records as relevant or irrelevant.

Table B1 Results of the pilot study, including reviewer decisions and percent agreement for each stage of review

Reference	Stage 1 (Title/Abstract Review)		Stage 2 (Full-text Review)	
	Reviewer A Decision	Reviewer B Decision	Reviewer A Decision	Reviewer B Decision
Dreesen <i>et al.</i> (2018)	Include	Include	Include	Include
Fast <i>et al.</i> (2020)	Include	Exclude	Exclude	Exclude
Jeong <i>et al.</i> (2020)	Exclude	Exclude	-	-
Kim <i>et al.</i> (2019)	Exclude	Include	Exclude	Exclude
Köppel <i>et al.</i> (2015)	Exclude	Exclude	-	-
Liu <i>et al.</i> (2018)	Exclude	Include	Exclude	Exclude
Schafer <i>et al.</i> (2016)	Include	Include	Include	Include
Shi <i>et al.</i> (2019)	Exclude	Exclude	-	-
Snell <i>et al.</i> (2012)	Exclude	Exclude	-	-
Xie <i>et al.</i> (2018)	Include	Include	Include	Include
Percent Agreement	70%		100%	

APPENDIX C. Database Information

TABLE C1 Specifications of each database used in this study*

Database	Database Description	No. of Journals/Records	Dates of Coverage	Frequency of Database Updates in Ovid
Ovid Medline	Database comprised of international literature related to a variety of biomedicine topics related to human health. Produced by the National Library of Medicine.	>5,600 Journals/ >23 Million Records	1946-Present	Daily
CAB Abstracts	Database constructed by CAB International. Includes journal articles, conference abstracts, and reports spanning a wide variety of topics in the life sciences that include (but are not limited to) agriculture, human health/nutrition, veterinary sciences, and natural resource management. Resources originate from over 120 countries.	>10.4 Million Records	1910-Present	Weekly
AGRICOLA	Database specializing in resources from agricultural and related sciences. Contains records from journal articles, book chapters, reports, and reprints. Developed by the National Agriculture Library (USDA). The article database provides citations to journal articles, book chapters, reports, and reprints.”	>5.2 Million Records	1970-Present	Monthly
BIOSIS Previews	Database covering a broad array of topics in the life sciences, and includes many publications and journals not found in Medline. Topics include a comprehensive coverage of biological, biochemical, biophysical, bioengineering, and biomedical research. Records include original research articles, national and international conferences, reviews, technical letters and notes, and books.	>5,000 Journals/ >18 Million Records	1969 -Present	Weekly

*Information on these databases was retrieved from the Wolters Kluwer group, which hosts Ovid® Technologies. Additional information (i.e., sources for data) can be obtained upon request. (Medline: <https://www.wolterskluwer.com/en/solutions/ovid/ovid-medline-901>, CAB Abstracts: <https://www.wolterskluwer.com/en/solutions/ovid/cab-abstracts-31>, AGRICOLA: <https://www.wolterskluwer.com/en/solutions/ovid/agricola-9>, BIOSIS Previews: <https://www.wolterskluwer.com/en/solutions/ovid/biosis-previews-26>)

APPENDIX D. Development of the Database Search Strategy

The database search strategy utilized a “lumping” approach to obtain a broad range of information related to FG72 soybean and the newly expressed proteins. A single search strategy was developed to capture all categories of information in one search. This strategy was expected to return a manageable number of records while still capturing the breadth of relevant information, based on previous experience.

D.1. Search terms

Search terms were identified by:

- Assessing the subject indexing terms of related, relevant publications¹ from the thesauri of electronic bibliographic databases.
- Seeking suggestions from a multi-disciplinary team of experts and stakeholders (i.e., risk assessors, information specialists, regulatory affairs managers).

D.2. Free-text terms and subject indexing terms

All searches were conducted in the Ovid platform and utilized the keyword search in the advanced search window. The keyword search uses a default set of fields which are designated as “.mp” and vary by database (see Appendix E. Search History to see the .mp designations associated with each search). Hence, the “keyword search” in Ovid refers to executing a multi-field search across a specific combination of free-text and controlled vocabulary fields. The set of fields varies by database.² Ovid automatically switches to the appropriate fields when a database is selected.

In Ovid, all “.mp” fields are word searchable. Therefore, records indexed to a controlled vocabulary field containing a phrase will be captured by searches using any part of that subject heading. Thus, a search strategy which includes “genetic*” will return all records indexed to the example fields listed below (words captured by the search term are highlighted in yellow):

- **Genetically modified foods** or **genetic engineering** in the Subject Headings field of Agricola,

¹ Relevant publications from previous literature search reports for the risk assessment of GM crops were examined to identify associated subject indexing terms.

² In Agricola the .mp fields are: free-text—abstract; geographic area; identifier; meeting information; map information; note; original title; personal name as subject; title—and controlled vocabulary—category code; subject heading. In BIOSIS Previews the .mp fields are: free-text—abstract; book title; gene name; miscellaneous descriptors; methods & equipment; original language book title; title—and controlled vocabulary—biosystematic codes; chemicals & biochemicals; concept codes; diseases; geopolitical locations; major concepts; organisms; parts, structure & systems of organisms; sequence data; super taxa; taxa notes; time. In CAB Abstracts the .mp fields are: free-text—abstract; identifiers; original title; title—and controlled vocabulary—broad terms; geographic location; organism descriptors; subject headings. In Medline the .mp fields are: free-text—abstract; keyword heading word; original title; synonyms; title; unique identifier—and controlled vocabulary—floating sub-heading word; name of substance word; organism supplementary concept word; protocol supplementary concept word; rare disease supplementary concept word; subject heading word.

- *Zea mays*: species, maize, common, **genetically modified** in the Organism field of BIOSIS Previews,
- **Genetically engineered organisms** in the Subject Headings field of CAB Abstracts,
- Plants, **Genetically Modified** / ge [**Genetics**] or **Genetic Engineering** of MeSH Subject Headings in Medline

Similarly, controlled vocabulary fields can also be called using combined search terms. Thus, a search strategy that uses “genetic* AND (modif* OR engineer*)” will also return all records indexed to the above example fields (words captured by the search terms are indicated by bold font).

D.3. Free-text searching functions

The search terms were selected to incorporate a wide variety of synonymous and related terms. Truncation and wildcards were used, when appropriate, to capture different spelling conventions and variation in the endings of terms.

D.4. Search strings

Search strings were combined with Boolean and proximity operators appropriate for the scope of the review.

D.5. Key elements of the review question used for best results

Based on previous experience, a very large number of publications is returned when the search strategy uses only the four key elements of Event, Intended Trait, Newly Expressed Proteins, and Trade name. Therefore, additional key elements were added to the search strategy. Doing so resulted in a manageable number of records being retrieved while still achieving sensitivity. Sensitivity was defined as the ability to return the previously deemed relevant articles with the new search string. ‘A very large number’ is not defined in the Explanatory Note on literature searching (EFSA 2019); however, the number returned with other search strategies (e.g., (Event OR Intended Trait OR Newly Expressed Protein(s) OR Trade Name) or (Event OR Trade name OR ((Intended Trait OR Newly Expressed Protein(s)) AND (Plant Species or GMO)))) was so large that it could not be de-duplicated by the search platform.

Therefore, the search structure was built to identify records that contained some or all of the four main concepts/key elements (Event, Trade Name, Newly Expressed Protein(s), and/or intended trait) and terms describing plant species and/or GMO general terms. The search strategy employed was:

- Event OR Trade name OR (Newly Expressed Protein(s) AND (GMO general OR Plant Species)) OR (Intended Trait – Insecticidal AND (GMO general AND Plant Species)) OR GMO general × Intended Traits

The search strategy employed captured literature relevant to FG72 soybean and is provided in Table 4 of the main report text. The same search string was used in all databases. Since the Ovid search platform simultaneously searches free-text and subject headings there is no disadvantage to using all search terms in all databases. For example, if ‘Genetically engineered organisms’ is a subject heading in CAB Abstracts, but not in Agricola, including

this term in the search of the Agricola databases still allows for free-text searching of this term.

APPENDIX E. Reference Publications

Reference publications were used to assess the performance of the database search strategy before it was finalized. Reference publications were records known to be relevant to the risk assessment of FG72 soybean. Each record contained information on one or more key elements of the review question (i.e. event, newly expressed proteins, and/or intended traits). This ensured the search strategy was capable of retrieving the breadth of available information for the risk assessment of FG72 soybean.

The search strategy was assessed by obtaining a preliminary set of results using the methods outlined in Section 3.2.1. No date limits were applied to this search in order to capture the known reference publications from previous years. The presence/absence of reference publications within the preliminary search results was recorded for each database (Table E1). In total, 100% of the reference publications were retrieved using this search strategy. Therefore, the search strategy was considered sufficient for capturing the breadth of relevant literature available for this topic.

TABLE E1. Reference publication retrieval using the database search strategy

Reason for Selection: Key concepts of the review question that are highlighted in the reference are noted in parentheses (event, newly expressed proteins, and intended traits)	Reference	Agricola	BIOSIS Previews	CAB Abstracts	Medline
Assessment of the digestibility of 2mEPSPS protein (newly expressed protein).	Schafer <i>et al.</i> (2016)		X		X
Toxicological assessment of FG72 soybean (event)	Xie <i>et al.</i> (2018)		X	X	X
Characterization and safety assessment of HPPD W336 (newly expressed protein) expressed in herbicide tolerant (intended trait) FG72 soybean (event)	Dreesen <i>et al.</i> (2018)		X		X
Number of articles identified in each database		0	3	1	3
Percentage of articles identified in each database		0%	100%	33%	100%

APPENDIX F. Search History and Subject Indexing

10/27/22, 10:51 AM

Ovid: Search Form

Ovid[®]

My Account

Logoff

Ask your Information Specialist

Support & Training

Help

Feedback

Logged in as

Wolters Kluwer

Search

Journals

Multimedia

My Workspace

What's New









▼ Search History (14)

View Saved

<input type="checkbox"/>	# ▲	Searches	Results	Type	Actions	Annotations
<input type="checkbox"/>	1	(FG72 or FG 72 or MST-FG#72).mp. [mp=meeting information, title, original title, map information, note, abstract, heading words]	6	Advanced	Display Results More	<div></div> Contract
<input type="checkbox"/>	2	GT27*.mp. [mp=meeting information, title, original title, map information, note, abstract, heading words]	1	Advanced	Display Results More	<div></div>
<input type="checkbox"/>	3	((5 enolpyruvylshikimate 3 phosphate synthase or 5 enolpyruvyl shikimate 3 phosphate synthase or 5 enol pyruvyl shikimate 3 phosphate synthase) adj5 (double mutat* or double modif*)) or 5 enolpyruvylshikimate 3 phosphate synthase or 5 enolpyruvyl shikimate 3 phosphate synthase or 5 enol pyruvyl shikimate 3 phosphate synthase or EPSP synthase or MEPSP synthase or EPSPS or MEPSPS or 2MEPSPS or 2 MEPSPS or 2M EPSPS or 2 M EPSPS or "EC 2.5.1.19" or "E.C. 2.5.1.19").mp. [mp=meeting information, title, original title, map information, note, abstract, heading words]	740	Advanced	Display Results More	<div></div>
<input type="checkbox"/>	4	(HPPDW336 or HPPD W336 or HPPD W 336 or ((hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxxygenase or hydroxy phenylpyruvate dioxxygenase or hydroxyphenyl pyruvate dioxxygenase or hydroxy phenyl pyruvate dioxxygenase or HPPD) adj5 (mutat* or modif*))).mp. [mp=meeting information, title, original title, map information, note, abstract, heading words]	4	Advanced	Display Results More	<div></div>
<input type="checkbox"/>	5	3 or 4	744	Advanced	Display Results More	<div></div>
<input type="checkbox"/>	6	((HPPD inhibit* or isoxaflutole* or diketonitrile* or pyrazolone* or triketone* or gl#phosate or gl#fosate or G360 or G 360 or roundup* or	12105	Advanced	Display Results More	<div></div>

10/27/22, 10:51 AM

Ovid: Search Form

round up* or herbicide* or pesticide*) adj2 (toleran* or resistan* or protect* or control*).mp. [mp=meeting information, title, original title, map information, note, abstract, heading words]					
<input type="checkbox"/>	7	(GMO* or LMO* or GM or GE or transgen* or ((genetic* or living or biotech*) adj3 (modif* or transform* or manipulat* or improv* or engineer* or deriv*))).mp. [mp=meeting information, title, original title, map information, note, abstract, heading words]	114668	Advanced	Display Results More 
<input type="checkbox"/>	8	(GMHT or GEHT or GMHR or GEHR or GMHTs or GEHTs or GMHRs or GEHRs).mp. [mp=meeting information, title, original title, map information, note, abstract, heading words]	116	Advanced	Display Results More 
<input type="checkbox"/>	9	(Soy or soya or soja or soybean* or soyabean* or sojabean* or Glycine max or G max).mp. [mp=meeting information, title, original title, map information, note, abstract, heading words]	101095	Advanced	Display Results More 
<input type="checkbox"/>	10	5 and (7 or 9)	358	Advanced	Display Results More 
<input type="checkbox"/>	11	(6 and 7) or 8	2410	Advanced	Display Results More 
<input type="checkbox"/>	12	11 and 9	437	Advanced	Display Results More 
<input type="checkbox"/>	13	1 or 2 or 10 or 12	735	Advanced	Display Results More 
<input type="checkbox"/>	14	limit 13 to yr="2021 - Current"	53	Advanced	Display Results More 

Save

Remove

Combine

with:

AND

OR

Save All

Edit

Create RSS

Create Auto-Alert

View Saved

Email All Search History

Copy Search History Link

Copy Search History Details

Basic Search | Find Citation | Search Tools | Search Fields | **Advanced Search** | Multi-Field Search

1 Resource selected | [Hide](#) | [Change](#)

AGRICOLA

1970 to October 2022

☒ Keyword ☐ Author ☐ Title ☐ Journal

Enter keyword or phrase
(* or \$ for truncation)

Search

☐ Include Multimedia ☒ Map Term to Subject Heading

▼ Limits (close)

☐ Full Text ☐ English Language

10/27/22, 10:54 AM

Ovid: Search Form

Ovid®

My Account

Logoff

Ask your Information Specialist

Support & Training

Help

Feedback

Logged in as

Wolters Kluwer

Search

Journals

Multimedia

My Workspace

What's New

▼ Search History (14)

View Saved

<input type="checkbox"/>	# ▲	Searches	Results	Type	Actions	Annotations
<input type="checkbox"/>	1	(FG72 or FG 72 or MST-FG#72).mp. [mp=abstract, original language book title (non-english), book title (english), title, heading words]	11	Advanced	Display Results More	<div><div>Contract</div></div>
<input type="checkbox"/>	2	GT27*.mp. [mp=abstract, original language book title (non-english), book title (english), title, heading words]	14	Advanced	Display R	<div><div></div></div>
<input type="checkbox"/>	3	((((5 enolpyruvylshikimate 3 phosphate synthase or 5 enolpyruvyl shikimate 3 phosphate synthase or 5 enol pyruvyl shikimate 3 phosphate synthase) adj5 (double mutat* or double modif*)) or 5 enolpyruvylshikimate 3 phosphate synthase or 5 enolpyruvyl shikimate 3 phosphate synthase or 5 enol pyruvyl shikimate 3 phosphate synthase or EPSP synthase or MEPSP synthase or EPSPS or MEPSPS or 2MEPSPS or 2 MEPSPS or 2M EPSPS or 2 M EPSPS or "EC 2.5.1.19" or "E.C. 2.5.1.19").mp. [mp=abstract, original language book title (non-english), book title (english), title, heading words]	6099	Advanced	Display Results More	<div><div></div></div>
<input type="checkbox"/>	4	(HPPDW336 or HPPD W336 or HPPD W 336 or ((hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase or hydroxy phenylpyruvate dioxygenase or hydroxyphenyl pyruvate dioxygenase or hydroxy phenyl pyruvate dioxygenase or HPPD) adj5 (mutat* or modif*)))mp. [mp=abstract, original language book title (non-english), book title (english), title, heading words]	37	Advanced	Display Results More	<div><div></div></div>
<input type="checkbox"/>	5	3 or 4	6134	Advanced	Display Results More	<div><div></div></div>
<input type="checkbox"/>	6	((HPPD inhibit* or isoxaflutole* or diketonitrile* or pyrazolone* or triketone* or gl#phosate or gl#fosate or G360 or G	621620	Advanced	Display Results More	<div><div></div></div>

Save

Edit

Create Auto-Alert

Create RSS









Remove

https://ovidsp.dc2.ovid.com/ovid-a/ovidweb.cgi

1/38

10/27/22, 10:54 AM

Ovid: Search Form

360 or roundup* or round up* or herbicide* or pesticide*) adj2 (toleran* or resistan* or protect* or control*')).mp. [mp=abstract, original language book title (non-english), book title (english), title, heading words]					
<input type="checkbox"/>	7	(GMO* or LMO* or GM or GE or transgen* or ((genetic* or living or biotech*) adj3 (modif* or transform* or manipulat* or improv* or engineer* or deriv*)))'.mp. [mp=abstract, original language book title (non-english), book title (english), title, heading words]	626162	Advanced	Display Results More 
<input type="checkbox"/>	8	(GMHT or GEHT or GMHR or GEHR or GMHTs or GEHTs or GMHRs or GEHRs).mp. [mp=abstract, original language book title (non-english), book title (english), title, heading words]	161	Advanced	Display Results More 
<input type="checkbox"/>	9	(Soy or soya or soja or soybean* or soyabean* or sojabean* or Glycine max or G max).mp. [mp=abstract, original language book title (non-english), book title (english), title, heading words]	208605	Advanced	Display Results More 
<input type="checkbox"/>	10	5 and (7 or 9)	942	Advanced	Display Results More 
<input type="checkbox"/>	11	(6 and 7) or 8	17476	Advanced	Display Results More 
<input type="checkbox"/>	12	11 and 9	1503	Advanced	Display Results More 
<input type="checkbox"/>	13	1 or 2 or 10 or 12	2330	Advanced	Display Results More 
<input type="checkbox"/>	14	limit 13 to yr="2021 - Current"	137	Advanced	Display Results More 

Save

Remove

Combine

with:

AND

OR

Save All

Edit

Create RSS

Create Auto-Alert

View Saved

Email All Search History

Copy Search History Link

Copy Search History Details

Basic Search | Find Citation | Search Tools | Search Fields | **Advanced Search** | Multi-Field Search

1 Resource selected | [Hide](#) | [Change](#)

BIOSIS Previews

1969 to 2022 Week 49

☒ Keyword

☐ Author

☐ Title

☐ Journal

Enter keyword or phrase
(* or \$ for truncation)

Search

☐ Include Multimedia

☐ Map Term to Subject Heading

▼ Limits (close)

☐ English Language

10/27/22, 10:57 AM

Ovid: Search Form

Ovid®

[My Account](#)

[Logoff](#)

[Ask your Information Specialist](#)

[Support & Training](#)

[Help](#)

[Feedback](#)

Logged in as

Search

Journals

Multimedia

My Workspace

What's New

▼ Search History (14)

[View Saved](#)

<input type="checkbox"/>	# ▲	Searches	Results	Type	Actions	Annotations
<input type="checkbox"/>	1	(FG72 or FG 72 or MST-FG#72).mp. [mp=abstract, title, original title, broad terms, heading words, identifiers, cabicodes]	10	Advanced	Display Results More	<div></div> Contract
<input type="checkbox"/>	2	GT27*.mp. [mp=abstract, title, original title, broad terms, heading words, identifiers, cabicodes]	6	Advanced	Display Results More	<div></div>
<input type="checkbox"/>	3	((((5 enolpyruvylshikimate 3 phosphate synthase or 5 enolpyruvyl shikimate 3 phosphate synthase or 5 enol pyruvyl shikimate 3 phosphate synthase) adj5 (double mutat* or double modif*)) or 5 enolpyruvylshikimate 3 phosphate synthase or 5 enolpyruvyl shikimate 3 phosphate synthase or 5 enol pyruvyl shikimate 3 phosphate synthase or EPSP synthase or MEPSP synthase or EPSPS or MEPSPS or 2MEPSPS or 2 MEPSPS or 2M EPSPS or 2 M EPSPS or "EC 2.5.1.19" or "E.C. 2.5.1.19").mp. [mp=abstract, title, original title, broad terms, heading words, identifiers, cabicodes]	1234	Advanced	Display Results More	<div></div>
<input type="checkbox"/>	4	(HPPDW336 or HPPD W336 or HPPD W 336 or ((hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase or hydroxy phenylpyruvate dioxygenase or hydroxyphenyl pyruvate dioxygenase or hydroxy phenyl pyruvate dioxygenase or HPPD) adj5 (mutat* or modif*))).mp. [mp=abstract, title, original title, broad terms, heading words, identifiers, cabicodes]	11	Advanced	Display Results More	<div></div>
<input type="checkbox"/>	5	3 or 4	1242	Advanced	Display Results More	<div></div>
<input type="checkbox"/>	6	((HPPD inhibit* or isoxaflutole* or diketonitrile* or pyrazolone* or triketone* or gl#phosate or gl##fosate or G360 or G 360 or roundup* or round up* or herbicide* or pesticide*) adj2 (toleran* or resistan* or	31763	Advanced	Display Results More	<div></div>



10/27/22, 10:57 AM

Ovid: Search Form

		protect* or control*))).mp. [mp=abstract, title, original title, broad terms, heading words, identifiers, cabicodes]				
<input type="checkbox"/>	7	(GMO* or LMO* or GM or GE or transgen* or ((genetic* or living or biotech*) adj3 (modif* or transform* or manipulat* or improv* or engineer* or deriv*))).mp. [mp=abstract, title, original title, broad terms, heading words, identifiers, cabicodes]	204410	Advanced	Display Results More	
<input type="checkbox"/>	8	(GMHT or GEHT or GMHR or GEHR or GMHTs or GEHTs or GMHRs or GEHRs).mp. [mp=abstract, title, original title, broad terms, heading words, identifiers, cabicodes]	227	Advanced	Display Results More	
<input type="checkbox"/>	9	(Soy or soya or soja or soybean* or soybean* or sojabean* or Glycine max or G max).mp. [mp=abstract, title, original title, broad terms, heading words, identifiers, cabicodes]	203101	Advanced	Display Results More	
<input type="checkbox"/>	10	5 and (7 or 9)	693	Advanced	Display Results More	
<input type="checkbox"/>	11	(6 and 7) or 8	5532	Advanced	Display Results More	
<input type="checkbox"/>	12	11 and 9	939	Advanced	Display Results More	
<input type="checkbox"/>	13	1 or 2 or 10 or 12	1526	Advanced	Display Results More	
<input type="checkbox"/>	14	limit 13 to yr="2021 - Current"	100	Advanced	Display Results More	

Save

Remove

Combine

with:

AND

OR

Save All

Edit

Create RSS

Create Auto-Alert

View Saved

Email All Search History

Copy Search History Link

Copy Search History Details

Basic Search | Find Citation | Search Tools | Search Fields | **Advanced Search** | Multi-Field Search

1 Resource selected | [Hide](#) | [Change](#)

CAB Abstracts 1973 to 2022 Week 42

☒ **Keyword**

☐ Author

☐ Title

☐ Journal

Enter keyword or phrase
(* or \$ for truncation)

Search

☐ Include Multimedia

☐ Map Term to Subject Heading

▼ Limits (close)

☐ Abstracts

☐ English Language

☐ Full Text

☐ Full Text & CAB Abstracts Full Text

☐ Latest Update

☐ Systematic Review

Publication Year

-

-

https://ovidsp.dc2.ovid.com/ovid-a/ovidweb.cgi

2/38

Ovid: Search Form







[My Account](#) [Ask your Information Specialist](#) [Support & Training](#) [Help](#) [Feedback](#) [Logoff](#)

[Search](#)
[Journals](#)
[Multimedia](#)
[My Workspace](#)
[What's New](#)

▼ Search History (14)

View Saved





<input type="checkbox"/>	# ▲	Searches	Results	Type	Actions	Annotations
<input type="checkbox"/>	1	(FG72 or FG 72 or MST-FG#72).mp. [mp=title, book title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject heading word, floating sub-heading word, keyword heading word, organism supplementary concept word, protocol supplementary concept word, rare disease supplementary concept word, unique identifier, synonyms]	7	Advanced	Display Results More	 Contract
<input type="checkbox"/>	2	GT27*.mp. [mp=title, book title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject heading word, floating sub-heading word, keyword heading word, organism supplementary concept word, protocol supplementary concept word, rare disease supplementary concept word, unique identifier, synonyms]	6	Advanced	Display Results More	
<input type="checkbox"/>	3	((([5 enolpyruvylshikimate 3 phosphate synthase or 5 enolpyruvyl shikimate 3 phosphate synthase or 5 enol pyruvyl shikimate 3 phosphate synthase) adj5 (double mutat* or double modif*)) or 5 enolpyruvylshikimate 3 phosphate synthase or 5 enolpyruvyl shikimate 3 phosphate synthase or 5 enol pyruvyl shikimate 3 phosphate synthase or EPSP synthase or MEPSP synthase or EPSPS or MEPSPS or 2MEPSPS or 2 MEPSPS or 2 M EPSPS or "EC 2.5.1.19" or "E.C. 2.5.1.19").mp. [mp=title, book title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject heading word, floating sub-heading word, keyword heading word, organism supplementary concept word, protocol supplementary concept word, rare disease supplementary concept word, unique identifier, synonyms])	4615	Advanced	Display Results More	
<input type="checkbox"/>	4	(HPPDW336 or HPPD W336 or HPPD W 336 or	22	Advanced	Display Results More	

1/32

MEDLINE

10/27/22, 10:50 AM

Ovid: Search Form

((hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxxygenase or hydroxy phenylpyruvate dioxxygenase or hydroxyphenyl pyruvate dioxxygenase or hydroxy phenyl pyruvate dioxxygenase or HPPD) adj5 (mutat* or modif*))).mp. [mp=title, book title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject heading word, floating sub-heading word, keyword heading word, organism supplementary concept word, protocol supplementary concept word, rare disease supplementary concept word, unique identifier, synonyms]					
<input type="checkbox"/>	5	3 or 4	4634	Advanced	Display Results More 
<input type="checkbox"/>	6	((HPPD inhibit* or isoxaflutole* or diketonitrile* or pyrazolone* or triketone* or gl#fosate or gl#fosate or G360 or G360 or roundup* or round up* or herbicide* or pesticide*) adj2 (toleran* or resistan* or protect* or control*))).mp. [mp=title, book title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject heading word, floating sub-heading word, keyword heading word, organism supplementary concept word, protocol supplementary concept word, rare disease supplementary concept word, unique identifier, synonyms]	5050	Advanced	Display Results More 
<input type="checkbox"/>	7	(GMO* or LMO* or GM or GE or transgen* or ((genetic* or living or biotech*) adj3 (modif* or transform* or manipulat* or improv* or engineer* or deriv*))).mp. [mp=title, book title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject heading word, floating sub-heading word, keyword heading word, organism supplementary concept word, protocol supplementary concept word, rare disease supplementary concept word, unique identifier, synonyms]	451891	Advanced	Display Results More 
<input type="checkbox"/>	8	(GMHT or GEHT or GMHR or GEHR or GMHTs or GEHTs or GMHRs or GEHRs).mp. [mp=title, book title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject heading word, floating sub-	987	Advanced	Display Results More 

MEDLINE

10/27/22, 10:50 AM

Ovid: Search Form

		heading word, keyword heading word, organism supplementary concept word, protocol supplementary concept word, rare disease supplementary concept word, unique identifier, synonyms]			
<input type="checkbox"/>	9	(Soy or soya or soja or soybean* or soyabean* or sojabean* or Glycine max or G max).mp. [mp=title, book title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject heading word, floating sub-heading word, keyword heading word, organism supplementary concept word, protocol supplementary concept word, rare disease supplementary concept word, unique identifier, synonyms]	71152	Advanced	Display Results More
<input type="checkbox"/>	10	5 and (7 or 9)	410	Advanced	Display Results More
<input type="checkbox"/>	11	(6 and 7) or 8	2467	Advanced	Display Results More
<input type="checkbox"/>	12	11 and 9	271	Advanced	Display Results More
<input type="checkbox"/>	13	1 or 2 or 10 or 12	630	Advanced	Display Results More
<input type="checkbox"/>	14	limit 13 to yr="2021 - Current"	66	Advanced	Display Results More

Save

Remove

Combine

with:

AND

OR

Save All

Edit

Create RSS

Create Auto-Alert

View Saved

Email All Search History

Copy Search History Link

Copy Search History Details

Basic Search | Find Citation | Search Tools | Search Fields | **Advanced Search** | Multi-Field Search

1 Resource selected | [Hide](#) | [Change](#)

Ovid MEDLINE(R) ALL 1946 to October 26, 2022

☒ Keyword

☐ Author

☐ Title

☐ Journal

Enter keyword or phrase
(* or \$ for truncation)

Expand Term Finder >

Search

☐ Include Multimedia

☐ Map Term to Subject Heading

▼ Limits (close)

☐ Abstracts

☐ Structured Abstracts

☐ English Language

☐ No Language Specified

☐ Full Text

☐ Review Articles

☐ Humans

☐ Latest Update

☐ Pharmacologic Actions

☐ COVID-19

Publication Year